Atmospheric pollution from the major mobile telecommunication companies in Tanzania
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**ABSTRACT** The extent of pollution from five major mobile telephone companies in Tanzania was investigated. These companies are Airtel, TIGO, Zantel, Sasatel and Vodacom. The parameters measured were the noise levels, NOx concentrations, and Particulate matter. The noise levels and exhaust gases were determined at 10 different Base Stations, 2 Base Stations (BSs) for each company. Results show high noise levels, with maximum hourly average of 83dB (Tigo 2 BS) and minimum hourly average of 61.4dB (Vodacom BS) as compared to the permissible 45dB. Moreover, there is an increased noise level of hourly means of 82dB (Zantel BS), 70dB (Sasatel BS) and 72dB (Tigo 1 BS). However, concentrations of gases at the stack exit were low at all sampling points with maximum hourly average of 0.18mg/m³(NO) and 0.135mg/m³(NO₂) compared to the permissible 250mg/m³. The applied Gaussian model provided an approximation of the contribution of the BS generators to the atmosphere to range from 0.0066mg/m³ and 0.001 mg/m³ (Vodacom BSs) at 300m from the source to 0.35μg/m³ and 0.014μg/m³(at(Tigo BSs)) 10m from the source for both NO and NO₂ respectively while the measured values ranged from 0 μg/m³ (Sasatel BSs) to 10 μg/m³ (Vodacom BSs) for both (NO) and(NO₂) at 2.5m from the source. The released levels of the PM₁₅ caused a significant rise in PM₁₅ level in the ambient air concentration of indoor and outdoor environments with an hourly average increase ranging from 0.04 mg/m³ (Sasatel BSs) to about 0.25mg/m³ (Tigo BSs) above the standard of 0.1mg/m³. It is concluded that there are high noise levels and particulate emissions from these companies at varying degrees. It is recommended that a minimum of 15m distance between the Base stations and nearby residence be kept so as to achieve a permissible noise level of 45dB. © JASEM
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In the past decade, various mobile telephone companies such as Vodacom, Zain, Zantel, TIGO, Sasatel and TTCL have been operational in Tanzania (TCRA, 2009). The functions of these companies involve erection of mobile phone base stations where the antenna are mounted, requires the use generators especially in times of power cuts and shortages. The environmental effects associated with the mobile telecommunication companies’ activities include noise pollution during the use of power generators, accidental discharges and spillages during refueling or storage and air pollution as a result of exhaust gases emissions. Eventually the companies should share information on environmental performance with the community (DEP, 2008).

Currently, Tanzania has 8 mobile telephone companies doing the business. Besides, these companies are expanding the number of their installations, resulting into environmental problems as mentioned by Ling’wala, (2003) or Samuelssen et al.( 2009). These include the elevated sound levels and air bone emissions coming from numerous generators that power the industry, as well as potential for groundwater pollution due to spills of oil used to fuel generators. Some mobile telecommunication companies either lack (for the case of MPs) or rely on the EMPs proposed in the EIA undertaking done for few installations. This is exacerbated by lack of environmental personnel (for instance Sasatel, TIGO and Zantel). Since each industry is unique and, as a result, so are Environmental Management Plans.

Samuelssen et al.(2009 revealed significant NOX emission of about 10 to 14 grams per horsepower hour (g/hp-hr), depending on the horsepower rating. Another study by Ling’wala,(2003) proved that acceptably low levels of RF (0.12378mW/cm² and 0.0012mW/cm² compared with the acceptable limit of exposure 0.2mW/cm²) can be achieved from cell phone base station antennae which can not pose health risk. Due to these findings, the concern due to the possibility of health effects as a result of exposure to the radio waves transmitted by the mobile phone base stations to the users and the people living near the base stations has been cleared. Findings from this study should help the telecommunication companies to have common procedures of environmental management during their operations. This study aims at assessing the effectiveness of the results emanating from the Environmental Management and Monitoring Plans for the mobile telecommunication industry in Tanzania.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site Description: The study was conducted in Dar es Salaam city, the largest commercial city in Tanzania. Dar es Salaam is located on the eastern region of Tanzania and lies in the coast of the Indian Ocean. The sampling areas i.e. Tandale Manzese and Magomeni are shown in Figure 1.

Fig. 1: Location of the Study Area

Questionnaires to residents: The sampling areas chosen are Magomeni, Manzese and Tandale wards. The areas have a total of about 170 households. The area also features a total of 15 telephone/telecommunication towers. The area is selected on the basis of documented complaints from the community to the environmental regulatory authorities on issues of elevated levels of noise, smoke emissions from the generators and the fear of the radio frequency radiations from the transmission antenna. Questionnaire survey was conducted for chosen four closest households (about 1-3m) to 14 of the base stations, making a total of 56 questionnaires.

