ABSTRACT

Peptic ulcer is an important public health problem affecting about 10% of the world’s population. The treatment of this disease is usually long, expensive and less accessibility and affordability of the modern medications by the poor local population. Peptic ulcer represents about 31.65% of cases of consultation in the gastroenterology services in Cameroon. The constraints to have medication have diverted poor patients to rely on traditional medicine for their health problems. The objective of this study was to identify the major classes of secondary metabolites to evaluate in vivo the anti-ulcer activity of the aqueous extracts of Ficus thonningii on Wistar rats. The experimental model used to induce the gastric ulcers was absolute ethanol 100%. Thirty rats were used for the preventive and curative activity respectively represented in six groups: one group without treatment, three pretreated groups with the extract at (125, 250 and 500 mg/kg), a group receiving a pretreatment with the reference drug omeprazole (20 mg/kg) and another receiving a pretreatment with distilled water (control). Antacid activity was investigated through the determination of the FDA minimal buffer capacity. The phytochemical screening of the extract of the bark showed the presence of the saponins, quinones, coumarins, catechic tannins, phlobatannins, anthocyanin, polyphenols, flavonoids and betacyanes. Investigation of the in vitro antacid activity of F. thonningii Blume stem bark hydro-ethanolic extract showed that the plant did not possess antacid activity with a 1.18 ± 0.11 minimum buffer capacities after 10 minutes of exposure. In the preventive anti-ulcer study, the percentage protection of the mucous membrane was of 29.80% with 125 mg/kg, 44.27% with 250 mg/kg and 81.18% with 500 mg/kg. This study showed that the hydro-ethanolic extract of the mixture of the dried bark of Ficus thonningii Blume had a promising gastro-protective activity both preventively and curatively and at 500 mg/kg. The administration of this extract at concentration up to 2000 mg/kg could have a potential effect of vascular protection and hepatic protection.
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INTRODUCTION

Gastric or duodenal ulcer causes a loss of a small or extended portion of the bowel tissue wall. It is either erosions or abrasions or superficial abrasions that do not reach the muscle layer and which heal without scarring (LIPCORM, 2008; Dongmo et al., 2014; Tembe et al., 2018a). Gastric ulcer which is chronic and recurrent in the majority of cases results from an imbalance between chlorhydro-peptic stressors (HCl, pepsin, and gastrin) and gastric mucosal defences (mucus, bicarbonate, blood flow mucosal cytoprotection) (Yapi et al., 2015; Zakari et al., 2016). Gastric ulcer occurs in case of rupture in the mucosa that allows the pepsin and hydrochloric acid attack the stomach wall. Gastric ulcer is a disease that affects both men and women (Ahur et al., 2010; Nguele et al., 2016).

It represents 31.65% of cases of consultations in gastroenterology services in Cameroon (Lapinus and Bajer, 2008; Emmanuel et al., 2012). The three most deadly digestive diseases in Cameroon during 2013 were paralytic ileus and intestinal obstruction, peptic ulcer disease, and other digestive diseases (Zapata et al., 2006; Rachael et al., 2013). The annual mortality rate per 100,000 people from peptic ulcer disease in Cameroon has decreased by 21.6% since 1990, an average of 0.9% a year (Sandler et al., 2002; 2006, Fokunang et al., 2018).

Treatment of this disease requires in most cases a combination of several molecules with specific mechanisms of action. This treatment has 4 goals: relieve pain, accelerate healing, prevent complications and reduce the frequency of relapses (Brzozowski et al., 2002; Takezomo et al., 2004). The effective treatment using conventional medicines is not usually well attended by patients, due to their high cost and low availability to a large majority of the population, especially those living in rural areas (Awoussong et al., 2015; Djimeli et al., 2017. In many developing countries, the health infrastructure is poor and a large majority of the population, mainly rural, has no access to primary health care and modern medicines (Kumar et al., 2008; Fokunang et al., 2017a). These patients use the resources of traditional herbal medicine as an alternative treatment. However, traditional herbal medicine is facing a number of problems for its vulgarisation including lack of sufficient studies on therapeutic properties as well as toxicity tests that could provide sufficient guarantees for their rational use (Sun et al., 2006; Etene et al., 2015; Tembe et al., 2018a).

