ABSTRACT: We proposed a mathematical model of measles disease dynamics with vaccination by considering the total number of recovered individuals either from natural recovery or recovery due to vaccination. We tested for the existence and uniqueness of solution for the model using the Lipchitz condition to ascertain the efficacy of the model and proceeded to determine both the disease free equilibrium (DFE) and the endemic equilibrium (EE) for the system of the equations and vaccination reproduction number are given. Numerical simulation of the model shows that vaccination is capable of reducing the number of exposed and infectious population.

Measles is an infection of the respiratory system caused by a virus of the genus Morbillivirus. The disease is spread through respiration following contact with fluids from an infected person's nose and mouth, either directly or indirectly. The disease is highly contagious with 90% chances of being infected by individuals (without immunity). Measles infects about 30 to 40 million children every year. The disease has been, and remains, a major killer of children around the world. Despite the introduction of the measles vaccine in 1963, measles caused an estimated 2.6 million deaths in a single year as far back as 1980. According to WHO, in 2002 alone, the disease is estimated to have caused 614 000 death globally, with more than half of measles deaths occur in sub-Saharan Africa (Grais et al., 2006)... (Ejima, et al 2012). The following are some of the mathematical models developed to study transmission dynamics of measles disease using different approaches: (Bakare et al., 2012), Studied modeling and simulation of the dynamics of the transmission of measles disease. They used SEIR model to discuss dynamics of measles infection and address the stability and disease free and endemic equilibrium states. The impact of vaccination in the control and elimination of measles was not considered in work.

(Bolarin, 2014) Based his study on the dynamical analysis of a new model for measles infection. His study used SEIR model modified by adding vaccinated compartment. His model determined the required vaccination coverage and dosage that will guarantee eradication of measles disease within a population. (Verguet, 2015). Performed a study on controlling measles using supplemental immunization activities: a mathematical model to inform optimal policy. They developed DynaMICE (Dynamic Measles Immunization Calculation Engine), an age-stratified model of measles infection transmission in vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals. The population in the model can be susceptible to measles, infected with measles or recovered from measles (and hence have lifelong immunity) that is SIR model. In their study, the rate at which infection occurs in the susceptible population depends on the existing proportion of the
population that is already infected, as well as the effective contact rate between different age groups. Individuals age discretely, in one-year increments, at the end of each year between 0 and 100 years old. Furthermore, both numerical simulation and mathematical analysis indicated that a single Suplemental Immunization Activity (SIA) will not control measles disease outbreaks in any of the countries with high burden of measles. However, regular Supplemental Immunization Activities (SIAs) at high coverage are able to control measles transmission, with the periodicity of SIA campaigns determined by population demo-graphics and existing MCV1 coverage. (Momoh, 2015). Developed a mathematical model for measles epidemics in Ireland. The aim of her study was to establish a mathematical model for measles epidemics and to predict the levels of vaccination coverage required in Ireland in order to eradicate the disease. The aim of this paper is to show the impact of exposed individuals at latent period for a certain level of vaccination for the vaccinated compartment at a rate \( \alpha \). To indicate this and \( \alpha \) we look for the such that there exists a unique solution in the region and whose partial derivatives satisfy \( f \leq \alpha \leq 0 \) where \( \alpha \) and \( \delta \) are positive constants. The validity and authenticity of any mathematical model depends on whether the given system of equations has a solution, and if the solution is unique. We shall use the Lipchitz condition to verify the existence and uniqueness of solution for the system of equations 1

Let the system of equation 1 be as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
F_1 &= \lambda - \lambda S - (\mu + \phi) S \\
F_2 &= \phi S - (1 - \phi) \lambda V - (\mu + r) V \\
F_3 &= \alpha \lambda S + (1 - \phi) \lambda V - \delta, \lambda E - (\mu + \omega) E + \alpha_1 \delta, R \\
F_4 &= (1 - \alpha) \lambda S + \delta, \lambda E + \omega E - (\mu + \rho + d) I + (1 - \alpha_1) \delta, \lambda R \\
F_5 &= r V + \rho \lambda - \mu R - \delta, \lambda R
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

