# Oke<sup>1</sup>, I. A; Fasuyi-Enang<sup>3</sup> O; Oloyede<sup>2</sup>, H.O; Obijole<sup>2</sup>, O.A; Fehintola<sup>2</sup> E. O. and Amoko<sup>2</sup> J. S.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, Adeyemi College of Education, Ondo; Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria <sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Caleb University, Lagos, Nigeria Corresponding Author: okeia@oauife.edu.ng; <u>okeiaa@yahoo.com; okeia@hotmail.com</u> (Received: 7<sup>th</sup>, July, 2014; Accepted: 11<sup>th</sup> August, 2014)

#### ABSTRACT

In this paper, the removal of lead, nickel and cadmium from aqueous solution by adsorption was studied. Chicken's eggshells were collected, washed with distilled water, air dried, pulverized, sieved into different particle sizes and stored for use. Powdered eggshells (PES) were separated, its properties were determined and used as an adsorbent to remove each of these selected metals from aqueous solution individually, multi-component synthetic wastewater and from natural water in a batch process. Effects of initial concentration of the metals, initial pH of the solution and particle size of PES on the adsorption capacities of these selected metals onto PES were monitored. Estimated cost of producing PES was conducted under two major sources of power supply in Nigeria. The study revealed that PES contained calcium, aluminum, and iron as part of its major components. There were slight reductions in the adsorption of Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> onto the larger particle size of PES. Higher adsorption occurred when the initial concentrations and the pH values were increased, but the adsorption capacities were not affected. Cost of PES producing was found to be lower (0.43USD) than the cost of producing other adsorbents. It was concluded that PES could be used as an adsorbent to remove Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> from aqueous solutions and raw water.

Keywords: Adsorption, Eggshells, Heavy Metal, Synthetic Wastewaters, Natural Water, Isotherm .

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The presence of heavy metals such as lead  $(Pb^{2+})$ , nickel (Ni<sup>2+</sup>) and cadmium (Cd<sup>2+</sup>) coupled with their effects on the environment have been documented in the literature. The sources of these heavy metals are well known to be wastewaters. Wastewater and water treatment techniques can be classified into the following groups: physical, chemical, biological, electrical, membrane and irradiation. The methods applied for the removal of heavy metals from wastewater include chemical precipitation (Otun et al., 2006 a and b; Krishnan and Anirudhan, 2003), solvent extraction, ultrafiltration, biochemical treatment, ion exchange and adsorption. Out of these methods, adsorption, which is considered as a third stage of wastewater treatment has been preferred over other wastewater and water treatment techniques because of its cheapness and the high-quality treated effluents it produces. Adsorption is a process by which a solid adsorbent can attract a component from the aqueous phase to its surface and form an attachment through a physical or chemical bond, thereby removing the component from the aqueous phase. Over the years the role of adsorption in wastewaters and water treatment has not been underestimated. Adsorption of pollutant by a number of materials (low cost materials) such as carbon from palm kernel shells (Ogedengbe et al., 1985; Adewumi, 1999), leaf mould (Sharma and Forster, 1994), activated groundnut husk carbon (Srinivassan et al., 1991; Periasamy et al., 1991), coconut husk and palm pressed fibres (Tan et al., 1993), coconut shell activated carbon (Erhan et al., 2004), coconut shell, wood and dust coal activated carbons (Selomulya et al., 1999), coconut jute carbon (Chan et al., 1994), coconut tree sawdust carbon (Selvi et al., 2001), sawdust and used tyres carbon (Hamadi et al., 2001), activated carbon (Chan et al., 1994), chitosan (Schmub et al., 2001), hazelnut shell carbon (Kobya, 2004) and carbon slurry (Singh and Tiwari, 1991) have been reported in the literature. Ho (2007) reported that about 9058 articles had been published on adsorption of materials and Erhan et al. (2004) listed 37 carbon materials as low cost adsorbents that had been studied. Additional information on adsorption can be found in literature such as Otun et al. (2006 a and b); Adie et al. (2010); Ismail et al. (2009); Olarinoye et al. (2012). It can then be summarised that common adsorbent materials are activated carbon, synthetic resins, activated alumina agricultural solid wastes and natural adsorbents. The main objective of this study was to test the effectiveness of a solid waste (powdered egg shell) towards adsorption of Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> from synthetic wastewaters (either in individual or multi-component systems) and from raw water with particular attention to its adsorption kinetics.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

As a follow-up to previous studies on powdered eggshells (PES) by several authors (Otun *et al.*, 2006 a and b); Oke *et al.*, 2008), chicken eggshells were collected at the old Buka, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. These eggshells were washed with distilled water, air-dried, ground into powder and classified using British Standard (BS) sieve. Powdered eggshell with sieve sizes of 75  $\mu$ m (PES<sub>1</sub>), 150  $\mu$ m (PES<sub>2</sub>), 212  $\mu$ m (PES<sub>3</sub>) and 300  $\mu$ m (PES<sub>4</sub>) were separated and stored in desiccators. Selected properties (physical and chemical) of the powdered eggshell were determined using standard methods.

#### **Moisture Content Determination**

A well mixed sample of PES was evaporated in weighed dishes to a constant weight in an oven at  $105^{\circ}$ C (APHA, 1998). The decrease in the weight of the PES represents the moisture content (M<sub>c</sub>) defined as:

$$M_{c}(\%) = 100 \left( \frac{W_{1} - W_{2}}{W_{1}} \right)$$
(1)

Where  $M_c$  is moisture contents;  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are initial and final weight of PES after oven dry at  $105^{\circ}C$ 

# Volatile Solid and Ash Content Determination

Known masses of dried samples used for moisture content determination were placed in crucibles and transferred into a muffle furnace. The furnace was heated to 550°C for 2 hours (APHA, 1998). The samples were cooled in desiccators and the final weights were measured. Volatile solid (VS) and ash content (Ash) of PES were calculated as follows (APHA, 1998):

$$VS(\%) = 100 \left(\frac{W_2 - W_3}{W_2}\right)$$
(2)

$$Ash (\%) = 100 \left(\frac{W_3}{W_1}\right) \tag{3}$$

Where;  $W_3$  is final weight of PES after 2 hours incineration in the furnace at 550°C.

#### Water and Acid Solubilities Determination

Known dried masses (5 gram) of the samples were soaked in 300 mL of distilled water and in 300 mL of 0.25 M of HCl for 24 h separately. The samples were filtered using pre-dried and weighed filter papers (number 1). The samples and the filter papers were dried in the oven at 105° C for 24 h, cooled in desiccators to balance the temperature and the final weights were measured. Water soluble (Ws) and acid solubilities (As) of PES were calculated as follows (APHA, 1998):

$$WS (\%) = 100 \left( \frac{W_2 - W_4}{W_2} \right)$$
(4)  
$$AS (\%) = 100 \left( \frac{W_2 - W_5}{W_2} \right)$$
(5)

Where  $W_4$  and  $W_5$  are dry weights of PES after soaked in water and acid respectively.

### **Metal Concentrations Determination**

Known mass (1.0 g) of the PES was digested using nitric acid digestion method and chemical components of the adsorbent were determined using standard methods (APHA, 1998). Specifically, 1.0 gram of PES was digested using nitric acid and total metal concentrations (M<sub>cc</sub>) were determined using spectormetry method. Metal concentrations were computed as follows:

$$M_{cc} (mg / L) = \left(\frac{A * B}{W_1}\right)$$
(6)

Where; B is dilution factor; A is concentration of the metals (mg/L).