The common wild plant, F. thonningii, is extensively used in African ethno medicine for treating a number of disease conditions which include diarrhoea, urinary tract infections, diabetes mellitus, gonorrhea, respiratory infections, and mental illnesses (Tsague et al., 2016, Vermo et al., 2017). The leaves of F. thonningii contains various bioactive compounds which include alkaloids, terpenoids, flavonoids, tannins and active proteins, all of which contribute to its curative properties. In vitro and in vivo pharmacological studies revealed that F. thonningii possesses antimicrobial, anti diarrheal, antihelmintic, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and analgesic properties. Scientific research has validated the ethnomedicinal claims that F. thonningii is useful in disease management (Ibrahim et al., 2008; Ngameni et al., 2016; Fokunang et al., 2017b). However, there is need to continue identifying, isolating and quantifying the active principles and possibly determine the mechanisms underlying the curative properties of its bark (Kato et al., 2005; Tembe et al., 2016b; Vermo et al., 2017). It is in this context that the current study was conducted to investigate phytochemically screen, in vivo evaluate the anti-ulcer activity of the stem bark extract of Ficus thonningii on Wistar rat models.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was an in vivo experimental preclinical study on Wistar rat models conducted from the 11th November 2016 to the 25th of May 2017. The study was done in the Preclinical Animal toxicology and Pharmacology Laboratory of the Faculty of Medicine and Biological Sciences, of the University of Yaoundé 1, Cameroon.
Ethical consideration

Ethical approval was given by the institutional review board (IRB) of the Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences of the University of Yaoundé 1 and administrative authorization was obtained to conduct study in the animal house of this faculty.

Collection, identification preparation of plant material

Fresh stem barks were harvested after identification by a botanist from the plant growing at Bafoussam on the 03rd of January 2017. The identified plant was authenticated at the National Herbarium of Cameroon by comparison with a sample having the voucher reference number 444042/HNC. The barks were dried under shade at room temperature for a period of three weeks in order to avoid solar radiations from altering the metabolites. These barks were spread on plastic bags while avoiding their stacking. The barks were turned upside down so as to favour a homogenous drying process. The dried barks were ground in a clean electric grinding machine in such a way as to obtain a fined powder which was stored in an airtight container.

Plant extract preparation

Three types of extraction procedures were used in order to evaluate the in vivo activity and to select the extract with the best activity since there were no earlier studies with respect to the evaluation of the antiulcer activity of the bark of Ficus thonningii Blume.

Extraction by Maceration, Infusion and decoction

In this process, the coarsely powdered crude plant was placed in a stoppered container with the solvent (distilled water, ethanol and hydro-ethanolic solution 50:50) and allowed to stand at room temperature for a period of 48 hours with frequent agitation until the soluble matter has dissolved. The mixture was then strained, and the damp solid materials were pressed, and the combined liquids were purified by filtration using Whatman No 3 paper (Oyono et al., 2014).

By infusion, fresh infusion was prepared by mixing the crude plant for a short period of time of 10 to 15 minutes with initially boiling water (Kisali et al., 2016) and by decoction, the crude plant was boiled in a specified volume of water for a defined time generally 10 to 15 minutes; it was then cooled and filtered. This procedure was suitable for extracting water-soluble, heat-stable constituents. The starting ratio of crude plant to water was fixed, 1:4 or 1:16; the volume was then brought down to one-fourth its original volume by boiling during the extraction procedure and the concentrated extract was filtered (Kisali et al., 2016).

Yield determination of the extract

The best activity was shown with the hydro-ethanolic maceration hence after 48 hours the macerate was filtered with Whatman No. 3 filtered paper and the collected filtrate was evaporated in an oven at 50 °C. This extract was weighed in order to determine the yield obtained from the initial powder quantity and then stored in an air-tight container for subsequent experimental tests.

Animal testing

The animals used were white albino rats of the Wistar strain (Rattus norvegicus) aged between two and three months. These animals had an average weight of 178.2 ± 22.09 g for the antiulcer activity and 125.5 ± 10.14 g and 119.8 ± 6.50 g respectively for the males and the females used in the assessment of acute toxicity. They were raised in the animal house under favourable conditions for their growth and development. The diet consisted of a mixture of corn meal (45%), wheat flour (20%), fish meal (20%), soybean meal (10%), and palm kernel (5%), bone flour for calcium intake (0.98%), cooking salt (0.5%) and vitamin complex (0.5%). Two to three times a month, a vitamin complex (Olivitasol, Cedex, France) was added in their water to drink following the OECD guidelines 420 (OECD, 2001). Animal identification was done by cage card and corresponding bold marker body markings and they were maintained in the animal house (Njar et al, 2005).

For animal selection, the animals were subjected to a gross observation to ensure that the selected rats were in good health. Rats
were randomly selected with respect to body weight for final allotment to the study. The animal environment was made up of natural air conditioned rooms with optimal air changes per hour, relative humidity, temperature and illumination cycles set to 12h light and 12 hours dark. The animals were accommodated in groups housed in cages with stainless steel grill top, together with facilities for food and water bottle and bedding of clean paddy husk (Togola et al., 2014).