Theorem 1 (Derrick and Groosman,1976): Let \( D \) denote the region

\[
|t - t_0| \leq \alpha, \|x - x_0\| \leq 1, x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n), x_0 = (x_{10}, x_{20}, \ldots, x_{n0})
\]

And suppose that \( f(t, x) \) satisfies the Lipchitz condition

\[
\|f(t, x_1) - f(t, x_2)\| \leq k\|x_1 - x_2\|
\]

whenever the pairs \((t, x_1) \) and \((t, x_2) \) belong to \( D \) where \( k \) is a positive constant. Then, there is a constant \( \delta \geq 0 \) such that there exists a unique continuous vector solution of \( x(t) \) of the system in the interval \( t - t_0 \leq \delta \). It is important to note that the condition is satisfied by the requirement that

\[
\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} , i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, \end{align*}
\]

are continuous and bounded in \( D \) Considering the model equations 2, we are interested in the region \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq R \). We look for the bounded solution in the region and whose partial derivatives satisfy \( f \leq \alpha \leq 0 \). where \( \alpha \) and \( \delta \) are positive constants.

Theorem 2: Let \( D \) denote the region \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq R \), then equation 2 have a unique solution. We show that

\[
\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} , i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
\]

are continuous and bounded in \( D \) For \( F_1 \)

\[
\left|\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial S}\right| = \left| - \lambda - (\mu + \phi) S \right| < \infty , \quad \left|\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial V}\right| = 0 \quad \left|\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial E}\right| = 0 < \infty \quad \left|\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial I}\right| = 0 \quad \left|\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial R}\right| = 0 < \infty
\]

For \( F_2 \)
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\[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial S} = | \phi | < \infty \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial V} = | - (1 - \phi) \lambda - (\mu + r) | < \infty \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial E} = 0 < \infty \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial I} = 0 < \infty \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial R} = 0 < \infty \]

For \( F_3 \)

\[ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial S} = | \alpha \lambda | < \infty \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial V} = | (1 - \phi) \lambda | < \infty \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial E} = | - \delta_1 \lambda - (\mu + \omega) | < \infty \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial I} = 0 < \infty \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial R} = | \alpha \delta_2 | < \infty \]

For \( F_4 \)

\[ \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial S} = | 1 - \alpha | \lambda | < \infty \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial V} = | \delta_1 \lambda + \omega | < \infty \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial E} = | - (\mu + p + d) | < \infty \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial I} = | 1 - \alpha_1 | \delta_2 \lambda < \infty \]

For \( F_5 \)

\[ \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial S} = 0 < \infty \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial V} = | r | < \infty \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial E} = 0 < \infty \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial I} = | p | < \infty \]

\[ \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial R} = | - \mu - \delta_2 \lambda | < \infty \]

These partial derivative exist, continuous and are bounded. Hence, by theorem 1, the model has a unique solution.

**Invariant Region:** All state variables remain non-negative all the time because this study is based on human population; therefore the model is restricted to non-negative condition given by

\[ S(0) = S_0 \geq 0, \quad V(0) = V_0 \geq 0, \quad E(0) = E_0 \geq 0, \quad I(0) = I_0 \geq 0, \quad R(0) = R_0 \geq 0 \]

\[ S, V, E, I, R \in R^+_0 : S + V + E + I + R \leq \frac{\Lambda}{\mu + \phi} \]

The solution of the model is in the non-negative region

**Equilibrium States of the Model:** The disease free equilibrium of model system (1) is obtained by setting

\[ dS/dt = dV/dt = dE/dt = dI/dt = dR/dt = 0 \]

Therefore, disease free equilibrium (DFE) is given by

\[ E_0 = \left( \frac{\Lambda}{\mu + \phi} \frac{\Lambda \phi}{(\mu + \phi)(\mu + r)} \right) \]

