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Abstract
The first phase of the Nigerian Micrometeorological Experiment (NIMEX-1) was concluded between 15 February and 10 March 2004, at an agricultural site within the campus of Obafemi Awolowo University in Ile-Ife, Nigeria (7°33′N, 4°33′E). The multi-institutional project was aimed at determining the surface energy balance of a tropical wet and dry location in West Africa. The field observations made fell within a transition from the dry to wet season in the area, and as such, the surface conditions varied in extremes. An integrated measurement system comprising of various micrometeorological sensors was deployed to record the mean and turbulence parameters in the surface layers separately. A number of methodologies viz: the eddy covariance (EC), Bowen ratio energy balance (BREB), and modified Bowen ratio (MBR) systems, used to determine magnitudes of the surface fluxes; sensible and latent heats, were compared. Generally, there is a consistency of their diurnal trends but the BREB method overestimated the surface fluxes up to about 30%. The radiation balance indicated that the incoming shortwave is dominant during daytime and is mainly responsible for the surface forcings. The non-closure of the energy balance obtained at the surface, typically, was less than 25%.

1. Introduction
The active phase of the first Nigerian Micrometeorological Experiment, dubbed the acronym, NIMEX-1, was executed between February 15 and March 10, 2004 at an experimental site within the campus of the Obafemi Awolowo University at Ile-Ife (7°33′N, 4°33′E) in Nigeria. The main aim of the project was to determine the surface energy balance for the conditions of a tropical (wet and dry) area. This research project was a partnership between the following institutions: (1) the Department of Physics at the Obafemi Awolowo University in Ile-Ife, (2) the Department of Physics at the University of Ibadan in Ibadan, (3) the Department of Meteorology at the Federal University of Technology in Akure, (4) the African Regional Centre for Space Science and Technology Education in English also at the Obafemi Awolowo University in Ile-Ife (all constituting Atmospheric Research Group, ARG, in Nigeria), and (5) the Department of Micrometeorology of the University of Bayreuth at Bayreuth in Germany and the International Programs in the Physical Sciences (IPPS) of Uppsala University, Sweden. Therefore, the raison-d’être of organising the multi-institutional NIMEX-1 field project was to strengthen the scientific collaboration between the research groups in Nigeria, and the Department of Micrometeorology, University of Bayreuth and IPPS. By pooling of available resources (manpower, equipment and infrastructures), NIMEX-1 provided intensive micrometeorological field observations that took place within the limited duration of one month, February/March, 2004. The major achievements of the NIMEX-1 field study can be found in Jegede et al. (2004).
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Briefly, the following research objectives were addressed within the NIMEX-1 field project:

i. The surface energy balance at a typical agricultural field site in Ile-Ife based on direct measurements of the convective heat, soil heat flux and atmospheric radiation, possibly covering the transition from the dry to the wet season,

ii. Validation of simple empirical methods for calculating sensible and latent heat fluxes, solar and atmospheric radiation including effects of clouds,

iii. Evaluation of the existing methods to determine the ground heat flux.

An understanding of the surface energy budget over the land surface is vital for agricultural planning and water resources management. This is the prime motivation for the current research on the surface energy balance of a typical agricultural farm-land in a tropical area, particularly in the context of the changing surface conditions. Also the data acquired can be useful for validating models of the land-surface atmosphere interactions and particularly for the regional and large-scale climate models.

The NIMEX-1 field measurements reported in this paper have been carried out at the Teaching and Research farm of the Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria (7°33‘N, 4°33‘E). This data is intended to serve as a base-line for future micrometeorological investigations to be conducted in Ibadan and Akure by the research group, to obtain integrated study of the mesoscale systems in the southwest of Nigeria.

2. Climatology of the study area

The NIMEX-1 study area was in Ile-Ife, Nigeria and as such, it experiences a tropical wet and dry climate (Hastenrath, 1991). The year is roughly divided into two: the wet or rainy season (April to October) and the dry season (November to March). This change of season occurs in association with the meridional movement of the Inter-tropical Discontinuity (ITD) line across west Africa (see Adejokun, 1966; Adedokun, 1978; Balogun, 1981).