Micrograph structure of PES was conducted using electron Microscope facility available in the Materials Science and Engineering Department of the Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. Adsorption capacities of PES were examined on synthetic wastewaters prepared by dissolving individually known masses of Pb<sup>2+</sup> (1.598 g of Pb(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) in 100 ml of distilled water and was made up to 1000 ml mark with distilled water, Ni<sup>2+</sup> (1.735 g of nickel chloride) in 200 ml of distilled water and was made up to 1000 ml mark with distilled water and  $Cd^{2+}$  (2.74 g of  $Cd(NO_3)_2$  -4H<sub>2</sub>O) in 100 ml of distilled water and was made up to 1000 ml mark with distilled water (APHA, 1998). Known masses of the adsorbent were added into beakers containing 300 ml of a known concentration of the pollutants. The mixtures were stirred at 60 revolutions per minutes (rpm) for 3 minutes and allowed to stand for 18 hours (a time at which equilibrium concentration might have been reached). The supernatants were filtered through a filter paper Number 40 (Whatman) to remove suspended solids and to prevent interference of turbidity. Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup> and  $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ concentrations in the filtrates were determined. In multi component synthetic wastewaters 1.598 g of Pb(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 1.735 g of nickel chloride and 2.74 g of Cd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> - 4H<sub>2</sub>O were dissolved in 100 ml of distilled water and was made up to 1000 ml mark with distilled water. The procedures for the treatment of mono component (individual) wastewaters were repeated for raw water collected and for multi-component synthetic wastewater prepared. The amounts of solute removed (adsorbed) at equilibrium and at any time were computed using equations (7) and (8) respectively.

$$q_t = \frac{\left(C_o - C_t\right)}{M} V \tag{7}$$

$$R_t = 100 \left( \frac{\left(C_o - C_t\right)}{C_0} \right) \tag{8}$$

Where;  $q_t$  is the adsorption capacity at time t (mg/g),;  $C_0$  is initial concentration of lead in the solution (mg/l);  $C_t$  is experimental concentration in the solution at time t (mg/l) and  $R_t$  is the per cent pollutant adsorbed (%)

The laboratory analysis of heavy metal concentrations in both synthetic wastewaters and raw water were carried out as specified in APHA(1998) using the Alpha 4 Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) (Chem Techn Analytical) at the Central Science Laboratory, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. The adsorption kinetics of the adsorbent was analyzed through the use of graphical methods for standard kinetics models. The kinetic data were further analyzed using the kinetic expression given by Boyd *et al.* (1947) to check whether sorption proceeds through external diffusion or intraparticle diffusion mechanism. These kinetics models were evaluated statistically using total errors, coefficient of determination (CD) and model of selection criterion (MSC). Estimated production costs (environmental economics analysis) were conducted. Total error (Err<sup>2</sup>) can be computed using equation (9) as follows (Oke, 2007; Babatola *et al.*, 2008)

$$Err^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( Y_{obsi} - Y_{cali} \right)^{2}$$
<sup>(9)</sup>

Where;  $Y_{obsi}$  is observed concentration and  $Y_{cali}$  is calculated concentration and n is the number of samples.

CD can be expressed as follows:

$$CD = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( Y_{obsi} - \overline{Y_{cali}} \right)^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( Y_{obsi} - Y_{cali} \right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( Y_{obsi} - \overline{Y_{cali}} \right)^{2}}$$
(10)

Where;  $\overline{Y_{obsi}}$  is the average of observe concentration and  $\overline{Y_{cali}}$  is the average of calculated concentration MSC can be computed using equation (11) as follows:

$$MSC = \ln \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{obsi} - \overline{Y_{obs}})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{obsi} - Y_{cali})^{2}} - \frac{2p}{n}$$
(11)

Where; p is number of parameters and n is the number of samples

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** Properties of Powdered Eggshells

Result of the digestion indicates that one gram of PES contained iron, aluminium and calcium (Table 1). It is well known that eggshell contains  $CaCO_3$  as the major components (up to 95%  $CaCO_3$ ). It has been postulated that in the presence of water, inorganic salts undergo displacement reactions as indicated in equations 12-15. This shows that the PES underwent reactions in equations 15-19 with selected heavy metal ions, which can reduce the pH and the end product reacted with metallic ions to precipitate the pollutant.

$$CaCO_3 + 2H_2O \rightarrow H_2O + CO_2 + Ca(OH)_2 (12)$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H_2O &+ & CO_2 &+ & Ca(OH)_2 &+ X^{2+} & \rightarrow \\ H_2O &+ & CO_2 &+ & Ca^{2+} + X(OH)_2 \end{array}$$
(13)

$$Al^{3+} + 2H_2O + X[NO_3]_2 \rightarrow Al[NO_3]_3 + X(OH)_2 \quad (14)$$

$$Fe^{2+} + 2H_2O + X[NO_3]_2 \rightarrow Fe[NO_3]_2 + X(OH)_2$$
 (15)

By expressing the mass of PES in terms of Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Al<sup>3+</sup> contents, 0. 1716 mole of Ca<sup>2+</sup>, 4.0 x  $10^{-2}$  mole of Fe<sup>2+</sup> and 5.24 x  $10^{-2}$  mole of Al<sup>3+</sup> could be found in one hundred (100) grams of PES. Figure 1 presents the micrograph of PES.

Table 1 Chemical and Physical Properties of PES

Description	Ash	Moisture	Calcium	Aluminium	Iron	Volatile	Water	Acid
_	(%)	content (%)	(as Ca <sup>2+</sup>	(as Al <sup>3+</sup> mg/g)	(as Fe <sup>2+</sup>	solids (%)	solubility	solubility
			mg/g)		mg/g)		(mg/g)	(mg/g)
Mean	97.58	1.06	407.44	13.45	27.6	2.31	0.64	2.96
Standard deviation	1.84	0.36	0.032	0.001	0.002	0.42	0.07	0.72

#### Ion Exchange Model

PES contains 2.31% volatile solids (Table 1), which indicates that powdered eggshells are partially cellulose-based adsorbents, which contain polar functional groups that can be involved in chemical bonding and are responsible for the cation exchange capacity of the PES. Thus, the PES and Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> reactions may be represented in two ways (Ho, 1998; 2006; Ho and Mckay, 1999; 2003):

 $2PESc^- + X^{2+} \rightleftharpoons XPESc_2$  (16)

 $2HPESc + X^{2+} \rightleftharpoons XPESc_2 + 2H^+$  (17)

Where PESc<sup>-</sup> and H PESc<sup>-</sup> are the polar sites on the PES surface and X is the pollutant. The literature reported that removal of heavy metals such as lead, nickel cadmium in the presence of CaCO<sub>3</sub> can be represented as (Kohler *et al.*, 2007):

$$yCa^{2+} + zCd^{2+} + CaCO_{3} \rightarrow Cd_{x}Ca_{(1-x)}CO_{3} + (y+x)Ca^{2+} + (z-x)Cd^{2+}(18)$$

$$yCa^{2+} + zPb^{2+} + CaCO_{3} \rightarrow Pb_{x}Ca_{(1-x)}CO_{3} + (y+x)Ca^{2+} + (z-x)Pb^{2+}(19)$$

$$yCa^{2+} + zNi^{2+} + CaCO_{3} \rightarrow Ni_{x}Ca_{(1-x)}CO_{3} + (y+x)Ca^{2+} + (z-x)Ni^{2+}(20)$$

Also, Zou *et al.* (2008) reported that eggshell membrane is a unique cell surface with various functional groups, providing the potential for biosorption of metal species. Detailed information on functional groups in eggshell membrane can be found in Zou *et al.* (2008). Reactions between the functional groups and the pollutants can be expressed as follows:

$$-----CONH ---- + Cd^{2+} \rightarrow Cd ----CONH ----Cd \qquad (21)$$

$$-----CONH ---- + Pb^{2+} \rightarrow Pb -----CONH ----Pb \qquad (22)$$

$$-----CONH ---- + Ni^{2+} \rightarrow$$

$$Ni - - - CONH - - Ni \tag{23}$$

From these equations above, it can be explained that higher values of hydrogen concentration will lower the formation of XPESc<sub>2</sub>. This indicates that increasing pH value will not favour X2+ adsorption. Also, decreasing the pH either through addition of hydroxyl ions (OH) or otherwise will increase adsorption of  $X^{2+}$ . This phenomenon can be attributed to more charges, disassociation of more anions which will attract  $X^{2+}$ , higher attraction forces and formation of  $X(OH)_2$  which will be precipitated or fill the pores. These observations agree with Jalili et al. (2008) on adsorption of palladium and platinum membrane, quality and ultra onto eggshell structure of eggshell studied and documented by Krystianik et al. (2005) and morphology properties presented in literature such as Lui et al. (2004).

