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#### Abstract

Cycle multiplicity of a graph $G$ is the maximum number of edge disjoint cycles in $G$. In this paper, we find the cycle multiplicity of total graph of cycles $C_{n}$, paths $P_{n}$, and star graph $K_{1, n}$ respectively.
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## 1. Introduction

Line partition number (Chatrand et al., 1971) of a graph $G$ is the minimum number of subsets into which the edge-set of $G$ can be partitioned so that the subgraph induced by each subset has property $P$. Dual to this concept of line partition number of graph is the maximum number of subsets into which the edge -set of $G$ can be partitioned such that the subgraph induced by each subset does not have the property $P$. Define the property $P$ such that a graph $G$ has the property $P$ if $G$ contains no subgraph which is homeomorphic from the complete graph $K_{3}$. Now the line partition number and dual line partition number corresponding to the property $P$ is referred to as arboricity and cycle multiplicity of $G$ respectively. Equivalently the cycle multiplicity is the maximum number of line disjoint subgraphs contained in $G$ so that each subgraph is not acyclic. This number is called the cycle multiplicity of $G$ denoted by $C M(G)$. The formula for cycle multiplicity of a complete and complete bipartite graph is given in (Chatrand et al., 1971). In (Simões Pereira, 1972), the author found an upper bound for the line and middle graph of any graph. Also he proved that the bound becomes the formula for line and total graph of any forest.

We consider finite, simple, undirected graph $G(V(G), E(G))$ where $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ represent vertex set and edge set of $G$ respectively. For any real number $r,[r]$ and $\lceil r\rceil$ denote the largest integer not exceeding $r$ and the least integer not less than r , respectively. The other notations and terminology used in this paper can be found in (Harary, 1969).

Let $G$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. The total graph (Michalak, 1981) of $G$, denoted by $T(G)$ is defined as follows. The vertex set of $T(G)$ is $V(G) \cup E(G)$. Two vertices $x, y$ in the vertex set of $T(G)$ are adjacent in $T(G)$ in case one of the following holds: (i) $x, y$ are in $V(G)$ and $x$ is adjacent to $y$ in $G$. (ii) $x, y$ are in $E(G)$ and $x, y$ are adjacent in $G$ (iii) $x$ is in $V(G), y$ is in $E(G)$, and $x, y$ are incident in $G$

## 2. Cycle multiplicity of total graph of $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$

It is obvious that cycle multiplicity of any cycle is one. We obtain a formula to find the cycle multiplicity of the total graph of a cycle.

## Theorem 2.1

Cycle multiplicity of Total Graph of $n$-Cycle, $C M\left[T\left(C_{n}\right)\right]=n+1$

## Proof



Figure 1. n-cycle and its Total Graph
Let $V\left(C_{n}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and $E\left(C_{n}\right)=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots \ldots, e_{n},\right\}$ in which $e_{i}=v_{i} v_{i+1}$. By the definition of total graph, $V\left[T\left(C_{n}\right)\right]=\quad\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots . ., v_{n}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots . ., e_{n}\right\}$ and $E\left[T\left(C_{n}\right)\right]=\left\{e_{i} e_{i+1} /(1 \leq i \leq n-1)\right\} \cup e_{n} e_{1} \cup\left\{v_{i} V_{i+1} / 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\} \cup v_{n} v_{1}$ $\cup\left\{e_{i} v_{i+1} / 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\} \cup e_{n} v_{1} \cup\left\{v_{i} e_{i} / 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. The cycles of $T\left(C_{n}\right)$ are $C_{i}=e_{i} v_{i+1} e_{i+1}(1 \leq i \leq n-1), C_{n}=e_{n} e_{1} v_{1}$, $C_{i}^{\mid}=v_{i} v_{i+1} e_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n-1), C_{n}^{\mid}=v_{n} v_{1} e_{n}$. Let $C_{n+1}=v_{1} v_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots, v_{n} v_{1}, C_{n+2}=e_{1} e_{2} \ldots \ldots . ., e_{n} e_{1}, C_{n+3}=v_{1} e_{1} v_{2} e_{2} v_{3}, \ldots \ldots ., v_{n} e_{n} v_{1}$. Now we collect set of line disjoint cycles, $\mathcal{C}_{1}=\left\{C_{i} /(1 \leq i \leq n-1)\right\} \cup\left\{C_{n}\right\} \cup\left\{C_{n+1}\right\}, \mathcal{C}_{2}=\left\{C_{i}^{\mid} / 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\} \cup\left\{C_{n}^{\mid}\right\} \cup$ $\left\{C_{n+2}\right\}, \mathcal{C}_{3}=\left\{C_{n+1}, C_{n+2}, C_{n+3}\right\}$. Clearly $\mathcal{C}_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ is a set of line disjoint cycles in $T\left(C_{n}\right)$ and $\left|\mathcal{C}_{1}\right|=\left|\mathcal{C}_{2}\right|=n+1$. Since $n \geq 3$, $\left|\mathcal{C}_{1}\right|$ or $\left|\mathcal{C}_{2}\right| \geq\left|\mathcal{C}_{3}\right|$ and either $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ or $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ contains maximum number of line disjoint cycles of $T\left(C_{n}\right)$ and hence $C M\left[T\left(C_{n}\right)\right]=n+1$.