In the rainy season in the area being investigated, the surface air is highly humid (RH > 85%) due to the southwesterlies (the monsoon) that is prevalent during this period. Associated with this warm and moist flow are the convective-type clouds and water vapour which are the most important attenuators of the solar and atmospheric radiation. During the dry season, it is the hot and dry northeasterly trade wind, known locally as the harmattan that is prevailing. Its trajectory crosses over the Sahara desert and advects the dust (fine silt/clayey particles) that is later deposited over the southern parts of Nigeria even extending up to the Gulf of Guinea (Adedokun et al., 1989). Thus the dry season is marked by dry and wilting vegetation, scantly clouds (mostly high cirrus), and a high aerosol loading of the atmosphere.

The period when the NIMEX-1 field study was held, that is, 15th February to 10th March, 2004, was a transition from the dry to wet surface conditions in Ile-Ife. It is remarkable that the first rain of the year at our site in Ile-Ife, recorded on the 24th February, was some days well into the measurement phase which hitherto had been very dry at the surface (as is typical of the dry season in the area). This was followed by two consecutive days of heavy evening thunderstorms on the 25th and 26th of February. Beyond the 26th February 2004, grasses and shrubs began to sprout and the measurement area started turning to green. Thus the changing climatic conditions enabled the present investigation to compare and contrast the energy balances between the two climatic regimes that are experienced in the area.

3. Methodology

The main energy exchange processes that are taking place at the ground surface (assuming it is bare soil), can be written in the form of the so-called energy balance equation:

\[ Q_N = Q_H + Q_L + Q_G \] (1)

where,

- \( Q_N \) = net (all-wave) radiation
- \( Q_H \) = sensible heat flux between the surface and the atmosphere.
- \( Q_L \) = latent heat flux between the surface and the atmosphere.
- \( Q_G \) = ground heat flux (i.e. the vertical heat flux between the surface and the soil)

Particularly at the daytime, the net radiant energy, \( Q_N \) gained by the surface is transported mainly by turbulent fluxes to the atmosphere (as sensible and latent heat fluxes) and is partly conducted into the soil. From Eq. (1), the knowledge of net radiation is crucial for partitioning of the available energy (i.e., \( Q_N - Q_G \)) and thus controls the energy exchange processes at the surface (see Monteith and Unsworth, 1990).

The magnitude of \( Q_G \), at the surface is determined as algebraic sum of the incoming and outgoing shortwave (0.29 µm - 3.0 µm), and longwave (3 µm - 100 µm) atmospheric radiation components. The measurement of net radiation at the surface can be accomplished by use of a net radiometer. Basically,
there are two main designs: one type is constructed with separate upward- and downward-looking sensors combined through a body of high thermal capacity and conductivity, and in the other, the sensor is exposed at both ends to the radiation. In nearly all the instrument designs commercially available, there are technical problems such as, the time constant, drift, linearity of output, directionality, spectral selectivity, temperature response, and zero offset that should be taken into consideration (Haldin and Lindroth, 1992; Haldin, 2004). There exist in the literature several meteorological empirical relationships that can be used to determine quantitatively the net radiation. However most are location specific and can depend on the atmospheric conditions such as transmissivity (see Holtslag and van Ulden, 1983; Stull, 1988; Jegede, 1997).

The surface turbulent heat fluxes, $Q_H$ and $Q_E$, both of which appear in the energy balance Eq. (1), can be measured directly by the eddy covariance (EC) method (Fuehrer and Friehofer, 2002; Brostzge and Crawford, 2003; Foken et al., 2004; Moncrieff, 2004). This method makes use of fast response sensors (e.g., ultrasonic anemometers) in order to record the whole spectrum of turbulent fluctuations (Lee et al., 2004). It is typical to measure the fluctuations of the wind speed, temperature and humidity at frequencies of 10 Hz to 20 Hz.

