Abstract

The electrical power systems function as a huge interconnected network dispersed over a large area. A balance exists between generated and consumed power, any disturbance to this balance in the system caused due to change in load as well as faults and their clearance often results in electromechanical oscillations. As a result there is variation in power flow between two areas. This phenomenon is referred as Power Swing. This paper presents a transmission line protection scheme that uses measured values of the currents and voltages of the three phases of two buses (equivalent to PMU data). The measured data is transformed from time domain into phasor domain using Discrete Fourier Transform to predict whether the swing is a stable or an unstable one. The performance of the method has been tested on a simulated system using PSCAD and MATLAB software. The proposed scheme can be used for the detection of out of step condition using equal-area criterion with different types of faults at different locations and different models of transmission lines.
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1. Introduction

Transmission line protection is the most elaborate and challenging function in power system protection. About two-thirds of faults in power systems occur on the transmission line network. Consequently, it has received extensive attention from researchers and designers in the area of power system protection (Sachdev, 1997; Electricity, 1995). Today’s power systems are interconnected networks of transmission lines linking generators and loads into large integrated systems, some of which span entire countries and even continents (Grigsby, 2007). The main requirements for the reliable operation of such systems are to keep the synchronous generators running in parallel and with adequate capacity to meet the load demand. In power system stability studies the term transient stability usually refers to the ability of the synchronous machines to remain in synchronism during the brief period following a large disturbance, such as a short circuit on a bus (Definition., August 2004). In large disturbance system nonlinearities play a dominant role. In order to determine transient stability or instability following a large disturbance, or a series of disturbances, time-domain simulation (TDS) method is usually employed to solve the set of nonlinear equations describing the system dynamic. Conclusion about stability or instability can then be drawn from an inspection of the solution (Bettiol, 2003).

In the actual operation of an electric power system, the parameters and loading conditions are quite different from those assumed at the planning stage. As a result, to ensure power system transient stability against possible abnormal conditions due to contingences (disturbances), the system operator needs to simulate contingences in advance, assess the results, and take preventive control action if required. The TDS technique is the most accurate method for assessing the power system transient stability (Kundur, 1994) and (Pavella, 1994). The TDS approach can be applied to any level of detail of power system models and gives visual information about state variables. One of the main disadvantages of the TDS approach, except for being time-consuming, is
that it does not provide information about the stability margin of the system (Karimi, May 2011). The transient energy function method (Pai, 1989; Fouad, 1992) and extended equal-area criterion (Xue, 1989; Xue, 1988) have also been applied in power system transient stability assessment. However, these methods have some modeling limitations and they still need a lot of computations to determine an index for transient stability analysis (Colvara, 2009).

Distance relaying technique has attracted considerable attention for the protection of transmission lines. The principle of this technique is to measure the impedance at a fundamental frequency between the relay location and the fault point, and to determine whether a fault is internal or external to a protection zone based on the measured impedance. Voltage and current data at the relay location are used for this purpose. The measured impedance is affected by power swing, load current, and many other factors. For a double-circuit transmission line, the measured impedance is also affected by the mutual coupling effect caused by the zero-sequence current of the adjacent parallel circuit. The erroneous trip decision may have a serious impact on the dynamic stability of power system (IET, 1995).

A major problem in the application of distance relay is associated with double-circuit transmission line distance protection. A general behavior of distance relays applied to double-circuit lines is that they under-reach when the parallel circuit is in service and that they over-reach when the parallel circuit is out of service and earthed at both ends. The inaccuracy caused by the influence of parallel circuit is normally dealt with by applying a safety margin in the protection zone boundary. General guidelines have been developed in order to set the appropriate margin. Typically, 15% or even more of the line length is covered by the backup zones. These guidelines assume a general positioning of the line-to-be-protected in a static power system and power system variations are not taken into account. The actual influence of parallel circuits, however, depends on the actual infeed during a fault. Studies show that the characteristics of the entire power system can affect the accuracy of the fault distance calculation. As a result, severe over-reach can occur unexpectedly and affect the selectivity of the protection system (Waiker, 1996; Bhalja, 2005).