## 3. Cycle multiplicity of total graph of $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$

As $P_{n}$ does not contain any cycle, its cycle multiplicity is zero. In the following theorem we states a formula to find the maximum number of line disjoint cycles in the total graph of a path.

## Theorem 3.1

Cycle multiplicity of total graph of path, $C M\left[T\left(P_{n}\right)\right]=n$

## .Proof



Figure 2. Path and its Total Graph
Let $V\left(P_{n}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots \ldots, v_{n+1}\right\}$ and $E\left(C_{n}\right)=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots \ldots, e_{n},\right\}$ By the definition of total graph, $V\left[T\left(P_{n}\right)\right]=V\left(P_{n}\right) \cup E\left(P_{n}\right)$, $E\left[T\left(P_{n}\right)\right]=\left\{v_{i} e_{i} /(1 \leq i \leq n)\right\} \cup\left\{e_{i} v_{i+1} / 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{v_{i} v_{i+1} / 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{e_{i} e_{i+1} / 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$ The cycles of $T\left(P_{n}\right)$ are
$C_{i}=v_{i} v_{i+1} e_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ and $C_{i}^{\mid}=e_{i} e i_{+1} v_{i+1}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{1}=\left\{C_{i} /(1 \leq i \leq n)\right\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}=\left\{C_{i}^{\mid} / 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$. The cycles in the set $\mathcal{C}_{i}(i=1,2)$ are line disjoint cycles of $T\left(P_{n}\right)$. Also $\left|\mathcal{C}_{2}\right|<\left|\mathcal{C}_{1}\right|=n$ and hence $C M\left[T\left(P_{n}\right)\right]=n$

## 4. Cycle multiplicity of total graph of $K_{1, n}$

Since the star graphs are acyclic its cycle multiplicity is zero. We find a formula for the cycle multiplicity of total graph of a star graph

## Theorem 4.1

Cycle multiplicity of total graph of $K_{m, n}, C M\left[T\left(K_{1, n}\right)\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{l}{\left[\frac{n^{2}+5 n}{6}\right] \text { if } n \text { is odd }} \\ {\left[\frac{n(n+4)}{6}\right] \text { if } n \text { is even }}\end{array}\right.$

## Proof



Figure 3. Star graph and its Total Graph
Let $V\left(K_{1, n}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and $E\left(K_{1, n}\right)=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots \ldots, e_{n},\right\}$ By the definition of total graph, we have $V\left[\mathrm{~T}\left(K_{1, n}\right)\right]=\{v\} \cup\left\{e_{i} /(1 \leq i \leq n)\right\} \cup\left\{v_{i} /(1 \leq i \leq n)\right\}$, in which the vertices $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots \ldots, e_{n}$ induces a cliques of order $n$ (say $\left.K_{n}\right)$. Also the vertex $v$ is adjacent with $v_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$.

## Case (i)

If $n$ is odd
We collect the set of line disjoint cycles of $T\left(K_{1}, n\right)$ as below.
$\mathcal{C}_{1}=\left\{v \mathrm{e}_{i} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}+1} v /(i=1,3, \ldots \ldots, n-2)\right\}, \mathcal{C}_{2}=\left\{v e_{i} e_{i+1} v / i=2,4, \ldots \ldots \ldots, n-1\right\}, \mathcal{C}_{3}=\left\{\right.$ set of line disjoint cycles in the clique $\left.K_{n}\right\}$. $\mathcal{C}_{4}=\left\{v e_{i} v_{i} v /(1 \leq i \leq n)\right\}$, Clearly $\left|\mathcal{C}_{1}\right|=\left|\mathcal{C}_{2}\right|=\frac{n-1}{2}$.