Another but indirect technique that can be used to determine the sensible and latent heat fluxes at the surface is the Bowen ratio energy balance (BREB) method (Foken et al., 1997; Stannard, 1997; Jegede et al., 2001; Balogun et al., 2002). This method is based on the surface energy balance (which sometimes is a tenuous assumption) together with the ratio of the two turbulent heat fluxes, $Q_H$ and $Q_E$, (termed as the Bowen ratio, $Bo$). The non-closure of the energy balance equation (given in Eq. 1) at the surface can be due to a number of conditions: instrumental errors, advection and inhomogeneous surfaces amongst others (Culf et al., 2004). Assuming that there is a similarity between the eddy diffusivities for heat and moisture fluxes (see Bowen, 1926), then the Bowen ratio can be approximated as:

$$Bo = \frac{Q_H}{Q_E} \approx \gamma \cdot \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta e},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where, the psychrometer constant, $\gamma = 0.667 K hPa^{-1}$, for $p = 1000 \text{ hPa}$ and $T = 20^\circ \text{C}$, $\Delta T$ is the temperature difference [K] between two heights $z_i$ and $z_j$, and $\Delta e$ is the vapour pressure difference hPa between the same heights.

Basically the BREB method breaks down when the value of the estimated Bowen ratio falls within the range: $-1.25 < Bo < -0.75$, which is typical of the dusk and dawn conditions at the surface. According to Foken et al. (1997), to properly resolve the gradients, the ratio of the temperature measurement heights, z/$z_i$, should lie within the range, 4 to 8. For appraisal of validity and applicability of the BREB method, papers by Ohmura et al. (1982), Fritschen and Simpson (1989), Heilman et al. (1989) and Foken et al. (1997) are relevant.

4. Experimental set-up and data processing

The area investigated was used for experimental agricultural cropping and the terrain was level of approximately 100,000 sq. metres (288 m a.m.s.l.). Beyond this surface was forest and gently undulating representing the vegetative cover of the area. Essentially for the NIMEX-1 field study, the mean and turbulent parameters in the surface layer were measured simultaneously, hence the meteorological instrument comprised of both slow and fast response sensors. Three measurement complexes; M1, M2, and M3 (forming a network) were set up within the measurement domain. The locations of these measuring points are shown in Fig. 1. The masts, booms and clamps were fabricated locally by the staff of the mechanical workshop at the Department of Physics of Obafemi Awolowo University. A list of all the equipment is contained in Table 1.

![Fig. 1: The positioning of the three complexes: M1, M2 and M3, within the measurement domain](image-url)
### Table 1: List of instruments deployed during the NIMEX-I field study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Device and model</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wind speed</td>
<td>Cup anemometer A101/ML/A100L2</td>
<td>Vector Instruments</td>
<td>distance const. 2.3 m</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind direction</td>
<td>Wind vane W200P</td>
<td>Vector Instruments</td>
<td>distance const. 2.3 m</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air temperature (wet and dry bulb)</td>
<td>Frankenberger Psychrometer</td>
<td>Theodor Friedrichs</td>
<td>±0.5 °C</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface temperature</td>
<td>Infrared Pyrometer KT1582D</td>
<td>Heiconics</td>
<td>±5°C</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil temperature</td>
<td>PT-100Ω</td>
<td>Thermo. Werk</td>
<td>±1°C</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil temperature</td>
<td>Thermistor, Thermocouple</td>
<td>Campbell Scientific</td>
<td>±1°C</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil heat flux</td>
<td>Heat flux plate HP5/CN3</td>
<td>Middleton</td>
<td>~13.5 μV/Wm²</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil heat flux</td>
<td>Heat flux plate HFP01</td>
<td>Hukseflux</td>
<td>50 μV/Wm²</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil moisture</td>
<td>Water content reflectometer CS615/CS616</td>
<td>Campbell Scientific</td>
<td>±3% of water content</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global radiation</td>
<td>Pyranometer SP-LITE</td>
<td>Kipp &amp; Zonen</td>
<td>80 μV/Wm²</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net radiation</td>
<td>Net radiometer (REBS) Q7, NR-LITE</td>
<td>Campbell/ Kipp &amp; Zonen</td>
<td>+9.6 (~11.9) μV/Wm² / 13.9 μV/Wm²</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall amount</td>
<td>Raingauge ARG100</td>
<td>Campbell Scientific</td>
<td>0.203mm/TIP</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air pressure</td>
<td>Capacitive barometer</td>
<td>Annumit</td>
<td>1 hPa</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulent Flux</td>
<td>Ultrasonic anemometer USA-1</td>
<td>METEK</td>
<td>10Hz</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data acquisition</td>
<td>Datalogger CR10X</td>
<td>Campbell Scientific</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A 15-m mast (position M2 in Fig. 1) was configured to measure the profiles of the mean wind speed at the following heights: 0.7 m, 1.2 m, 2.2 m, 3.3 m, 5.2 m, 7.2 m, 10.2 m and 14.8 m (the wind direction is inclusive only at the 14.8 m height) and air temperature (wet and dry bulb) at 0.9 m, 4.9 m and 10.0 m (see Fig. 2a). In addition, the same mast supported radiation sensors for the global and net radiation (both at 1.5 m). In the earth close to the mast position were buried soil thermometers (5 cm, 10 cm, and 30 cm) and heat flux plates (2 cm [5 cm], 10 cm, 30 cm). Other measurements made included surface temperature, air pressure, rainfall amount and soil moisture. The slow measurements were controlled by use of two Campbell CR10X dataloggers which sampled the data every 1 sec. and then subsequently stored them as 1 min. averaged values.

