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#### Abstract

In this work, a new class of analytic function was defined by the Gegenbauer polynomial involving the sine function. The initial coefficient estimates were obtained and the fourth Toeplitz determinants was presented.
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## 1 Introduction

Orthogonal polynomials were discovered by Legendre in 1784 [1]. Under specific model restrictions, orthogonal polynomials are frequently employed to discover solutions of ordinary differential equations. Moreover, orthogonal polynomials are a critical feature in approximation theory. Two polynomials $P n$ and $P m$, of order $n$ and $m$, respectively, are orthogonal if

$$
\left\langle P_{n}, P_{m}\right\rangle=\int_{c}^{d} P_{n}(x) P_{m}(x) r(x) d x=0
$$

for $n \neq m$ where $r(x)$ is non-negative function in the interval $(c, d)$; therefore, all finite order polynomials $P_{n}(x)$ have well-defined integral. An example of an orthogonal polynomial is a Gegenbauer polynomial (GP) [2]. Several authors have carried out research on the Gegenbauer polynomial, see [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8] and [9].
Many researchers have studied several Hankel and Toeplitz determinants for various classes of functions. For example, Janteng et al. [10] investigated second Hankel determinant for a function with a positive real part and starlike and convex functions, respectively; Bansal [11], Lee et al. [12] and Shaharuddin et al [13] discussed the second Hankel determinant for certain analytic functions; Zaprawa [14], Zhang et al. [15] and Babalola [16] derived third-order Hankel determinant for certain different univalent functions; Raza and Malik. [17] and Shi et al. [18], [19], and Breaz et al [20], studied upper bounds of the third Hankel determinant for some classes of analytic functions related
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to lemniscate of Bernoulli, cardioid domain and exponential function; Mahmood et al. [21] found third Hankel determinant for a subclass of q-starlike functions. Following the above work, Zhang et al. [22] recently considered fourth-order Hankel determinants of starlike functions related to the sine function. On the other hand, Ramachandran and Kavitha [23] and Ali et al. [24] studied Toeplitz matrices whose elements are the coefficients of starlike, close-to-convex, and univalent functions. Besides, Tang et al., [25] studied third-order Hankel and Toeplitz determinant for a subclass of multivalent q-starlike functions of order ; Zhang et al. [26] considered third-order Hankel and Toeplitz determinants of starlike functions, which are defined by using the sine function; Ramachandran et al. [27] derived an estimation for the Hankel and Topelitz determinant with domains bounded by conical sections involving Ruscheweygh derivative; Srivastava et al. [28] found the Hankel determinant and the Toeplitz matrices for a newly defined class of analytic q-starlike functions.
Motivated by the work of Al-Hawary et al [2], Al-Shbeil et al [8], Olatunji et al [29] and Zhang and Tang [30], it is established that Gegenbauer polynomial also promotes the advancement of geometric function theory. In this paper, we aim to investigate the second, third and fourth-order Toeplitz determinant for this function class $K_{\mu, s}$ associated with sine function and obtain the upper bounds for the determinants.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{D}=(z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \leq 1)$.
which are analytic in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ with conditions $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$. Recall that, S is representing a univalent function with some of the above conditions. With simple modificaton and differentiation, various subclasses of $\mathbb{A}$ are known such as starlike function, convex function, close-to-convex just to mention but a few with representations below 1001[31].
[32] A function $f(z) \in \mathbb{A}$ is said to be starlike if it satisfies the condition

$$
\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>0 \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

Denote this class by $\mathcal{S}^{*}$.
[32] A function $f(z) \in \mathbb{A}$ is said to be convex if it satisfies the condition

$$
\Re\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0 \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

Denote this class by $\mathcal{C}$.
[32] A function $f(z) \in \mathbb{A}$ is called close-to-convex, if there exist a convex function $\phi$ such that

$$
\Re\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0 \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

Kaplan's definition does not require that the function $\phi$ is normalized, but since the majority of results obtained for close-to-convex functions assume this, we will suppose that $\phi$ so that $\phi(0)=0$ and $\phi^{\prime}(0)=1$.
$1001[32], 1001[33], 1001[34]$ and $1001[35]$. A function $f(z) \in \mathbb{A}$ is called close-to-convex, if there exist a function $g \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ such that

$$
\Re\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)>0 \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