The basic reproduction number of vaccination by using Next generation matrix approach (Stephen et al, 2014) is given by

\[ R_v = \frac{\beta \Lambda \mu (\mu + r) (1 - \alpha \mu + \omega) + [1 - \alpha \mu] \mu + \omega \delta_2 \phi r + (1 - \phi) \omega \mu}{\mu N (\mu + r)(\mu + \omega)(\mu + d + r)} \]

**Proposition 1:** The disease free equilibrium is locally asymptotically stable when the vaccination reproduction number \( R_v < 1 \) and unstable if \( R_v > 1 \)

Proof

Let

\[ \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \Lambda & \Lambda \phi \\ \mu + \phi + \lambda \cdot (\mu + \phi + \lambda)(\mu + r + [1 - \phi] \lambda) & \cdot E^* \end{array} \right) \]

Where

\[ E^* = \frac{\alpha \lambda \Lambda (\mu + r + [1 - \phi] \lambda) (\mu + \delta_1 \lambda + [1 - \phi] \lambda \phi) (\mu + \delta_2 \lambda) + \alpha \delta_2 \lambda (r \phi \Lambda + p (\mu + \phi + \lambda) (\mu + r [1 - \phi] \lambda) I_1)}{(\mu + \omega + \delta_1 \lambda) (\mu + \delta_2 \lambda) (\mu + \phi + \lambda) (\mu + r + [1 - \phi] \lambda)} \]

\[ I^* = \frac{(1 - \alpha) \lambda S + (\delta_1 \lambda + \omega) E + (1 - \alpha_1) \delta_2 \lambda R}{\mu + p + d} \]

\[ R^* = \frac{r \phi \Lambda + p (\mu + \phi + \lambda) + (\mu + r [1 - \phi] \lambda) I}{(\mu + \delta_2 \lambda) (\mu + \phi + \lambda) (\mu + r + [1 - \phi] \lambda)} \]

The basic reproduction number of vaccination by using Next generation matrix approach (Stephen et al, 2014) is given by

\[ R_v = \frac{\beta \Lambda \mu (\mu + r) (1 - \alpha \mu + \omega) + [1 - \alpha \mu] \mu + \omega \delta_2 \phi r + (1 - \phi) \omega \mu}{\mu N (\mu + r)(\mu + \omega)(\mu + d + r)} \]
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The Jacobian matrix at \((DFE) E_0\) is given as

\[
J = \begin{bmatrix}
-\varphi - \lambda - \mu & 0 & 0 & \frac{-\beta S}{N} & 0 \\
\varphi & -(1 - \varphi)\lambda - r - \mu & 0 & \frac{-(1 - \varphi)BV}{N} & 0 \\
0 & (1 - \varphi)\lambda & -\delta_1 \lambda - \mu - \omega & \frac{\alpha \beta S - (1 - \varphi)BV - \delta_1 \beta E + \delta_1 \beta E + \alpha_1 \delta_2 \beta R}{N} & \alpha_1 \delta_2 \lambda \\
(1 - \alpha) \lambda & 0 & \delta_1 \lambda - \omega & \frac{(1 - \alpha) \beta S + \delta_1 \beta E}{N} & -\mu - d - \rho + \frac{1 - \alpha_1 \delta_2 \beta R}{N} (1 - \alpha) \delta_2 \lambda \\
0 & r & 0 & 0 & -\mu \delta_1 \lambda
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The real part of the eigen values of \(J(E_0)\) are all negative when \(R_v < 1\). The basic reproduction number without vaccination is given as

\[
R_0 = \frac{\beta \Lambda (1 - \alpha)(\mu + \omega)}{\mu N((\mu + r)(\mu + \omega)(\mu + \varphi)(\mu + d + \rho))}
\]

As a result,

\[
R_v = R_0 \left( \frac{(\mu + r)[(1 - \alpha)\mu^2 + \omega \mu] + [(1 - \alpha)\mu + \omega] \delta_2 \varphi r + (1 - \varphi) \omega \mu}{(\mu + r)(\mu + \varphi)((1 - \alpha) \mu + \omega)} \right) < R_0
\]

Since

\[
(\mu + r)[(1 - \alpha)\mu^2 + \omega \mu] + [(1 - \alpha)\mu + \omega] \delta_2 \varphi r + (1 - \varphi) \omega \mu < (\mu + r)(\mu + \varphi)((1 - \alpha) \mu + \omega)
\]

Hence, for \((\varphi > 0 \text{ and } 0 < \varphi \leq 1)\) the effect of vaccine will always reduce the effect of the basic reproduction number without vaccination.

