Comparative study of some physical properties of the shea kernels in the shea parks in Benin
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ABSTRACT

In Benin Republic, there are five different known shea parks. The dedicated various studies to the characterization of nuts and kernels in Benin, and in the sub-region, are often limited to the samples taken from only one zone and did not take into account the variability of the production zones. This study aimed at assessing the physical properties of shea kernels collected in four shea parks of Benin. Sizes (length, width, thickness), one-thousand nuts weight, the true and bulk volumes, sphericity, porosity and the true and bulk densities, have been determined on shea kernels from each park using appropriate methodology. The results showed that average moisture content of the shea kernels was 7.55±1.23% (wb). The parks of Kandi and Savè displayed the smallest shea kernels while those from Parakou and Bembèrèkè, showed the biggest. Sphericity of shea kernels turns around 0.72 whereas their porosity ranges from 41.59 to 43.24% and the weight of one-thousand kernels ranges from 3.98 to 4.40 kg. The true and bulk volumes of one-thousand kernels vary from 3.88 to 4.37 dm³ and 6.64 to 7.70 dm³ respectively. The true and bulk densities fluctuate from 1,007.51 to 1,024.24 kg/m³ and 571.77 to 598.12 kg/m³ respectively. These results call for the development of appropriate equipment for shea processing in Benin.

INTRODUCTION

Shea (Vitellaria paradoxa) and locust bean (Parkia biglobosa) are the main trees in the reserved parklands of northern Benin (Agbobatinkpo et al, 2012; Koura et al. 2013). They represent a true component of the forest resources, both from a socioeconomic and nutritional perspective (Honfo et al., 2013; Fatoumata et al., 2016), and ecological (Adéola, 2011) in West Africa. The marketing of processing products generates significant income for rural families (Honfo et al, 2012; Abdul, 2013; Ouattara, 2015). But the degradation due to human activity (Gnanglè et al, 2016) and parasites (Boussim et al., 2012) are among the constraints for managing these parks.
Shea nuts tree is a member of the Sapotaceae family (Oluwolé et al., 2007) commonly found in Africa. Benin Republic is one of the sixteen countries that produce shea kernels in Africa (Nde Bup et al., 2014). The share of production of shea kernels, in Benin in 2003, was estimated to 2% of the West African production. This remains very feeble compared with that of Nigeria (61%). Others countries including Mali (12%), Burkina Faso (10%), Ghana (9 %) and Cote d’Ivoire (5%) are also producer of the shea nuts (CNUCED, 2008). The Benin exports of shea kernels were estimated to $US 128,000 in 2007 (FAOSTAT, 2010).

The sweet and edible pulp of the shea fruit is widely consumed and is a rich source of sugars, proteins calcium, ascorbic acid and iron (Maranz and Wiesman, 2004; Makalao et al., 2015). The shea tree is mainly important for its kernels as it is processed into shea butter, a very important vegetable oil in West Africa (Kouyaté et al., 2015). Today, 95% of shea kernels marketed, across world market, are destined to the agro-food sector (Rantrua, 2004; Honfo et al., 2014). In Europe, shea butter is used in chocolate factory, in pharmacology for making cosmetics and various types of creams. In rural areas the shea tree is an opportunity, particularly for women, in the fight against poverty (Tiamiyu et al., 2014). Despite of its importance, there are many challenges pertaining the development of the African shea kernels sector especially the lack of efficient processing technologies (Bup et al., 2014; Tame et al., 2014). For this reason, producer countries of shea kernels often, transform locally less than a third of their production potential, due to the lack of improved techniques (Gnanglè, 2005). Traditionally, shea kernels are obtained by pounding kernels in a mortar or with stone. Then shea butter is extracted following the operations of grinding or crushing, roasting and milling of kernels, churning of the obtained dough and cooking of the cream (Singbo and Ahouansou, 2005). The above described processing method is cumbersome and is often done by women. Locally manufactured and research out made equipment assist in alleviating the challenges facing processors. But the conception and the realization of efficient equipment are not possible without adequate knowledge on the physical and mechanical properties of the shea kernel, nut and dough, the performances of processing equipment being deeply linked with these parameters. The technical specifications of agricultural product constitute important parameters in the process of manufacturing equipment (Meisami-asl et al., 2009). Several studies have been carried out on the physical characterization of shea kernels and their kernels (Yê et al., 2004; Aviara et al., 2005; Ahouansou et al.,). Results revealed some variabilities of the product properties, at physical and mechanical, and notably dimensional levels, all these having been attributed to geographical and climatic features of the production zones. But these studies have been conducted on pooled samples taken at village or Department level scales. Therefore, countrywide aspects were not considered. For instance, Ahouansou et al. (2008) who worked on samples collected in the village of Simpêrou in Banikosara (North of Benin) or Aviara et al. (2005) on kernels collected at Michika in Adamawa state (Nigeria), and for Yê et al. (2004) who investigated physical properties of shea kernels collected at Santidougou located at 15 km of Bobo Dioullasso (Burkina). In Benin, five shea parks are recognized: Bohicon, Kandi, Bembèrèkè, Parakou and Savè. In the fifth park of Benin, that of Bohicon, shea products are very less valorised (Gnanglè, 2005).