Denote this class by $\mathcal{K}_{0}$. Choosing $g(z)=f(z)$, it is clear that $\mathcal{S}^{*} \subset \mathcal{K}_{0}$ and so $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}^{*} \subset \mathcal{K}_{0}$. [32] Let $\rho$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, with $p(0)=1$. Denote by $P$ the class of functions $\rho$ with Taylor series expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n} z^{n} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying

$$
\Re(\rho(z))>0 \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

(Derek et al., 2018). Functions in $P$ are referred to as functions with positive real parts in $\mathbb{D}$ or Caratheodory functions. [32] For two functions $f$ and $g$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in $\mathbb{U}$ and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) \prec g(z) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(z \in \mathbb{U})$ if there exists a Schwartz function $w(z)$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1(z \in \mathbb{U})$ such that

$$
f(z)=g(w(z))
$$

In particular, if the function $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, the above subordination is equivalent to $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$.

For non-zero real constant $\lambda$, a generating function of Gegenbauer polynomials.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{\lambda}(m, z)=\frac{1}{\left(1-2 m z+z^{2}\right)^{\lambda}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{m} \in[-1,1]$ and $\mathrm{z} \in U$. For fixed m the function $\kappa_{\lambda, m}$ is analytic in $U$, so it can be expanded on a Taylor series as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{\lambda, m}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n}^{\lambda}(m) z^{n} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{n}^{\lambda}(m)$ is Gegenbauer polynomial of degree n .
Obviously $\kappa_{\lambda}$ generates nothing when $\lambda=0$. Therefore, the generating function of the Gegenbauer polynomial is set to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{0}(m, z)=1-\log \left(1-2 m z+z^{2}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n}^{0}(m) z^{n} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\lambda=0$ and Gegenbauer polynomials can also be defined by the following recurrence relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}^{\lambda}(m)=\frac{1}{n}\left[2 m(n+\lambda-1) C_{n-1}^{\lambda}(m)-(n+2 \lambda-2) C_{n-1}^{\lambda}(m)\right] \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial values

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{0}^{\lambda}(m)=1, C_{1}^{\lambda}(m)=2 \lambda m, \quad C_{2}^{\lambda}(m)= & 2 \lambda(1+\lambda) m^{2}-\lambda \\
& \text { and } C_{3}^{\lambda}(m)=\frac{4 \lambda(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)}{3} m^{2}-2 \lambda(\lambda+1) m \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

see details in [36]
Szynal [37] introduced the class $T(\lambda)$ as a subclass consisting of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(z)=\int_{-1}^{1} k(z, m) d \sigma(m) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(z, m)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(m) z^{n} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{\lambda, m} f(z)=k(z, m) * f=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(m) a_{n} z^{n} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.11) denotes the Hadarmard product of (2.1) and (2.10).

To prove our desired results, we require the following lemmas and definitions.
Lemma 2.1. [30]. If $p(z) \in P$ from 2.2, then $\left|d_{n}\right| \leq 2, n=1,2, \ldots$.
Lemma 2.2. [30] Let $p(z) \in P$, then $2 d_{2}=d_{1}^{2}+\left(4-d_{1}^{2}\right) \xi$. and $4 d_{3}=d_{1}^{3}+2 d_{1}\left(4-d_{1}^{2}\right) \xi-d_{1}(4-$ $\left.d_{1}^{2}\right) \xi^{2}+2\left(4-d_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-|\xi|^{2}\right) \eta$. for some $\xi, \eta$ satisfying $|\xi| \leq 1,|\xi| \leq 1$ and $d_{1} \in[0,2]$.

