

Review Synthetic Report

Genomic architecture of deafness in Turkey reflects its rich past

Mustafa Tekin

Mustafa Tekin was born in 1970 in Ankara, Turkey.

He graduated from Ankara University School of Medicine and completed clinical training in Pediatrics at Ankara University, Turkey (1997) and in Clinical Genetics at Virginia Commonwealth University in Richmond, VA (2001).

He worked as a clinical geneticist at Ankara University and conducted research studies on hereditary deafness in Turkey between 2001 and 2008. He is now working in the Genomic Institute at University of Miami in Miami, FL.

Dr. Tekin has contributed extensively to the understanding of the genomic architecture of deafness in Turkey.

*John P. Hussman Institute for Human Genomics, Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami, Miami, Florida
USA. & Division of Genetics, Department of Pediatrics, Ankara University School of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey
E.mail: mtekin@med.miami.edu*

Abstract - More than 60% of prelingual deafness is genetic in origin, and of these up to 93% are monogenic autosomal recessive traits. Turkey has been continually inhabited since ancient times with traditional settlement of small and isolated villages. There is a high level of both parental consanguinity and assortative mating among the deaf and a long history of the use of sign language. All of these factors are known to have a profound influence on the survival, expression and spread of new mutations for deafness. Many of the identified deafness alleles are private in a single family or in an isolated village that reflect the effect of small population size or parental consanguinity. Single origins have been demonstrated for some mutations, including 35delG in *GJB2* and R84W in *TMIE*, that are recurrently identified in unrelated families. Historic population movements in and around Turkey leading to gene flow and consequently founder effects are responsible for these mutations. Assortative mating among the deaf is likely to have contributed to contemporary high frequency of certain deafness loci.

Key words: Deafness, assortative mating, parental consanguinity, founder effect, Turkey, hearing loss.

Introduction

Hearing loss has many known genetic and environmental causes and affects at least 30% of the population at some time in their lives. Clinically significant hearing loss has been estimated to be present in at least 1.9 per 1,000 infants at birth (Morton and Nance, 2006). Genetic causes of hearing loss are estimated to account for 68% of cases expressed at birth and 55% of those expressed by the age of four. Most genetic changes are monogenic as documented by Morton (Morton, 1991), who estimated that autosomal recessive, dominant, and X-linked genes were responsible for 77%, 22%, and 1% of the genetic cases in western populations, respectively. The prevalence and causes of congenital and profound prelingual deafness can vary widely at different times and among populations. Although studies for genetic epidemiology of congenital deafness began in western populations more than a century ago, comparable studies are few in developing countries. We have recently completed a segregation analysis in Turkey where more than 2,500 families with deafness throughout the country were ascertained with incomplete selection (Tekin and Arici, 2007). Table 1 provides a comparison for the genetic contribution and distribution of genetic causes between the U.S. and Turkey. The high percentage of autosomal recessive deafness appears to reflect the high level of parental consanguinity in the Turkish population. Nevertheless, the proportion of probands arising from deaf by deaf matings (assortative mating) in Turkey is comparable to the Fay data, collected at the turn of the 20th century and the large National Survey conducted in 1969.

Table 1: Segregation analysis of deafness in four surveys of sibships ascertained by truncate selection

Survey (Country, Year)	Marriages				Genetic Deafness			Reference
	Total N	Inbred %	Deaf x Deaf %	Deaf Children N	Total %	AR ¹ %	AD ² %	
E.A. Fay U.S. 1898	2,335	6.8	2.0	3,483	54.9	88.0	12.0	Rose 1975
National U.S. 1969	12,665	0.6	3.3	16,482	50.7	85.6	14.4	Rose 1975
Gallaudet Students U.S. 1973	563	1.4	10.8	749	76.2	77.8	22.2	Rose 1975
National Turkey 2007	2,626	45.2	2.6	3,638	76.8	93.4	6.6	Tekin and Arici 2007