The EC data acquisition system used comprised of a 3-D ultrasonic anemometer (model USA-1, manufactured by METEK, Germany) together with a krypton hygrometer (model KH20, manufactured by Campbell Scientific, USA) as shown in Fig. 2b. The two devices (that is, the sonic anemometer and krypton hygrometer) were sampled at frequencies of 16 Hz and 8 Hz respectively. The sonic anemometer measurements provided direct digital data output of the fluctuating wind components: u, v, w.

---

**Fig. 2a: Measurement complex M2 (see the text for details)**
5. Results

(a) The surface conditions during the NIMEX-1 study

The NIMEX-1 field project ran between February 15 and March 10, 2004 (that is, Day of the Year (DOY) 46 to 70). During the experiments routine observations of the basic meteorological conditions at the site were made as well. The appendix is showing the daily weather occurrences (including air temperature, relative humidity, mean wind speed and direction, and solar radiation) within a special observation period, chosen as the DOY 55 to 70. From the records available, the first rain of the year occurred on DOY 55 in the evening (about 6pm local time) and again on the DOY 56 and 57, heavy rain was also recorded at about 7.30pm. These precipitation events transformed the dry surface conditions to wet and within days, brought about sprouting of shrubs and grasses on the measurement surface. Between the DOY 65 and 68 the visibility in the area was poor due to a sustained surge of turbid air (coming from the northeasterly wind direction, a manifestation which is known locally as the "harmattan").

In the Figs. 3(a) and (b) are shown the wind speed, air temperature and relative humidity (at 2m height) for the period: DOY 53 to 59. Generally, the surface winds observed were weak ($\bar{u} < 1.5$ m s$^{-1}$), and it was only during stormy weather that the gusts reached up to 6 m s$^{-1}$. The wind-direction at the surface for the period was predominantly northeasterly, which is in agreement with the climatological trend of the area for this period. The air temperature ranged between a minimum of 20.3 °C (this was recorded at 21.32 hr on DOY 57, shortly after a rainstorm) and a maximum of 35.8 °C at 16.00hr on DOY 54. The relative humidity for both the early morning and late night hours was nearly 100%, but during the hot afternoons these values
can drop to about 65%. On the days with heavy rainstorm occurrence in the previous evening (e.g. DOY 56), the afternoon humidity value was well above 85%.

The surface (skin) and soil temperature (depths at 5 cm, 10 cm, and 30 cm) trends for the DOY 54 to 60 are depicted in the Figs. 4. The time series indicated that as soon as the heavy rains on the nights of DOY 56 and 57 occurred, their daily mean values fell considerably. This is most probably because the wetting of the patched ground surface had significantly increased the latent heat flux (as from DOY 57 onwards) and consequently lowering the amount of conductive heating into the soil submedium. This portrayed a gradual transition from the hot and dry conditions at the surface, which is typical of the dry season, to the warm and moist ground during the wet season. When turbid conditions occurred as from DOY 65 onwards, lowering of net radiation at surface further reduced the mean soil temperature recorded at these depths.

