

Journal of Applied Biosciences 95:8966 – 8972

ISSN 1997-5902

Antimicrobial susceptibility of Salmonella enterica strains isolated from raw beef, mutton and intestines sold in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso.

Bawa I. H^{1*}, Bsadjo Tchamba G¹, Bagré T. S¹, Bouda S. C¹, Konaté A¹, Bako E¹, Kagambèga A^{1,2}, Zongo C¹, Somda M¹, Savadogo A¹, Traoré A. S¹, Barro N¹.

¹ Laboratoire de Biologie Moléculaire d'Epidémiologie et de Surveillance des agents Transmissibles par les Aliments (LaBESTA). Centre de Recherche en Sciences Biologiques, Alimentaires et Nutritionnelles (CRSBAN). Université de Ouagadougou, 03 B.P 7021 Ouagadougou 03, Burkina Faso.

²Institut Des Sciences, 01 BP 1757 Ouagadougou 01, Burkina Faso.

Corresponding author: Email: hadizabawa@yahoo.fr , Phone (+226) 78 45 78 16

Original submitted in on 8th October 2015. Published online at <u>www.m.elewa.org</u> on 30th November 2015 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jab.v95i1.6</u>

ABSTRACT

Objectives: The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence and antibiotic resistance profile of *Salmonella enterica* isolated from raw beef, mutton and intestines sold in Ouagadougou; Burkina Faso. *Methodology and Results:* A total of 450 samples from raw meat of beef (n=175), mutton (n=175), beef intestine (n=50) and sheep intestine (n=50) were analyzed using standards microbiological method for the detection of *Salmonella*. The isolates were tested for antibacterial resistance using the agar diffusion method with fourteen commonly used antibiotics. In this study, Salmonella contaminated 19% (86/450) of the samples with 27% (47/175) of beef, 18% (9/50) of beef intestine, 11% (19/175) of mutton and 22% (11/50) of sheep intestine. *Salmonella* strains isolates were most resistant to tetracycline and cefalotin than other tested antibiotics. *Conclusion and main findings:* The high rate of *Salmonella* strains in animals can pose a major public health risk in Burkina Faso. In addition, the susceptibility test confirms the circulation of antibiotic resistant pathogens in raw meat. Interestingly, these findings indicate a presence of multiresistant strains. The regulation of antibiotics use in animal husbandry is recommended to prevent antibiotic resistance in humans. **Key words:** Beef, Mutton, Raw Intestine, *Salmonella*, Antimicrobial Susceptibility, Burkina Faso.

INTRODUCTION

Microbial food safety is an increasing worldwide public health problem (OMS, 2015). Problem of food Safety in the industrialized world differ considerably from those of developing countries where traditional methods of processing and packaging, improper holding temperature, poor personal hygiene of food handlers are still observed during food marketing (Oladipo *et al.*, 2010). The risk of the transmission of zoonotic infections is also associated with the consumption of contaminated meat. Salmonella enterica infections are the second leading cause of bacterial foodborne illness and approximately 95% of cases of human salmonellosis are associated with the consumption of contaminated products such as meat, poultry, eggs, milk, seafood, and fresh produce (OMS, 2013). These infections may cause significant morbidity and mortality both in humans and production animals as well as considerable

economic losses (Fadlalla et al., 2012; Hendriksen et al., 2012). In Burkina Faso, salmonella is considered as public health concern and is a common source of medical complications (Bonkoungou et al., 2013; Timbiné et al., 2013; Dembélé et al., 2014). The utilization of antimicrobial drugs has played an important role in animal husbandry, since they are used in prophylaxis, treatment and growth promotion (EFSA, 2012). However, their abusive use in human and animals has led to an increase bacterial resistance among several bacterial strains including Salmonella (Li et al., 2013). The husbandry practices used in the meat industry and the widespread use of medicated feeds in edible intestines operations made meat a major reservoir of antimicrobialresistant Salmonella (Sodagari et al., 2015). Previous studies showed that Salmonella enterica

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and sampling: The study was conducted between October 2011 to October 2012, in twenty five (25) open markets located in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso (Figure 1). Sampling was done by buying 125g to 500g of beef (175), beef intestine (50), mutton (175) and sheep intestine (50). Samples collected were placed in separate sterile containers and then in ice boxes, transported at 4 °C to the laboratory and processed within two hours. The sampling sites were identified according to the importance and availability of meat.