Lemma 2.3. [30] If $p(z) \in P$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|d_{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{2}\right| \leq 2-\frac{\left|d_{1}^{2}\right|}{2}  \tag{2.12}\\
\left|d_{n+k}-\mu d_{n} d_{k}\right|<2,0 \leq \mu \leq 1  \tag{2.13}\\
\left|d_{n+2 k}-\mu d_{n} d_{k}^{2}\right| \leq 2(1+2 \mu) \tag{2.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 2.4. [36]. If $g(z) \in S^{*}$, then $\left|b_{n}\right| \leq n, n \geq 2$.
[32] Let $\rho$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, with $p(0)=1$. Denote by $P$ the class of functions $\rho$ with Taylor series expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n} z^{n} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying

$$
\Re(\rho(z))>0 \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

(Derek et al., 2018).
Now we define the following new subclass of $K_{\mu, s}$ as follows [30]. Let $\zeta_{\lambda, m} \in K_{\mu, s}$, if $\zeta_{\lambda, m} \in A$ and there exists $g(z) \in S^{*}$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{z\left(\zeta_{\lambda, m} f\right)^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}-1\right| \prec \sin z
$$

where $\lambda \geq 0, m \in[1,-1]$ and $K_{\mu, s}$ denotes the natural close- to-convex analogue of $S_{\mu}^{*}$. Note that $s$ denotes the Sine function.