The technology of synchronized phasor measurements is well established. It provides an ideal measurement system for monitoring and controlling a power system, especially during stressed conditions. The essential feature of the technique is that it measures positive sequence (and negative and zero sequence quantities, if needed) voltages and currents of a power system in real time with precise time synchronization. This allows accurate comparison of measurements over widely separated locations as well as potential real-time measurement based control actions (Horowitz, 1995) and (Phadke, 1993). A new approach, considering synchronized measurement data from both ends of a transmission line, to protect transmission line is presented in (Zadeha, 2011). Modification of EAC in δ domain to time domain, developing an out-of-step detection algorithm based on EAC in time domain which is also referred to as energy equilibrium criterion in time domain for three phase short circuit faults at different locations is described in (Paudyal, 2009).

This paper presents a modification on an existing algorithm in (Berkestedt, 2007), which presents a study for power oscillations with a laboratory model comprising a strong network, a transmission line and a generator, an algorithm tested for only a three phase short circuit fault. A transmission line protection scheme that uses measured values of the currents and voltages of the three phases of two buses (equivalent to PMU data) is presented. The measured data is transformed from time domain into phasor domain using Discrete Fourier Transform to predict whether the swing is a stable or an unstable one. This scheme can be used for the detection of out of step condition using equal-area criterion with different types of faults at different locations and different models of transmission lines.

2. Phasor Measurement Unit

The modern PMUs use one pulse per second signals provided by the Global positioning system (GPS) satellite receivers. GPS system consists of 24 satellites in six orbits at an approximate altitude of 10,000 miles above the surface of the earth. The accuracy of the GPS timing pulse is better than 1μs, which for a 50 Hz system corresponds to about 0.018 degrees, this accuracy is more than enough to ensure that the measurements obtained by such clocks will be simultaneous for the purpose of estimation and analysis of the power system state (Phadke, 2006). The main advantage of PMU is that measured values have same time reference. PMU uses GPS signals to time synchronizing and after calculating voltage and current phasors, using Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT), PMU adds a time tag to sampled data and synchronize them with GPS signals (Bozchalui, 2006).

2.1 Measurements Techniques

The basic definition of the phasor representation of a sinusoid is illustrated in Figure 1. Assume a single frequency constant sinusoid of frequency ω is observed starting at time t = 0. The sinusoid can be represented by a complex number called ‘Phasor’ which has a magnitude equal to the root-mean-square (rms) value of the sinusoid, and whose angle is equal to the angle between the peak of the sinusoid and the t = 0 axis.
Figure 1: Definition of a Phasor, a complex number representation of a constant pure sinusoid.

If the sinusoid is not a pure sine wave, the phasor is assumed to represent its fundamental frequency component calculated over the data window.

The most common technique for determining the phasor representation of an input signal is to use data samples taken from the waveform, and apply the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) (Ree, 2010) as shown in Figure 2. The sampling clocks are usually kept at a constant frequency even though the input signal frequency may vary by a small amount around its nominal value as described in (Phadke, 1991).

Figure 2: Estimation of phasors from sampled data using Discrete Fourier Transform.

A more computationally efficient method is to compute the estimated phasor recursively by adding the contribution made by the new sample, and subtracting the contribution made by the oldest sample. This is illustrated in Figure 3. A useful artifact of the recursive DFT algorithm is that the constant sinusoid of nominal frequency produces a constant phasor (Phadke, 2006).

Figure 3: Recursive estimation of phasors from sampled data with moving window DFT.

A phase may be used as an indicator of the dynamic performance of a power system (Benmouyal, 2002).

3. Applications of PMUs in Power System

The synchronized phasor measurement technology is relatively new, and consequently several research groups around the world are actively developing applications of this technology. It seems clear that many of these applications can be conveniently grouped as follows:
3.1 Advanced network protection

This category of applications of synchronized phasor measurements is that of enhancing the effectiveness of power system protection. This involves equipment and system protection, as well as remedial action schemes. An example of phasor measurements used for protection is given in the adaptive out-of-step relaying study, using concepts from transient stability analysis; it is possible to design improved out-of-step relays (Hart, 2001).

3.2 Detection of Instability

Detection of instability in power systems is not a relaying function. However, many relaying functions (load shedding, for example) depend upon the premise that given the current state of a power system, it will remain stable following a transient oscillation. Conversely, the out-of-step tripping function is based upon the premise that the post-disturbance system will be unstable. In a power system consisting of two synchronous machines and a connecting network over which synchronizing power can flow, the problem of instability detection can be solved in real-time. The equal-area criterion is applicable in this case, and if the machine rotor angles and speeds can be measured in real-time a prediction algorithm can be developed for the detection of instability (Thorp, 1988).