Identification of Salmonella isolates: Salmonella isolation was conducted according to ISO 6579: 2002 (E) methods lightly modified. Briefly, twenty-five grams (25 g) of each sample was mixed in 225 ml of buffered peptone water (Liofilchem, Italy) with a stomacher lab blender 400 (Sewar, England). The suspension of meat was incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. An aliquot (1 ml) of pre-enriched broth was inoculated into 9 ml of Rappaport-Vassiliadis (RV) broth (Liofilchem, Italy) and incubated at 42°C for 24 hours. A loopful of enriched broth was streaked onto Xylose-lysine desoxycholate (XLD) and Hektoen enteric (HEK) (Liofilchem, Italy) agar plate media and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. All suspected Salmonella colonies were firstly tested for urea, indole, lactose, glucose, citrate metabolism, gas production, mannitol, mobility, oxidase (Bio-Rad, France). Presumed isolated of Salmonella were suspended in physiological saline solution (NaCl, 9g/L in water) for identification by API 20 E system (BioMerieux, France). The database accessed

isolated from meat and several foods is resistant to commonly used antibiotics like amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, aztreonam, cefalotin, ceftriaxone, cefepim, gentamicin, chloramphenicol, tetracycline, nalidixic acid and ciprofloxaxin. (Kagambèga et al., 2011; Bagré et al., 2014; Bsadjo Tchamba et al., 2015; Sodagari et al., 2015). Animals are the main source of the resistance of Salmonella to the different antibiotics in treatment of human infections (kagambèga et al., 2013). Antibiotic resistance has been attributed to genetic and environmental factors (Sambe-Ba et al., 2013). In view of the great consumption for beef and mutton in Burkina Faso, this study was conducted to assess the microbial quality of raw meat sold in the local market of Ouagadougou and to determine the antibiotic resistance profile of Salmonella enterica.

from the apiweb[™] software (BioMérieux, France) was used in order to interpret the results.

Antibiotic susceptibility tests: Antibiogram was done onto Mueller-Hinton agar (Liofilchem, Italy) plate media following the standardized disk diffusion method as described by Bauer et al. (1966). Pure colonies of each isolate were suspended in sterile physiological saline solution (NaCl, 9 g/l %) to prepare a suspension at the same turbidity to the 0.5 Mc Farland standards (~ 108 UFC / ml) and used to sow the Mueller-Hinton agar plates. A total of 14 Antimicrobials agents (Liofilchem, Italy) were tested: Aminopenicillins (amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, 30 µg); Carboxypenicillins (ticarcillin, 75 µg); Monobactams (aztreonam, 30 µg); Carbapenemes (imipenem, 10 µg); Cephalosporins (cefalotin, 30 µg; cefalexin, 30 µg; cefamandol, 30 µg; ceftriaxone, 30 µg; cefepim, 30 µg); Aminoglucosides (gentamicin, 30 µg); Phenicols (chloramphenicol, 30 µg); Cyclines (tetracycline, 30 µg); Quinolones (nalidixic acid, 30 µg) and Fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxaxin, 5 µg). Inhibition diameters of the antibiotics were interpreted according to the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Instructions (EUCAST, 2014).

Statistical analysis: Epi-Info version 3.5.1 software was used to calculate the prevalence and MedCalc 11.0.1.0 to determine p value of the various parameters. Any p value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.