Case studies of the surface energy fluxes when the BREB, EC, and MBR data were available are presented. Fig.5 depicts the comparison of the sensible and latent heat fluxes as estimated by BREB and EC. In Fig. 5(a), which is for DOY 57 only the sensible heat flux has been compared, but both fluxes are compared for the DOY 61 (see Fig. 5(b)). Clearly in both cases, there is overestimation of the sensible and latent heat fluxes by BREB. On DOY 57 (see Fig.5a) BREB overestimated the sensible heat flux by about 30%. In the same figure, the sharp drop in the sensible heat flux at about the local noon is due to cloud manifestations.

Figs. 6 (a) and (b) compare the latent heat fluxes estimated by BREB and MBR with EC. Both the BREB and MBR estimates of the latent heat flux on DOY 61 were compared to the EC measurements. The figure indicated that there was a considerable scatter on the line of best fit (1:1) which shows the dependence of the estimates on the value of the Bowen ratio (that is, the estimated temperature and humidity gradients). Further comparison of the two methods (BREB and MBR) is presented in Fig. 6b. The distribution of the points shows good agreement between MBR and BREB though there is some scatter.

In the Figs. 7(a) and (b) are shown the surface energy balance for the DOY 57 and 66 as obtained from the BREB and the EC systems respectively. Remarkably, the conditions on these two days are quite different. In the evening (about 7.30 pm) of DOY 56, there was a heavy rainstorm which caused the surface to
Fig. 5: Comparison of the sensible and latent heat fluxes estimated by BREB method with EC method.

Fig. 6: Comparison of latent heat fluxes estimated by BREB and MBR methods with EC method.
Fig. 7: The surface energy balance on DOY 57 and 66 as obtained from (a) BREB and (b) EC methods.

Fig. 8: The diurnal trend of global radiation for DOY 54 to 59 at the NIMEX-1 site.
be wetted most of the DOY 57. The relative humidity was 94.1% and the near surface soil wetness as measured by a TDR instrument was about 14.1% by volume. For this day, the net radiation recorded was as much as about 650 Wm$^{-2}$. Most of the available energy was used as latent heating with the values reaching as high as 300 Wm$^{-2}$. The surface sensible heating rose to as much as 250 Wm$^{-2}$. But the ground heat was considerably low, about 80 Wm$^{-2}$. This of course was attributed to the high evaporative flux taking place at the surface.

In contrast to the above scenario, the condition on DOY 66 was relatively dry (the relative humidity was 66%) and there was a surge of dusty air enveloping the whole area (the visibility was generally poor). Due to the hazy sky conditions that prevailed, the daytime net radiation fell to about 450 Wm$^{-2}$ (see Fig. 7b). The EC measurements estimated the latent heat flux values (less than 250 Wm$^{-2}$) to be higher relatively than the sensible heat thus indicating most of the available energy went to evaporate water from the surface.

(c) The surface radiation balance

The global radiation trend for the DOY 54 to 59 is depicted in the Fig. 8. In that figure, except for those days with considerable amounts of cloudiness, the 30-min. average values of global radiation peaked often to about 850 Wm$^{-2}$. But during the period DOY 65 to 68, when there was a surge of dust storm over the area, this greatly reduced the levels of the maximum global radiation to about 600 Wm$^{-2}$. In Figs. 9a and b, the thirty minutes average values of global radiation measured using the Campbell Scientific SP-LITE pyranometer (sensitivity: 80 μV/Wm$^{-2}$) and similar values of the net radiation obtained with the NR-LITE net radiometer (sensitivity: 13.9 μV/Wm$^{-2}$) are compared with the equivalent measurements made with Kipp and Zonen net radiometer model CNR-1, which here is taken as the standard instrument (it has a better accuracy and time constant). It should be remarked that both the SP-LITE and NR-LITE were placed at the position M2 (see Fig. 1), while the CNR-1 was at the position M1, almost a distance of 200 m apart.