3.2.1 Phase as Indicator of the Dynamic Performance of a Power System

Synchronous machines must adapt to different operating conditions when exchanging real power across a power system (Stevenson, 1986). The machines accelerate or decelerate to adapt to changing power transfer requirements that occur during system disturbances. Power system dynamics involve the electrical properties, as well as the mechanical properties, of all the electrical machines in the system. For the purpose of this discussion, assume a two-pole synchronous machine where the mechanical and electrical degrees are equal.

The difference between shaft torque $T_m$ and electromechanical torque $T_e$, in a machine determines the accelerating torque $T_a$, as shown by Equation (1). In generators $T_a > 0$ accelerates the machine.

$$T_a = T_m - T_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

We can represent power $P$ as a function of torque $T$ according to Equation (2).

$$P = T \ast \omega$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Where,
- $T$ is the torque, Nm
- $\omega$ is the angular velocity, rad/s

It can be seen from Equation (3) that the change of the angular rotor position $\theta$ with respect to time determines the angular velocity $\omega$.

$$\omega = \frac{d\theta}{dt}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The torque $T$ is a function of the moment of inertia $J$ and the angular acceleration $\alpha$ according to Equation (4).

$$T = J \ast \alpha$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Where,
- $J$ is the moment of inertia, kg.m$^2$
- $\alpha$ is the change of the angular velocity with respect to time, rad/s$^2$

As in Equation (5), the angular momentum $M$ is a function of the moment of inertia $J$ and the angular velocity $\omega$.

$$M = J \ast \omega$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

With equation (6), we can represent the accelerating power $P_a$ as a function of the angular acceleration $\alpha$ and as a function of the angular rotor position $\theta$.

$$P_a = T_a \ast \omega = M \ast \alpha = M \ast \frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The rotor angle position can be expressed with respect to a synchronous reference frame that rotates at synchronous speed $\omega_{syn}$. The angular rotor position $\theta$, is equal to the phase angle due to the synchronous rotating reference $\omega_{syn} \ast t$ plus the angular displacement from the synchronous rotating reference $\delta$ as shown in Equation (7).
\[ \delta (t) = \omega_{\text{syn}} \cdot t + \delta \]  
(7)

The angular velocity \( \omega \) is:

\[ \omega = \frac{d}{dt} \theta = \omega_{\text{syn}} + \frac{d\delta}{dt} \]  
(8)

Taking the derivative of the angular velocity, we can rewrite Equation (6) as a function of \( \delta \):

\[ P_a = M \frac{d^2 \delta}{dt^2} \]  
(9)

We can express Equation (9) as a function of the constant inertia \( H \) with power expressed in per unit as follows:

\[ P_a = P_m - P_e = \frac{2H}{\omega_{\text{syn}}} \cdot \frac{d\omega}{dt} \]  
(10)

Where,

- \( P_m \) is the mechanical power supplied to the generator, pu
- \( P_e \) is the electrical power supplied to the system, pu
- \( H \) is the constant of inertia, sec

Equation (10) is known as the swing equation; one swing equation per machine is necessary to model the network dynamics. When the load-generation equation is balanced (total load equals total generation), machine speeds are practically equal to synchronous speed. The angular displacements, \( \delta \), of machines in a system provide information about the system dynamics. One cannot measure this angular displacement mechanically. By computing the voltage phasor behind the machine transient reactance, one can study the phase angle variations to obtain an image of the machine angular displacement. In practice, machine-oscillating modes can be determined by measuring the phase angle of the positive-sequence voltage phasor at the machine terminals (Benmouyal, 2002).

3.2.2 Adaptive Out-Of-Step Protection

It is recognized that a group of generators going out of step with the rest of the power system is often a precursor of a complete system collapse. Whether an electromechanical transient will lead to stable or unstable condition has to be determined reliably before appropriate control action could be taken to bring the power system to a viable steady state. Out-of-step relays are designed to perform this detection and also to take appropriate tripping and blocking decisions (Ree, 2010). For single machine system or two machines system, EAC is an effective method to assess power system stability. EAC has excellent characteristic, it inspires many scholars to apply EAC to multi-machines (Fang, 2009). To multi-machines system, all generator pairs must synchronous if whole system is stable; and a generator pair must asynchronous firstly if whole system is unstable, and vice versa. It means whole system stability assessment is equal to a special generator pair stability assessment. EAC may be applied to the generator pair through a new way (Fang, 2009).


