Youths' Perception of the Impact of Inter and Intra Ethnic Conflicts on Socio-economic Development in Delta State, Nigeria

Ajayi, A. R., Akinnagbe O. M. and Aghojare T
Department of Agricultural Extension
University of Nigeria, Nsukka
Corresponding e-mail: wolexakins@yahoo.com

Abstract

The study was undertaken to determine youth's perception of the impact of ethnic conflicts on socio-economic development in Delta state. Data for the study were collected from 102 youths from Ijaw, Itsekiri and Urhobo through the use of structured questionnaire. Percentage and mean scores were used in the data analysis. The results of the study indicated that unevenly distribution of compensations from government (91.2%) and unevenly sharing of resources (81.4%) were the most important causes of ethnic conflicts in the area. It was also revealed that unemployment ($\overline{x}$ =1.65) and poverty ($\overline{x}$ =1.46) were the major factors influencing youth's participation in ethnic conflicts. The impact of the conflicts was most severe on human lives ($\overline{x}$ =2.42) and buildings ($\overline{x}$ = 2.13). It was recommended that the Delta state government through the Agricultural Development Programme (DSADP) should embark on virile community and school rural youth extension activities such as young farmers' clubs programme.

Keywords: Youth, Ethnic conflict, socio-economic development

INTRODUCTION

Conflict in whatever form is often an uncomfortable and energy consuming experience. Mayer (2005) opined that conflict is an emotional reaction to a situation or interaction that signals disagreement of some kind. The emotion felt might be fear, sadness, bitterness, anger or hopelessness. According to Oke (2000); conflict is an incompatible feeling between groups or people. Conflict may also be described as an open clash between two opposing groups or individuals. Conflict may be described as war, battle, and struggle, opposing ideas or disagreement or quarrel between individuals.

Adidi (2005), posited that conflict might arise due to different causes such as land ownership, ethnic rivalry, overgrazing, land boundaries religious differences and unequal distribution of government resources. Whatever the cause of conflict, usually has a devastating effects on the people involved; the children, youths, women men, and even the environment. Conflict may lead to loss of life, properties, destruction of infrastructural facilities such as electricity, houses, and water pipe lines, destruction of crops and even live stocks.

Conflicts are of different types. Asaju (2000), classified conflict in rural community into four groups; these are intra-personal conflicts, inter personal conflict, intra group conflicts and inter group conflicts. Intra-personal conflict is that which falls within a person and is caused by

being pushed into two or more directions at once. For instance when opinion leaders within the rural community delegate responsibility to their subordinate, they often create intra-personal conflict by expecting too much from the subordinate. This is conflict within the person. Inter-personal conflict involves strains between two or more people in an organisation or society (Asaju 2000). It has to do with two or more individuals arguing over different goals or values task assignments in the society. Ademuyiwa (1999) discovered that in Nigeria, a person-to-person or individual conflict is among the communist form of conflicts. Intra-group conflicts are that type of conflicts that often occurs between sub-groups, factions and communities, formed within a group (Asaju 2000). Sole (2003) observed that inter-group conflict exist between two different groups in the form of competition. This kind of conflict can be over assignment of responsibility, limited resources or as a result of status.

The inter-ethnic conflicts in Delta State have been between the Ijaw's and the Itsekiri's on one hand and between the Itsekiri's and Urhobo's on the other. Within each of these groups, we have also witnessed intra-ethnic conflicts. In Delta State, like many other States in Nigeria, Bolarinwa, (2006), reported that the prevailing worrisome violent conflicts in many rural communities have distorted the normal livelihood of many farmers and their families. Women farmers and their children for instance, are no more regular at their farms to carry out normal agronomic activities because of fear. Majority of farmers no longer cultivate their distant scattered farmlands. They are virtually almost restricted to their compounds and short distant farmlands. Restriction on movement has limited access to essential farm inputs such as improved seeds, fertilizers and credits. Many farm households could not easily market their farm produce (Nonli, 1989).

Apart from the forging, both intra and inter ethnic conflicts have had a lot of devastating effects on employment, health, political, religion, industrial, educational and local institutional development activities in the study area. In addition, if and whenever violence erupted, both private and government buildings and other valuable properties are often targeted and destroyed (Nonli, 1989).