#### Mechanism of Adsorption

Figures 2 and 3 show the mechanism of adsorption of lead, nickel and cadmium onto the PES. From the figures it can be seen that 89.4%,

276

89.4 % and 70.6 % of initial pollutant's concentrations were removed in momcomponent synthetic wastewater of lead, cadmium and nickel respectively. In multi component synthetic wastewater 80.4%, 62.8% and 36.8% of initial concentration of the pollutant were adsorbed from lead, cadmium and nickel wastewaters respectively. The drop in the concentration of pollutants adsorbed can be attributed to competitive adsorptions by these cations. From the figures also, it can be seen that the curves in all cases are in two parts. The first parts (exponential) of the curves are attributed to mass transfer effects, which take place with boundary layer diffusion, while the final parts (linear) indicate intraparticle diffusion. The slope indicates that the pores are micro-pores and the intraparticle diffusional resistance is due to micropores only. From the figures the mechanism of adsorption of lead, nickel and cadmium onto PES as an adsorbent follows two-steps, namely pore diffusion and intraparticle transport. This result is the general observation for batch reactor, while for a continuous flow system film diffusion is likely to be included and as the limiting step. It is well known that there is a high possibility for pore diffusion to be the rate-limiting step in a batch process and that the adsorption rate parameter, which controls the batch process for most of the contact time is the intraparticle diffusion (Saswati and Ghosh, 2005).

# Adsorption Kinetics of Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> onto PES

Adsorption dynamics describes the solute uptake rate, which controls the residence time of adsorbate uptake at the solid- solution interface. It is well known that reaction order and rate constant must be determined by experiments. In order to establish kinetic of lead, nickel and cadmium adsorption, adsorption dynamics of PES were investigated by using pseudo first-order, pseudo second-order, Elovich and intraparticle diffusion kinetic models. The pseudo first-order equation has been well documented and is generally expressed as follows (Oke *et al.*, 2008):

$$\frac{dq}{dt} = k_i (q_e - q_t)$$
(24)

Where;  $q_e$  is equilibrium solid-phase concentration of sorbate (mg/mg); t is the time and k is the rate constant of pseudo first-order adsorption.

After integration and applying boundary conditions t = 0 to t = t and  $q_t = 0$  to  $q_t = q_p$ , the integrated form of equation (21) becomes (Erhan *et al.*, 2004; Oke *et al.*, 2008):

$$\log_{e}(q_{e} - q_{t}) = \log_{e}(q_{e}) - \frac{k_{i}}{2.303}t (25)$$

The values of  $log_{e}(q_{e} - q_{i})$  were linearly correlated with time. The plot of  $log_{e}(q_{e} - q_{i})$  against time should give a linear relationship from which  $k_{i}$  and  $q_{e}$  can be determined from the slope and intercept of the respectively. Figure 4 shows graphical method for the parameter estimate. Tables 2, 3, 4 and 5 show the pseudo-first order model and their values respectively. The pseudo second-order adsorption kinetic rate equation is expressed as shown in equation (26):

$$\frac{dq}{dt} = k_2 (q_e - q_t)^2 \tag{26}$$

Where  $k_2$  is the rate constant of pseudo secondorder adsorption. Integrating equation (24) and rearranging same gives equation (27) and let h(mg/g·h) is  $b = k_2 (q_2)^2$ 

$$\left(\frac{t}{q_t}\right) = \frac{1}{h} + \frac{1}{q_e}t \qquad (27)$$

The plot of  $(t/q_i)$  against *t in* equation (27) should give a linear relationship from which h,  $q_e$  and  $k_2$ can be determined from the slope and intercept of the plot respectively. Figure 5 shows graphical method for the parameter estimated. Tables 2, 3, 4 and 5 show more about the model.

The Elovich model equation is generally expressed as indicated in equation (28):

$$\frac{dq_t}{dt} = \alpha \exp(-\beta q_t)$$
(28)

Where  $\beta$  is the desorption constant during any one experiment and is the initial adsorption rate Erhan *et al.* (2004) simplifies Elovich equation as shown in equation (29)

$$q_{t} = \frac{1}{\beta} \log_{e} (\alpha \beta) + \frac{1}{\beta} \log_{e} (t) \quad (29)$$

If lead, cadmium and nickel adsorption fits the Elovich model, a plot of  $q_t$  against logarithms to base e the time should yield a linear relationship with a slope of  $(1/\beta)$  and an intercept of  $(1/\beta)$  log<sub>e</sub> ( $\alpha\beta$ ). Figure 6 shows graphical method for the parameter estimated. Tables 2 to 5 present more on this dynamic model.

The intraparticle diffusion model is expressed as equation (30)

$$R = k_{id} \left( t \right)^a \tag{30}$$

Where; *R is* the per cent pollutant adsorbed (%); *a is* the gradient of linear plots and  $k_{id}$  is the intraparticle diffusion rate constant (/h).

A linearised form of the equation is followed by  $\log R = \log k_{id} + a \log(t)$ . Literature (Oke *et al.*, 2008; Erhan et al., 2004) reported that " a" depicts the adsorption mechanism and  $k_{id}$  may be taken as a rate factor (per cent pollutant adsorbed per unit Higher values of  $k_{id}$  illustrate an time). enhancement in the rate of adsorption, whereas larger  $k_{id}$  values illustrate a better adsorption mechanism, which is related to an improved bonding between pollutant and the adsorbent particles. Figure 7 shows graphical method for the parameter estimated. Tables 2 to 5 show more on this dynamic model. The intraparticle diffusion model based on the theory proposed by Weber and Morris (1963) was tested to identify the diffusion mechanism. It is an empirical functional relationship, common to the most adsorption processes, where the uptake varies proportionally with t<sup>0.5</sup> rather than with the contact time t. According to this theory (Edson et al., 2011):

$$R = k_p(t)^{0.5} \tag{30a}$$

According to equation (30a) a plot of R versus  $t^{0.5}$  should be a straight line with a slope  $k_p$  when the adsorption mechanism follows the intraparticle diffusion process. Such types of plots may present multi-linearity, implying that two or more steps occur (Edson *et al.*, 2011). The sharp first-stage portion is the external surface adsorption stage. The second portion is the gradual adsorption stage, where the intraparticle diffusion is rate-limited. From the Figure (Figure 10b), it is noted that the sorption process tends to be controlled by