The present study intends to evaluate the physical properties of shea kernels collected in four shea parks of Benin relevant for the conception of high-performance and adapted processing equipment that could be used at national level.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study areas**

This study was carried out in four out of five shea parks of Benin: Kandi, Bembèrekè, Parakou and Savè. The geographic characteristics of the study zones
and physical parameters (density and diameter) of shea trees, that populate these various parks, are shown in Figure 1 and Table 1.

**Biological materials**

Shea kernels were collected in the four cited shea parks of Benin. Samples were taken randomly from processors in 24 villages. Six (6) villages per shea park and three (3) processors per village. Five (5) kg of shea kernels were taken from each processor, properly packed and transported to the Laboratory for analysis. Physical analyses were carried out in the laboratory of the Programme on Agricultural and Food Technology (PTAA) of the National Institute of Agricultural Research of Benin (INRAB).

**Methods**

**Determination of physicals properties of shea kernels**

Physical characterization of the collected shea kernels was carried out through determination of moisture content, size (length, width and thickness), volumes, weight, true and bulk densities.

Moisture content was determined using the ISO 662:1998 Standard. Shea kernels were ground in a RETCH mill for three minutes. Three sub-samples samples of 10 g each were subjected to oven drying at 103±2 °C for 17±1 hours, as shea kernels belong to seeds containing high percentage of oil. For this, a HOH-EXPRESS HE50, PFEUFFER, GERMANY oven was used, samples being kept closed in an ISO 9001 desiccator, for cooling during 15 min, before each weighing step, mainly at drying end time.

The average sizes (length, width and thickness) of kernels were determined using a FACOM calliper with an accuracy of 0.01 mm. These measures have focused on a sample of 100 almonds randomly selected therefore 2,400 kernels from each shea park. Shea kernels (Figure 2), having an oblong shape, the size fixing was achieved from the position of balance that is often, either the face of the germ, or the one opposite. Thus, the kernels thickness (t) is the distance between the horizontal and parallel plans P₁ and P₁'. The first is the plan of rest of the kernel and the second pass by the opposed superior point. The kernels length (L) is the highest distance between the two vertical and parallel plans P₃ and P₃', passing on the extreme points of the kernel while the width (w) is the lowest distance between the plans P₂ and P₂'.

Knowing the average sizes of kernels, the following parameters were determined (Ahouansou et al., 2012):

1. **Elongation of kernel (E):**
   \[ E = \frac{L}{l} \]  
2. **Degree of flattening (F):**
   \[ A = \frac{l}{e} \]  
3. **Mean Arithmetic diameter (Dₐ):**
   \[ Dₐ = \frac{(L+l+e)}{3} \] (mm)
4. **Mean Geometric diameter (D₉):**
   \[ D₉ = \left(\frac{L}{\pi l e}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \] (mm)
5. **Sphericity of kernel (S):**
   \[ S = \left(\frac{L}{\pi l e}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \]  

Where L, l, e, respectively the length, width and the thickness of the kernel.

