Impact of oral and intramuscular administration amoxicillin on the selection of amoxicillin-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in the digestive flora of piglets
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ABSTRACT
Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the level of selection of amoxicillin-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in the digestive microbiota of piglets during oral and intramuscular administration of amoxicillin.

Methodology and Results: Enumeration of Enterobacteriaceae was carried out on MacConkey agar with and without amoxicillin. E. coli isolates were identified and subjected to antimicrobial susceptibility test. The percentages of amoxicillin-resistant Enterobacteriaceae before treatment were between 10-13% for the three groups of piglets. After starting treatment of amoxicillin, from day 1 to day 4, the percentages of resistant Enterobacteriaceae were between 54 to 81% for the intramuscular treated groups and 58 to 87% for those treated orally. In the control group, percentage was 11%. During days of treatments, the percentage of amoxicillin-resistant E. coli strains to the associated antibiotics evolved during the treatments. In the control piglets, the percentages of E. coli resistant antibiotics did not increase.

Conclusion and application of findings: Administration of oral amoxicillin resulted in a greater selection of resistant enterobacteria in the digestive microbiota of piglets than those induced by the intramuscular route. Escherichia coli, an important strain in animal and human pathology, was the target of detection in this selection of these resistant enterobacteria. They constitute a public health risk due to the transfer of resistance genes from pathogenic bacteria of porcine origin to the human digestive flora via the food chain. The results obtained from this study are part of a bacterial resistance monitoring policy that will prevent pig farmers from Côte d’Ivoire, the majority user of these antibiotics.
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INTRODUCTION

The use of antibiotics in the piggery is about 60% of the world consumption of antibiotics in the animal sector (FEDESA, 2000). The massive uses of these antimicrobials contribute an important selection of resistant or multi-resistant bacteria in the digestive microbiota of pigs (Sunde et al., 1998). A transfer of resistance genes from commensal or pathogenic bacteria of animal origin to the human digestive flora via the food chain or the environment is now a real public health problem (Phillips and Casewell, 2004; Sanders et al., 2011). The human intestinal flora would thus be enriched with genetic determinants of resistance of animal origin, potentially transmissible to pathogenic bacteria (Salyers et al., 2004). Bacteria isolated in animals and humans share the same mechanisms of resistance. This is an argument in favour of the lack of sealing between bacterial populations of human and animal origin. Beta-lactams are widely used in veterinary medicine and beta-lactamases identified in Enterobacteriaceae of animal origin are identical to those identified in Enterobacteriaceae of human origin (Li et al., 2007). Beta-lactam as amoxicillin is therefore a molecule of choice for the treatment of bacterial respiratory diseases in pigs (Pijpers et al., 1989). However, beta-lactams in general and aminopenicillin in particular are the most widely used therapeutic class in human medicine (Livermore, 1995). Given the probable public health risks associated with the consumption of porcine foodstuffs with the transfer of resistance genes to the human digestive flora, it was considered appropriate to consider an assessment of the level of selection of Beta-lactam-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in the digestive flora of piglets during oral and intramuscular administration of this antibiotic. The strains of Escherichia coli were the target enterobacterium and are often used for its importance in animal and human pathology (Sorum and Sunde, 2001).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

**Experimental study and collection of samples:** Six (6) Large White weaned piglets, 4-week-old that had never received antibiotics were used for the experiments. The piglets were weighed using a scale (BSCI RoHS, Nops®, China) to determine their weights. These piglets were housed separately in individual pens all through the experiments. Amoxicillin was administered at a dose of 1 ml / 10 kg of body weight for 5 days by using two routes: oral route and intramuscular route. Three groups of piglets were formed: a first group of 2 piglets (n = 2) received amoxicillin (Vetrimoxin ®) by intramuscular route, a second group of 2 piglets (n = 2) received amoxicillin (Cofamox ®20) by oral route and a third group of 2 piglets (n = 2) did not receive antibiotics during the experimental study, which served as controls. Fecal samples were collected from each piglet before treatment (day 0) and after 1, 2, 3 and 4 days treatments. A total of 30 fecal samples were collected during the study. The samples were taken from a sterile coproculture box and transported at 4 °C in the laboratory for microbiological analysis.