the two or more phases. The slope of the second linear portion of the plot has been defined as the intraparticle diffusion parameter k<sub>p</sub> (Edson et al., 2011). The calculated intraparticle diffusion coefficient values were given as 1.24, 1.91, 2.31, and 4.42 mg. g<sup>-1</sup> hr<sup>0.5</sup> for an initial Pb<sup>2+</sup> concentration of 0.13, 0.25, 15.02, and 20.45 mg.g <sup>1</sup> respectively. At various mass adsorbent at a fixed particle size and pH, calculated intraparticle diffusion coefficient values were given by 2.37, 3.46 and 5.42 mg. g<sup>-1</sup> hr<sup>0.5</sup> for an initial adsorbent mass of 0.3, 0.5, and 1.0.g per 500 ml respectively. For various particle sizes of adsorbent at a fixed mass and pH, calculated intraparticle diffusion coefficient values were given by 0.66, 1.46; 3.44 and 5.11 mg.  $g^{-1} hr^{0.5}$  for an absorbent mass with particle size of 0.300 mm, 0.212 mm; 0.150 mm and 0.075 mm respectively. At various pH of 3, 4.5, 7.6 and 11.7 (value at fixed particle sizes of adsorbent at a fixed mass of adsorbent) calculated intraparticle diffusion coefficient values were given by 3.44, 3.99; 4.21 and 4.39 mg. g<sup>-1</sup> hr<sup>0.5</sup> respectively for lead concentration. The values of the  $k_p$  increased with increasing initial Pb<sup>2+</sup> concentration, mass of adsorbent, with decreasing particle size and pH value, which can indicate increase in the diffusion rate of the Pb<sup>2+</sup> onto the adsorbent particle, mass of adsorbent, particle size of adsorbent and pH.

#### Applicability of the Adsorbent

Applicability of the process was tested on raw water samples and the results are as presented in Figures 8 to 10. Tables 2 to 5 show the kinetic parameters. From Table 3, it can be seen that in all cases the values of correlation coefficient vary from Pseudo second-order, Intraparticle diffusion, Elovich model to Pseudo first-order for the three metals. On the average, correlation coefficient increases from intraparticle diffusion (0.958) through Pseudo first (0.968) and Pseudo second (0.968) to Elovich (0.979). This indicates that adsorptions of Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> onto PES follow Elovich than any other model. From Tables 4, 5 and 6, the Coefficient of Determination  $(R^2)$  followed the same order as in the case of Table 3. The results indicate that  $Pb^{2+}$ , Ni<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> adsorptions onto PES fitted well into Pseudo second-order kinetics. The amounts of Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> adsorbed decrease as the

278

pH decreases toward 7.6. The variation in adsorption capacity in this pH range is largely due to the influence of pH on the adsorption characteristics of the powdered eggshell, which indicates that the adsorption capacity of the adsorbent is clearly pH dependent. Figure 11a reveals that optimum  $q_e$  occurs between 4.6 and 7.6 pH values. This indicates that PES will be effective in that pH range. From Figure 11b, it can be seen that amount of pollutant adsorbed decreases with increasing adsorbent mass, which indicates that optimum adsorbent masses are required in application of PES to pollutant's adsorption. It is an evident from Tables 2 to 5 that the removal of Pb<sup>2+, Ni <sup>2+</sup></sup> and Cd <sup>2+</sup> from



Figure 1: Optimal Microscopy View of the PES

aqueous solution depends on the initial concentrations of Pb<sup>2+</sup>,Ni<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup>, the pH and the particle size of PES. The adsorption dynamic kinetics changes with an increase in the Pb<sup>2+</sup>,Ni<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> concentration. The results also show that the adsorption reaction can be approximated with the Elovich kinetic model. This observation is similar to Lazaridis and Asouhidou (2003) observation on kinetic equations. Lazaridis and Asouhidou (2003) used three kinetic equations (pseudo 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and Elovich model equations) to describe chromium VI sorption onto hydrotalcite and their result showed that adsorption of chromium onto hyrotalcite fitted into the first pseudo model best.



Figure 2: Mechanism of Adsorption of Pollutants onto PES Multi-Component Synthetic Wastewater



Figure 3: Mechanism of Adsorption of Pollutants onto PES Mono Component Synthetic Wastewater

Initial pH Pseudo first-order						Pseudo second-	Elovich model			Intraparticle diffusion				
		k1	qc	$\mathbb{R}^2$	k2	h-1	qe <sup>-1</sup>	$\mathbb{R}^2$	β	α	R <sup>2</sup>	kid	а	R <sup>2</sup>
		(l/h)	(mg/mg)		(mg/mg.h)	(mg/mg.h)-1	(mg/mg) -1		(mg/mg)	(mg/mg.h)		(l/h)	(%/h)	
	3.0	0.696	5.540	0.991	0.042	0.712	0.173	0.965	0.519	6.330	0.979	20.227	0.650	0.994
Pb <sup>2+</sup>	4.5	0.705	8.460	0.926	0.012	0.496	0.077	0.974	0.466	2.029	0.984	33.649	0.635	0.917
	7.6	0.646	4.580	0.980	0.065	0.767	0.224	0.959	0.433	2.012	0.975	17.299	0.707	0.963
	11.7	0.641	4.450	0.975	0.011	1.049	0.106	0.973	0.503	2.335	0.976	16.857	0.704	0.956
Ni <sup>2+</sup>	3.0	0.692	5.439	0.981	0.051	0.708	0.180	0.956	0.519	6.213	0.969	19.832	0.647	0.984
	4.5	0.701	8.301	0.917	0.022	0.496	0.085	0.965	0.467	1.998	0.974	32.986	0.632	0.909
	7.6	0.643	4.498	0.970	0.074	0.762	0.230	0.950	0.434	1.982	0.966	16.963	0.703	0.954
	11.7	0.638	4.371	0.966	0.021	1.038	0.114	0.964	0.503	2.298	0.966	16.530	0.700	0.947
	3.0	0.688	5.340	0.972	0.060	0.704	0.186	0.947	0.518	6.099	0.960	19.446	0.644	0.974
Cd <sup>2+</sup>	4.5	0.697	8.145	0.909	0.031	0.496	0.094	0.955	0.467	1.968	0.965	32.336	0.630	0.900
	7.6	0.640	4.418	0.961	0.082	0.756	0.235	0.941	0.436	1.952	0.956	16.634	0.699	0.945
	11.7	0.635	4.294	0.956	0.030	1.027	0.122	0.954	0.503	2.262	0.957	16.209	0.696	0.938

Table 2: The Adsorption Kinetic Model Rate Constants for the Synthetic Wastewater at Different pH.

Table 3: The Adsorption Kinetic Model Rate Constants for the Synthetic Wastewater at Different Particle Sizes