---

**Fig. 9:** Comparisons of (a) global and (b) net radiation as measured by SP-LITE and NR-LITE radiometers respectively with a reference net radiometer, CNR-1.
From the Fig. 9a, which is for the global radiation, it can be observed that both instruments compare very well but there was an underestimation by the SPLITE pyranometer which was less than 5%. On the part of the NR-LITE net radiometer, shown by the Fig. 9b, it showed a similar tendency of underestimating the net radiation, but in this case by as much as 10%. However, it should be understood that the considerable distance of separation of the radiation stands (that is, SPLITE and NR-LITE as against CNR-1), could matter much in the recorded values especially when there were drifting low level clouds. Moreover, it is recognised that the instruments have longer time constants and also relatively less sensitive than the standard (CNR-1). As such there are bound to be considerable disparities of their values particularly during cloudy conditions. This can be seen in Fig. 9b where the underestimation by the NR-LITE particularly between 100 and 350 Wm$^{-2}$, is large (mostly when it is cloudy during the daytime).

The surface radiation balance for the DOY 59 is shown in the Fig. 10. The data presented are 1 min. average values. The prominence of clouds in attenuating the incoming solar radiation is quite manifest. The drifting clouds continually bring about the fluctuations as was observed in all the radiation components measurements.

![NIMEX-1 (DOY 59)](image)

Fig. 10: The radiation components measured, both shortwave and longwave, at the NIMEX-1 site on DOY 59.

6. Conclusion

Accurate measurements of the surface sensible and latent heat fluxes are required for research and applications in weather and climate modelling, agriculture and hydrology. Such data for the humid zone of the West Africa is scarce. Thus the main goal for organising the NIMEX-1 field project was to quantitatively determine the magnitude of the terms in the surface energy balance equation for the conditions that are typical of a tropical (wet and dry) area, for the southwest part of Nigeria. In almost all of the less developed countries (LDC), there is insufficiency of facility and man-power to carry out dedicated measurements of the micrometeorological parameters.

The NIMEX-1 period of study, which was from February 15 to March 10, 2004 presented diverse surface conditions, from the completely dry surface (at the beginning) to the wet surface (about DOY 56-58) and later manifested a turbid condition. These contrasting scenarios produced different surface budgets which were critically examined in this study. The extensive data base acquired from the NIMEX-1 project also allowed an opportunity to compare the different measurement platforms. Similarly the radiation budget was examined.

It is believed that the present data will be used to consolidate with the extension of the micro-
meteorological measurements to other nearby locations, at Akure and Ibadan, where the microscale systems can be studied in relation to the mesoscale features.
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### Appendix

The synoptic weather observations made during the SOP of the NIMEX-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day of Year (DOY)</th>
<th>Mean Wind speed (ms(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Wind direct. (degs.)</th>
<th>Daily Average Temp ((^\circ)C)</th>
<th>Rel. hum. (%)</th>
<th>Daily Avg. Global radiation (Wm(^{-2}))</th>
<th>Synoptic conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>210.4</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>206.4</td>
<td>A slight drizzle occurred at about 6pm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>198.5</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>176.8</td>
<td>Heavy rainstorm but short-lived (30mins) started at about 7.30pm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>138.7</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>187.2</td>
<td>Heavy and violent rainstorm at about 8pm (short-lived).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>108.5</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>189.7</td>
<td>No rain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>166.8</td>
<td>No rain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>118.6</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>196.8</td>
<td>No rain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>118.7</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>184.7</td>
<td>No rain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>110.8</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>150.9</td>
<td>Slight drizzle at about 7.30pm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>173.9</td>
<td>No rain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>235.6</td>
<td>No rain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>352.1</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>166.7</td>
<td>Low-level fog in the early morning. Turbid conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>197.4</td>
<td>No rain. Low-level fog in the early morning hours. Turbid conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>221.4</td>
<td>No rain. Poor visibility persisted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>204.2</td>
<td>No rain. Poor visibility continued all day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>175.7</td>
<td>No rain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>195.9</td>
<td>No rain.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>