## 3 Main Results

Theorem 3.1. If $\zeta_{\lambda, m} \in K_{\mu, s}$ where $m \in[1,-1]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{3}{2\left|c_{1}^{\lambda}(m)\right|} \\
& \left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{5}{3\left|c_{2 \mid}^{\lambda}(m)\right|} \\
& \left|a_{4}\right| \leq \frac{53}{24\left|c_{3}^{\lambda}(m)\right|} \\
& \left|a_{5}\right| \leq \frac{92}{15\left|c_{4}^{\lambda}\right|(m)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: From Definition 2.8, $g(z) \in S^{*}$ and according to subordination relationship, there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\frac{z\left(\zeta_{\lambda, m} f\right)^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}-1\right| \prec \sin z \\
z\left(\zeta_{\lambda, m} f\right)^{\prime}(z)=g(z)(1+\sin \omega(z)) \\
\zeta_{\lambda, m} f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(m) a_{n} z^{n} \\
\zeta_{\lambda, m} f^{\prime}(z)=1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(m) a_{n} z^{n-1} \\
z \zeta_{\lambda, m} f^{\prime}(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(m) a_{n} z^{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, if $g(z) \in S^{*}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}  \tag{3.2}\\
\exists p(z)=\frac{1+\omega}{1-\omega}=1+d_{1} z+d_{2} z^{2}+\ldots \text { such that } p(z) \in P
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { and } \begin{array}{c}
\omega(z)=\frac{p(z)-1}{1+p(z)}=\frac{d_{1} z+d_{2} z^{2}+\ldots}{2+d_{1} z+d_{2} z^{2}+\ldots} \\
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow \omega(z)=\frac{d_{1}}{2} z+\left(\frac{d_{2}}{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{4}\right) z^{2}+\left(\frac{d_{1}^{3}}{8}-\frac{d_{1} d_{2}}{2}+\frac{d_{3}}{2}\right) z^{3} \\
&+\left(\frac{3 d_{1}^{2} d_{2}}{8}-\frac{d_{1} d_{3}}{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{4}}{16}-\frac{d_{2}^{2}}{4}+\frac{d_{4}}{2}\right) z^{4}+\ldots \\
&=\quad\left(\frac{1}{48} d_{1}^{3}\right) z^{3}+\left(\frac{d_{2} d_{1}^{2}}{16}-\frac{d_{1}^{4}}{32}\right) z^{4}+\left(\frac{3 d_{3} d_{1}^{2}}{8}-\frac{3 d_{2} d_{1}^{3}}{4}+\frac{d_{1} d_{2}^{2}}{4}+\frac{3 d_{1}^{5}}{16}\right) z^{5} \ldots
\end{aligned} \\
\begin{array}{r}
(\omega(z))^{3}+
\end{array} \\
\quad(\omega(z))^{5}=\frac{d_{1}^{5}}{32} z^{5}
\end{array} \\
& \sin \omega(z)=\omega(z)-\frac{\omega(z)^{3}}{3!}+\frac{\omega(z)^{5}}{5!}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting for $\omega(z)$ in $\sin \omega(z)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin \omega(z)=\frac{d_{1}}{2} z+\left(\frac{d_{2}}{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{4}\right) z^{2} & +\left(\frac{5 d_{1}^{3}}{48}-\frac{d_{1} d_{2}}{2}+\frac{d_{3}}{2}\right) z^{3}+\left(\frac{5 d_{1}^{2} d_{2}}{16}-\frac{d_{1} d_{3}}{2}-\frac{3 d_{1}^{4}}{32}-\frac{d_{2}^{2}}{4}+\frac{d_{4}}{2}\right) z^{4} \\
& +\left(\frac{5 d_{1}^{2} d_{3}}{16}+\frac{5 d_{1} d_{2}^{2}}{16}-\frac{5 d_{1}^{3} d_{2}}{48}+\frac{61 d_{1}^{5}}{3840}-\frac{d_{2} d_{3}}{32}+\frac{d_{5}}{2}+\frac{d_{1} d_{4}}{2}\right) z^{5} \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
1+\sin \omega(z)=1+\frac{d_{1}}{2} z+\left(\frac{d_{2}}{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{4}\right) z^{2}+\left(\frac{5 d_{1}^{3}}{48}-\frac{d_{1} d_{2}}{2}+\frac{d_{3}}{2}\right) z^{3}+\left(\frac{5 d_{1}^{2} d_{2}}{16}-\frac{d_{1} d_{3}}{2}-\frac{3 d_{1}^{4}}{32}-\frac{d_{2}^{2}}{4}+\frac{d_{4}}{2}\right) z^{4} \\
+\left(\frac{5 d_{1}^{2} d_{3}}{16}+\frac{5 d_{1} d_{2}^{2}}{16}-\frac{5 d_{1}^{3} d_{2}}{48}+\frac{61 d_{1}^{5}}{3840}-\frac{d_{2} d_{3}}{32}+\frac{d_{5}}{2}+\frac{d_{1} d_{4}}{2}\right) z^{5} \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplied by $(g(z))$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
g(z)(1+\sin \omega(z))=z+\left(\frac{d_{1}}{2}+\right. & \left.b_{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(\frac{d_{2}}{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{4}+\frac{d_{1} b_{2}}{2}+b_{3}\right) z^{3} \\
& +\left(\frac{5 d_{1}^{3}}{48}-\frac{d_{1} d_{2}}{2}+\frac{d_{3}}{2}+\frac{d_{2} b_{2}}{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{2} b_{2}}{4}+\frac{d_{1} b_{3}}{2}+b_{4}\right) z^{4}+\ldots \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Equating coefficients (3.1) and (3.3); we have that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(2 c_{1}^{\lambda}(m) a_{2}\right) z^{2}=\left(\frac{d_{1}}{2}+b_{2}\right) z^{2}  \tag{3.4}\\
\left(3 c_{2}^{\lambda}(m) a_{3}\right) z^{3}=\left(\frac{d_{2}}{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{4}+\frac{d_{1} b_{2}}{2}+b_{3}\right) z^{3}  \tag{3.5}\\
\left(4 c_{3}^{\lambda}(m) a_{4}\right) z^{4}=\left(\frac{5 d_{1}^{3}}{48}-\frac{d_{1} d_{2}}{2}+\frac{d_{3}}{2}+\frac{d_{2} b_{2}}{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{2} b_{2}}{4}+\frac{d_{1} b_{3}}{2}+b_{4}\right) z^{4} \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(5 c_{4}^{\lambda}(m) a_{5}\right) z^{5}= \\
& \quad\left(\frac{5 d_{1}^{2} d_{2}}{16}+\frac{d_{4}}{2}-\frac{d_{1} d_{3}}{2}-\frac{3 d_{1}^{4}}{32}-\frac{d_{2}^{2}}{4}+\frac{5 d_{1}^{3} b_{2}}{48}-\frac{b_{2} d_{1} d_{2}}{2}+\frac{d_{1} b_{4}}{2}+\frac{b_{2} d_{3}}{2}+\frac{b_{3} d_{2}}{2}-\frac{b_{3} d_{1}^{2}}{4}+b_{5}\right) z^{5} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

From equation 3.4

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{2} & =\frac{1}{2 c_{1}^{\lambda}(m)}\left(\frac{d_{1}}{2}+b_{2}\right) \\
\left|a_{2}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{2\left|c_{1}^{\lambda}(m)\right|}\left|\frac{d_{1}}{2}+b_{2}\right|  \tag{3.8}\\
\left|a_{2}\right| & \leq\left|\frac{d_{1}}{4\left|c_{1}^{\lambda}(m)\right|}+\frac{b_{2}}{2\left|c_{1}^{\lambda}(m)\right|}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