Figure 1: Sampling sites in Ouagadougou/Burkina Faso

RESULTS

In this study, out of 450 samples collected, 86 (19%) were contaminated by *Salmonella* spp (Table 1). *Salmonella* were found in 27% (47/175), 18% (9/50), 11% (19/175) and 22% (11/50) respectively of beef, beef intestine, mutton and sheep intestine.

Table 2: Antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella enterica spp

Source of meat sample	Prevalence of Salmonella enterica		
Beef (n= 175)	47 (27%)		
Beef intestine (n= 50)	9 (18%)		
Mutton (n=175)	19 (11%)		
Sheep intestine (n= 50)	11 (22%)		
Total (n= 450)	86 (19%)		
		-	

%= percentage, n= number

The antibiotic susceptibility test showed resistant *Salmonella* strains. Of the 86 *Salmonella* spp isolated in beef meat and mutton, 36% and 47% were respectively resistant to at least three or more families of antibiotics (Table 2). Overall resistance was most frequently observed to tetracycline and cefalotin respectively 91% and 60% in beef and 95% and 74% respectively in

mutton. Low resistance between 30% and 2% in beef and between 42% and 5% in mutton were observed for other antibiotics tested. In addition, strains of *Salmonella* isolated in intestine products resist only to tetracycline (78%) and cefalotin (56%) for beef intestine and (73%) to tetracycline for sheep intestine (Table 2).

Bawa *et al.* J. Appl. Biosci. 2015 Antimicrobial susceptibility of Salmonella enterica strains isolated from raw beef, mutton and intestines sold in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso.

Table 2: Antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella enterica spp						
	Number of isolates resistant in different samples of meat					
Antibiotics	Beef (n=47)	Beef intestine (n=9)	Mutton (n=19)	Sheep intestine (n=11)		
Amoxicillin/clavulanate	10(21%)	-	8 (42%)	-		
Aztreonam	4(9%)	-	-	-		
Cefalotin	28(60%)	5(56%)	14(74%)	-		
Cefamandol	7(15%)	-	5 (26%)	-		
Cefepim	-	-	-	-		
Ceftriaxone	3 (6%)	-	-	-		
Cefalexin	7(14%)	-	8(42%)	-		
Chloramphenicol	1(2%)	-	1(5%)	-		
Ciprofloxacin	-	-	-	-		
Gentamicin	14(30%)	-	7 (37%)	-		
Imipenem	-	-	-	-		
Nalidixic acid	11(23%)	-	6 (32%)	-		
Tetracycline	43(91%)	7 (78%)	18 (95%)	8 (73%)		
Ticarcillin	1(2%)	-	-	-		
Number of Multiresistant	17(36%)	0	9(47%)	0		

 Table 2: Antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella enterica spp

= no resistance, %= percentage

DISCUSSION

The present study evaluated the microbial quality of raw meat sold in different markets of Ouagadougou. This finding detected the prevalence of (19%) for Salmonella spp. in beef, mutton and intestines raw meats slaughtered for human consumption in Burkina Faso. Previous study in Nigeria, Burkina Faso, Brazil and Iran were found that raw meat of beef and chicken sold in open market were contaminated respectively by 9%, 29%, 29% and 19% of Salmonella (Iroha et al., 2011; Kagambèga et al., 2011; Carvalho et al., 2013; Sodagari et al., 2015). Rate of Salmonella reported in beef (27%) are higher than mutton (11%) (p = 0.0150). As far as intestines are concerned, the proportions of Salmonella are lower in beef intestine (18%) than sheep intestine (22%) (p = 0.635). The incidence of Salmonella in meats products obtained by other authors varied between 0 and 100% in Russia. Burkina Faso, China and Iran (Alali et al., 2012; Kagambèga et al., 2013; Li et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2014; Sodagari et al., 2015). The presence of these organisms in food animals and in raw meat products has relevant public health implications (Tassew et al., 2010). In Burkina Faso, in 2011, study conducted by Kagambèga et al., (2011); showed a high level of Salmonella 29%; where as in this study. Salmonella was found at 19%. As observed in this study, the reasons for the higher incidence of Salmonella contamination can be due to the widespread habit of raw meat treatment, the common factors such as overcrowding, poverty, inadequate sanitary conditions, and poor general hygiene