1)AR: Autosomal recessive; 2)AD: Autosomal dominant

Anatolia is an ancient land

Anatolia is a peninsula in the western most part of Asia bounded by the Black Sea to the north, the Aegean Sea to the west, the Mediterranean Sea to the south, and the Caucasus Mountains to the east. It is a part of modern Turkey, which also comprises a piece of land in the eastern part of Europe. Anatolia has played a major role in world history as a bridge connecting East and West. The land was initially occupied by cave dwellers, prior to the emergence of agriculture during the Neolithic period. With the arrival of Assyrian merchants from Mesopotamia, written history was introduced. One of the earliest major empires in the area was that of the Hittites, from the 18th through the 13th century BC. Subsequently, the rulers of Anatolia included the Phrygians, Lydians, Urartus, Greeks, and Turks. The entire area was overrun by the Persians during the 6th and 5th centuries BC and fell to Alexander the Great in 334 BC. The last and most enduring rulers were the Byzantine (330-1453 AD) and Ottoman (1299-1923 AD) Empires.

The very rich and long history of Anatolian settlement makes population admixture inevitable. A Y chromosome haplotype analysis showed that the major haplotypes in Turkey are shared by European and Near Eastern populations. When the frequencies of haplotypes and sub-classes were analyzed, evidence was found for gene flow for both directions between Anatolia and Europe as well as Caucasia (Cinnioglu et al., 2004).

The inhabitants of Anatolia have traditionally lived in small, relatively isolated villages and were often the descendants of a small number of founders migrating from distant lands. Currently, there are approximately 40,000 villages of this type throughout Turkey. Although migration to urban centers has occurred during the past 50 years, the traditional pattern of consanguineous marriage has persisted, and is currently estimated to involve 20-25% of all marriages (Tuncbilek, 2001). However, the rate is as high as 60% in some regions. The combination of the very large number and diverse origins of its founder groups with a high rate of consanguinity has produced an extraordinary spectrum of rare autosomal recessive disorders in Turkey, often associated with different homozygous mutations in individual families (Tuncbilek, 2001).

The most recent support for this claim is our description of a new autosomal recessive form of profound deafness (Tekin et al., 2007; Tekin et al., 2008). The probands in each of five unrelated Turkish families carry different homozygous mutations in the *FGF3* gene. Homozygous *FGF3* mutations associated with the same syndromic findings have subsequently been reported in other populations (Ahmed et al., 2008; Alsmadi et al., 2009).

Records about the presence of a community of deaf individuals serving in the court of the Hittite kings of Anatolia date back at least 3,500 years (Soysal 2001). There are also records about the use of sign language by a deaf community in the Ottoman courts during the 16th and 17th centuries. The first school in modern times for the education of the deaf based on sign language was established in 1889. The number of schools for the deaf in different parts of Anatolia has now increased to 63 within the past 50 years.

Mating structure of the population and its relevance for deafness

Consanguinity and assortative mating are two important characteristics of the mating structure of a population that can have a profound influence on the incidence of deafness. When a new recessive mutation first arises there is a substantial risk that it will be lost by stochastic processes. By facilitating their initial phenotypic expression, inbreeding, resulting either from a small effective population size in a subdivided population or traditional patterns of consanguineous marriage can promote the phenotypic expression and survival of such genes. Once a recessive gene for deafness is expressed in a favorable environment, assortative mating can greatly accelerate the response to relaxed selection. Consanguinity acts on all recessive genes indiscriminately, and its effects are most marked on rare mutations. In contrast, the effect of assortative mating is limited to genes that cause or contribute to deafness where it preferentially increases the frequency of the commonest form of recessive deafness in a population (Nance and Kearsey 2004). Acting together, these two mechanisms can thus promote the survival, expression and spread of genes for deafness.

For example, DFNB3 is a form of recessive deafness caused by a *MYO15A* mutation that has a prevalence of 2% among the 2,385 inhabitants of the village of Bengkala on the island of Bali (Winata et al., 1995), with a carrier frequency of 17% in the hearing population. Remarkably, the inhabitants of Bengkala developed an indigenous sign language, generations ago, which is learned by deaf and hearing villagers alike. Because of their integration into the community, the fitness of the deaf is unimpaired. Deaf by deaf marriages are common, and virtually all are non-complementary (producing only deaf children), as expected, because there is only one form of genetic deafness in the community. Although genetic drift and endogamy undoubtedly played essential roles in the survival and initial phenotypic expression of the *MYO15A* mutation, relaxed selection and assortative mating must also have contributed to the subsequent increase in the frequency of both the gene and the phenotype. Similar examples have been identified among Bedouin tribes where the incidence of deafness can be as high as 2.6% (Palmer et al., 2008), and in the U.S., on Martha's Vineyard (Groce 1985).