Particle	sizes	Pseudo first-	order		Pseudo secono	l-order			Elovich mo	odel		Intraparti	cle diffusio	n
(µm)		qe	k1	R <sup>2</sup>	k2	h-1	qe <sup>-1</sup>	$\mathbb{R}^2$	β	α	R <sup>2</sup>	k <sub>id</sub>	a	$\mathbb{R}^2$
		(mg/mg)	(l/h)		(mg/mg.h)	(mg/mg.h)-1	(mg/mg) -1		(mg/mg)	(mg/mg.h)		(l/h)	(%/h)	
	300	5.740	1.020	0.988	0.008	0.525	0.063	0.962	0.527	6.472	0.988	20.137	1.067	0.869
Pb <sup>2+</sup>	212	6.050	0.897	0.984	0.000	0.810	0.016	0.971	0.462	4.301	0.990	23.111	0.874	0.950
	150	7.800	1.232	0.990	0.020	0.480	0.098	0.994	0.445	4.385	0.995	18.150	1.085	0.921
	75	6.250	1.282	0.990	0.026	0.423	0.104	0.983	0.470	5.057	0.984	18.239	1.115	0.900
Ni <sup>2+</sup>	300	5.635	1.010	0.978	0.018	0.525	0.072	0.953	0.526	6.353	0.978	19.744	1.056	0.862
	212	5.939	0.889	0.974	0.010	0.804	0.026	0.962	0.463	4.225	0.980	22.659	0.867	0.941
	150	7.654	1.217	0.980	0.030	0.480	0.106	0.984	0.446	4.307	0.985	17.797	1.073	0.913
	75	6.135	1.266	0.980	0.035	0.425	0.112	0.973	0.471	4.966	0.974	17.884	1.103	0.892
	300	5.532	0.999	0.969	0.027	0.524	0.080	0.944	0.526	6.236	0.969	19.359	1.045	0.854
Cd <sup>2+</sup>	212	5.830	0.881	0.965	0.020	0.798	0.035	0.952	0.464	4.150	0.971	22.216	0.859	0.932
	150	7.511	1.203	0.971	0.039	0.481	0.114	0.974	0.447	4.231	0.975	17.451	1.062	0.904
	75	6.022	1.251	0.971	0.045	0.426	0.120	0.964	0.471	4.877	0.965	17.537	1.091	0.884

Table 4: The Adsorption Kinetic Model Rate Constants for the Synthetic Wastewater at Different Initial Concentrations

Y		1	6	1		D 1	1 1			1 . 1 . 1 .		Introporticle diffusion		
In	itial	Pseud	o first-ore	der		Pseudo secono	d-order		E	lovich model		Intrap	article diff	usion
Concer	ntration	qe	k <sub>1</sub>	$\mathbb{R}^2$	k <sub>2</sub>	h-1	$q_e^{-1}$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	β	α	$\mathbb{R}^2$	k <sub>id</sub>	а	R <sup>2</sup>
(mg	g/L)	(mg/mg)	(l/h)		(mg/mg.h)	(mg/mg.h)-1	(mg/mg) -1		(mg/mg)	(mg/mg.h)		(l/h)	(%/h)	
	15.02	7.792	1.297	0.953	0.006	1.361	0.091	0.982	0.563	13.623	0.805	21.702	1.112	0.884
Pb <sup>2+</sup>	0.13	0.031	1.357	0.997	22.285	23.031	22.655	0.996	63.694	0.188	0.974	56.242	0.356	0.961
	20.45	14.441	3.448	0.973	0.035	0.369	0.113	0.961	0.591	7.650	0.907	32.558	0.691	0.929
	0.25	0.059	0.924	0.982	6.324	18.650	10.860	0.996	58.140	0.033	0.986	46.894	0.426	0.945
Ni <sup>2+</sup>	15.02	7.646	1.281	0.944	0.016	1.344	0.099	0.972	0.562	13.361	0.799	21.278	1.100	0.876
	0.13	0.040	1.340	0.987	21.849	22.580	22.212	0.986	62.430	0.194	0.965	55.127	0.359	0.952
	20.45	14.162	3.389	0.964	0.044	0.372	0.121	0.952	0.589	7.507	0.899	31.917	0.687	0.920
	0.25	0.068	0.916	0.972	6.208	18.287	10.653	0.986	56.987	0.042	0.976	45.966	0.427	0.936
	15.02	7.503	1.265	0.935	0.026	1.327	0.107	0.963	0.561	13.103	0.793	20.862	1.088	0.869
Cd <sup>2+</sup>	0.13	0.050	1.323	0.977	21.422	22.139	21.778	0.976	61.192	0.200	0.955	54.035	0.362	0.943
	20.45	13.889	3.331	0.954	0.053	0.374	0.128	0.943	0.587	7.367	0.891	31.289	0.683	0.912
	0.25	0.076	0.907	0.963	6.093	17.931	10.450	0.976	55.857	0.051	0.967	45.057	0.429	0.927

Table 5: The Adsorption Kinetic Model Rate Constants for the Synthetic Wastewater at Different Adsorbent Masses

Mass of the adsorbent Pseudo first-order				der	Pseudo second-order				Elovich model Intrapart				article diff	usion
	(g)	q <sub>c</sub>	k <sub>1</sub>	$\mathbb{R}^2$	k <sub>2</sub>	h-1	q <sub>c</sub> -1	R <sup>2</sup>	β	α	R <sup>2</sup>	k <sub>id</sub>	a	$\mathbb{R}^2$
	0.300	(mg/mg) 14.840	(l/h)	0.992	(mg/mg.h)	(mg/mg.h)-1	(mg/mg) <sup>-1</sup>	0.968	(mg/mg)	(mg/mg.h) 2 003	0.983	(l/h) 15.783	(%/h)	0.966
DL 2+	0.500	12.0(0	0.005	0.005	0.000	0.252	0.045	0.900	0.407	2.005	0.905	21.020	0.705	0.900
PD21	0.500	12.960	0.785	0.995	0.004	0.372	0.037	0.974	0.314	2.530	0.988	31.939	0.621	0.961
	1.000	9.150	0.750	0.956	0.018	0.471	0.092	0.981	0.520	6.352	0.980	18.371	0.812	0.945
	0.300	14.553	0.660	0.982	0.018	0.257	0.054	0.959	0.470	1.973	0.973	15.477	0.758	0.957
Nii2+	0.500	12.711	0.779	0.985	0.014	0.375	0.046	0.965	0.318	2.489	0.978	31.310	0.619	0.952
1.11	1.000	8.977	0.745	0.947	0.028	0.472	0.100	0.971	0.520	6.235	0.970	18.014	0.806	0.936
	0.300	14.272	0.657	0.973	0.027	0.262	0.063	0.949	0.470	1.943	0.964	15.178	0.753	0.948
Cd <sup>2+</sup>	0.500	12.467	0.774	0.975	0.024	0.377	0.055	0.955	0.321	2.450	0.969	30.694	0.616	0.943
	1.000	8.807	0.740	0.938	0.037	0.472	0.108	0.962	0.519	6.120	0.961	17.663	0.800	0.927
			]	. <u> </u>		<u> </u>				μ	1			
							71		y = 5.5	64e <sup>-0.3024</sup>		y = 8.45	55e	
12 10 8 6 4 2 0 0 0		2 T	y = 1 F 3 ime (hot	$\frac{14.843e}{c^2} = 0.99$	y = 12. 15 $R^2 =$ y = 59. $R^2$	.962e <sup>-0.3431x</sup> = 0.9951 1453e <sup>-0.326x</sup> = 0.9557	(1/3m) 	1	R <sup>2</sup> = 2 ◆ 3	0.9909 3 Time (hou 4.5 7.6	4 urs) 11.7	R <sup>2</sup> = y = y =	0.9256 4.5827¢ R <sup>2</sup> = 0.9 5 4.4473¢ R <sup>2</sup> = 0.9	-0.2808x 797 6 -0.2785x 749
12 10 8 6 4 2 0 0 0			y = 1 F 3 ime (hou .3 ■ 0.5 (a)	$14.843e^{-1}$ $3^{2} = 0.99$ $3^{2}$ $3^{2}$ $4^{2}$ $3^{2}$	y = 12. $15   R^2 =$ y = 5.2 $R^2 =$ $R^2 =$ $R^2 =$	.962e <sup>-0.3431x</sup> = 0.9951 1453e <sup>-0.326x</sup> = 0.9557 26e <sup>0.3901x</sup>	6 (1)5 (2)6 (1)6 (2)7 (2)7 (2)7 (2)7 (2)7 (2)7 (2)7 (2)7		R <sup>2</sup> = 2 ◆ 3	0.9909 3 Time (hou 4.5 7.6 (b)	4 ars) 11.7	R <sup>2</sup> = y = y =	0.9256 4.5827¢ R <sup>2</sup> = 0.9 5 4.4473¢ R <sup>2</sup> = 0.9	-0.2808x 797 6 -0.2785x 749