observed that Salmonella was most prevalent in beef. All previous studies showed that Salmonella was widely distributed in retail meat globally, which increased salmonellosis following consumption of contaminated meat or cross-contamination. In Burkina Faso, sheep and others animals were mostly roam freely at pasture in the bush (Kagambèga et al., 2013). The risk of contamination may be higher if beef meat or mutton is consumed undercooked (Sodagari et al., 2015). The prevalence may be based by diversity in sampling methods, sampling seasons and techniques (Li et al., 2013). However, some variations may be due to several factors, sanitation within the slaughterhouse, and the cross-contamination of carcasses by contact with intestinal tracts during slaughter or processing and differences among isolation methods applied to detect Salmonella (Rahimi, 2012; Kagambèga et al., 2013). The high level of contamination of meat and intestine with Salmonella observed in this study indicates the need for an improvement in the microbiological quality of meat. There is also a need for a comprehensive epidemiological study and control of Salmonella contamination at various levels of meat production in Burkina Faso. Resistance rates of Salmonella are varied from animals' sample. The Salmonella resistance rates to ticarcillin, tetracycline and cefalotin found in this study was higher than resistance rates to others antimicrobials because of the overuse of these antimicrobials for treatment and growth promotion

(Kagambèga et al., 2011; Abd el-Aziz, 2013). Also, it was

in different fields. The resistance to tetracycline obtained is higher than those reported in Ethiopia, Sudan and China in raw meats (Tassew et al., 2010; Fadlalla et al., 2012; Li et al., 2013). In this study, results on intestines strains are similar with the study done in Ivory Coast, Nigeria and Iran (Coulibaly et al., 2010; Iroha et al., 2011; Sodagari et al., 2015). This suggests a potential health risk due to consumption of meat. Such risks can be minimized by avoiding poor handling and raising the awareness of food handlers. The results of this study have disclosed two public health problems; the presence of resistant Salmonella strains in the possibility of Salmonella human infections through the consumption of contaminated food, and the isolation of antibiotic-resistant strains might suggest the use of drugs in that animal's environment. The occurrence of antibiotic resistant strains of a number of pathogenic bacteria especially Salmonella in foods has caused great concern in relation to public health (Carlvalho et al., 2013). While the antibiotics use has been proven an effective means for the prevention and control of Salmonella infection, their abusive use can have adverse consequences by promoting the selection and prevalence of drug resistant microbial populations (Li

CONCLUSION

This study show the degree of contamination by *salmonella enterica* in raw meat sold in the open market of Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. Of the samples analyzed, almost all of the meat was contaminated by this enteropathogen. In addition, several *Salmonella* strains

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

- Abd el-Aziz DM, 2013 Detection of Salmonella typhimurium in retail chicken meat and chicken giblets. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine 3: 678-681.
- Alali WQ, Gaydashov R, Petrova E, Panin A, Tugarinov O, Kulikovskii A, 2012. Prevalence of *Salmonella* on retail chicken meat in Russian Federation. Journal of Food Protection 75: 1469-1473.
- Bagré TS, Kagambèga A, Bawa IH, Bsadjo Tchamba G, Dembélé R, Zongo C, Savadogo A, Aggad H, Traoré AS, Barro N, 2014. Antibiotic susceptibility of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* strains isolated from raw and curds milk consumed in Ouagadougou and Ziniaré, Burkina