**Phenotypic evaluation of the level of resistance to amoxicillin:** 5 g of faeces from each piglet was taken and emulsified in 45 ml peptone water including 30% glycerol. After homogenization, tenfold serial dilution of the filtrate was prepared, 100 μl of diluted sample of dilution was spread on MacConkey agar (Bio-Rad, Marne la Coquette, France) containing 0 and 16 μg / ml of amoxicillin. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours. Presumptive colonies of enterobacteria on agar containing 16 μg / mL of amoxicillin were classified as resistant according to the standard value of the Committee of Veterinarians of the French Society of Microbiology (CASFM, 2014). Enterobacteriaceae counts from both plates were used to determine the percentage of amoxicillin-resistant Enterobacteriaceae at each sampling time. For each sample, five presumptive colonies of E. coli were selected from Petri dishes containing the MacConkey agar with amoxicillin. A subculture was carried out on Rapid E. coli 2 medium (Bio-Rad, Marne la Coquette, France) in order to obtain pure cultures. The plates were incubated at 37 ° C for 24 hours. The pure presumptive colonies of E. coli were identified by using biochemical test of Lemino. The percentage (R) of amoxicillin-resistant Enterobacteriaceae was determined according to the following equation:

\[
R = \frac{E_r}{E_t} \times 100
\]
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**ER**: Number of colonies of *Enterobacteriaceae* on MacConkey agar with amoxicillin.

**ET**: Number of colonies of *Enterobacteriaceae* on MacConkey agar without amoxicillin.

**Antibiotics susceptibility test**: Antibiotics susceptibility test of *E. coli* strains were performed by using the disk diffusion method on agar medium according to the CASFM standard. Fourteen (14) antibiotic disks were used for the study: amoxicillin (25 µg), amoxicillin + clavulanic acid (20 / 10 µg), cefotaxime (30 µg), ceftriaxone (30 µg), cefoxitin (30 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), nalidixic acid (30 µg), tetracycline (30 µg), trimethoprim / sulfamethoxazole (1.25 / 23.75 µg), chloramphenicol (30 µg), kanamycin (30 µg), streptomycin (10 µg), colistin (50 µg). The antibiogram was performed on Mueller Hinton agar (Bio-Rad, Marne la Coquette, France). Interpretation of the results was done according to the rules and recommendations of the veterinarians of the Antibiogram Committee of the French Society of Microbiology (CASFM, 2014). *E. coli* ATCC 25922 was used as the control strain. The percentage (Pc) of *E. coli*-resistant antibiotics was determined according to the following equation:

\[
Pc = \frac{E_{ATB}}{E_{TT}} \times 100
\]

**EATB**: Number of strain identified *E. coli* resistant to an associated antibiotic from presumptive colonies of *Enterobacteriaceae* selected on MacConkey medium with amoxicillin.

**ETT**: The five presumptive colonies of *Enterobacteriaceae* selected on the MacConkey medium with amoxicillin.

**Statistical analysis**: Statistical analysis of the data was performed using GraphPad Prism® 5.01 software (Microsoft©, USA). Comparisons of the various percentages of amoxicillin-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* in the treated and control groups were performed using the Tukey’s multiple comparison assay. The difference between the constituted groups was considered significant at p <0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Percentages of amoxicillin-resistant Enterobacteriaceae**: Figure 1 shows the percentage of amoxicillin-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* for each mode of amoxicillin administration. Before the start of treatment (day D0), the percentage of amoxicillin-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* was estimated at 10-13% for all groups of piglets. From day D1 to D4, the percentages of *Enterobacteriaceae* exceeded the 50% threshold ranging from 54% to 81% for intramuscularly treated groups and from 58% to 87% for groups treated orally. The percentages of resistant enterobacteria of the controls did not exceed 11%. The percentages of amoxicillin-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* determined during oral administration of this antibiotic were greater than those of intramuscular treatments. Statistical analysis was indicated a significant increase (p <0.05) in the percentage of amoxicillin-resistant enterobacteria in the oral and intramuscular groups with the control group, but no significant difference between the group Treated orally and the group treated intramuscularly.
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**Figure 1:** Percentage of amoxicillin-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* for each mode of amoxicillin administration

**Associated amoxicillin resistance:** Table 1 shows the percentage of *E. coli* isolates resistant to amoxicillin, ceftriaxone, nalidixic acid, tetracycline, chloramphenicol, trimethoprim sulfamethoxazole, streptomycin, gentamicin and colistin. None of *E. coli* isolates was resistant to colistin. Before amoxicillin treatment (day D0) in all constituted groups, the percentages of *E. coli* resistant to tetracycline was 60% and 45% to trimethoprim sulfamethoxazole were the most important percentage. The percentage of 25% of *E. coli* resistant to streptomycin was the third most important before treatment. As for the percentages of strains resistant to amoxicillin, nalidixic acid, chloramphenicol and gentamicin, they did not exceed 15%. From the first to the fourth day of treatment, the percentages of strains resistant to amoxicillin, trimethoprim sulfamethoxazole, streptomycin and tetracycline have evolved to over 50% in antibiotic-treated groups. The percentage of strains resistant to amoxicillin and tetracycline exceeded 90% from the third day of treatment. The percentages of strains resistant to nalidixic acid, chloramphenicol and gentamicin also slightly changed and did not exceed 25% resistance.