We have encountered similar families in Turkey where an indigenous sign language may well have had a positive effect on the survival of genes for deafness throughout human history by creating “negative bottlenecks” or limited periods of time during which the frequency of the most common genes for deafness in the population were amplified by assortative mating and relaxed selection. In addition to sign language, factors promoting such events include large families with multiple affected family members, geographic isolation and the integration of the deaf into the community, which are all present in Turkey (Palmer et al., 2008).

Genetic causes of deafness

Genetic deafness can be classified into syndromic and non-syndromic forms based on the presence or absence of distinctive clinical or laboratory features. More than two-thirds of individuals with deafness have non-syndromic deafness. Examples of non-syndromic deafness are given below.

Connexin deafness (DFNB1)

Because of the large number of recognized genes for deafness, the discovery that mutations at a single locus, DFNB1, account for 30-40% of non-syndromic deafness in many populations, came as a great surprise (Casademont et al., 1997, Zelante et al., 1999). DFNB1 encompasses *GJB2* and *GJB6*. These genes encode homologous connexin 26 (Cx26), and connexin 30 (Cx30) subunits of gap junction proteins that are expressed in the inner ear, and form channels between adjacent cells that permit the exchange of small molecules. At present, more than 150 *GJB2* mutations are known, but a single chain termination mutation, 35delG, accounts for up to 70% of pathologic alleles in many populations. Although DFNB1 is common in Western Europeans and in the Middle East (Denoyelle et al., 1999; Tekin et al., 2003), much lower frequencies in Asian populations have been demonstrated (Liu et al., 2003; RamShankar et al., 2003). Table 2 shows the frequency of mutations in *GJB2* in 406 probands with deafness from Turkey (Tekin and Arici, 2007). Two large deletions involving the *GJB6* gene were not present in our samples of Turkish probands (Sirmaci et al., 2006). The overall rate of parental consanguinity was 46.8% among all 406 Turkish probands with non-syndromic deafness in whom *GJB2* mutation analysis has been performed. This rate is approximately twice as high as that was reported for the general Turkish population (Tuncbilek et al., 2001). The rate of parental consanguinity increased to 63.2% among multiplex probands with some form of autosomal recessive deafness other than DFNB1. This suggests that many rare forms of autosomal recessive deafness alleles are expressed in the Turkish population.

Table 2: Frequency of *GJB2* deafness by family structure in Turkey

No. of probands	Total Frequency %	Frequency of <i>GJB2</i> deafness by mating type				Deaf x Deaf %
		Hearing x Hearing				
		Simplex		Multiplex		
		Outbred %	Inbred %	Outbred %	Inbred %	
406	22.4	20.9	9.3	29.9	18.9	68.8

35delG Mutation in the GJB2 Gene

The 35delG mutation in *GJB2* has been shown to be the most common pathogenic allele in Turkey, similar to the results of studies in Caucasian populations (Tekin et al., 2001; Baris et al., 2001; Bayazit et al., 2003). The 167delT and 235delC mutations are more frequent than 35delG in Ashkenazi Jews (Morell et al., 1998) and in East Asian populations (Yan et al., 2003), respectively. Evidence for single origin was demonstrated for the 167delT mutation in Ashkenazi Jews (Morell et al., 1998) and for the 235delC mutation in East Asians (Yan et al., 2003).