For administration of the test substance, the plant extract was administered by oral gavage to each rat with 1ml of the ulcerogenic substance, using an intubation needle fitted onto a syringe of appropriate size. The dose administered to individual rat was calculated according to its body weight recorded on the day of test substance administration. The anti-ulcer reference drug used was omeprazole (OMIZEC) 20 mg batch number 260044 bought in a community pharmacy in Yaoundé, Centre Region of Cameroon.

**Phytochemical screening**

The protocol of (Usman et al., 2009) was used to carry out the different chemical tests. This screening process did not only allow us to test and evaluate the various solutions prepared but also to have an idea of the secondary metabolites present in these solutions.

**Preventive evaluation**

In order to choose the best method of extraction we did not limit ourselves on the in vitro phytochemical screening but we continued in vivo in such a way as to confirm the results obtained at the end of the screening.

**Preparation of the hydro-ethanolic plant extract**

The powder obtained after the grinding period were weighed and then 10 g of the powder were mixed with several fractions of a 50:50 hydro-ethanolic solution in order to obtain a final solution of 1000 ml in a flat bottomed flask. This mixture was mixed several times within 48 h of maceration after which the mixture was filtered using Whatman paper number 3. The macerate was dried in an oven at 50 °C for three days. The dried extract obtained was then weighed in order to determine the yield from the initial powder used. The yield (%) was obtained from the formula below adopted from Usman et al., 2009.

\[
\text{percentage yield} = \frac{\text{mass of the extract obtained}}{\text{mass of the initial plant powder}} \times 100
\]

**Secondary metabolite identification test**

**Alkaloid identification tests**

- Mayer waltz test: In a test tube with 2 ml of 1% extract was added 3 to 5 drops of the Valse Mayer reagent (1.36 g of HgCl 2 (silver chloride) and 5 g of KI (iodide of Potassium) and made to a final volume of 100 ml with distilled water. The obtaining of a creamy white precipitate or White-yellow indicated the presence of alkaloids. Test was done using the Mayer Waltz test (Margaret et al., 2012), Hager Test and the Wagner test respectively (Hagazi et al., 2002).

**Polyphenol identification**

The test was done using the Iron per chloride test (Togola et al., 2014), lead acetate test. In a test tube was added 2 ml of the 1% extract followed by a few drops of plead acetate to 10%. The formation of a white precipitate indicated the presence of polyphenols.

**Flavonoid identification tests**

In a test tube, 2 ml of the 1% extract was poured and a few drops of Sulfuric acid added by allowing them to flow over the tube wall. The formation of an orange coloration Orange indicated the presence of flavonoids (Kalaivani, 2013).

**Identification test of anthocyanins**

To 5 ml of 5% extract was added 5 ml of 10% H2SO4 and then 5 ml of ammonium hydroxide (NH3OH) was diluted to half. In the presence of anthocyanin, the colouring was accentuated by acidification then turn to blue-purple in basic medium (Mainen et al., 2014).

**Test for identification of tannin (FeCl3 Test)**

In a test tube was introduced 5 ml of the 5% infused in which was added 1 ml of
dilute aqueous solution of 1% ferric perchloride (FeCl\textsubscript{3}). The presence of Tannins was indicated by blackish-blue or greenish coloration (Repetto and Llesuy 2002).

**Differentiation of catechic and gallic tannins**

It was obtained by the reaction of STIASNY, which was carried out in the following manner. To 30 ml of infused, was added 15ml of STIASNY reagent (10ml of 40% formalin more 5 ml of concentrated HCl) and heated for 15 minutes in a water bath at 90 °C following the procedure of Rosette and Rice, (2004). Catechic tannins were obtained by the presence of a precipitate. The obtaining of precipitate showed their presence;

**Gallic Tannins:** After filtration, the filtrate sodium acetate powder was saturated, and then 1 ml of a solution of 1% ferric perchloride (FeCl\textsubscript{3}) added. The presence of gallic tannins was not precipitated by the STIASNY reagent was indicated by the development of a shade dark blue (Kato et al., 2005)

**Mucilage identification test**

To 1 ml of decoction extract at 10%, 5 ml of absolute ethanol was added to obtain the precipitate that was fluffy to indicate the presence of mucilages (Hermandez et al., 2000).

**Test for the identification of saponins**

**The Foam Test**

100 ml of the decoction at 1% were distributed in 10 test tubes numbered successively from 1, 2, and 10 ml. The volume of each tube was adjusted to 10 ml with distilled water. Each tube was stirred for 15 seconds in the length direction and then left and allowed to rest for 15 minutes. Observation was done for persistence of the foam (Helbert et al., 2007).

**Steroid identification test**

In 1 ml of extract was added 2 ml of acetic anhydride then 2 ml of sulfuric acid to obtain a violet colour turning blue or green indicated the presence of steroids (Repetto and Llesuy, 2002).