To prove $\left|\mathcal{C}_{3}\right|=\left[\frac{n^{2}-n}{6}\right]$, i.e., we have to prove the number of line disjoint cycles in $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ is $\left[\frac{n^{2}-n}{6}\right]$ if $n$ is odd. If $n=1$, the number of line disjoint cycles in the clique $K_{1}$ is zero and $\left[\frac{n^{2}-n}{6}\right]=0$ for $n=1$. Similarly if $n=3$, then the number of line
disjoint cycles in the clique $K_{3}$ is one and $\left[\frac{n^{2}-n}{6}\right]=1$ for $n=2$. Therefore $\left|\mathcal{C}_{3}\right|=\left[\frac{n^{2}-n}{6}\right]$ if $n=1$, 3. Assume that the result is true for $m=2 k$-1 for some $k$. i.e., $\left|\mathcal{C}_{3}\right|=\left[\frac{2 k^{2}-3 k+1}{3}\right]$, i.e., Number of line disjoint cycles in $K_{m}=\left|\mathcal{C}_{3}\right|=\left[\frac{2 k^{2}-3 k+1}{3}\right]$. Now consider the clique $K_{n}$ where $n=2 k+1$. Consider $K_{n-2}=K_{n}-\left\{e_{2 k}, e_{2 k+1}\right\}=K_{2 k-1}=K_{m}$. Number of line disjoint cycles in $K_{n-2}$ is $\left[\frac{2 k^{2}-3 k+1}{3}\right]$. Also the number of line disjoint cycles is decreased by $\left[\frac{4 k-1}{3}\right]$. Therefore $\left|\mathcal{C}_{3}\right|$ in $K_{n}$ is $\left[\frac{2 k^{2}-3 k+1}{3}\right]+\left[\frac{4 k-1}{3}\right]$.i.e., $\left|\mathcal{C}_{3}\right|=\left[\frac{2 k^{2}+k}{3}\right], \quad$ i.e. $\left|\mathcal{C}_{3}\right|=\left[\frac{n^{2}-n}{6}\right]$, where $n=2 k+1$. Since $n$ is odd there exist no edges in the clique which are left out in the extraction of line disjoint cycles. Since $n \geq 2, \frac{n-2}{2} \leq\left[\frac{n^{2}-n}{6}\right]$. Therefore $\left|\mathcal{C}_{1}\right|$ $=\left|\mathcal{C}_{2}\right| \leq\left|\mathcal{C}_{3}\right|$. The cycles in $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ are line disjoint. Therefore maximum number of line disjoint cycles in $T\left(K_{1},{ }_{n}\right)$, $C M\left[T\left(K_{1, n}\right)\right]=\left|\mathcal{C}_{3}\right|+\left|\mathcal{C}_{4}\right|=\left[\frac{n^{2}-n}{6}\right]+n=\left[\frac{n^{2}+5 n}{6}\right]$ if $n$ is odd.

## Case (ii)

If $n$ is even
In this case we collect the set of line disjoint cycles as below.
$\left.\mathcal{C}_{1}=\left\{v e_{i} \mathcal{e}_{i+1} v / i=1,3, \ldots \ldots, n-1\right)\right\}, \mathcal{C}_{2}=\left\{v e_{i} \mathcal{e}_{i+1} v / i=2,4, \ldots \ldots, n-2\right\}$, clearly $\left|\mathcal{C}_{1}\right|=\frac{n}{2}$ and $\left|\mathcal{C}_{2}\right|=\frac{n-2}{2} \mathcal{C}_{3}=\{$ set of line disjoint cycles in $\left.K_{n}\right\} . \mathcal{C}_{4}=\left\{v e_{i} V_{i} V /(1 \leq i \leq n)\right\}$, We prove $\left|\mathcal{C}_{3}\right|=\frac{n(n-2)}{6}$. Maximum number of line disjoint cycles are extracted from $K_{n}$ using the following steps.

## Step 1:

Extract the line disjoint cycles $c_{i}=e_{i} e_{i+1} e_{i+2} e_{i}(i=1,3,5, \ldots \ldots \ldots-1)$. Clearly $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots, c_{n-1}$ are line disjoint cycles. Thus we got $\frac{n}{2}$ line disjoint cycles.

## Step 2:

Delete the edges $e_{i} e_{\left(\frac{n}{2}+i\right)}\left(i=1,2, \ldots \ldots \ldots, \frac{n}{2}\right)$ from $K_{n}$.

## Step 3:

Extract $\left[\frac{n^{2}-5 n}{6}\right]$ line disjoint 3-cycles from $K_{n}-\left\{e_{i} e_{\left(\frac{n}{2}+i\right)}\left(i=1,2, \ldots \ldots \ldots ., \frac{n}{2}\right)\right\}$.

Therefore $\frac{n}{2}+\frac{n^{2}-5 n}{6}=\left[\frac{n(n-2)}{6}\right]$. Since $e_{i} e_{\left(\frac{n}{2}+i\right)}\left(i=1,2, \ldots \ldots \ldots, \frac{n}{2}\right)$ are mutually non adjacent edges in $T\left(K_{1}, n\right)$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{5}$ $=\left\{v e_{i} e_{\left(\frac{n}{2}+i\right)} v /\left(i=1,2, \ldots \ldots \ldots, \frac{n}{2}\right)\right\}$. The cycles in $\mathcal{C}_{5}$ are line disjoint. The cycles in $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{5}$ are line disjoint and also the
cycles in $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ are line disjoint. Since $\left|\mathcal{C}_{5}\right| \leq\left|\mathcal{C}_{4}\right|$. Therefore maximum number of line disjoint cycles in $T\left(K_{1},{ }_{n}\right)$,
$C M\left[T\left(K_{1, n}\right)\right]=\left|\mathcal{C}_{3}\right|+\left|\mathcal{C}_{4}\right|=\left[\frac{n(n-2)}{6}\right]+n=\left[\frac{n(n+4)}{6}\right]$.
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