et al., 2013; Makka et al., 2014; Sodagari et al., 2015). Continuous and improper use of this antibiotic may increase resistance of antibiotics, thereby compromising human food safety and creating a difficulty in treating human salmonellosis (Sodagari et al., 2015). The problem may be due to the natural resistance of species to certain antibiotics, possible transfer of antibiotic resistance among species and the use of sub-therapeutic doses of antibiotics in animal feeds to improve animal productivity, which could also select for resistant strains (EFSA, 2012; Sambe-Ba et al., 2013). This practice leads into a possibility that bacterial resistance developing in the animals or food animals' transfers to the human population thus posing a risk for public health by spreading of the resistance (Kagambèga et al., 2013). In Burkina Faso, the irrational use of antibiotics in animal husbandry is considered a major cause of increased Salmonella resistance. Furthermore, unlimited access to these agents without a prescription or under veterinary control may be additional reasons for the high level of resistance. Therefore, surveillance of antimicrobial resistance should be done on food-borne pathogens.

isolated were resistant to commonly antibiotics used. This study confirms that beef, sheep and intestines are a major reservoir of resistant *Salmonella enterica* in Burkina Faso. Special attention should be taken to prevent the scattering of resistant strains in the environment.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank "International Foundation for Sciences (IFS)" for financial support.

Faso. African Journal of Microbiology Research 8: 1012-1016.

- Bauer AW, Kirby WMM, Sherries JC, Turck M, 1966. Antibiotic susceptibility testing. American Journal of Clinical Pathology 45: 493-496.
- Bonkoungou I, Haukka K, Österblad M, Hakanen AJ, Traoré AS, Barro N, Siitonen A, 2013. Bacterial and viral etiology of childhood diarrhea in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. BMC Pediatrics 13: 1-6.
- Bsadjo Tchamba G, Bawa IH, Nzouankeu A, Bagré TS, Traoré AS, Barro N, 2015. Isolation, characterization and antibiotic susceptibility of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* spp. isolated from local beverages (bissap, gnamakoudji) sold

in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. International Journal of Biosciences 6: 112-119.

- Carvalho FCT, Sousa OV, Carvalho EMR, Hofer E, Vieira RHSF, 2013. Antibiotic Resistance of *Salmonella* spp. Isolated from Shrimp Farming Freshwater Environment in Northeast Region of Brazil. Journal of Pathogens. 5 pp.
- Coulibaly EK, Bakayoko S, Karou TG, Coulibaly KJ, Goualie GB, Dosso M, Diopoh KJ, 2010. Sérotypage et antibiorésistance des souches de *Salmonella* isolées dans les foies de poulets vendus sur les marchés deYopougon (Abidjan Côte d'Ivoire) en 2005. Revue Africaine de Santé et Productions Animales 8: 25–29.
- Dembélé R, Konaté A, Bonkoungou IJO, Kagambèga A, Konaté K, Bagré TS, Traoré AS, Barro N, 2014. Serotyping and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Salmonella* isolated from children under five years of age with diarrhea in rural Burkina Faso. African Journal of Microbiology Research 8: 3157-3163.
- European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST), 2014. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 2.0, valid from 01- 01.p1-8.
- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), 2012. Rapport conjoint de l'EFSA et le Centre européen de prévention et de contrôle des Maladies (ECDC) sur la résistance aux antimicrobiens chez les bactéries zoonotiques affectant l'homme, l'animal et l'alimentation. 20pp. http:// www.efsa.europa.eu/de/efsajournal/ consulté le 22 /12/2012 à 16h 58.
- Fadlalla IMT, Hamid ME, Abdel Rahim AG, Ibrahim MT, 2012. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Salmonella* serotypes isolated from human and animals in Sudan. Journal of public Health and Epidemiology 4: 19-23.
- Hendriksen RS, Vieira AR, Karlsmose S, Wong DMA, Lo Fo, Jensen AB, Wegener HC, Aarestrup FM, 2012. Global Monitoring of *Salmonella* Serovar Distribution from the World Health Organization Global Foodborne Infections Network Country Data Bank: Results of Quality Assured Laboratories from 2001 to 2007. Foodborne Pathogens Disease 8: 887-900. http://dx.doi.org/10.11.55/2013 /685193.
- International Standard Organization (ISO) 6579, 2002. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs-Horizontal method for the detection of *Salmonella* spp. AFNOR. 27 p.