**Test for identification of resins**

In a test tube, was added 2 ml of the 1% extract and a few drops of solution of anhydrous acetic acid and 1 ml of sulfuric acid (H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}) The appearance of a yellow colour indicated the presence of resins.

**Test for identification of cardiac glycosides**

In 0.5 ml of the extract were added 2 ml of glacial acetic acid and a few drops of 5% Ferric Chloride (FeCl\textsubscript{3}) solution, then 1 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid. The Formation of a greenish or brown ring, at the interface indicated the presence of glycosides heart (Ukwe et al., 2010)

**Test for identification of quinones**

In a test tube, 2 ml of 1% extract was added; 2 ml of concentrated H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} to obtaining a red colour indicated the presence of the quinones.

**Identification test for betacans**

In a test tube, put 2 ml of the 1% extract. Add 2 ml of 2N NaOH and Heat the tube in a boiling water bath for 5 minutes. The appearance of coloration A yellow color indicated the presence of beta-cyane (Mahmood et al., 2010).

**Identification test for coumarins**

In a test tube containing 1 ml of the extract, a few drops of thietanic acid and a few drops of 10% FeCl\textsubscript{3}. Obtaining a green or blue coloration that turned yellow by addition of nitric acid (HNO\textsubscript{3}) indicated the presence of coumarins (Rachel et al., 2013).

**Oxalate identification test**

In a test tube was added 2 ml of the 1% extract, a few drops of ethanoic acid to obtain a greenish-black color indicating the presence of oxalates (Rosette and Rice, 2004).

**In vitro antacid activity**

Evaluation of the total acid neutralization capacity (ANC) according to the United States Pharmacopoeia (USP) 29 (Satya and Paridhavi, 2012).

The samples of the hydro ethanolic extract of the plant of respective weights; 0.5g: 1 g as well as 0.25 g of antacids known as GESTID, RENNIE, MAALOX, sodium bicarbonate were analyzed for the evaluation of their ANC. GESTID, RENNIE, MAALOX tablets were first titrated in the mortar to obtain a powder before the start of the test. Each weighed sample was transferred to a 250 ml beaker and 30 ml of distilled water was added. The whole was then homogenized with magnetic stirring for 1 minute. The pH of the solution was measured and recorded. 15 ml of a 1.0 N HCl solution was pipetted and poured into the previous solution which was stirred constantly for 15 minutes. The test solution
was then titrated with an excess of 0.5 N NaOH to attain a stable pH of 3.5. The number of milli equivalents consumed was calculated using this formula: MEq total = (15 × NHCl) - (VNaOH × NNaOH) Where NHCl and NNaOH are the normalities of NaOH and HCl and VNaOH the volume of NaOH required for titration.

Determination of buffer capacity
The buffer capacity was determined according to the recommended method of (Holbert et al., 2007). An amount of 0.5 g of powder from each sample was placed in 25 ml of 0.1 N HCl contained in a 50 ml beaker and subjected to constant stirring on a magnetic stirrer. The pH of the mixture was determined at intervals of 0.5, 2, 4, 6.8 and 10 minutes. Subsequently, 5 ml of the mixture was removed with a pipette and replaced with 5 ml of 0.1 N HCl. This process was repeated 10 minutes apart until reaching a pH below 2.75 which showed that the buffering capacity of the antacid had been exhausted.

Evaluation of the rate of neutralization of the acid
The acid neutralization rate was evaluated according to the method of (Rosset and Rice (2004), Usman et al. (2009), on samples of the aqueous extract of the plant and certain antacids such as MAALOX (aluminum hydroxide and magnesium hydroxide) and sodium bicarbonate. 0.5 g of each standardized drug sample 0.5 g and 1 g of the F. thomningii aqueous extract were weighed separately and each put into a 250 ml beaker containing 15 ml of 0.1N HCl and 35 ml of distilled water with constant stirring. The pH electrode was immersed in each beaker just after the addition of the sample to be tested. The 0.1N hydrochloric acid contained in the burette was continuously added into the beaker at a rate of 2 ml / min. The pH values were recorded every minute for 60 minutes.

Preparation and administration of the extract
200 mg / ml concentration solution was prepared. 20 mL of solution was obtained from 4000 mg of extract and distilled water (sufficient for 20 mL). Then, the mixture was homogenized using a magnetic stirrer. From this solution, a dose of 2000 mg / kg of aqueous extract was administered to the male and female test groups according to their weight, while the control groups received distilled water. The animals were again deprived of food for four hours.