The one-thousand kernels weight was measured according to the ISO 520:1977 standard using an electronic weighing machine with precision of to 0.001 g. The true density on one-thousand kernels was obtained with a Bruckner pycnometer filled with xylene (C₈H₁₀). A sample of 100 kernels, previously weighed (m), was poured in the xylene and the volume (V) of liquid displaced in the pycnometer was read. Then, the true density (ρ) was calculated from the following relationship:

\[ \rho = \frac{m}{V} \] (kg/m³)

The volumes of one-thousand kernels provide estimates of average volume (Vₕ) of a single kernel. Knowing the average volume and the true density of a kernel leads to the
Figure 1: Geographic characteristics of the study zones.

Figure 2: Definition of sizes of shea kernels.

Table 1: Characteristics of the targeted shea parks in Benin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shea parks (zone names)</th>
<th>Tree mean density (trees/ha)</th>
<th>Mean diameter (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Savè</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parakou</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bembéréké</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandi</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
estimation of shape parameters defined by the following equations Ahouansou et al., 2012):

- **Equivalent diameter** \( (D_E) \):
  \[ D_E = \frac{1.24}{\sqrt[3]{V}} \quad (\text{m}) \quad (7) \]

- **Specific area** \( (S_a) \):
  \[ S_a = 6/\rho \quad (\text{m}^2/\text{kg}) \quad (8) \]

- **Specific volume** \( (S) \):
  \[ S = 6/\rho \quad (\text{m}^3/\text{kg}) \quad (9) \]

The bulk density \( \rho_0 \) of shea kernels was determined so as they were stuck in a container of known volume. A weighed kernels \( (m_0) \) was poured into a graduated cylinder, packed and the occupied volume \( (V_b) \) was then read.

- **Bulk density** \( \rho_0 = m_0/V_b \quad (\text{kg/m}^3) \quad (10) \)

The porosity \( (R_\varepsilon) \), when it is subjected to any external pressure, was calculated using the following formula:

- **Porosity** \( (R_\varepsilon) \):
  \[ R_\varepsilon = 100 \times (\rho - \rho_0)/\rho \quad (\%) \quad (11) \]

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical computer program, SPSS 12.0, was used for statistical analyses. Mean values and frequencies were computed for data including moisture content, size (length, width, and thickness), volume and weigh, true and bulk density. Parameters that verify, Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality and Levene homogeneity of variance tests, were subjected to analysis of variance, using Student-Newman-Keuls for structuring obtained mean-values. The other parameters, which have not respected the normality and homogeneity conditions of variance, were subjected to nonparametric tests of Kruskal-Wallis. The p-value was indicated for significant difference. (Glèlè Kakai, et al., 2006; Glèlè Kakai et al., 2004).

**RESULTS**

**Moisture content and sizes of shea kernels**

Moisture content and measures of shea kernels collected in the four explored shea parks of Benin are shown in Table 2. Moisture content of shea kernels varies between 7.53% and 7.95% with a mean-value of 7.55±1.23%. These moisture contents, although statistically different from one park to another, remain lower than that of WEAMU standard (2005) of 9%.

Shea kernels sizes also vary according to the shea parks ranging from 26.23 to 27.29 mm, 17.70 to 18.68 mm and 14.33 to 15.18 mm for length, thickness and width, respectively. The kernel from Bembereke park are the largest while those from Kandi park, smallest. From one arc to another, the mean value of moisture content, length, width, and thickness of the kernel are stastically different at 5% (p-value=0). Similarly for the mean arithmetic and geometric diameters. The park of Bembèrèkè presents biggest values for the shea kernels sizes, while the park of Kandi displays the smallest ones. This variability could be linked to measures of the shea trees, mainly their diameters, as shown in Figure 2.