From equation 3.5

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{3} & =\frac{1}{3 c_{2}^{\lambda}(m)}\left(\frac{d_{2}}{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{4}+\frac{d_{1} b_{2}}{2}+b_{3}\right) \\
a_{3} & =\frac{1}{3 c_{2}^{\lambda}(m)} \frac{1}{2}\left(d_{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{2}+\frac{d_{1} b_{2}}{2}+b_{3}\right) \\
\left|a_{3}\right| & \leq\left|\frac{1}{3 c_{2}^{\lambda}(m)}\right|\left|\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{d_{1} b_{2}}{2}+b_{3}\right| \\
\left|a_{3}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{3\left|c_{2}^{\lambda}(m)\right|} \frac{1}{2}\left|d_{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{2}\right|+\frac{\left|d_{1}\right|\left|b_{2}\right|}{2}+\left|b_{3}\right| \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{4}=\frac{1}{4 c_{3}^{\lambda}(m)}\left(\frac{5 d_{1}^{3}}{48}-\frac{d_{1} d_{2}}{2}+\frac{d_{3}}{2}+\frac{d_{2} b_{2}}{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{2} b_{2}}{4}+\frac{d_{1} b_{3}}{2}+b_{4}\right) \\
a_{4}=\frac{1}{4 c_{3}^{\lambda}(m)}\left(\frac{5 d_{1}^{3}}{48}+\frac{d_{1} b_{3}}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{3}-d_{1} d_{2}\right)+\frac{b_{2}}{2}\left(d_{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+b_{4}\right) \\
\left|a_{4}\right| \leq\left|\frac{1}{4 c_{3}^{\lambda}(m)}\right|\left|\frac{5 d_{1}^{3}}{48}+\frac{d_{1} b_{3}}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{3}-d_{1} d_{2}\right)+\frac{b_{2}}{2}\left(d_{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+b_{4}\right| \\
\left|a_{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4\left|c_{3}^{\lambda}(m)\right|}\left(\frac{5\left|d_{1}^{3}\right|}{48}+\frac{\left|d_{1}\right|\left|b_{3}\right|}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|d_{3}-d_{1} d_{2}\right|+\frac{\left|b_{2}\right|}{2}\left|d_{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{2}\right|+\left|b_{4}\right|\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Immediately from (3.7)

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{5}=\frac{1}{5 c_{4}^{\lambda}(m)}\left(\frac{5 d_{1}^{2} d_{2}}{16}+\frac{d_{4}}{2}-\frac{d_{1} d_{3}}{2}-\frac{3 d_{1}^{4}}{32}-\frac{d_{2}^{2}}{4}+\frac{5 d_{1}^{3} b_{2}}{48}-\frac{b_{2} d_{1} d_{2}}{2}+\frac{d_{1} b_{4}}{2}+\frac{b_{2} d_{3}}{2}+\frac{b_{3} d_{2}}{2}-\frac{b_{3} d_{1}^{2}}{4}+b_{5}\right) \\
& \left|a_{5}\right| \leq\left|\frac{1}{5 c_{4}^{\lambda}(m)}\right|\left|\frac{5 d_{1}^{2} d_{2}}{16}+\frac{d_{4}}{2}+\frac{5 d_{1}^{3} b_{2}}{48}+b_{5}+\frac{d_{1} b_{4}}{2}+\frac{b_{2} d_{3}}{2}+\frac{b_{3} d_{2}}{2}-\frac{d_{1} d_{3}}{2}-\frac{3 d_{1}^{4}}{32}-\frac{d_{2}^{2}}{4}-\frac{b_{2} d_{1} d_{2}}{2}-\frac{b_{3} d_{1}^{2}}{4}\right| \\
& \begin{aligned}
&\left|a_{5}\right| \leq \frac{1}{5\left|c_{4}^{\lambda}\right|(m)}\left|\frac{5 d_{1}^{2} d_{2}}{16}+\frac{d_{4}}{2}+\frac{5 d_{1}^{3} b_{2}}{48}+b_{5}+\frac{d_{1} b_{4}}{2}+\frac{b_{2} d_{3}}{2}+\frac{b_{3} d_{2}}{2}\right|+\left|-d_{1}\right|\left|\frac{d_{3}}{2}+\frac{3 d_{1}^{3}}{32}+\frac{b_{2} d_{2}}{2}+\frac{b_{3} d_{1}}{4}\right|+\left|-\frac{d_{2}^{2}}{4}\right| \\
&\left|a_{5}\right| \leq \frac{1}{5\left|c_{4}^{\lambda}\right|(m)}\left[\frac{5\left|d_{1}^{2}\right|\left|d_{2}\right|}{16}+\frac{\left|d_{4}\right|}{2}+\frac{5\left|d_{1}^{3}\right|\left|b_{2}\right|}{48}+\left|b_{5}\right|+\frac{\left|d_{1}\right|\left|b_{4}\right|}{2}+\frac{\left|b_{2}\right|\left|d_{3}\right|}{2}+\frac{\left|b_{3}\right|\left|d_{2}\right|}{2}\right. \\
&\left.+\left|d_{1}\right|\left(\frac{\left|d_{3}\right|}{2}+\frac{3\left|d_{1}^{3}\right|}{32}+\frac{\left|b_{2}\right|\left|d_{2}\right|}{2}+\frac{\left|b_{3}\right|\left|d_{1}\right|}{4}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left|d_{2}^{2}\right|\right]
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\zeta_{\lambda, m} \in C_{n}(z)$, then $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{3}{2 c_{1}^{\lambda}(m)}$