Induction of ulcers
The experimental model used to induce gastric ulcers was the gavage of ethanol described by Mahmood et al. (2010). The animals were separated into six groups of five each and were kept in the net cages to avoid coprophagy and were subjected to a 48-hour fast with free access to drinking water (ad libitum). One hour prior to administration of the various solutions, this water was removed. Subsequently, they were divided into six groups of five animals each:

A- The first group of animals received the ulcerogenic substance without the treatment plant;
B- The second group were administered the ulcerogenic substance after treatment with hydro-ethanolic extract of the plant at a dose of 125 mg / kg;
C- The third group were administered the ulcerogenic substance after treatment with hydro-ethanolic extract of the plant at a dose of 250 mg / kg;
D- The fourth group were administered the ulcerogenic substance after treatment with hydro-ethanolic extract of the plant at a dose of 500 mg / kg;
E- The fifth group were administered the ulcerogenic substance after pre-treatment with Omeprazole 20 mg / kg.
F- The sixth group (control) consisted of rats that did not receive the ulcerogenic substance nor the treatment.

Preventive activity
One hour after administration of these different oral substances, all animals with the exception of the last group of animals were administered 5 mL / kg of ethanol at 100%. Knowing the weight of the animals, the volume of solution administered (Va) was determined from the following formula adopted from (Tan and Nyasse, 2000).
Two hours after alcohol treatment, all animals were anesthetized with excess ether and then sacrificed and dissected.

**Curative activity**

The groups A, B, C, D, E received an oral dose of ulcerogenic agent (1ml of ethanol solution). After one hour the vehicle, the respective substances were given to each group, 1 ml of distilled water to the negative control group, omeprazole (20 mg/kg) to positive control group and the extract to the test groups were administered. The dosing was given for three days, daily each morning. After six hours the animals were sacrificed, their stomachs were removed and opened along the greater curvature and delicately washed with saline solution so as not to remove the mucous layer from the mucosa surface (Glavin and Szabo, 2003).

Each stomach was ligated to the esophagus and pylorus using sutures and then removed. The contents of the stomach were collected in the Falcon tubes and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min. The weight of the mucus corresponding to the centrifugation pellet was weighed using a microbalance (Sartorius: Basic), the volume of the gastric juice of the supernatant was measured by means of a graduated test tube. The pH of each collected gastric juice was measured using a pH meter. Total acidity was determined by titrating the gastric juice with 0.01 N NaOH in the presence of phenolphthalein according to the method of Kalaivani et al. (2013). The gastric juice is then retained for the determination of pepsin, mucus and total proteins. The stomachs were opened along the large curvature and rinsed with 0.9% sodium chloride (NaCl) solution. Lesions were measured using a graduated scale and the ulcerated surface (SU) of each rat was determined. The percentage protection (% P) of the ulcers was determined according to the formula of Njar et al (2005).

\[
V_a \ (mL) = \frac{Dose \ mg \ x \ weight \ (Kg)}{Kg \ x \ concentration \ (mg/mL)}
\]

The stomach was divided in two; the glandular part was weighed and then deposited in a mortar and titrated with a solution of potassium chloride (KCl) at a rate of 20 g of stomach for a total volume of 10 ml with 10% KCl. The solution obtained was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min and the supernatant (homogenate) was collected for the determination of the oxidative stress markers.

**Quantification of biochemical parameters in gastric juice and homogenates pepsin**

Pepsin was used as a bio marker for the integrity of the gastric mucosa in an acid medium, it hydrolyzed the peptide bonds of the proteins which contained the aromatic amino acids to give the polypeptides which, in the presence of the Folin reagent, gave a violet blue complex which exhibited a maximum absorption at 660 nm. The intensity of the staining was proportional to the amount of polypeptide present in the solution (Anson, 2008; Vemo et al., 2017).

**Free mucous**

The quantification of free mucous was done following the procedure described by Hernandisez et al. (2013).

**Proteins**

The quantification of proteins was done following the procedure described by Nishi et al, 2005. This is demonstrated in the description of protein quantification in Table 1.

**Statistical analysis**

The results were expressed in terms of mean ± standard deviation. The comparisons between the groups were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance, the ANOVA test followed by Turkey's Kramer post hoc test using the Graph Pad Instat version 5.0 software. A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
Table 1: Description of the protein quantification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Put in the test tubes</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sodium hydroxide 0.1N</td>
<td>190 µL</td>
<td>200 µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric juice</td>
<td>10 µL</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution C</td>
<td>1000 µL</td>
<td>1000 µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubate for 10 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution D</td>
<td>100 µL</td>
<td>100 µL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The tubes were then vortexed

The tubes were incubated for a period of 30 minutes at room temperature under shade, then read the optical density at 600 nm against the blank.

RESULTS

Extraction Yield

The extraction yield of the hydro-ethanolic extract (50:50) of the bark of *F. thonningii* Blume was 17%.

Phytochemical screening

The hydro-ethanolic extract had the greatest number of secondary metabolites at the end of the phytochemical screening. The phytochemical screening of the extract of the *F. thonningii* stem bark showed the presence of the saponins, quinones, coumarins, catechic tannins, phlobotanins, anthocyanins, flavonoids and betacyanes as shown in Table 2.