The data shows that the shea trees of biggest diameters produce smallest shea kernels. So, according to the recorded results, the polynomial function that adequately fits the data from the evolutions of shea kernels measures (length, width and thickness), respective versus the average diameter of shea trees \( (d) \), may be represented by the following equations:

- **Length** \( (L) \):
  \[ L = -0.26d^2 + 1.012d + 26.36 \quad (\text{mm}) \quad (12) \]

- **Thickness** \( (t) \):
  \[ t = -0.37d^2 + 1.36d + 18.105 \quad (\text{mm}) \quad (13) \]

- **Width** \( (w) \):
  \[ w = -0.232d^2 + 0.9835d + 14.103 \quad (\text{mm}) \quad (14) \]

with regression coefficients of \( R^2=0.99 \) for \( L \), \( R^2=1 \) for \( t \) and \( R^2=0.99 \) for \( w \) and where \( L \), \( t \), and \( w \) representing the shea nut length, thickness and width (mm) and \( d \) (m) the shea tree average diameter in the parks, respectively.
It was also noticed that evolutions of the mean arithmetic diameter and mean geometric diameter are relatively similar to that of equivalent diameter. All parameters follow similar trends like for the kernels measures.

**Shape parameters of shea kernels**

Shape parameters of the analysed shea are presented in Table 3. Shea kernels elongation varies between 1.82 and 1.86 while their flattening is around 0.81±0.13. Subsequently, the length of shea kernels is, on average, 1.84 times the width, while almond width also remains 0.81 times the thickness.

Regarding the sphericity the analysed shea kernels are (27-28%) far to be considered as spherical shaped. Indeed, the results show that the shapes are similar to that of a sphere (72-73%).

**Mass and volume parameters**

Mass and volume are presented in Table 4. Shea from parks of Savè and Kandi provide the lightest kernels. Parakou and Bembèrèkè produce the heaviest shea kernels. The volume of 1000-shea kernels varies between 3.76 and 4.37 dm$^3$ with a mean-value of 4.09±0.5 cm$^3$. There was no statistically significant difference, between the true density and bulk density of the shea kernels from the parks of Savè and Kandi in the one hand, and shea kernels from the shea parks of Parakou and Bembèrèkè, on the other hand. The density of shea kernels varies between 1.01 and 1.02.

![Figure 2: Relationship between the nuts sizes and average diameter of shea trees.](image-url)
### Table 2: Moisture content and size of analysed shea kernels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parks</th>
<th>Moisture content (%)</th>
<th>Length (mm)</th>
<th>Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Width (mm)</th>
<th>Arithmetic mean Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Geometric mean Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Equivalent diameter (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Savè</td>
<td>7.55±1.21</td>
<td>27.13±3.19</td>
<td>18.37±2.07</td>
<td>14.84±1.93</td>
<td>20.11±1.84</td>
<td>19.42±1.76</td>
<td>19.47±0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parakou</td>
<td>7.53±1.42</td>
<td>27.11±3.80</td>
<td>18.68±6.03</td>
<td>14.92±2.20</td>
<td>20.24±2.89</td>
<td>19.51±2.23</td>
<td>20.15±1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bembèrèkè</td>
<td>7.119±1.17</td>
<td>27.29±3.46</td>
<td>18.87±2.39</td>
<td>15.18±2.16</td>
<td>20.45±2.10</td>
<td>19.78±2.04</td>
<td>20.24±0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandi</td>
<td>7.95±0.97</td>
<td>26.23±3.62</td>
<td>17.70±2.45</td>
<td>14.33±2.27</td>
<td>19.42±2.28</td>
<td>18.73±2.29</td>
<td>19.26±0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>7.55±1.23</td>
<td>26.93±3.55</td>
<td>18.41±3.65</td>
<td>14.82±2.17</td>
<td>20.05±2.34</td>
<td>19.36±2.13</td>
<td>19.78±0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-value 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

Mean with the same letters (a, b) on row are not significantly different at P=0.05 depending of Student Newman Keuls test. Those that are not assigned the letter have suffered the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test.