## Proof:

setting $n=2$ in (3.1) yeilds (3.8) and applying lemmas 2.1 and 2.4

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2\left|c_{1}^{\lambda}(m)\right|}\left|\frac{d_{1}}{2}+b_{2}\right|
$$

the proof follows. This is the result obtained by Olatunji [29].
If $\zeta_{\lambda, m} \in C_{n}(z)$, then

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{5}{3 c_{2}^{\lambda}(m)}
$$

## Proof:

setting $n=3$ in (3.1) yeilds (3.9) and applying lemmas 2.1, 2.3 and 2.4, we have

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3\left|c_{2}^{\lambda}(m)\right|} \frac{1}{2}\left|d_{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{2}\right|+\frac{\left|d_{1}\right|\left|b_{2}\right|}{2}+\left|b_{3}\right|
$$

the proof follows. This is the result obtained by Olatunji [29].
If $\zeta_{\lambda, m} \in C_{n}(z)$, then

$$
\left|a_{4}\right| \leq \frac{53}{24\left|c_{3}^{\lambda}(m)\right|}
$$

## Proof:

setting $n=4$ in (3.1) yeilds (3.10) and applying lemmas 2.1, 2.3 and 2.4, we have

$$
\left|a_{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4\left|c_{3}^{\lambda}(m)\right|}\left(\frac{5\left|d_{1}^{3}\right|}{48}+\frac{\left|d_{1}\right|\left|b_{3}\right|}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|d_{3}-d_{1} d_{2}\right|+\frac{\left|b_{2}\right|}{2}\left|d_{2}-\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{2}\right|+\left|b_{4}\right|\right)
$$

hence the proof.
If $\zeta_{\lambda, m} \in C_{n}(z)$, then

$$
\left|a_{5}\right| \leq \frac{92}{15\left|c_{4}^{\lambda}\right|(m)}
$$

## Proof:

setting $n=5$ in (3.1) yeilds (3.11) and applying lemmas 2.1, 2.3 and 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{5}\right| \leq \frac{1}{5\left|c_{4}^{\lambda}\right|(m)}\left[\frac{5\left|d_{1}^{2}\right|\left|d_{2}\right|}{16}+\frac{\left|d_{4}\right|}{2}+\frac{5\left|d_{1}^{3}\right|\left|b_{2}\right|}{48}\right. & +\left|b_{5}\right|+\frac{\left|d_{1}\right|\left|b_{4}\right|}{2}+\frac{\left|b_{2}\right|\left|d_{3}\right|}{2}+\frac{\left|b_{3}\right|\left|d_{2}\right|}{2} \\
& \left.+\left|d_{1}\right|\left(\frac{\left|d_{3}\right|}{2}+\frac{3\left|d_{1}^{3}\right|}{32}+\frac{\left|b_{2}\right|\left|d_{2}\right|}{2}+\frac{\left|b_{3}\right|\left|d_{1}\right|}{4}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left|d_{2}^{2}\right|\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