4.1 Power Transfer Equation

For a simple lossless transmission line connecting a generator and infinite bus as shown in Figure 4.

**Figure 4:** One machine against infinite bus diagram.

\[ P = U_1 |\frac{U_2}{X}| \sin \delta \]  
(11)

Where,

- \( U_1 \) is the voltage of the infinite bus (reference voltage), volt
- \( U_2 \) is the voltage of the generator bus, volt
δ is the angle difference between the generator and infinite bus, rad
X is the total reactance of the transmission line and generator, ohm

The impedance is reduced to the reactance of the line because the resistance is often small and gives little contribution to the solution, the maximum amount of power that can be transferred over the line \( P_{\text{max}} \) when \( \delta = 90 \) degree (Berkestedt, 2007).

4.2 The Power Angle Curve

The generator in Figure 4 is in stable operation at a phase angle of \( \delta \) compared to the infinite bus, i.e. the voltage at the generator bus \( U_2 \) is leading the voltage at the infinite bus \( U_1 \) by an angle \( \delta \). The mechanical power input \( P_m \) and the electrical power output \( P_e \) drawn in Figure 5 describes the power balance of the generator. The curves intersect at two points, the stable equilibrium point and the unstable equilibrium point.

![Power versus angle](image1)

**Figure 5:** Input (dashed) and Output (solid) power of turbine generator.

4.3 Transiently Stable and Unstable Systems

In Figure 6 the changes of angle and power transfer between the generator and the infinite bus before, during and after a fault are plotted.

![Power transfer](image2)

**Figure 6:** Power transfer before, during and after a fault close to the turbine-generator.

1. Before the fault, at steady-state condition, the system operates at the stable equilibrium point at angle \( \delta_0 \).
2. When a fault occurs, the electrical power output gets an instantaneous decrease and becomes much smaller than the mechanical power.
3. During the fault the angle \( \delta \) will increase from \( \delta_0 \) to \( \delta_1 \). The rotor angle is gaining velocity and starts to accelerate in the right direction during the fault. When the fault is cleared, at point 3, the impedance between the machine and infinite bus will change again. The change leads to an increased level of the electrical power output.
4. If it is assumed that the system goes back to its pre-fault conditions the electrical output power will jump from point 3 to point 4. The angle \( \delta_1 \) will remain the same. During the time when the electrical power output was lower than the mechanical power input the generator gained energy.
5. The energy gained has to be transferred into the system, before a stable equilibrium point can be reached again. Therefore the rotor angle is still increasing, but it has started to decelerate. Point 5 is reached when all the power gained during the fault is transferred into the system and find a new stable equilibrium point (Berkestedt, 2007).
4.4 The Equal-Area Criterion

The equal-area criterion can be used to calculate the maximum fault clearing time before the generator loses synchronism. The equal-area criterion integrates the energy gained when the turbine-generator is accelerating, during the fault (area A, in Figure 7) and compares that area with the decelerating area (area B, in Figure 7) when the generator exports the energy stored during the fault.

Figure 7: Equal-area criterion with an acceleration area A and a decelerating area B (Berkestedt, 2007).

Area A represents the total kinetic energy gained during the acceleration period. As soon as the fault is cleared at angle $\delta_1$ the angle will continue to increase and the kinetic energy gained during the fault period will expand into the power system, when area B is equal to area A angle $\delta$ has reached its maximum value (Berkestedt, 2007).

\[
A = \int_{\delta_1}^{\delta_m} (P_m - P_{e,\text{fault}}) \sin \delta d\delta
\]

\[
B = \int_{\delta_1}^{\delta_{\text{max}}} (P_{e,\text{max}} - P_m) \sin \delta d\delta
\]

When area $A < B$ the system will be stable and if $A > B$ the system will be unstable.

5. Evaluation of the proposed protection scheme

5.1 Power system model

The model describes a hydro power plant at Harsprånget with a transformer and a high voltage transmission line. One end of the transmission line can be connected to the rest of the Swedish network. The model in the lab can be described as one machine against an infinite bus (Berkestedt, 2007). Using the Electromagnetic Transient program PSCAD/EMTDC, a single machine three-phase 400 kV power system against infinite bus with a line of 900 km length divided into six identical $\pi$ sections each of length 150 km has been simulated for the analysis of the proposed protection scheme. The one-line diagram of the studied system is shown in Figure 8 and its parameters are shown in Table 1.