Our studies showed that flanking polymorphic marker alleles are in complete linkage disequilibrium with 35delG, suggesting a single origin in Turkish samples (Tekin et al., 2001; Tekin et al., 2005). The 35delG mutation was reported to have single origin in other populations as well (Van Laer et al., 2001; Belguith et al., 2005). Its approximate age was calculated to be 10,000 years and a presumed founder was proposed to have lived in the Middle East (Van Laer et al., 2001). Based on the high carrier frequency of 35delG in the Mediterranean countries, it was proposed that it arose in ancient Greece (Lucotte and Dieterlen, 2005). Nance and colleagues suggested that the current high prevalence of *GJB2* mutations in many but not all populations is the consequence of relaxed selection and assortative mating (Nance et al., 2000). According to their proposal, the genetic fitness of individuals with deafness must have been very low, possibly approaching zero, in previous millennia. With the discovery that the deaf are educable, the development of sign language, and the establishment of schools for the deaf during the past 2-3 centuries there has been a remarkable improvement in the social, educational, and economic circumstances of the deaf that has been associated with a well documented increase in their fertility (Rose 1975). The spread of sign language and residential schools for the deaf was accompanied by the onset of intense assortative mating among the deaf. This pattern of marriages would be expected to cause a selective amplification of the commonest form of recessive deafness in a population. We have recently provided data in the U.S. that non-complementary matings that can produce only deaf children has increased by a factor of more than five in the past 100 years associated with a statistically significant linear increase in the frequency of pathologic *GJB2* mutations (Arnos et al., 2008).

Other Causes of Non-syndromic Deafness

More than 45 dominant and/or recessive genes for non-syndromic deafness have been identified (hereditary hearing loss homepage - <http://webh01.ua.ac.be/hhh/>). Most of the 31 genes for recessive hearing loss were initially mapped in consanguineous families or population isolates. Some forms of genetic deafness are quite common either in a single population (Winata et al., 1995) or in many countries (Kenneson et al., 2002), but others still represent isolated observations in which only one affected family has been identified to date (Lynch et al., 1997), and hence little is known about their relative frequency.

Mapping of autosomal recessive Nonsyndromic deafness loci in Turkey

We have screened more than 50 multiplex families with non-syndromic autosomal recessive deafness in Turkey for all known loci using dense single nucleotide polymorphism arrays. Our results indicate that responsible loci are extremely heterogeneous. However, there are recurrent mutations in some relatively common deafness genes.

We have shown that the frequency of *TMIE* mutations is relatively high in Turkey. This is due to a recurrent mutation, R84W, which exhibits a founder effect. We found this mutation in eight of 258 unrelated families with autosomal recessive non-syndromic deafness ascertained from all parts of Turkey. The overall prevalence was 2.4%, but it was higher (10.3%) in Southeastern Turkey (Sirmaci et al., 2009a). We also showed that the haplotypes associated with this mutation are conserved and the mutation was estimated to have arisen about 1,250 years ago, quite likely in the southeastern region of the country (Sirmaci et al., 2009a). Interestingly, in a non-complementary family producing all deaf children, both parents, who were themselves the offspring of unrelated consanguineous parents, were autozygous for two different *TMIE* mutations resulting in affected allozygous children. This pedigree exemplifies the joint effects of assortative mating and consanguinity, and illustrates how the former brings together rare genes for the same trait that may not be identical while the latter enhances identity by descent.

Other genes we have sequenced thus far in Turkey do not show striking geographic frequency variation in different regions of Turkey. We found six different mutations, including a 31 kb homozygous deletion in *TMCI*, in seven unrelated families out of 86 from different parts of Anatolia, making its relative frequency 6.6% among the deaf in Turkey (Sirmaci et al., 2009b). We have also identified families with novel recurrent mutations in *MYO15A* and *OTOF*. Other reported families with non-syndromic deafness from Turkey were found to have private homozygous mutations in genes *TMPRSS3* (DFNB8/10) (Wattenhofer et al., 2005), *ESRRB* (DFNB35) (Collin et al., 2008), *PJVK* (DFNB59) (Collin et al., 2007), *LRTOMT* (DFNB63) (Ahmed et al., 2008), and *TMHS* (DFNB67) (Kalay et al., 2006). Clearly gene size is not the only factor that determines the relative frequency of deafness mutations because the most common deafness genes in Turkey, *GJB2* and *TMIE*, are the smallest genes based on their genomic size. Relatively frequent deafness genes typically contain one or more recurrent mutations with founder effects. Population structure and mating type in Anatolia must have promoted their initial survival and enrichment and population movements in the old world leading to gene flow must have played a major role for their spread to different geographic regions.