the proof follows.
Toeplitz Determinants of $K_{\mu, s}$
The Toeplitz matrix is a matrix in which each descending diagonal from left to right is constant, this means

$$
T_{q}(n)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{n} & a_{n+1} & \ldots & a_{n+q-1} \\
a_{n+1} & a_{n} & a_{n+1} & \ldots \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\
\cdot & \ldots & a_{n+1} & \\
\cdot & \cdots & a_{n+1} & \\
a_{n+q-1} & \ldots & a_{n+1} & a_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$n \leq 1, q \leq 1$
This matrix has computational properties and appearances in various areas, 1001[23],1001[38]. In this work, assume $a_{1}=1$, then the estimates for the Toeplitz determinant in the cases of $q=2, n=2, q=3, n=1, q=3, n=2, q=4, n=1$ and $q=4, n=2$ of the analytic function having entries from the class $K_{\mu, s}$ is presented in the following Theorems.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\zeta_{\lambda, m} \in K_{\mu, s}$ where $m \in[1,-1]$, and

$$
T_{2}(2)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & a_{3} \\
a_{3} & a_{2}
\end{array}\right|
$$

then

$$
\left|a_{3}^{2}-{a_{2}}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{9}{4\left|c_{1}^{2 \lambda}(m)\right|}+\frac{71}{18\left|c_{2}^{2 \lambda}(m)\right|}
$$

Proof: The proof follows from equations 3.8 and 3.9
Theorem 3.3. Let $\zeta_{\lambda, m} \in K_{\mu, s}$ where $m \in[1,-1]$, and

$$
T_{3}(1)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} \\
a_{2} & a_{1} & a_{2} \\
a_{3} & a_{2} & a_{1}
\end{array}\right|
$$

then,

$$
\left|1+2 a_{2}^{2}\left(a_{3}-1\right)-a_{3}{ }^{2}\right| \leq 1+\frac{1}{36\left|c_{1}^{2 \lambda} c_{2}^{\lambda}(m)\right|}-\frac{5}{2 \mid c_{1}^{2 \lambda}(m)}-\frac{97}{18\left|c_{2}^{2 \lambda}(m)\right|}
$$

Proof: The proof follows from (3.8),(3.9),(3.10) and (3.11)

Theorem 3.4. Let $\zeta_{\lambda, m} \in K_{\mu, s}$ where $m \in[1,-1]$, and

$$
T_{3}(2)=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} \\
a_{3} & a_{2} & a_{3} \\
a_{4} & a_{3} & a_{2}
\end{array}\right|
$$

then,

$$
\left|2 a_{3}^{2}\left(a_{4}-a_{2}\right)-a_{2} a_{4}^{2}+a_{2}^{3}\right| \leq \frac{|A|^{3}}{8\left|c_{1}^{3}(m)\right|}+\frac{|B|^{2}|C|}{18\left|c_{2}^{2 \lambda}\right| c_{3}^{\lambda} \mid(m)}+\frac{|A||B|^{2}}{9\left|c_{1}^{\lambda}\right|\left|c_{2}^{2 \lambda}(m)\right|}+\frac{|A||C|^{2}}{32\left|c_{1}^{\lambda}\right|\left|c_{3}^{2 \lambda}(m)\right|}
$$