According to Emme and Emme (1997), traders at the various market centers at Warri also had a share of the negative impact of the conflicts. In April 1997, several market goods were either looted or set ablaze. The most affected markets were the Warri main market, Pessu and Okere. Many of the traders could not get back to the markets to continue with their trading because they could not raise enough money to start off again. Many traders left Warri for other locations because of fear of further destructions. Apart from parlaysing business activities, lives and properties also suffered colossal loss.

In each of these conflicts, the youth were always very much involved. Youth are boys and girls who are within the age range of 15 to 30 years. It is a period of life between childhood and adulthood. The youth of today are the future farmers and farmers' wives. They are the feature of economic, political, industrial, agricultural and educational development of any nation (Ajayi, 2006).

The pertinent question at this juncture relates to the youth's perception of the impact of both intra and inter ethnic conflicts on the socioeconomic development in their communities and their perceived strategies to curb them. What is the youth's perception of the impact of inter and intra ethnic conflicts on the socioeconomic development of their communities and how do they think that such conflicts could be curbed?

Purpose and Objectives

The purpose of the study was to determine the youth's perception of the impact of inter and intra ethnic conflicts on socio-economic development in Delta state, Nigeria. Specifically the study was designed to:

1. determine the youth's perceptions of the major causes of inter and intra ethnic conflicts in the study area;

2. find out the perceived factors influencing youth's participation in inter and intra ethnic conflicts in the study area;

3. determine the impacts of inter and intra ethnic conflicts on socio-economic development in the study area; and

4. determine the youth's perceived strategies for curbing future re-occurrence of inter and intra ethnic conflicts in the study area.

METHODOLOGY

The study was carried out in Delta state. The target populations of the study were the youths from, Ijaw, Itsekiri and Urhobo ethnic groups in Delta State. Three local government areas (Warri North, Warri South and Warri South West) were purposively selected from the 25 local government areas in the State because of frequent re-occurrence of conflicts in them. From each of the 3 local government areas, two communities were randomly selected through the use of simple random sampling technique. This made a total of 6 communities. From each of the 6 communities, 17 respondents were selected through simple random sampling technique from a list of 30 youth per community. Hence, 102 respondents from a population of 180 youths were selected.

Data for the study were collected from the respondents through the use of questionnaire schedule. Youth perceptions of the major causes of inter and intra ethnic conflicts were measured by assigning 1 if yes, and 0 if no to indicate if they have experience conflict in the area. The respondents were also asked to indicate the type of conflicts they had witnessed, and their responses were categorized as inter ethnic conflicts =1, intra ethnic conflicts =2 while the major causes of inter and intra ethnic conflicts were measured on a 2-point Likert-type scale of 1 for minor and 2 for major.

To determine respondents perceived factors influencing youth's participation in inter and intra ethnic conflicts, a 3- point Likert – type scale of no extent =0; to some extent =1; and to a greater extent =2 was used. The values on the Likert-type scale were summated to 3, which were later divided by three, to get a mean score of 1.0. The respondents' mean scores were obtained for each response item such that any one higher or equal to 1.0 was regarded as important factor influencing youth is participation in conflicts while while mean score less than 1 was regarded as not important factor.

To determine the impact of inter and intra ethnic conflicts on socio-economic development, the respondents were asked to rate some perceived impacts, of inter intra ethnic conflict on a 5 point Likert – type scale of very severe = 4, severe = 3 mildly severe = 2, Not severe = 1 and No damage = 0. The values on the Likert-type scale were summated to 10, which were later divided by four, to get a mean score of 2.0. The respondents' mean scores were obtained for each response item such that any one higher or equal to 2.0 was regarded as very important to cause damage on the socio-economic development, while mean scores below 2.0 were regarded as not important to cause damage in socio-economic development.

To determine youth perceived strategies for curbing future re-occurrence of inter and intra ethnic conflicts, the respondents were asked to indicate their level of agreement on each of the strategies provided on a 4 – point Likert – type scale of strongly agreed – 3; Agreed = 2; Disagreed = 1; and strongly disagreed = 0. The respondents' mean scores were obtained for each response item such that any one higher or equal to 2.0 was regarded as very important factor for curbing future re-occurrence of conflicts, while mean score less than 2 was regarded as not important factors.