References

- Ahmed ZM, Masmoudi S, Kalay E, Belyantseva IA, Mosrati MA, Collin RW, Riazuddin S, Hmani-Aifa M, Venselaar H, Kawar MN, Tlili A, van der Zwaag B, Khan SY, Ayadi L, Riazuddin SA, Morell RJ, Griffith AJ, Charfedine I, Caylan R, Oostrik J, Karaguzel A, Ghorbel A, Riazuddin S, Friedman TB, Ayadi H, Kremer H. 2008. [Mutations of LRTOMT, a fusion gene with alternative reading frames, cause non syndromic deafness in humans.](#) *Nat Genet* 40:1335-40.
- Alsmadi O, Meyer BF, Alkuraya F, Wakil S, Alkayal F, Al-Saud H, Ramzan K, Al-Sayed M. 2009. [Syndromic congenital sensorineural deafness, microtia and microdontia resulting from a novel homoallelic mutation in fibroblast growth factor 3 \(FGF3\).](#) *Eur J Hum Genet* 17:14-21.
- Arnos KS, Welch KO, Tekin M, Norris VW, Blanton SH, Pandya A, Nance WE. 2008. [A comparative analysis of the genetic epidemiology of deafness in the United States in two sets of pedigrees collected more than a century apart.](#) *Am J Hum Genet* 83:200-7.

Baris I, Kilinc MO, Tolun A. 2001. Frequency of the 35delG mutation in the connexin 26 gene in Turkish hearingimpaired patients. *Clin Genet* 60: 452–5.

Bayazit YA, Cable BB, Cataloluk O et al. 2003. GJB2 gene mutations causing familial hereditary deafness in Turkey. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 67:1331–5.

Belguith H, Hajji S, Salem N, et al. 2005. Analysis of GJB2 mutation: evidence for a Mediterranean ancestor for the 35delG mutation. *Clin Genet* 68: 188-9.

[Casademont I](#), [Chevrier D](#), [Denoyelle F](#), [Petit C](#), [Guesdon JL](#). 1997. A simple and reliable method for the detection of the 30delG mutation of the CX26 gene. *Hum Mol Genet* 6:1605-9.

Cinnioğlu C, King R, Kivisild T, Kalfoglu E, Atasoy S, Cavalleri GL, Lillie AS, Roseman CC, Lin AA, Prince K, Oefner PJ, Shen P, Semino O, Cavalli-Sforza LL, Underhill PA. 2004. [Excavating Y-chromosome haplotype strata in Anatolia](#). *Hum Genet* 114:127-48.

Collin RW, Kalay E, Oostrik J, Caylan R, Wollnik B, Arslan S, den Hollander AI, Birinci Y, Lichtner P, Strom TM, Toraman B, Hoefsloot LH, Cremers CW, Brunner HG, Cremers FP, Karaguzel A, Kremer H. 2007. [Involvement of DFNB59 mutations in autosomal recessive nonsyndromic hearing impairment](#). *Hum Mutat* 28:718-23.

Collin RW, Kalay E, Tariq M, Peters T, van der Zwaag B, Venselaar H, Oostrik J, Lee K, Ahmed ZM, Caylan R, Li Y, Spierenburg HA, Eyupoglu E, Heister A, Riazuddin S, Bahat E, Ansar M, Arslan S, Wollnik B, Brunner HG, Cremers CW, Karaguzel A, Ahmad W, Cremers FP, Vriend G, Friedman TB, Riazuddin S, Leal SM, Kremer H. 2008. [Mutations of ESRRB encoding estrogen-related receptor beta cause autosomal-recessive nonsyndromic hearing impairment DFNB35](#). *Am J Hum Genet* 82:125-38.

[Denoyelle F](#), [Marlin S](#), [Weil D](#), [Moatti L](#), [Chauvin P](#), [Garabédian EN](#), [Petit C](#). 1999. Clinical features of the prevalent form of childhood deafness, DFNB1, due to a connexin-26 gene defect: implications for genetic counselling. *Lancet* 353:1298-303.

Groce NE. Everyone Here Speaks Sign Language: Hereditary Deafness on Martha's Vinyard, Harvard Univ Press, Boston, 1985.

Kalay E, Li Y, Uzumcu A, Uyguner O, Collin RW, Caylan R, Ulubil-Emiroglu M, Kersten FF, Hafiz G, van Wijk E, Kayserili H, Rohmann E, Wagenstaller J, Hoefsloot LH, Strom TM, Nürnberg G, Baserer N, den Hollander AI, Cremers FP, Cremers CW, Becker C, Brunner HG, Nürnberg P, Karaguzel A, Basaran S, Kubisch C, Kremer H, Wollnik B. 2006. [Mutations in the lipoma HMGIC fusion partner-like 5 \(LHFPL5\) gene cause autosomal recessive nonsyndromic hearing loss](#). *Hum Mutat* 27:633-9.