### Table 3: Shape parameters of the analysed shea kernels from different parks in Benin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shea parks</th>
<th>Elongation</th>
<th>Flattening</th>
<th>Sphericity</th>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Savè</td>
<td>1.85±0.30</td>
<td>0.81±0.11</td>
<td>0.72±0.05</td>
<td>41.59±3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parakou</td>
<td>1.84±0.38</td>
<td>0.81±0.12</td>
<td>0.72±0.06</td>
<td>42.37±2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bembèrèkè</td>
<td>1.82±0.31</td>
<td>0.82±0.16</td>
<td>0.73±0.06</td>
<td>43.24±1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandi</td>
<td>1.86±0.33</td>
<td>0.81±0.11</td>
<td>0.72±0.07</td>
<td>42.51±2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean values</td>
<td>1.84±0.33</td>
<td>0.81±0.13</td>
<td>0.72±0.06</td>
<td>42.43±2.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-value 0.00 0.42 0.00 0.01

Mean with the same letters (a, b) on row are not significantly different at P=0.05 depending of Student Newman Keuls test. Those that are not assigned the letter have suffered the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test.
Table 4: Mass and volume parameters almonds of the analysed shea kernels from different parks in Benin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park</th>
<th>1000 kernels Weight (kg)</th>
<th>1000 kernels true Volume (dm$^3$)</th>
<th>1000 kernels bulk Volume(dm$^3$)</th>
<th>True Density (kg/m$^3$)</th>
<th>Density (kg/m$^3$)</th>
<th>Bulk Density (kg/m$^3$)</th>
<th>Specific area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Savè</td>
<td>$3.98\pm0.39_{ab}$</td>
<td>$3.88\pm0.41_{a}$</td>
<td>$6.64\pm0.50_{a}$</td>
<td>$1.024.24\pm23.19$</td>
<td>$1.02\pm0.02$</td>
<td>$598.12\pm30.79$</td>
<td>$0.30\pm0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parakou</td>
<td>$4.38\pm0.72_{a}$</td>
<td>$4.33\pm0.72_{a}$</td>
<td>$7.51\pm1.20_{a}$</td>
<td>$1.011.15\pm17.71$</td>
<td>$1.01\pm0.01$</td>
<td>$582.61\pm25.00$</td>
<td>$0.30\pm0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bembèrèkè</td>
<td>$4.40\pm0.54_{b}$</td>
<td>$4.37\pm0.58_{b}$</td>
<td>$7.70\pm0.95_{b}$</td>
<td>$1.007.51\pm22.40$</td>
<td>$1.01\pm0.02$</td>
<td>$571.77\pm17.23$</td>
<td>$0.29\pm0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandi</td>
<td>$3.84\pm0.44_{a}$</td>
<td>$3.76\pm0.40_{a}$</td>
<td>$6.56\pm0.80_{a}$</td>
<td>$1.019.30\pm31.48$</td>
<td>$1.02\pm0.03$</td>
<td>$585.78\pm27.30$</td>
<td>$0.31\pm0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>$4.15\pm0.58</td>
<td>$4.09\pm0.59$</td>
<td>$7.10\pm1.02$</td>
<td>$1.015.55\pm24.72$</td>
<td>$1.02\pm0.02$</td>
<td>$584.57\pm26.87$</td>
<td>$0.30\pm0.01$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean with the same letters (a, b) on row are not significantly different at P=0.05 depending of Student Newman Keuls test. Those that are not assigned the letter have suffered the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test.

DISCUSSION

Moisture content meets the international standard. But it was noticed that shea nuts are dried in poor conditions. They are often dried on the bare ground with no hygiene hence their exposure to microbial contamination. This call for the need to develop appropriate dryer that guarantee the safety of the product.

These results show that the size of the analysed shea kernels are smaller (about 5 mm on average) than those of northern Nigeria. The average sizes, reported by Aviara et al. (2000) on shea almonds collected in Michika, Adamawa State (Nigeria), are 29.62 mm, 20.30 mm and 18.20 mm for length, thickness and width at moisture content of 3.84% (db), respectively. Taking into account the results of Aviara et al. (2005), showing an increase in kernels size with moisture content, it could be envisioned that the sizes reported by Aviara et al. (2000) is higher than the one reported in the current work (mean of 7.55±1.23% (w.b)).