**Table 1:** Percentage of amoxicillin-resistant *E. coli* strains in control and treated piglets
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DISCUSSION

The results determined in this study showed a considerable increase in amoxicillin-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in the digestive tract of piglets during oral and intramuscular administration of antibiotics. Several studies have shown that in pigs, as in other animals, antibiotic administration increases the prevalence of resistance in the bacteria of the commensal digestive flora (Sorum and Sunde, 2001, Belloc et al., 2005; Kempf et al., 2014). When antibiotics are administered, digestive bacteria that are resistant to the antibiotic are selected, and these resistant bacteria can then colonize the digestive tract (Sorum and Sunde, 2001). Sunde et al. (1998) reported the prevalence of resistance in E. coli isolated from pigs in 10 farms with a history of antibiotic use for different therapeutic purposes. They showed that the highest percentage of resistant bacteria was found in farms where the use of antibiotics was considered high. The number of selected Enterobacteriaceae resistant to amoxicillin in the digestive flora of piglets following the administration of oral antibiotic in the study was superior to those administered intramuscularly. This result shows that the administration of oral antibiotics in animals obviously leads to an important selection of resistant enterobacteria in their digestive microbiota compared to those induced by intramuscular administration. Other studies conducted by Bibbal et al. (2007) and Zhang and Huang (2013) reported that oral administration of antibiotics resulted in the greatest exposure of resistant digestive bacteria. Massive exposure of bacteria to the digestive tract leading to emergence of resistance strains because of oral antibiotic treatment could be explained because of their direct contact with the antibiotic (Bibbal et al., 2007). In fact, the digestive content can be the origin of various phenomena of fixation, which render the antibiotic inactive (Jansen et al., 1992). The study of associated resistance of amoxicillin in E. coli isolates within the amoxicillin-resistant enterobacteria prior to initiation of treatment revealed that few strains were susceptible to all antibiotics tested. The percentage of tetracycline resistant E. coli was 60% and trimethoprim sulfamethoxazole was 45%. These results are consistent with those obtained in the study of Bibbal et al. (2009) in France where, prior to ampicillin treatment of piglets, the frequency of tetracycline-resistant E. coli was 69% and 46% for sulphonamide. However, the slight difference observed with ampicillin, which registered a resistance of 6% before treatment to this antibiotic, compared to a resistance of 15% to amoxicillin in this study. After the beginning of the treatment, the results showed that in the group of piglets treated with antibiotics, the number of strains of E. coli resistant to the associated antibiotics such as nalidixic acid, trimethoprim sulfamethoxazole, streptomycin, gentamicin, chloramphenicol and tetracycline changed progressively from the second day of treatment to the fifth day. In the control piglet group, the number of E. coli resistant to antibiotics remained stable. These results are in agreement with those of Sorum and Sunde (2001) and Bibbal et al. (2009), where they demonstrated the emergence of resistances of the various antibiotics associated with animal resistant digestive E. coli during treatment with antibiotics. This emergence of resistance to these antibiotics during periods of antibiotic treatment in animals could be explained by the presence of pre-existing resistant organisms before treatment or of resistant gene transfer (Philippis et al., 2004; Sanders et al., 2011). Mentula et al. (2006) showed that when ampicillin was administered to dogs, the emergence of resistance was linked to the multiplication of E. coli resistant strains.

CONCLUSION

Control of the sanitary quality of livestock requires the control of bacterial infections, which uses antibiotics whose use promotes a selection of resistant bacteria in the digestive microbiota of animals. The administration of these antibiotics takes place in several ways. The administration of oral amoxicillin in these animals therefore leads to a greater selection of resistant enterobacteria in their digestive microbiota than those induced by administration by the intramuscular route. The different ways of administering antibiotics in animals also contribute to the selection of multidrug resistant strains. The transfer of resistant genes from resistant bacteria of animal origin to those of the commensal flora of the human digestive tract via the food chain therefore constitutes a danger to public health.
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