Figure 4: Pseudo First Order Models of Pb<sup>2+</sup>Adsorption onto PES in Respect to Time at Different

- (a) Masses of Adsorbent
- (b) pH

(c)

- (c) Particle Size of the PES
- (d) Initial Lead Concentration

(d)



Figure 5: Pseudo Second Order Models of Pb<sup>2+</sup>Adsorption onto PES in Respect to Time at Different

- (a) Masses of Adsorbent
- (b) pH
- (c) Particle Size of the PES
- (d) Initial Lead Concentration





Figure 6: Elovich Models of Pb<sup>2+</sup>Adsorption onto PES in Respect to Time at Different

(b)

- (a) Adsorbent Masses
  - pН
- (c) Initial Lead Concentration
- (d) Particle Size of the PES



Figure 7i: Intraparticle Models of Pb<sup>2+</sup> Adsorption onto PES in Respect to Time at Different

- a. Adsorbent Masses
- b. Initial lead Concentration
- c. pH
- d. Particle Size of the PES







Figure 8: Pseudo Second Order Models of Pb<sup>2+</sup>Adsorption onto PES in Respect to Time at Different Concentrations of Raw Water

Figure 9: Elovich Models of Pb<sup>2+</sup>Adsorption onto PES in Respect to Time at Different Concentrations of Raw Water



Figure 10a: Intraparticle Models of Pb<sup>2+</sup>Adsorption onto PES in Respect to Time at Different Concentrations of Raw Water

The kinetic data were further analyzed using the kinetic expression given by Boyd *et al.* (1947) to check whether sorption proceeds via external diffusion or intraparticle diffusion mechanism, which is expressed as follows (Yasmin *et al.*, 2009):

$$F = 1 - \frac{6}{\Pi^2} \exp\left(-B_b t\right) \tag{31}$$

 $B_b$  is a constant and F is the fractional attainment of equilibrium at time t given by Yasmin *et al.*, 2009:

$$F = \frac{q_t}{q_e} \tag{32}$$

 $q_e$  and  $q_t$  represent the amount of Cd<sup>2+</sup> sorbed (mg g<sup>-1</sup>) at equilibrium and any time t, respectively. To compute  $B_b t$ , equation (30) is substituted into equation (31) and the kinetic expression becomes:

$$B_{b}t = -0.4977 - \ln\left(1 - \frac{q_{t}}{q_{e}}\right)$$
(33)

Thus, the value of  $B_b t$  can be computed for each value of F, and then plotted against time (Figures 12a and b) to configure the so-called Boyd plots. Linearity of this plot is employed to distinguish between external-transport- (film diffusion) and intraparticle transport- controlled rates of sorption (Yasmin *et al.*, 2009). A straight line passing through the origin is indicative of sorption processes governed by particle-diffusion mechanisms; otherwise they are governed by film



Figure 10b: Intraparticle Models of Pb<sup>2+</sup> Adsorption onto PES in Respect to Time at Different Concentrations of Raw Water

diffusion. In the present study, the plots were neither perfectly linear nor passed through the origin (Figures 12a and b). This indicates that, in all the sorbents, film diffusion is the rate-limiting sorption process for  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Ni^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$ .

# Statistical Evaluation of the Equilibrium Isotherm Models

Three different statistical expressions were used to evaluate the performance of the model estimations or to compare the model estimated values with the observed values. These statistical expressions are total error, coefficient of determination (CD) and model of selection criterion (MSC). Table 6 shows the values of total error, CD and MSC for each of the methods. The total errors are in the range of 26.161 to 34.476. The least total error (26.161) was from activated sludge model and the highest (34.476) from Temkin model. Like total error, CD values ranged from 0.589 to 0.998 (Table 6). The least CD value (0.589) came from Temkin model and the highest value (0.998) came from activated sludge model. . Like total errors and CD, MSC values were in the range of 4.906 to 6.687 (Table 6). The lowest value of MSC (4.906) was from Temkin model and the highest value (6.687) was from activated sludge model.

### Estimated Cost of Producing PES

Costs of producing PES were based on 95% yield from every used eggshell collected, assuming that

320 days per year, 300 kilograms of powdered eggshell were produced per day and 3 men per a shift of 8 hours. Tables 7(a and b) shows the breakdown of the estimated cost. It can then be said that the study has identified the estimated cost of 0.43 and 0.58 USD /kg of powdered eggshell (PES) at two different electricity sources. Table 7a presents unit cost using public (subside electricity)

source and Table 7b presents unit cost using generator (commercial electricity) source. The cost in this study is cheaper compared to the cost of producing empty fruit bunches (0.50USD/kg, Alam *et al.*, 2007), pencon shell based activated carbon (2.72 USD/kg) and sugar cane based granular activated carbon by steam (3.12 USD/kg).



Figure 11: Relationship between Adsorption onto PES and Selected Factors

(a) pH;(b) Adsorbent Masses



Figure 12(a) Boyd plot for the sorption of  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Ni^{2+}$  adsorption onto PES (mono component)



Figure 12(b) Boyd plot for the sorption of  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Ni^{2+}$  adsorption onto PES (multi component)