Olajide et al. (2000) reported a geometric diameter of 25.2 mm on shea kernels studied in Nigeria and confirmed that, generally the shea almonds from this country are bigger than those of Benin. Knowledge of the values of different diameters, arithmetic, geometric could permit establishing mathematical models for size's prediction of the shea almonds.

The value of sphericity confirm those of Ahouansou et al. (2008) who reported sphericity value of 0.74 for the shea kernels from Simpérou in the shea park of Kandi. Aviara et al. (2000) also reported that the sphericity of shea kernels collected in Michika, varies between 0.68 and 0.75, while...
Olajide et al. (2000) reported an average sphericity of 0.80 on the shea kernels from Ogbomosho region.

Regarding porosity of shea kernels, the shea park of Savè showed the lowest value 41.59±3.08% while that of Bembèrèkè the highest (43.24±1.47%). The knowledge on the shape is useful for the conception of adequate sheller and evaluation of its performance together with the development of mathematical models on shea kernels.

The observed trend for the mass and volume is in accordance with the shea kernels measurements. Ahouansou et al. (2008) reported that, the one-thousand weigh for shea kernels collected at Simpérou in Kandi’s shea park was around 4 kg, while the one determined for shea kernels from Burkina Faso was, on average of 2.49 kg, at moisture content of 3.7% (Ye et al., 2004).

In Nigeria, the weight of one-thousand shea almonds, at moisture content of 5.66% (w.b), is on average 4.77 kg (Aviara et al., 1999; Aviara et al., 2000). It could be concluded that shea kernels of the different parks of Benin are heavier than those from Burkina Faso, but their weights remain slightly lighter than those from Nigeria. This significant differences could be imputed to several factors including the cultivated shea species or varieties, the climatic and production conditions. It is more observed that more profits is gained when purchasing shea kernels in the parks of Savè and Kandi because, at equal volume, the quantity of kernels is higher. The shea kernels sales being usually effected by volume unit, a cubic meter of shea kernels weighs between 585 and 598 kg in the shea parks of Kandi and Savè, whilst it weighs 571 and 582 kg in the shea parks of Parakou and Bembèrèkè. This is in agreement with the recorded bulk density of shea kernels (Table 4). The herein reported data can help to understand why majority of the shea almonds processors, during the period of the study have always thought, that small sizes shea nuts give significant amount of oils.

The density of almonds is generally a function of its compactness and texture but also depends on the moisture content (Godon et al., 1991). This could suggest that climatic and geographic variations could affect the shape and sizes of shea nuts, but with no significant effect on their texture and compactness. If the results obtained by Aviara et al. (2000) have enabled the development of a shea nuts sheller (Oluwole et al., 2004), the current knowledge and data will allow for a better adaptation/adoptation of this equipment in Benin conditions.

Conclusion

This study has been devoted to the physical characterization of shea nuts in four out of the five shea parks of Benin: Savè, Parakou, Kandi and Bembèrèkè. Emphasis was on the variability of the physical characteristics of shea almonds, mainly to those related to their sizes or measurements (length, width, thickness), shape, density (true and bulk) but also mass and volume of one-thousand nuts. The obtained results have demonstrated that the shea park of Bembèrèkè produces the biggest nuts whereas the shea park of Kandi provides the smallest. Models describing the evolution of length, width and thickness on the basis of the average diameter of trees have been established. Shea kernels are not really spherically sharpened but only at average ratio of 72%. A Shea kernel of Savè park has the lowest porosity (41.59%) while those from Bembèrèkè have the highest. The shea parks of Savè and Kandi have the lightest shea kernels while those of Parakou and Bembèrèkè, the heaviest with a one-thousand weight of 4.38 and 4.40 kg respectively. In terms of mass and volume, there is a similarity between the shea parks of Savè and Kandi on the one hand and the shea parks of Parakou and Bembèrèkè, on the other hand.

Knowledge of the physical properties of shea kernels, depending on the different parks, is not in itself sufficient to address the design of processing equipment. In addition, information and knowledge on the breaking strength of almonds and the mechanical properties such as elasticity and plasticity according to shea parks are required. Hence, it
is essential to explore such a mechanical study, not only to almonds, but also to entire shea nuts!
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