Furthermore, let \(R_v = 1\) and solve for \(\varphi^*\) so that the condition is \(\varphi^*>0\). The solution is a vaccination critical level given by:

\[
\varphi^* = \frac{\mu^2 N((\mu + r)(\mu + \omega)(\mu + d + \rho)) - \beta \Lambda ((1 - \alpha) \mu + \omega)}{\beta \Lambda [(1 - \alpha) \mu + \omega] \delta_2 \varphi r + (1 - \varphi) \omega \mu - \mu N((\mu + r)(\mu + \omega)(\mu + d + \rho))}
\]

\textit{PETER, O J; AFOLABI, OA; VICTOR, AA; AKPAN, CE; OGUNTOLU, FA}
\[ \frac{\mu (1 - R_0)(\mu + r)(1 - \alpha_1)\mu + \omega)}{(1 - \alpha_1)\mu + \omega)\delta_2\phi r + (1 - \phi)\omega \mu} R_0 - 1 \]

We can conclude that if \( \varphi < \varphi^c \) vaccination will not be effective in eradicating the disease, also if \( \varphi > \varphi^c \) vaccination will be effective and this will eliminate the endemic condition.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Numerical simulations of the model were carried out to graphically illustrate the trend of exposed, infected, and recovered populations in Figure 1, Figure 2 and Figure 3 below. The Table below shows the set of parameter values and the state variables which were used in order to support the analytical results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Lambda )</td>
<td>recruitment rate into susceptible class</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varphi )</td>
<td>vaccination rate from S</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>(Stephen et al, 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu )</td>
<td>Natural death rate</td>
<td>0.00875per year</td>
<td>(Stephen et al, 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \phi )</td>
<td>vaccination effectiveness</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d )</td>
<td>natural death rate for I</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>(Freed et al, 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta )</td>
<td>transmission probabilities</td>
<td>0.09091 per year</td>
<td>(Anes, 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega )</td>
<td>Natural rate of progression to I</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>Assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_1 )</td>
<td>probability of R entering into E</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>Assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>probability of S entering into E</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_2 )</td>
<td>probability of the individuals to be passive infected from R</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_1 )</td>
<td>probability of the individuals entering I from E</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>Assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r )</td>
<td>natural recovery rate from V</td>
<td>0.14286 per year</td>
<td>(Stephen et al, 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda )</td>
<td>force of infection</td>
<td>0.096 per year</td>
<td>(Stephen et al, 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho )</td>
<td>natural recovery from I</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>Assumed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 1: Simulation of Infected population

Fig 2: Simulation of Exposed population
Assuming vaccination is effective in protecting susceptible individuals, Figures 1 and 2 show that vaccination can reduce the peak of exposed and infected compartment drastically. Figure 3 shows the dynamics of recovered compartment with vaccination. It was observed that the population of the recovered individuals at the very beginning rise rapidly as the rate increases and then fall as time increases. This rapid decline of the infected individuals may be due to early detection of the measles and partly due to those who revert to the Exposed class.

**Conclusion:** In this paper we have developed a mathematical model for the transmission of measles disease by considering recurrent infection and vaccination. The disease free equilibrium and its stability is presented and its relation to the basic reproduction number and vaccination reproduction number is discussed. Numerical examples show that vaccination is able to prevent the disease from spreading. Furthermore, we have shown that \( R_v > 1 \) implies that the disease will persist, which implies that \( R_f = 1 \) is the threshold between the extinction of the disease and the persistence of the disease.
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