286

Table 6: Result of Statistical Evaluation of the Kinetic Model

		-	Pseu	udo first-or	der	Pse	udo second-o	order	E	lovich mode	el	Intra	particle diffu	sion
Effect of	Element	Factor	MSC	Error	CD	MSC	Error	CD	MSC	Error	CD	MSC	Error	CD
		3.0	6.618	16.843	0.991	6.396	18.894	0.965	6.515	17.796	0.979	6.644	16.603	0.994
	<b>D1</b> ()	4.5	6.075	21.868	0.926	6.472	18.190	0.974	6.558	17.400	0.984	6.003	22.537	0.917
	Pb <sup>2+</sup>	7.6	6.524	17.717	0.980	6.346	19.359	0.959	6.481	18.111	0.975	6.380	19.049	0.963
		11.7	6.481	18.111	0.975	6.464	18.268	0.973	6.489	18.033	0.976	6.321	19.591	0.956
		3.0	6.532	17.638	0.981	6.321	19.591	0.956	6.430	18.582	0.969	6.558	17.400	0.984
	0.12	4.5	6.003	22.537	0.917	6.396	18.894	0.965	6.472	18.190	0.974	5.939	23.126	0.909
рн	Cd2+	7.6	6.439	18.504	0.970	6.271	20.052	0.95	6.405	18.816	0.966	6.304	19.745	0.954
		11.7	6.405	18.816	0.966	6.388	18.971	0.964	6.405	18.816	0.966	6.247	20.282	0.947
		3.0	6.455	18.347	0.972	6.247	20.282	0.947	6.354	19.282	0.96	6.472	18.190	0.974
	2.1.21	4.5	5.939	23.126	0.909	6.313	19.668	0.955	6.396	18.894	0.965	5.868	23.783	0.9
	N1 <sup>2+</sup>	7.6	6.363	19.204	0.961	6.197	20.739	0.941	6.321	19.591	0.956	6.230	20.434	0.945
		11.7	6.321	19.591	0.956	6.304	19.745	0.954	6.329	19.514	0.957	6.173	20.966	0.938
		300	6.592	17.082	0.988	6.371	19.127	0.962	6.592	17.082	0.988	5.629	25.994	0.869
	D1 24	212	6.558	17.400	0.984	6.447	18.425	0.971	6.609	16.923	0.99	6.271	20.052	0.95
	Pb2+	150	6.609	16.923	0.99	6.644	16.603	0.994	6.653	16.522	0.995	6.035	22.240	0.921
		75	6.609	16.923	0.99	6.549	17.480	0.983	6.558	17.400	0.984	5.868	23.783	0.9
		300	6.506	17.875	0.978	6.296	19.822	0.953	6.506	17.875	0.978	5.577	26.483	0.862
D . 1 .	0.124	212	6.472	18.190	0.974	6.371	19.127	0.962	6.524	17.717	0.98	6.197	20.739	0.941
Particle size	Cd2+	150	6.524	17.717	0.98	6.558	17.400	0.984	6.566	17.321	0.985	5.971	22.832	0.913
		75	6.524	17.717	0.98	6.464	18.268	0.973	6.472	18.190	0.974	5.806	24.361	0.892
		300	6.430	18.582	0.969	6.222	20.510	0.944	6.430	18.582	0.969	5.517	27.037	0.854
	NT:2+	212	6.396	18.894	0.965	6.288	19.899	0.952	6.447	18.425	0.971	6.124	21.418	0.932
	1N12	150	6.447	18.425	0.971	6.472	18.190	0.974	6.481	18.111	0.975	5.900	23.492	0.904
		75	6.447	18.425	0.971	6.388	18.971	0.964	6.396	18.894	0.965	5.744	24.934	0.884
Table 6: Con	tinued	15.02	6.296	19.822	0.953	6.541	17.559	0.982	5.163	30.315	0.805	5.744	24.934	0.884
	D1 2±	0.13	6.670	16.362	0.997	6.661	16.442	0.996	6.472	18.190	0.974	6.363	19.204	0.961
	Pb <sup>2</sup>	20.45	6.464	18.268	0.973	6.363	19.204	0.961	5.923	23.273	0.907	6.099	21.644	0.929
		0.25	6.541	17.559	0.982	6.661	16.442	0.996	6.575	17.241	0.986	6.230	20.434	0.945
		15.02	6.222	20.510	0.944	6.455	18.347	0.972	5.121	30.703	0.799	5.683	25.502	0.876
Initial	C 12+	0.13	6.583	17.162	0.987	6.575	17.241	0.986	6.396	18.894	0.965	6.288	19.899	0.952
concentration	Ca	20.45	6.388	18.971	0.964	6.288	19.899	0.952	5.861	23.855	0.899	6.027	22.315	0.92
		0.25	6.455	18.347	0.972	6.575	17.241	0.986	6.489	18.033	0.976	6.156	21.117	0.936
		15.02	6.148	21.193	0.935	6.380	19.049	0.963	5.079	31.089	0.793	5.629	25.994	0.869
	N.T.2.	0.13	6.498	17.954	0.977	6.489	18.033	0.976	6.313	19.668	0.955	6.214	20.587	0.943
	IN12+	20.45	6.304	19.745	0.954	6.214	20.587	0.943	5.798	24.433	0.891	5.963	22.906	0.912
		0.25	6.380	19.049	0.963	6.489	18.033	0.976	6.413	18.738	0.967	6.083	21.793	0.927
Mass of	D1 2+	0.300	4.255	38.722	0.663	6.422	18.660	0.968	6.549	17.480	0.983	6.405	18.816	0.966
adsorbent	Pb <sup>2</sup>	0.500	5.024	31.598	0.785	6.472	18.190	0.974	6.592	17.082	0.988	6.363	19.204	0.961

Table 7a: Estimated Cost Producing of PES using Public Electricity.

				Naira(♥) per	USD per
Items	Naira(♥)	US Dollar	Items	day	day
Plant and Machinery	80000	484.85	Raw materials	0.00	0.00
Building	310000	1878.79	Utilities (water, fuel and electricity)	10500.00	63.64
Contingencies	80000	484.85	Labour	7500.00	45.45
Total	470000	2848.48	Supplies	2500.00	15.15
Average per year of $20^{\nabla}$	23500	142.42	Depreciation	750.00	4.55
average per day	73.4375	0.45	sub total	21250.00	128.79
			Cost per day	21323.44	129.23
Cost per day (300 kg of day)	21396.88	129.68			
Cost per kilogram	71.32292	0.43			

<sup>v</sup>capital costs divided by an economic life of 20 years

Oke et al.: Adsorption Kinetics of Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> Onto Powdered Eggshells

				Naira( <b>₦</b> ) per	USD per
Items	Naira(₦)	US Dollar	Items	day	day
Plant and Machinery	280000	1696.97	Raw materials	0.00	0.00
Building	460000	2787.88	Utilities (water, fuel and electricity)	17500.00	106.06
Contingencies	80000	484.85	Labour	7500.00	45.45
Total	820000	4969.70	Supplies	2500.00	15.15
Average per year of 20 <sup>v</sup>	41000	248.48	Depreciation	750.00	4.55
average per day	128.125	0.78	sub total	28250.00	171.21
			Cost per day	28378.13	171.99
Cost per day (300 kg of day)	28506.25	172.77			
Cost per kilogram	95.02083	0.58			

Table 7b: Estimated Cost Producing of PES using non-Public Electricity

## CONCLUSION

This study investigated adsorption capacities and kinetics models of  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Ni^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  onto PES. Chicken eggshells were collected and processed for adsorption of selected heavy metals. Effects of selected factors on adsorption capacities were studied. The study concluded that PES could be used to remove  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Ni^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  from aqueous solution. PES is an effective and inexpensive adsorbent for the removal of  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Ni^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  from wastewaters. Kinetic of  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Ni^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  adsorption from wastewaters is the order of intraparticle diffusion > Pseudo first > Pseudo second > Elovich.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to acknowledge Mr Akinola, E.O; Late. E.K.S.Agbomabiwon and Mr. Adisa, S.A of the Central Science Laboratory, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife (Nigeria) for their roles in the determination of concentrations of these selected metals. We wish to acknowledge Professor Y. S. Ho of the Department of Chemical Engineering, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong for the provision of facilities and materials on adsorption through Dr. OKE Isaiah Adesola. We also appreciate the Korean Journal of Chemical Engineers for providing materials on adsorption and adsorbents.

#### REFERENCES

Adewumi, I.K. 1999. Development of commercial Grade activated charcoal using palm kernel shells. Unpublished M. Sc Thesis, Obafemi Awolowo University, IleIfe.

- Adie, D.B; N.O. Olarinoye; I. A. Oke; A Ismail and Otun, J.A. 2010. Removal Of Lead Ions From Aqueous Solutions Using Powdered Corn Cobs. *Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 88, 241-255
- Alam, Z. M.D;Muyibi,S.A; Mansor, M.F and Wahid, R. 2007. Activated Carbons derived from oil palm empty fruit bunches: Application to environmental problems. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 19(1), 103-108.
- APHA, 1998. Standard Method for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20<sup>th</sup> edn, *America Water Works Association and Water Pollution Control Federation*, Washington DC..
- Babatola, J.O; Oguntuase, A.M; Oke, I. A and Ogedengbe, M.O. 2008. An Evaluation of Frictional Factors in Pipe Network Analysis Using Statistical Methods. *Environmental Engineering and Sciences*, 25 (4), 539-548.
- Boyd, G.E.; A.W. Adamson, and L.S. Myers. 1947. The exchange adsorption of ions from aqueous solutions by organic zeolites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 69, 2836–2848..
- Chand, S; Agarwal, V.K and Pavankumar, C. 1994. Removal of hexavalent chromium from wastewater by adsorption. *Ind. J. Environ. Health*, 36, 151 - 158.
- Edson Luiz Foletto, Gabriela Carvalho Collazzo, Marcio Antônio Mazutti a and Sérgio Luiz Jahn 2011. Adsorption of Textile Dye on Zinc Stannate Oxide: Equilibrium, Kinetic and Thermodynamics Studies. Separation Science and Technology 46:

2510-2516.