Figure 8: Simulated power system model (Berkestedt, 2007).
The transmission line is a double-circuit line and simulated using both the Bergeron model and the frequency-dependent model options (two available models in PSCAD/EMTDC, the first is a very simple model and the second is the most advanced time domain model and it is useful wherever the transient behavior of the line or cable is important).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Simulated power system parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive sequence resistance R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive sequence inductive reactance X_L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive sequence capacitive reactance X_C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero sequence resistance R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero sequence inductive reactance X_L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero sequence capacitive reactance X_C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The performance of the proposed MATLAB program has been tested for different faults in a validation set with different fault types and fault locations.

5.2 Out of Step Detection Algorithm

Figure 9 shows a flow chart for the proposed out-of-step detection algorithm. First the algorithm takes data from the EMTDC/PSCAD program at different time steps which is the same as the sample interval for the PMU, 0.02 seconds. This data will be converted by a DFT to complex phasors of voltages and currents. The algorithm takes complex current and voltage. From these vectors it calculates new vectors with impedance, phase angle and power for all time steps. After that the program determines the areas A and B and finally checks if there is out-of-step condition or not.

Explanation of the steps of the algorithm is as follows:

1- The data taken from PSCAD/EMTDC (equivalent to PMU data) will be saved in a MATLAB program. These data will be transformed from time domain to phasor domain by DFT.
2- At t=0, first line of data, it is assumed to have a stable values (stable angle and mechanical input power are calculated).
3- Angle and power are calculated at each time step ∆t=0.02 sec.
4- IF statement for change in angle. A difference larger than the threshold value will operate the power swing algorithm, the threshold value is taken from studying the graphs of the change of phase angle.
5- IF statement for change in angle and value of electric power output. If the angle has changed too much and the electric power output has decreased to a level below the mechanical power input, the system will experience a power swing, and the algorithm will calculate area A and area B.
If these changes in angle and electric power output don’t occur, the algorithm tells that there will be a disruption but the system will stay synchronized.
6- Warning message that the system has had a failure but will not lose synchronism.
7- Calculation of areas A and B.
8- IF statement to compare between areas A and B. As long as area A is smaller than area B, the algorithm will continue, and if area A is larger than area B, the algorithm will stop and sends out a warning Out of Step condition.
9- A warning message, the system will lose synchronism (Out of Step Condition).

5.3 Simulation Results

Different types of faults are applied to the system in the transmission line near to the generator bus, as a fault at a bus near the infinite bus will cause very high fault current and will not be possible to measure. Some test results are presented in the following subsections.

5.3.1 Case 1: 3-phase short circuit at 300 km from generator side using Bergeron Model

Time of fault: 0.4 sec.
Duration of fault: 0.05 sec.
Transmission line: Bergeron model options, manual entry of admittance Y, impedance Z.

Figures 10, 11, 12 and 13 show voltage and current waveforms at both sides (generator bus and infinite bus) obtained from the simulation using the PSCAD/EMTDC program.
Data from EMTDC program is read into MATLAB program

At $t = 0$, initial angle and mechanical input power are calculated

Angle and power are calculated at each time-step

If $|\text{angle}(n) - \text{angle}(n+1)| < \text{threshold value (0.1)}$

Yes

Print: system has a failure but will stay synchronized

No

If angle $(n) > \text{initial angle}$ & $P_m(n) \leq P_e$

Yes

Calculate area A and B

If area A > area B

Yes

Print: OUT-OF-STEP

No
Figure 10: voltage at generator bus.

Figure 11: voltage at infinite bus.

Figure 12: current at generator bus.
The proposed scheme is tested for calculating areas A and B for this fault and determines if the generator will be out of step (unstable condition), this fault tested by TDS and the rotor angle will increase directly with time and this is a detection for unstable condition as shown in Figure 14.

**Figure 13:** current at infinite bus.

**Figure 14:** rotor angle against time for an unstable case.

5.3.2 Case 2: 3-phase short circuit at 300 km from generator side using frequency-dependent model

**Time of fault:** 0.3 sec.

**Duration of fault:** 0.15 sec.

**Transmission line:** frequency-dependent model.

Figures 15, 16, 17 and 18 show voltage and current waveforms at both sides (generator bus and infinite bus) obtained from the simulation using the PSCAD/EMTDC program.
Figure 15: voltage at generator bus.

Figure 16: voltage at infinite bus.
Figure 17: current at generator bus.