Percentage and mean scores were used to summarized the data. The "statistical package for the social science" (SPSS 11) constitutes the software packages used for the analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Causes of inter and intra ethnic conflicts in Delta State

Table 1 reveals that unevenly distribution of compensation from government/oil companies (91.2%), unevenly sharing of resources (81.4%), accidental killing of a community member (72.4%), desire for autonomous community (68.6%), unevenly sharing of political power/position (61.8%) , government involvement in a negative way (61.8%) , political rivalry (57.8%), relocation of local government area headquarter (64.7%), land acrimony (65.7%) and wrongly sitting of an educational institution (56.8%), were perceived by the youth to be the major causes of inter and intra ethnic conflicts in the area. The implication of these findings is that unevenly distribution of compensation and uneven sharing of resources are most important factors causing conflicts in the area.

TABLE 1: Percentage distribution of youth based on the major causes of inter and intra ethnic conflicts

Causes	Frequency	(%)*
Desire for autonomous community	70	68.8
Sitting of an educational institution	58	56.9
Selfishness of the leaders	65	63.7
Location of local government area (head quarter)	66	64.7
Unevenly distribution of compensation from Government /oil companies	93	91.2
Unevenly sharing of resource	83	81.4
Land acrimony	67	65.7
Domineering attitude of certain communities	45	44.1
Chieftaincy title	37	36.3
Religious differences	33	32.4
Unevenly sharing of political powers	63	61.8
Political rivalry	59	57.8
Accidental killing of a community member	74	72.5
The government involvement in a negative way	63	61.8

* Multiple responses.

Perceived Factors Influencing Youth's Participating in Inter and Intra Ethnic Conflicts

Data in Table 2 show that unemployment (x = 1.65 SD 0.539); poverty (x = 1.46, SD = 0.624), lack of adequate information (x = 1.4, SD = 0.649); lack of formal education (x = 1.38, SD = 0.661); lack of parental care (x = 1.37, SD = 0.612); readily availability of weapons (x = 1.28, SD = 0.695); and the quest to have fighting weapon (x = 1.23, SD = 0.730) were perceived important factors influencing youth's participation.

Table 2 also shows that family influence (x = 0.72, SD = 0.680); religion (x = 0.78, SD = 0.698); encouragement from the traditional leaders (x = 0.89, SD = 0.782); and self ego (x = 0.89, SD = 0.782) were perceived as less important factors influencing youth's participation in inter and intra ethnic conflicts. One of the major importances of the findings is that a greater proportion of the youths were unemployed. This is in agreement with the study on African conflicts (1991) which show that majority of those actively engage in ethnic conflict are the unemployed and jobless youth with low per capital income backgrounds.

TABLE 2: Mean distribution of respondents based on the perceived factors influencing their participating in inter and intra ethnic conflicts (n = 102)

Variables	Mean (X)	Standard deviation (SD)
Ignorance/lack of adequate information	1.4	0.649
Poverty	1.46	0.624
Unemployment	1.65	0.593
Peer group influence	1.03	0.652
Lack of formal education	1.38	0.661
Religion	0.78	0.698
Encouragement from traditional leaders	0.89	0.782
Family influence	0.72	0.680
Encouragement from politicians	1.16	0.671
Self ego (showing off)	0.89	0.782
Lack of parental care	1.37	0.612
Readily availability of weapons	1.28	0.695
The request to have fighting and use of weapon experience	1.23	0.730

Impact of Inter and Intra Ethnic Conflicts on the Socio-Economic Development of the Study Area

The data on Table 3, reveal that loss of lives (x = 2.42, SD = 0.763) and destruction of buildings (x = 2.13, SD = 0.817) were perceived to be the most important impact of inter and intra ethnic conflicts on socio-economic development. Other impact of the conflicts that were not too severe included destruction of normal economic activities (x = 1.89, SD = 0.819), disruption of educational net work/normal educational activities (lack of conducive teaching-learning processes) with (x = 1.51, SD = 1.014). From the findings above, it could be concluded that the impact of the conflicts were mostly felt in the area of loss of lives.

TABLE 3: Distribution of respondents based on their perceived impact of inter and intra ethnic conflicts on socio-economic development (n = 102)

Perceived impact	Mean ($\bar{X}$)	Standard Deviation (SD)
Loss of lives	2.42	0.763
Destruction of buildings	2.13	0.817
Disruption of normal economic activities	1.89	0.819
Destruction of vehicles	1.75	1.050
Disruption of educational network/normal educational activities (lack of conducive learning teaching processes)	1.74	1.014
Destruction of markets	1.56	1.131
Disruption of farmers livelihood	1.55	1.140
Poor farming activities	1.51	0.982
Destruction of health care facilities	1.48	1.069
Water pollution	1.25	1.132

Suggested Strategies for Curbing Youth's Inter and Intra Ethnic Conflicts.