Questionnaires to the National Environment Management Council (NEMC): Some questionnaires were administered to NEMC so as to crosscheck the information provided by the residents and the mobile telecommunication companies including the areas where the most complaints come from and the decisions made about the complaints.

Reconnaissance survey: The measurements were done at the 10 identified BSs in the identified sampling area. A survey of the surrounding area was done prior to measurements to identify the potential areas for the measurements to be taken.

Noise Level measurements: The selection of the measurement sites were based on the information rich cases (the ones providing the answer to research question and accessibility to the research data. In Table 1, various sites are chosen with respect to this criterion and the explanations of the functions are given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement site</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>House holds</td>
<td>Living, resting and socializing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health centres</td>
<td>Providing and acquiring health services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotels</td>
<td>Resting, conferencing, working and socializing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The noise level was done using Integrating-averaging sound level meter, Bruel & Kjaer Type 2240. This device used records the maximum noise level reached during the measurement procedure (the $L_{\text{max}}$) equivalent continuous sound level in seconds ($L_{\text{eq}}$) and the peak sound levels ($L_{\text{peak}}$). The meter was calibrated by placing a portable acoustic calibrator, which in this case was a sound level calibrator, directly over the microphone so that it can calibrate the meter. The sound level meter calibration was done before and after each measurement session of 3 hours.

The sound level values were taken by switching on the meter and allowing it to catch the sound signals that were generated by the running generator. An
allowance of about a minute is provided so that the sound levels values in decibels are more or less constant. The sound levels were then recorded in three mentioned positions that is the peak, maximum and the equivalent.

The sound levels were recorded in a 2(two) meter interval from the boundary wall of the BSs to the nearest household. A total distance of 10 meters was used to record the noise from the source of noise in this case the generators. Therefore a total of 5 readings were taken for each noise measurement practice.

**Measurement of Stack Exhausts Gas Concentration:**
The instrument used for these measurements was the manual dragger pump Accuro® Pump. A manual pump using dragger tubes was used. The Dräger-Tubes® 2/c used can measure up to 200ppm of the NO and NO₂ concentration in air in a single pump stroke. Prior to measurements the pump was tested to see if it properly operates by pressing the pump and placing an unpunctured tube in the pump and then releasing the pump. The pump remained in the position without returning to its normal position signifying that the pump was in good condition to be used in the measurements. A measured volume of gas that is removed from the generator as an exhaust gas was drawn through a tube which contains chemicals which change in colour in response to the presence of a gas of target gas ie NO and NO₂ present in the sample. The drawing of the gas in the tube was in response to the striking of pump so as to remove the air in the pump. By knowing the volume of gas or air sampled, the amount of colour change read on a linear scale on the colorimetric gas detection tube can be translated into the level of gas present, described in percentage of the total air or in parts per million (PPM). This percentage was recorded as the length of the yellowish orange colour change from white in the gas detector tubes. The measurement period was 10 (ten) minutes for ten strokes and the concentrations of the NOₓ gases was recorded for an hour average by recording in the first 10 minutes and in the last 10 minutes that is from the 50th to the 60th minute.

**Measurement of Stack Exhausts Gas Exit Velocity:**
The instrument used for these measurements was the Alnor® Velometer Series 6000P fitted with the metallic pitot probe that is used to detect the velocity of the exiting gases and the results being displayed in the analog display of the meter. The exhaust gases velocities were measured at the stack exit. Prior to measurements the instrument was checked to see if the arrow was in the zero mark whether the Velometer was in the horizontal, vertical or tilted positions. This was done using the zero adjustment screw and a screw driver. Then the range selector was adjusted to check if the readings in the meter would be similar in the two ranges that is 0-25 and 0-50m/s ranges. The meter was then held with the pitot probe held direct and normal to the stack. The stack velocity was then measured by the meter in the selected range i.e. 0-50m/s. 10 readings were taken in the half hour average every three minutes and the average value taken.

**Measurement of Particulate Matter From The Exhaust Gases:**
The instrument used to measure particulate matter was the micro dust analyzer ie MICRODUST pro 880nm fitted with filters containing probe. The device takes measurements by sensing technique of forward light scattering (12' -20') using 880nm infrared range of 0.001-2500mg/m². By this narrow range of scatter the instrument sensitivity to variations in the refractive index and the colour of measured particulate is reduced.