- Iroha IR, Ugbo EC, Ilang DC, Oji AE, Ayogu TE, 2011. Bacterial contamination of raw meat sold in Abakaliki, Ebonyi State Nigeria. Journal of Public Health and Epidemiology 3: 49-53.
- Kagambèga A, Haukka K, Siitonen A, Traoré AS, Barro N, 2011. Prevalence of *Salmonella enterica* and the hygienic indicator *Escherichia coli* in raw meat at markets in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. Journal of Food Protection 74: 1545–1551.
- Kagambèga, Lienemann T, Aulu L, Traoré AS, Barro N, Siitonen A, Haukka K, 2013. Prevalence and characterization of *Salmonella enterica* from the feaces of cattle, poultry, swine and hedgehogs in Burkina Faso and their comparison to human *Salmonella* isolates. BMC Microbiology 13: 2-9.
- Li R, Lai J, Wang Y, Liu S, Li Y, Liu K, Shen J, Wu C, 2013. Prevalence and characterization of *Salmonella* species isolated from pigs, ducks and chickens in Sichuan Province, China. International Journal of Food Microbiology 163, 14-18.
- Maka L, Mackiw EM, Sciezynska H, Pawlowska K, 2014. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Salmonella* strains isolated from retail meat products in Poland between 2008 and 2012. Journal of Food Control 36: 199-204.
- Oladipo IC, Adejumbi OD, 2010. Incidence of antibiotic resistance in some bacterial pathogens from street vended food in Ogbomoso, Nigeria. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 9: 1061-1068.
- Organisation Mondiale de la Santé (OMS), 2013. Infections à *Salmonella* (non-typhiques). aidemémoire. °139.<u>http://www.who.int/mediacentre/</u> <u>factsheets/fs139/fr/ consulté le 19/08/2015</u> à 15h 56
- Organisation Mondiale de la Santé (OMS), 2015. Journée mondiale de la Santé 2015 la sécurité sanitaire des aliments vos aliments sont-ils vraiment sûrs? De la ferme à l'assiette, vous avez tous un rôle à jouer. Guide de sensibilisation 12 pp. <u>http://www.who.int/</u> <u>campaigns/world-health-day/2015/</u> <u>WHD2015.tool kit fr.pdf</u>, consulté le 19/08/2015 à 14h 39.
- Rahimi E, 2012. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella spp* isolated from retail chicken, turkey and ostrich by-products in Iran. Revue de Médecine Vétérinaire 163: 271-275.
- Sambe-Ba B, Seck A, Timbine LG, Wane AA, Gassama-Sow A, 2013. Emergence of quinolone resistance in *salmonella* and *Shigella* strains

isolated from diarrhoea in Senegal. Journal of Global Antimicrobial Research 1: 231-232.

- Sodagari HR, Mashak Z, Ghadimianazar A, 2015. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* serotypes isolated from retail chicken meat and giblets in Iran. Journal of Infection in Developing Countries 9: 463-469.
- Tassew H, Abdissa A, Beyene G, Gebre-Selassie S, 2010. Microbial flora and food borne pathogens on minced meat and their susceptibility to antimicrobial agents. Ethiopian Journal of Health Sciences 20: 137-143.
- Timbiné LG, Sambe-BA B, Wane AA, Fall NK, Abdou M, Barro N, Sangaré L, Bougoudogo F, Gassama-Sow A, 2013. Sensibilité aux antibiotiques des souches de bactéries entéropathogènes isolées en Afrique de l'Ouest (Burkina Faso, Mali, Sénégal). Dakar Medical Journal. 58: 80-88.
- Zhu J, Wang Y, Song X, Cui S, Xu H, Yang B, Huang J, Liu G, Chen Q, Zhou G, Chen Q, Li F, 2014. Prevalence and quantification of *Salmonella* contamination in raw chicken carcasses at the retail in China. Journal of Food Control 44: 198-202.