Table 4 shows that provision of formal education (x = 2.67, SD = 0.603) and provision of employments (x = 2.59, SD = 0.650) were the major strategies for curbing intra and inter ethnic conflict. Other perceived important strategies for curbing inter and intra ethnic conflicts included equitable allocation of resources (x = 2.44, SD = 0.815); proper up-brining of a child (x = 2.41, SD = 0.800); equitable distribution of political power/positions (x = 2.34, SD = 0.814); dialoguing (x = 2.34, SD = 0.830), empowerment of the youth by (NDE/Oil companies) (x = 2.13, SD = 0.804).

The implications of these findings are that, provision of formal education and employments would play a significant role in curbing ethnic conflicts in the study area. Ensuring peace in a community lies in the education of members of the community, equitable resources distribution and fulfillment of all human right.

TABLE 4: Mean distribution of respondents based on their perceived strategies for curbing inter and intra ethnic conflicts. (n = 102)

Variable	Mean (X)	Standard Deviation (SD)
Provision of formal education	2.67	0.603
Provision of employment to the youth	2.59	0.650
Sanctions from the government	1.84	0.909
Sanctions from the community	1.78	0.951
Empowerment of the youth (NDE/oil company)	2.24	0.846
Proper up bringing of children by parents	2.41	0.800
Self determination	2.13	0.804
Religious intervention	1.81	0.909
Campaign by the government/NGOs against Inter and intra ethnic conflicts	2.07	0.936
Dialogue	2.30	0.830
Equitable distribution of political/position	2.34	0.814
Equitable allocation of resources	2.44	0.815
Refusal of peer group influence	1.79	0.762

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The overall purpose of the study was to determine youth's perceptions of the impact of inter and intra ethnic conflicts on socio-economic development in Delta State. The results of the study showed that unevenly distribution of compensations from government and unevenly sharing of resources were the most important causes of ethnic conflicts in the area. It was also revealed that unemployment and poverty were the major factors influencing youth's participation in ethnic conflicts. The impact of the conflicts was most severe on human lives and buildings. It was recommended that the Delta State government through the Agricultural Development Programme (DSADP) should embark on virile community and school rural youth extension activities such as young farmers' clubs programme.

REFERENCES

Ademuyiwa, A. A. (1999). Ecological and environmental correlates of communal conflicts in oil mineral producing area of Niger Delta. M.A. Project. Institutes of Ibadan African studies University of Ibadan, Ibadan Nigeria.

Adidi, G. T. (2005). Conflicts resolution strategies among agro-pastora lists and arable crop farmers in Saki-West Local Government Area of Oyo State. An unpublished B.Sc. thesis, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.

Ajayi, A.R. (2006). *A guide for young farmers' clubs programme*. Akure: SAC Impressions

Asaju, A.S. (2000). Managing conflicts in a developing society. *The case of Nigeria in Conflicts Management Strategies to Conflicts Resolution.* DEMYAXS Nigeria Ltd. Ibadan.

Bolariwa, K..K.(2006). Assessment of farmer's livelihood in conflict and non conflict areas of Osun and Taraba Statses, Nigeria .A Ph.D. thesis, University of Ibadan, Nigeria. Pp 1-222.

Emme, A.. Emme, .O. *"Warri latest Volko town set ablaze with explosive"* Vanguard, Friday 25th May 1997. pp1

Mayer, E. D. (2005). Nature and impact of African conflicts, Uganda. Retrieved http:// www.en.wikipedia.org.

Nnoli, O. (1989) Ethnic conflicts in Africa Dakari; Senegal CODESRIA. Pp25

Oke, G.O (2000). Traditional system of conflicts resolution. In *conflict Management Techniques and Alternatives Strategies to Conflict Resolution.*DEMYAXS Nigeria ltd, Ibadan.

Sole, G.A (2003) Psychological approach to prevention and management of conflicts: The Nigeria experience. In Harunah HB, Nwolise B.C, and Kusa D.O (2003).A *Guide to Peace Education and Peace Promotion Strategies in Africa.* Perfect printers limited 93A, Opebi road Lagos. Pp115-198.