Proof: The proof follows.
Theorem 3.5. Let $\zeta_{\lambda, m} \in K_{\mu, s}$ where $m \in[1,-1]$, and

$$
T_{4}(1)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} \\
a_{2} & a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} \\
a_{3} & a_{2} & a_{1} & a_{2} \\
a_{4} & a_{3} & a_{2} & a_{1}
\end{array}\right|
$$

then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|1-3 a_{2}^{2}+2 a_{2}^{2} a_{3}-2 a_{3}^{2}-2 a_{2}^{2} a_{3}^{2}+4 a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}-2 a_{2} a_{3}^{2} a_{4}-2 a_{2}^{3} a_{4}+a_{2}^{4}+a_{3}^{4}+a_{4}^{2}+a_{2}^{2} a_{4}^{2}\right| \\
& \leq 1-\frac{3|A|^{2}}{4\left|c_{1}^{2} \lambda(m)\right|}+\frac{|A|^{2}|B|}{6\left|c_{1}^{2 \lambda}\right| c_{2}^{\lambda} \mid(m)}+\frac{2|B|^{2}}{9\left|c_{2}^{2 \lambda}\right|(m)}+\frac{|A|^{2}|B|^{2}}{36\left|c_{1}^{2 \lambda}\right| c_{2}^{2} \lambda \mid(m)}+\frac{|A||B|^{2}|C|}{36\left|c_{1}^{\lambda}\right|\left|c_{2}^{2} \lambda\right|\left|c_{3}^{\lambda}\right|(m)} \\
& \\
& \quad+\frac{|A|^{3}|C|}{16\left|c_{1}^{3} \lambda\right|\left|c_{3}^{\lambda}\right|(m)}+\frac{|C|^{2}}{16\left|c_{3}^{2 \lambda}\right|(m)}+\frac{|A|^{2}|C|^{2}}{64\left|c_{1}^{2 \lambda}\right|\left|c_{3}^{2} \lambda\right|(m)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: The proof follows from $(3.8),(3.9),(3.10)$ and (3.11).
Theorem 3.6. Let $\zeta_{\lambda, m} \in K_{\mu, s}$ where $m \in[1,-1]$, and

$$
T_{4}(2)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} & a_{5} \\
a_{3} & a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} \\
a_{4} & a_{3} & a_{2} & a_{3} \\
a_{5} & a_{4} & a_{3} & a_{2}
\end{array}\right|
$$

then,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\left(a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}^{2}\right)^{2}+2\left(a_{3}^{2}-a_{2} a_{4}\right)\left(a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3} a_{5}\right)-\left(a_{2} a_{3}-a_{3} a_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{4}^{2}-a_{3} a_{5}\right)^{2}-\left(a_{3} a_{4}-a_{2} a_{5}\right)^{2}\right| \\
\quad \leq \frac{|A|^{4}}{16\left|c_{1}^{4} \lambda(m)\right|}+\frac{|B|^{4}}{81\left|c_{2}^{4 \lambda}\right|(m)}+\frac{|A||B \| C||D|}{30\left|c_{1}^{\lambda}\right|\left|c_{2}^{\lambda}\right|\left|c_{3}^{\lambda}\right|\left|c_{4}^{\lambda}\right|(m)}+\frac{|A||B|^{2}|C|}{18\left|c_{1}^{\lambda}\right|\left|c_{2}^{2} \lambda\right|\left|c_{3}^{\lambda}\right|(m)}+\frac{|C|^{4}}{256\left|c_{3}^{4 \lambda}\right|(m)}+ \\
\frac{|B|^{2}|D|^{2}}{225\left|c_{2}^{2 \lambda}\right| c_{4}^{2} \lambda \mid(m)}+\frac{|A|^{2}|B|^{2}}{12\left|c_{1}^{2} \lambda\right|\left|c_{2}^{2} \lambda\right|(m)}++\frac{|A|^{2}|C|^{2}}{32\left|c_{1}^{2} \lambda\right|\left|c_{3}^{2} \lambda\right|(m)}+\frac{|A|^{2}|D|^{2}}{100\left|c_{1}^{2} \lambda\right|\left|c_{3}^{2 \lambda}\right|(m)}+\frac{|B|^{2}|C|^{2}}{72\left|c_{2}^{2 \lambda}\right|\left|c_{3}^{2} \lambda\right|(m)}+ \\
\frac{2|B|^{3}|D|}{135\left|c_{2}^{2 \lambda}\right|\left|c_{4}^{\lambda}\right|(m)}+\frac{|B \| C|^{2}|D|}{120\left|c_{2}^{\lambda}\right|\left|c_{3}^{2} \lambda\right|\left|c_{4}^{\lambda}\right|(m)}
\end{array}
$$

Proof: The proof follows from Theorem 3.1
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