Preliminary Testing of Maximum antiulcer effect.

A maximum anti-ulcer effect was observed with the hydro-ethanolic extract of the bark of *F. thonningii* Blume, as compared with the other methods of extractions. This is represented in Figure 1 (A-E).

In vitro antacid activity

At the end of the titration process we did not obtain a pH of 3.5 hence showing that our plant extract did not have a neutralisation capacity. The buffer capacity and the rate of neutralisation of the acid was not carried out because most of the important parameters used to determine the antacid activity of a substance called the minimal buffering capacity gave us negative results.

Quantification of biochemical parameters

FDA Test of antacids

This test showed that for a period of 10 min the various samples tested with the exception of our plant were capable of maintaining the pH values above 3 in order to completely neutralize the acid solution. The highest pH was attained by sodium bicarbonate, followed by that of RENNIE, MAALOX and then GESTID. The hydro-ethanolic extract of *F. thonningii* Blume did not have an antacid activity. The plant extract of pH 1.8±0.11 showed no acidic activity when compared with GESTID, RENNIE and MAALOX with pH above 3 after 10 mins. This test showed that for a period of 10 min the various samples tested exception of the plant were capable of maintaining the pH values above 3 in order to completely neutralize the acid solution. The highest pH was reached by sodium bicarbonate, followed by that of RENNIE, MAALOX and then GESTID. The hydro-ethanolic extract of *F. thonningii* Blume did not have an antacid activity (Table 3).

Protein analysis: There was no significant difference in protein content of the plant extract in the male and female treatments and test control groups as indicated in Figure 2.
**In vivo anti-ulcer activity**

**Preventive anti-ulcer activity**

The variation of the pH and the total acidity study showed that pH and total acidity of the gastric juice varied in animals receiving 500 mg / kg of extract compared with the negative control. In animals receiving omeprazole, the pH and total acidity of the gastric juice was almost similar to that of the negative control. There was a significant increase in the pH of omeprazole (a) P>0.05; (b) P >0.01) as compared with the negative control (Table 4).

**Curative activity**

**Effect of the hydro-ethanolic extract of F. thonningii Blume on the TSA and the % I**

The 500 mg/Kg had the highest percentage inhibition of ulcers as compared with the negative group and the other test groups. The cyto-protective effect of the hydro-ethanolic extract of *F. thonningii* Blume showed that there was a significant decrease in the ulcer surface area in the 500mg/Kg group as compared with the negative control group (Table 5). A dose-dependent inhibition coupled with a dose-dependent increase in the percentage of protection was observed. The percentage of ulcer protection was higher in the 500 mg / kg group than in the omeprazole group at 20 mg / kg (Table 5).

The stomach cross section to show that the percentage of ulcer protection was higher in the 500 mg / kg group than in the omeprazole group at 20 mg / kg has been demonstrated in Figure 3, with the pictures of the dissected stomachs of the different groups of rats after ulcer induction with absolute alcohol during the curative effect evaluation (Figure 3).

**Variation of the pepsin concentration, mucus concentration and gastric juice**

There was a significant decrease in the mucus and gastric juice in the group of animals that received omeprazole and the healthy group (Table 6).

---

**Table 2:** Presentation of the secondary metabolites in the aqueous extract of the bark of *F. thonningii* Blume.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Specific test</th>
<th>Decoction</th>
<th>Infusion</th>
<th>Ethanolic maceration</th>
<th>Hydroethanolic maceration</th>
<th>Aqueous maceration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>FeCl₃</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lead acetate</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponin</td>
<td>distilled water</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucilage</td>
<td>absolute EtOH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td>Wagner</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mayer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hager</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H₂SO₄</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins</td>
<td>Cu₂SO₄/NH₃</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Catechic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>Acetic anhydride</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coumarines</td>
<td>FeCl₃</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HNO₃</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxalate</td>
<td>Ethanoic acid</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinones</td>
<td>H₂SO₄</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Betacyane NaOH - - - - - -
Phlobotannins HCl + + + + - -
Anthocyane H₂SO₄, NH₄OH + + + + - -
C Glycosides glacial acetic acid - - - - - -
Resins anhydrous acetic acid + + - - - -

– represents the absence of metabolites, + represents the presence of metabolites, ++ abundant and +++ very abundant, ++++ extremely abundant

Figure 1: photos of dissected stomach after inducing ulcers by absolute alcohol in pretreated rats with the different methods of extraction of the bark of *F. thonningii*: decoction A, infusion B, ethanolic maceration C, hydro-ethanolic maceration D, aqueous maceration E.