The particulate matter PM₂.₅ was measured at the ambient air so that to see the generator contribution to the PM concentration of the surrounding environments. The measurements were taken before and after the generator was running in the outdoor and indoor points such as the households the nursery school and the primary school classes which were in close proximity (1-3m) to the site boundary. Before measurement the instrument was checked to see if it was correctly zeroed and that its span control (sensitivity) was correctly adjusted (it was calibrated). Calibration was done by attaching the purge to the probe purge inlet and inserting the calibration filter in the filter position and the bulb of the purge below was squeezed rapidly 6 times. An allowance of few seconds (3-5s) was given for auto-ranging and for the reading to stabilize. The squeezing was repeated whenever the readings did not stabilize. On entering these results the device would set the reading to zero.

The dusts measurements from the mentioned points were then done by orienting the probe and allowing the dust particles to be detected and filtered as it passes through the filter hole in the probe. The readings in the amount of the dust scattered Therefore detected, was recorded and eventually retrieved using the WINDDUST pro Application software.
The Gaussian Dispersion Model: The Gaussian dispersion model (Cooper and Alley, 1994) was used to model the concentration of the gaseous pollutants. The general equation is provided by eqn 1.

\[ C = \frac{Q}{2\pi^*U^*\delta_x^*\delta_y^*} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\delta_x^2} + \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\delta_y^2}\right) \right) \]

eqn 1

Where: \( U \) = Wind speed at stack height (m/s); \( Y \) = Horizontal distance from plume center line (m); \( Q \) = Emission rate (g/s); \( C \) = Steady state concentration at a point \((x, y, z)\) g/m\(^3\); \( H \) = Effective stack height (m), \( \delta_x \), \( \delta_y \) = Standard deviations of concentration in respect to direction measured (m), these are functions of distance \( x \) and atmospheric stability; \( Z \) = Height above the ground level (m).

The Gaussian model approximation is based on the assumption that the concentration in the atmosphere takes a normal or bell-shaped curve when the distribution of values is plotted. In the Gaussian model, dispersion coefficients are used to define the standard deviation of the concentration distribution. The dispersion coefficients used in the Gaussian model are the measures of the turbulence in the atmosphere and therefore, vary depending on the meteorological conditions, surface characteristics and distances from the source. Numerous schemes have been developed to relate atmospheric turbulence to easily measured meteorological conditions. The most common method is called the Pasquill-Gifford stability classification that uses table-Fit Constants for Calculating Dispersion Coefficients as a Function of Downwind Distance and Atmospheric Stability.

Table 2: Values of curve-Fit Constants for Calculating Dispersion Coefficients as a Function of Downwind Distance and Atmospheric Stability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stability Class</th>
<th>( x&lt;1 ) km</th>
<th>( x&gt;1 ) km</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( a )</td>
<td>( b^* )</td>
<td>( c )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>440.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>106.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mentioned values can be read in Table 2 Where: \( a, b, c, d, f \) are constant that are dependent on the stability class, \( x \) is distance in km. The mentioned values can be read in Table 2.

Wind Velocity Calculations: The stack gas exit velocity data at site were collected at various positions from the ground level, this needed to be corrected to 10 m above the ground so that the stability classes could be determined. The mean wind speed is frequently represented empirically as
a power law function of height and can be calculated using eqn 4.

\[
\frac{u_2}{u_1} = \left(\frac{Z_2}{Z_1}\right)^p
\]

\text{eqn 5}

Where:

- \(u_2, u_1\) = wind velocities at higher and lower elevation, m/s
- \(Z_2, Z_1\) = higher and lower elevation, m
- \(P\) = function of stability (\(\cong 0.5\) for very stable conditions and \(\cong 0.15\) for very unstable conditions).

The exponent \(p\) varies with atmospheric stability class and with surface roughness. Table 3 below shows various values for exponent \(p\) for various stability classes. The values of the exponent chosen are based on the rough surfaces since all the measurements were done in urban environment. For flat, open country and lakes and seas, there is less variation between the surface wind and geotrophic wind.

**Estimation of Pasquill Stability Classes:** Stability classification is designated by letters A to F. Stability class A, the most unstable, has the greatest dispersion potential stability, the most stable class, represents conditions that dampen turbulence, thereby reducing dispersion. Class A to C are limited to daytime where as E to F are night time conditions only. A neutral stability classification D can occur during the day or during night time periods. Table 4 gives various stability classes with respect to sky condition.