Kenneson A, Van Naarden Braun K, Boyle C. 2002. [GJB2 \(connexin 26\) variants and nonsyndromic sensorineural hearing loss: a HuGE review](#). *Genet Med* 4:258-74.

Liu XZ, Xia XJ, Ke XM, Ouyang XM, Du LL, Liu YH, Angeli S, Telischi FF, Nance WE, Balkany T, Xu LR. 2002. [The prevalence of connexin 26 \(GJB2\) mutations in the Chinese population.](#) *Hum Genet* 111:394-7.

Lucotte G, Diéterlen F. 2005. [The 35delG mutation in the connexin 26 gene \(GJB2\) associated with congenital deafness: European carrier frequencies and evidence for its origin in ancient Greece.](#) *Genet Test* 9:20-5.

Lynch ED, Lee MK, Morrow JE, Welch PL, León PE, King MC. 1997. [Nonsyndromic deafness DFNA1 associated with mutation of a human homolog of the Drosophila gene diaphanous.](#) *Science* 278:1315-8.

Morell RJ, Kim HJ, Hood LJ et al. 1998. Mutations in the connexin 26 gene (GJB2) among Ashkenazi Jews with nonsyndromic recessive deafness. *N Engl J Med* 339: 1500-5.

Morton NE. 1991. [Genetic epidemiology of hearing impairment.](#) *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 630:16-31.

Morton CC, Nance WE. 2006. [Newborn hearing screening--a silent revolution.](#) *N Engl J Med* 18: 2151-2164.

Nance WE, Liu XZ, Pandya A. 2000. Relation between choice of partner and high frequency of connexin-26 deafness. *Lancet* 356:500-1.

Nance WE, Kearsey MJ. 2004. [Relevance of connexin deafness \(DFNB1\) to human evolution.](#) *Am J Hum Genet* 74:1081-7.

Palmer CG, Martinez A, Fox M, Slinger Y, Grody WW, Schimmenti LA. 2008. [Ethnic differences in parental perceptions of genetic testing for deaf infants.](#) *J Genet Couns* 17:129-38.

[RamShankar M, Girirajan S, Dagan O, Ravi Shankar HM, Jalvi R, Rangasayee R, Avraham KB, Anand A.](#) 2003. Contribution of connexin26 (GJB2) mutations and founder effect to non-syndromic hearing loss in India. *J Med Genet* 40:e68.

Rose SP. 1975. Genetic studies of profound prelingual deafness. PhD Thesis, Department of Medical Genetics, Indiana University

Scott DA, Carmi R, Elbedour K, Duyk GM, Stone EM, Sheffield VC. 1995. [Nonsyndromic autosomal recessive deafness is linked to the DFNB1 locus in a large inbred Bedouin family from Israel.](#) *Am J Hum Genet* 57:965-8.

Sirmaci A, Akcayoz-Duman D, Tekin M. 2006. [The c.IVS1+1G>A mutation in the GJB2 gene is prevalent and large deletions involving the GJB6 gene are not present in the Turkish population.](#) *J Genet* 85:213-6.

Sirmaci A, Oztürkmen-Akay H, Erbek S, Incesulu A, Duman D, Taşir-Yilmaz S, Ozdağ H, Tekin M. 2009a. [A founder TMIE mutation is a frequent cause of hearing loss in southeastern Anatolia.](#) *Clin Genet* 75:562-7.

Sirmaci A, Duman D, Oztürkmen-Akay H, Erbek S, Incesulu A, Oztürk-Hişmi B, Arici ZS, Yüksel-Konuk EB, Taşir-Yilmaz S, Tokgöz-Yilmaz S, Cengiz FB, Aslan I, Yildirim M, Hasanefendioğlu-Bayrak A, Ayçiçek A, Yilmaz I, Fitoz S, Altin F, Ozdağ H, Tekin M. 2009b. [Mutations in TMCI contribute significantly to nonsyndromic autosomal recessive sensorineural hearing loss: a report of five novel mutations.](#) *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 73:699-705.