Table 3: The FDA minimal neutralisation capacity of the different test substances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FDA Test</th>
<th>pH after 10 minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plant</td>
<td>1.81 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GESTID</td>
<td>3.90 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RENNIE</td>
<td>7.21 ± 0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAALOX</td>
<td>5.17 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium bicarbonate</td>
<td>8.50 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCL + distilled water (0.5N)</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Effect of the hydro-ethanolic extract of *F. thonningii* Blume on the concentration of total proteins (P<0.05).
Table 4: Effect of the hydro-ethanolic extract of *F. thonningii* Blume on the pH and the total acidity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Negative control</th>
<th>125mg/Kg</th>
<th>250mg/Kg</th>
<th>500mg/Kg</th>
<th>Omeprazole 125mg/Kg</th>
<th>SHAM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.23±0.25</td>
<td>6.67±0.16</td>
<td>6.28±0.61</td>
<td>5.61±0.64</td>
<td>7.61±0.22&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total acidity</td>
<td>1.12±0.32</td>
<td>0.9±0.2</td>
<td>1.32±0.46</td>
<td>1.45±0.56</td>
<td>1.36±0.82</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Effect of the hydro-ethanolic extract of on the TSA and %I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Negative control</th>
<th>125mg/Kg</th>
<th>250mg/Kg</th>
<th>500mg/Kg</th>
<th>Omeprazole 125mg/Kg</th>
<th>SHAM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSA (mm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>257.8±108.44</td>
<td>193.6±110.35</td>
<td>153.7±54.97</td>
<td>51.9±43.63**</td>
<td>140.15±82.03</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29.80</td>
<td>44.27</td>
<td>81.18</td>
<td>49.18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where TSA: total surface area, %I: percentage inhibition, **P< 0.01
Table 6: Effect of the hydro-ethanolic extract of *Ficus thonningii* Blume on the concentrations of pepsin and mucus, as well as the gastric juice variation (P<0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>125 Mg/Kg</th>
<th>250 Mg/Kg</th>
<th>500 Mg/Kg</th>
<th>Omp.</th>
<th>HA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pepsine (µmol/mg pr)</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucus (mg/mg pr)</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.02***</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.05***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric juice (ml)</td>
<td>5.55 ± 1.40</td>
<td>4.33 ± 1.59</td>
<td>4.50 ± 2.47</td>
<td>3.00 ± 1.66</td>
<td>5.15 ± 1.44</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.00***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pr: proteins, NC: negative control, HA: healthy animals, Omp: omeprazole.

**DISCUSSION**

Belonging to the family of Moraceae, the genus *Ficus* is among the largest genera of angiosperms, from about 60 are present in Cameroun. (Ngameni et al., 2016; Tembe et al., 2018). In our search of bioactive compounds from Cameroonian medicinal plants of the *Ficus*, we examined the hydro-ethanolic extract of the bark of *F. thonningii* Blume on peptic ulcers induced by absolute ethanol. Ethanol exposes the mucosa to the proteolytic and hydrolytic actions of hydrochloric acid and pepsin (Rosette and Rice, 2004), causing damage to the membrane (Sener et al., 2004), stimulates acid secretion, increases activity of xanthine oxidase, triggers imbalances in cellular antioxidant processes, reduces mucosa microcirculation and increases apoptosis (Hernandez-Munoz et al., 2000).

In this study, the results of the phytochemical screening showed that the hydro-ethanolic stem bark of *F. thonningii* Blume contained various biologically active compounds called phytochemicals, which are naturally produced by the plant as protection
against biotic and abiotic stresses. The main groups of phytochemicals isolated from the prepared extract solution included; polyphenols, saponins, alkaloids, flavonoids, catechic tannins, coumarins, quinones, phlobotanins, anthocyanins which corroborates with the work done by Dangarembizi et al. in 2013 on the leaves of *F. thonningii* (Rachael et al., 2013) and Usman et al.(2009). These metabolites are similar to those found in *F. sycomorus* (Rousso, 2007; Kalaivani and Jegadeesan, 2013). Phytochemicals such as alkaloids have anti-depressive, antibacterial, and anti-inflammatory effects in which some have preventive and curative anti-ulcer activities (Mahmood et al., 2010; Kechia et al., 2016; Nahla et al., 2017). Flavonoids favours blood circulation, are antioxidants as well increase the production of prostaglandins in the gastric mucosa (Tan and Nyasse, 2000; Hagazi et al., 2002; Miller and Henagan, 2014). Most of these phytochemicals have an effect on the gastric mucosa which could be responsible of the plant antiulcer activity.