**Table 3** Exponents for wind Profile (power law) model for rough surfaces

**Plume Rise Prediction:** The analytical methods for predicting the concentration of stacks effluents involve the location of a virtual or equivalent origin. Elevation \(H\) of the virtual origin is obtained by adding, the plume rise \(\Delta h\) to the actual height of the stack \((h)\). There are numerous methods for calculating \(\Delta h\), basically three sets of parameters control the phenomenon of a gaseous plume injected into the atmosphere from a stack. These are stack characteristics, meteorological conditions and the physical and chemical nature of the effluent. In this study the plume rise was calculated using Moses and Carson for unstable condition equation provided in equation 7 (Cooper & Alley, 1994), other equations i.e. eqn 8 & 9 are used to determine the mass flux and the area of the stack.

**Table 4** Pasquill stability classes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface Wind at 10 m above the ground</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Incoming Solar Radiation</th>
<th>Night Cloudiness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Slight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A-B</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>A-B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C-D</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;6</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{Source: Seinfeld,1986}\)

**Legend:**

- A: extremely unstable
- D: neutral
- B: moderately unstable
- E: slightly stable
- C: slight unstable
- F: moderately stable

Therefore the wind speed at the stack height is obtained by eqn 6 as given below:

\[
4 = u_1 \left(\frac{10}{Z_1}\right)^p
\]

**Control Of Exhaust Gases**

The equations were chosen for Wind speed between 3-5; The surface is rough urban.

Equations involved:

\text{eqn 7.} \quad \frac{3}{47} \left(\frac{\text{Vol}}{U}\right) + 5.15 = \frac{\text{Vol}}{U} + 0.15

\text{Source: Cooper & Alley (1994)}

\text{eqn 8.} \quad \delta_a = \text{a} \cdot \text{b} \cdot \text{c} \cdot \text{d}

\text{Source: Cooper & Alley (1994)}

\text{eqn 9.} \quad C_p = \text{\rho} \cdot \text{C}

\text{Whereby:}

\(\text{C_p}\)

- density of air at 32\(^\circ\) C= 1.165kg/m\(^3\) (Engineering toolbox,2005)
- \(\text{\rho}\) - pressure constant specific heat capacity of air=1.016KJ/Kg K (Engineering toolbox, 2005)
Atmospheric pollution from the major

Vs-Stack exit velocity (m/s); d- diameter of the stack (m); Ts- Temperature of the exit gases (°K); Ta- Ambient temperature (°K); $Q_h$- is the heat emission rate(KJ/s); $\Delta h$- plume rise (m)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Questionnaire Survey: Questionnaire survey and analysis conducted in the visited companies and the sampling areas are provided in the following sections. Out of the total of (4170) base stations of the visited companies in Tanzania the EIA procedure has been conducted to about 11% of the installations which is equivalent to 458 cell sites in Dar es Salaam. Table 5 shows some of the environmental related issues that associate the company’s operations.

Table 5: Environmental Management related issues in the visited companies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company attribute</th>
<th>Zain</th>
<th>Tigo</th>
<th>Sasa</th>
<th>Zantel</th>
<th>Vodacom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of B.S Tz in</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of B.S in DSM</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of B.S with EIA</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPs/MPs for B.S without EIA</td>
<td>Adopted the assessed</td>
<td>Adopted the assessed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Noise level to addressed to be &lt;65dB(NLMP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaints due to operations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaints based on</td>
<td>-Noise -Smoke</td>
<td>-Noise -Smoke -Fear of radiat</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-Noise -Smoke</td>
<td>-Noise -Smoke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Residents Information: The questionnaire survey in the sampling area indicated that 86% of the respondents experience noise and smoke emission while the rest 25% responded that they experience noise only while the rest explains that they don’t experience those effects (Figure 2). Moreover the questionnaire survey revealed that, 100% of the interviewed residents do not have generators for power back up. This means that the noise from the generators talked about come from of the operating BSs. It was also noted that, 20% of the interviewed residents although they don’t have power generator, they live close to other sources of noises such as flour milling machines or timber cutting machines. This makes it difficult to determine whether the noise come only from the BS operating generators or these other sources. Out of the interviewed residents 7% are from the houses surrounding the BS which EIA was done prior to project commencement.
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Noise Measurement Results For Zain BSs: The first and second Zain BS with tower ID 10 and 464 respectively shows that the generators produce noise levels with the deviation from the noise levels value of 45dB (in residential houses) and 70dB (in industrial areas). The magnitude of this deviation has been of average of about 26dB. This case is shown in Figure 3. According to these findings, a minimum distance of about 22m is required above which the proposed noise level of 45dB can be attained.