Soysal, Y. 2001. Hitit din ve sosyal hayatında LU' /MUNUSU' . HU' B “sağır” (The “deaf” LU' /MUNUSU' .HU' B in the religious and social life of Hittites). Akten des IV. Internationalen Kongress für Hethitologie. Würzburg, 4–8 October 1999. In Studien zu den Boğazköy (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz Verlag), pp.652–669.

Tekin M, Akar N, Cin S, Blanton SH, Xia XJ, Liu XZ, Nance WE, Pandya A. 2001. [Connexin 26 \(GJB2\) mutations in the Turkish population: implications for the origin and high frequency of the 35delG mutation in Caucasians.](#) *Hum Genet* 108:385-9.

Tekin M, Duman T, Boğoçlu G, Incesulu A, Comak E, Ilhan I, Akar N. 2003. [Spectrum of GJB2 mutations in Turkey comprises both Caucasian and Oriental variants: roles of parental consanguinity and assortative mating.](#) *Hum Mutat* 21:552-3.

Tekin M, Boğoçlu G, Arican ST, Orman MN, Tastan H, Elsobky E, Elsayed S, Akar N. 2005. [Evidence for single origins of 35delG and delE120 mutations in the GJB2 gene in Anatolia.](#) *Clin Genet* 67:31-7.

Tekin M, Arici ZS. 2007. [Genetic epidemiological studies of congenital/prelingual deafness in Turkey: population structure and mating type are major determinants of mutation identification.](#) *Am J Med Genet A* 15:1583-1591.

Tekin M, Hişmi BO, Fitoz S, Ozdağ H, Cengiz FB, Sirmaci A, Aslan I, Inceoğlu B, Yüksel-Konuk EB, Yilmaz ST, Yasun O, Akar N. 2007. [Homozygous mutations in fibroblast growth factor3 are associated with a new form of syndromic deafness characterized by inner ear agenesis, microtia, and microdontia.](#) *Am J Hum Genet* 80:338-44.

Tekin M, Oztürkmen Akay H, Fitoz S, Birnbaum S, Cengiz FB, Sennaroğlu L, Incesulu A, Yüksel Konuk EB, Hasanefendioğlu Bayrak A, Sentürk S, Cebeci I, Utine GE, Tunçbilek E, Nance WE, Duman D. 2008. [Homozygous FGF3 mutations result in congenital deafness with inner ear agenesis, microtia, and microdontia.](#) *Clin Genet* 73:554-65.

Tunçbilek E. 2001. [Clinical outcomes of consanguineous marriages in Turkey.](#) *Turk J Pediatr* 43:277-9.

[Van Laer L, Coucke P, Mueller RF et al. 2001. A common founder for the 35delG GJB2 gene mutation in connexin 26 hearing impairment. *J Med Genet* 38: 515–8.](#)

[Wattenhofer M, Sahin-Calapoglu N, Andreasen D, Kalay E, Caylan R, Brillard B, Fowler-Jaeger N, Reymond A, Rossier BC, Karaguzel A, Antonarakis SE. 2005. A novel TMPRSS3 missense mutation in a DFNB8/10 family prevents proteolytic activation of the protein. *Hum Genet* 117:528-35.](#)

[Winata S, Arhya IN, Moeljopawiro S, Hinnant JT, Liang Y, Friedman TB, Asher JH Jr. 1995. Congenital non-syndromal autosomal recessive deafness in Bengkala, an isolated Balinese village. *J Med Genet* 32:336-43.](#)

[Yan D, Park HJ, Ouyang XM et al. 2003. Evidence of a founder effect for the 235delC mutation of GJB2 \(connexin 26\) in east Asians. *Hum Genet* 114: 44–50.](#)

[Zelante L, Gasparini P, Estivill X, Melchionda S, D'Agruma L, Govea N, Milá M, Monica MD, Lutfi J, Shohat M, Mansfield E, Delgrosso K, Rappaport E, Surrey S, Fortina P. 1999. Connexin26 mutations associated with the most common form of non-syndromic neurosensory autosomal recessive deafness \(DFNB1\) in Mediterraneans. *Lancet* 353: 1298-303.](#)