The control of acidity of the stomach in peptic ulcer diseases can be accomplished by several mechanisms such as: neutralization of the existing acid, inhibition of acid secretion, stimulation of natural defense processes, Infection against *H. pylori*. Antacids are highly used as adjuvants in the therapy of gastric ulcers (Mahmood et al., 2010; Awousson et al., 2015). The study of the antacid activity of the stem bark of *F. thonningii* Blume showed that it does not have an antacid activity observed through the FDA minimal buffer capacity since the pH obtained was 1.81 ± 0.11 which is less than 3.5.

The preventive evaluation of the stem bark extract of *F. thonningii* Blume was carried out at the end of which gastric juice was collected in the pylorus and cardiac ligation model and its acid volume, pH, total acidity were estimated. Oral administration of absolute ethanol resulted in the production of gastric lesions on the glandular segment of the stomach. *F. thonningii* hydro-ethanolic stem bark extract showed significant gastro protective effect against ethanol-induced ulcers at all dose levels (125, 250 and 500mg/Kg) compared with the control groups (P<0.05%). This was shown by a non-significant decrease in the pH, total acidity, pepsin, gastric mucous and gastric juice in the test groups as compared to the negative control group. The gastro-protective effect of *F. thonningii* hydro-ethanolic stem bark extract against mucosal damage induced by alcohol could be due to its antioxidant and/or free radical scavenging effects. It has been reported that some bioactive molecules from plants sources can induce gastric ulcer and therefore the need for mass screening of herbal plants for ethnomedicine application in the community (Nahla et al., 2017). This result corroborate with those obtained by Mainen et al. (2012) who worked on the gastroproteective effect of the crude ethanol extract of Ethiopian propolis against chemical induced gastric mucosal lesions in mice. At a dose of 500mg/Kg, the anti-ulcer effect was maximum with a significant reduction of the total surface area and a high percentage of mucosal protection (81.18%). In addition, the weight of the free mucus at 500mg/kg was lower than that of the negative control. Similar results were reported by Hagazi et al., (2002) which showed that the roots of *Zapoteca portoricensis* inhibited ulcers induced by absolute alcohol in the ulcer was 93%. Miller and Henagan, (2014) showed that the mixture *Tetrapleura tetraptera* and *Guibourtia ache* inhibited to 91.5% the ulcers protective effect against ethanol of *F. thonningii* Blume stem bark hydro-ethanolic extract at all doses (125, 250 and 500 mg/Kg) have reduced the ulcer total surface area when compared with the negative control group (P<0.05) where the greatest percentage of inhibition was observed by the 500 mg/Kg group of rats (92.94%) but not significantly. This results did not corroborate with the Curative treatment of detoxified pericarp extract of *Anamirta cocculus* fruit given orally at a dose of (200 mg/kg) and roxatidine (positive control) administered at a dose of (100 mg/kg) which induced a
significant curative effect. The anti-ulcer drug, roxatidine and detoxified pericarp extract of *Anamirta cocculus* fruit significantly inhibited ulcer formation by 81.06% and 54.49% respectively when compared with control (p<0.001) (Mahmood et al., 2010; Satya and Paridhavi, 2012). The present finding suggests that *F. thonningii* Blume stem bark hydro-ethanolic extract promote ulcer protection as ascertained by the comparative decrease in ulcer surface area and percentage inhibition of ulcers. In the present alcohol-induced gastric ulcer model, the levels of glutathione, catalase and MDA increased in the 500mg/Kg group as compared with the negative control. These compounds are important for maintaining the integrity of the gastric mucosa and mediating the protective effects of prostaglandins against gastric mucosal injury (Miller and Henagan, 2014). In studies of indomethacin induced toxicity rat studies, indomethacin can potentially decrease resistance of gastric barrier to disruption by alcohol.

**Conclusion**

*Ficus thonningii* belonging to the order Hamamelidae and the family Moraceae occupies an important place in African traditional medicine but also in food. In traditional medicine, different parts of *F.thonningii* are used alone or in combination with other plants in the treatment of several diseases. Thus, the fresh barks of the plant are consumed in the western region of Cameroon to treat gastric ulcers, frequent and recurrent diseases, whose treatment with pharmaceutical specialties is long and costly. We can conclude from this work that the *F. thonningii* stem bark hydro-ethanolic extract contains flavonoids, saponins, quinones, alkaloids, coumarins, catechic tannins, polyphenols, flavonoids, phlebotanins and anthocyanides. The extract fractions inhibited gastric ulcers both preventively and curatively, induced in male rats by absolute ethanol in a dose-dependent manner. At 500 mg / kg, this extract inhibited ulcers, decreases the weight of free mucus, volume of gastric juice, pepsin concentration. These effects at 500 mg / kg were superior to those produced by omeprazole at 20 mg / kg. This extract would therefore inhibit ulcers by a gastro-protective, anti-ulcer and antioxidant action and not by an antacid action when administered at 2000 mg / kg, would have a vascular protective effect.
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