Figure 18: current at infinite bus.

The proposed scheme is tested for calculating areas A and B for this fault and determines if the system has had a failure but will not lose a synchronism (stable condition), this fault tested by TDS and the rotor angle will increase directly with time and then decrease to gain a new stable equilibrium point and this is a detection for a stable condition as shown in Figure 19.
5.3.3 Scheme responses to different faults

The proposed scheme is tested for detecting the system stability; the program output for different faults at different locations with different models of transmission line is shown in Table 2. Also the effect of variation of the fault inception time and duration for a fault occurring at a certain distance is given in Table 3. It is concluded from Table 2 that the time of fault inception is directly related to the angle difference between the generator and infinite bus ($\delta$) which will affect the accelerating and decelerating area affecting the stability of the system. Also faults near the infinite bus will cause very high fault currents which will cause instability; for the same values of fault inception time and duration, faults at lengths 600 km and 750 km will cause instability while faults at 150, 300 and 450 km are stable. For the three phase short circuit faults, the results obtained by the proposed scheme are compared with the actual values found by the step-by-step method and other cases are compared by TDS and give identical results.

**Table 2:** Test results of the proposed scheme to different faults at different locations of the transmission line

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fault location</th>
<th>Fault type</th>
<th>$t_f$</th>
<th>$D_f$</th>
<th>Proposed Scheme Output</th>
<th>Time Domain Simulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150 km</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 km</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 km</td>
<td>BG</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 km</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 km</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 km</td>
<td>AB</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 km</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 km</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 km</td>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 km</td>
<td>ABG</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 km</td>
<td>BCG</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 km</td>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 km</td>
<td>ABCG</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>BG</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>ABG</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 19: rotor angle against time for a stable case.](image_url)
Table 2 (cont’d): Test results of the proposed scheme to different faults at different locations of the transmission line

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fault location</th>
<th>Fault type</th>
<th>$t_f$</th>
<th>$D_f$</th>
<th>Proposed Scheme Output</th>
<th>Time Domain Simulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>BCG</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>ABCG</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450 km</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450 km</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450 km</td>
<td>BG</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450 km</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450 km</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450 km</td>
<td>AB</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450 km</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450 km</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450 km</td>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450 km</td>
<td>BCG</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450 km</td>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450 km</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450 km</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 km</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 km</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750 km</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750 km</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750 km</td>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where,

$t_f$ is the time of fault inception.

$D_f$ is the duration of fault.

Table 3: Test results of the proposed scheme to faults at different fault inception times and different fault durations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fault location</th>
<th>Fault type</th>
<th>$t_f$</th>
<th>$D_f$</th>
<th>Proposed Scheme Output</th>
<th>Time Domain Simulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>ABCG</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>ABCG</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>ABCG</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 km</td>
<td>ABCG</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is concluded from Table 3 that duration of fault is directly related to the angle difference between the generator and infinite bus ($\delta$) which will affect the accelerating and decelerating area affecting the stability of the system, when $D_f$ increases for the same $t_f$ the system will tend to be unstable. It is also noted that when $t_f$ increases for the same $D_f$, the system will tend to be unstable, all cases are compared by TDS and give identical results.

6. Conclusions

This paper presents a transmission line protection scheme which uses measured values of the currents and voltages of the three phases of two buses (equivalent to PMU data) to detect the out-of-step condition. The proposed scheme is applicable to the protection of double-circuit transmission lines as well as regular single-circuit line. The Discrete Fourier Transform is used to transform the sampled data in phasor domain which is equivalent to PMU readings. The PMU has great potential to be a useful measurement unit to detect out of step conditions in the complex power system networks that are used today. The EAC is used and proved that it is an efficient method for determining the transient stability of a power system and detecting the out of step condition. Test results show that the proposed scheme is able to detect the out of step condition under different conditions with different fault types, different locations and different models of transmission line for a one machine against infinite bus or two machine system from the measured data equivalent to one PMU reading. The algorithm needs to be further developed to be able to detect out of step condition for multi-machine system, it would be interesting to make simulations on larger networks that include more generators and machines and to find possible nodes to implement PMUs.

Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMU</td>
<td>Phasor Measurement Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>Global positioning system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFT</td>
<td>Discrete Fourier Transform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>Time Domain Simulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_f$</td>
<td>Time of fault inception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_f$</td>
<td>Duration of fault</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAC</td>
<td>Equal-Area Criterion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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