- Erhan, D; Kobya, M; Elif, S., and Ozkan, T. 2004. Adsorption kinetics for the removal of chromium III from aqueous solutions on the activated carbonaceous prepared from agricultural wastes. *Water SA*, 30(4), 533-540..
- Hamadi, N.K; Chen, X.D; Farid, M.M and Lu, M.G.Q. 2001. Adsorption kinetics for the removal of chromium (VI) from aqueous solution by adsorbents derived from used tyres and sawdust. J. Chem. Eng. 84, 95-105..
- Ho, Y.S. 2006. Isotherms for the Sorption of Lead onto Peat: Comparison of Linear and Non-Linear Methods. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*. 15 (1), 81-86.
- Ho, Y.S. 2007. Bibliometric Analysis of Adsorption Technology in Environmental Science. Journal of Environmental Protection Science, 1,1-11.
- Ho,Y.S. and. McKay,G. 1998. Kinetic model for lead(II) sorption onto peat, *Adsorpt. Sci. Technol.* 16 (4), 243–255.
- Ho,Y.S. and. McKay, G. 1999. Pseudo-second order model for sorption processes, *Process. Biochem.* 34 (5), 451–465.
- Ho,Y.S. and McKay, G. 2003. The kinetics of sorption of divalent metal ions ontosphagnum moss pea. *Water Res.* 34 (3), 735–742.
- Ismail, A; D.B. Adie ; I. A. Oke; J.A Otun; N.O. Olarinoye ; Lukman, S. and Okuofu,C.A. 2009. Adsorption Kinetics Of Cadmium Ions Onto Powdered Corn Cobs. *Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 87; 896 – 909
- Jalil, A.A; S. Triwahyono; M. Hanaki; F. Kubota and M. Goto. 2008. Biosorption Of Palladium And Platinum From Aqueous Solution Using Egg Shell Membrane. *Regional Annual Fundamental Science Seminar* 2008 (RAFSS 2008) 27 – 29 May 2008.
- Kobya, M. 2004. Adsorption, kinetic and equilibrium studies of Cr(VI) by hazelnut shell activated carbon. *Adsorp. Sci. Technol.* 22, 51-64.
- Kohler, S.J; C. Pablo; D.R.B. Juan; C. Bauer and M. Prieto. 2007. Removal of Cadmium from wastewaters by Aragonite Shells and the influence of other divalent cations.

Environ. Sci. Techn. 41, 112-118.

- Krishnan, K.A and Anirudhan, T.S. 2003. Removal of cadmium II from aqueous solutions by steam activated sulphonised carbon prepared from sugar –cane bagasse pith: kinetic and equilibrium studies. *Water SA*, 29(2) 147-156.
- Krystianiak, S; R. Kożuszek; H. Kontecka and Nowaczewski. S. 2005. Quality and ultrastructure of eggshell and hatchability of eggs in relation to eggshell colour in pheasants. *Animal Science Papers and Report,s* vol. 23 (1), 5-14.
- Lazaridis, N.K and Asouhidou, D.D . 2003. Kinetic of sorptive removal of chromium VI from aqueous solution by calcined Mg-AlCO<sub>3</sub> hydrolaleite. *Water Research*, 37, 2875-2882.
- Liu, J; Q. Wu,; Y. Ding and Yi, Y. 2004. Assembling Synthesis of Barium Chromate Nanosuperstructures. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, 25, (12), 1775-1779,.
- Ogedengbe, O; Oriaje, A.T. and Tella, A. 1985. Carbonisation and activation of palm kernel shells for household water filters. *Water International*, 10, 132-138.
- Oke, I. A. 2007. Development and Performance-Testing of an Electrochemical Process for Selected Industrial Wastewaters". Unpublished PhD. Thesis, (Civil Engineering Department), Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife.
- Oke, I. A.; Olarinoye, N.O. and Adewusi, S.R.A. 2008. Adsorption Kinetics for Arsenic Removal by Untreated Powdered Eggshell from Aqueous Solutions. *Journal of Adsorption Society*, 14(1), 85-92.
- Olarinoye, N.O; S. Lukman, J. A. Otun, D. B. Adie, Oke I. A., S. B. Igboro, Fasuyi- Enang O.T. and Ismail A. 2012. Chapter 11: Multi-Component Adsorption Equilibria of Pb<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> onto Powdered Corn Cobs (PCC) in Water Treatment Processes, ISBN: 978-1-62100-352-6, Editor: Kostas Demadis © 2012 Nova Science Publishers, Inc. New York.
- Otun, J.A; Oke, I.A; Olarinoye, N.O; Adie, D.B and Okuofu, C.A. 2006a. Factors that influence Adsorption Using 2<sup>k</sup> Factorial Experimen. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 6 (11), 2432-2437.

- Otun, J.A; Oke, I.A; Olarinoye, N.O; Adie, D.B and Okuofu, C.A. 2006b. Adsorption isotherms of Pb(II), Ni(II) and Cd (II) onto PES. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 6 (11), 2368-2376.
- Periasamy, K; Srinivasan, K and Murugan, P.R. 1991. Studies on chromium(VI) removal by activated ground nut husk carbon. *Ind. J. Environ. Health*, 33, 433-439.
- Saswati, G and Ghosh, U.C. 2005. Studies on adsorption behaviour of CrVI onto synthetic hydrox stannic oxide. *Water SA*, 31 (4); 597-602.
- Schmub, R; Kneg, H.M and Keizer, K . 2001. Adsorption of Cu(II) and Cr(VI) ions by chitosan, kinetic and equilibrium studies, *Water SA*, 27(1), 1-5.
- Selomulya, C; Meeyoo, V and Amal, R . 1999. Mechanisms of Cr(VI) removal from water by various types of activated carbons. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 74, 111-122..
- Selvi, K; Pattabhi, S and Kadirvelu, K. 2001. Removal of Cr(VI) from aqueous solution by adsorption onto activated carbon. Bioresour. Technol. 80; 87-89.
- Sharma, D.C and Forster, C.F 1994 "The treatment of chromium wastewaters using the sorptive potential of leaf mould". Bioresour. Technol. 49, 31-40.

- Singh, V.K and Tiwari, P.N 1991 "Removal and recovery of chromium(VI) from industrial waste water". J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 69, 376-382.
- Srinivasan, K; Balasubramanian, N and Ramakrishna, T.V. 1991. Studies on chromium VI) removal by activated groundnut husk carbo., Ind. J. Environ. Health, 33; 433-439.
- Tan, W.T; Ooi, S.T and Lee, C.K. 1993.Removal of Cr(VI) from solution by coconut husk and palm pressed fibres. *Environ. Technol.*, 14, 277-282.
- Weber, W.J.; Morris, J.C. 1963. Kinetic of adsorption on carbon from solution. J. Sanit. Engin. Div., Am. Soc. Civil Eng., (89): 31.
- Yasmin, K.; K. Mody; S. Basha and B. Jha. 2009. Kinetics, equilibrium and thermodynamic studies on biosorption of hexavalent chromium by dead fungal biomass of marine Aspergillus niger. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 145 489–495.
- Zou, A.M; X. W. Chen; M. L. Chen and Wang, J.H. 2008. Sequential injection reductive biosorption of Cr(VI) on the surface of eggshell membrane and chromium speciation with detection by electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry. J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 23, 412 - 415.