Noise Measurement Results For Tigo BSs: The two TIGO BS with tower ID Dar 147 and Dar 035 respectively also show the deviation from the noise levels value of 45dB (in residential houses) as provided in the EMP as shown in figures 4 and 5. The magnitude of this deviation has been about 27dB and 37.8 dB respectively. The two stations have different types of generators of different ages and therefore the results show the difference in the noise levels. According to this an estimated minimum distance of 15m and 20m for each generator respectively is required to achieve the recommended noise level in the EMP.

Health effects feared by the residents: Through the survey the residents also aired some of effects they think are associated with the base operations as Cancer due to radiation exposure, Skin diseases due to radiation exposure also, Respiratory complications e.g. flu, asthma attacks due to the smoke coming from the generator stacks and deafness due to noise from the running generators.

Analysis Of Noise Measurement Results From The Base Stations: The noise levels measured from the boundary wall of the Cell sites as proposed in the EIA revealed the margin of failure in the implementation of the levels proposed in the EIA or addressed in the EMP.
Noise Measurement Results For Sasatel BSs:
Results from the Sasatel BS show elevated levels of noise compared to that proposed in the EMP. The noise levels measured from two BSs with ID number Dar 18 and Dar 17 and similar making showed the levels of noises to have increased by about 25dB from the proposed 45dB, as shown by figure 6. Again a distance of 20m is required to achieve the proposed noise level in the EMP.

Fig. 6: Variation of noise level with distance for TIGO BS 2

Noise Measurement Results For Vodacom BSs:
Results from the Vodacom BSs generators show elevated levels of noise compared to that proposed in the EMP. Even though the deviations from the proposed EMP noise level in residential levels is a bit higher in average that is by 16.4dB compared to the other companies BSs sampled, the noise level proposed in the Noise level Management Plan in the company (that is 65dB) is slightly lower than that in the EMP for industrial level. As shown in Figure 7, this is ok for the industrial areas even though is still higher than that for the residential areas especially in the case study areas where the distance from the boundary wall to the nearest households is hardly 2m, in other critical cases the boundary wall of the BSs is in close contact about 0.5m to the wall of the households’ bedroom. In this case a minimum distance of 18m from the boundary wall is required so that the proposed noise level can be attained.
Noise Measurement Results For Zantel BSs: Results from the Zantel BSs, as well shows the high levels of noise compared to that proposed in the EMP. The noise levels measured from two BSs generator with similar making showed an increment in the noise levels, by an average of about 37.8dB from the proposed 45dB, shown in Figure 8. From the analysis it is shown that a distance of about 26m from the boundary wall is required from which the residents wouldn’t be affected by the elevated noise, in which case the standard for the noise levels proposed in the EMP would be achieved.

Summary of the Noise level measurement from the visited BSs: From the discussion it is seen that the level of implementation of the mitigation measures proposed in the EMPs as far as the noise levels due to the generator operation is concerned is inadequate, since the measurements for the visited companies BSs have shown the levels were higher. A minimum of 15m from the BSs from the site wall is required above which the effects of noise to the residents would be negligible as indicated in Figure 9.

Fig. 7: Variation of Noise level with distance from the Vodacom BS boundary wall

Fig. 8: Variation of Noise level with distance from the Zantel BS boundary wall
Analysis Of Measurement Gases Concentration Results From The Base Stations: The results from the measurements of the gaseous pollutant concentration show that the gases of interests in this project were below the permissible standards for the emissions from the stacks.

Nox Measurement Results For Tigo, Sasatel, Vodacom, Zantel And Zain BSs: The results from the mentioned base stations show that the level of the NOx (NO and NO\textsubscript{2}) are low with the maximum hourly mean of 0.13mg/m\textsuperscript{3} for NO(Zantel BS) and 0.08mg/m\textsuperscript{3} for NO\textsubscript{2}(Zantel BS) compared to the permissible emission standard of 250mg/m\textsuperscript{3}. Figures 10a and b) and 11a and b. It was also noted that older generator stack emitted more the noxious gas emission with an exception of the Zantel generators.

Fig. 9: Noise level with distance from the boundary wall for the visited companies BSs.

Fig. 10a) Stack NO emissions for different generator stacks
Fig. 10b NO concentration against the stack emission standard of 250mg/m³

Fig. 11a Stack NO2 emissions for different generator
Orientation Of The Generator's stack: 60% of the surveyed generators complied with the requirements of EMP while the rest did not comply with this mitigation measure as the proposed measure in the EMP states that the stacks should be vertical and with the appropriate height to enhance effective dispersion of contaminants (particularly noxious gases and particulate matter). The Gaussian Model indicates that a sufficiently tall and vertical stack has better dispersion so that the concentration of the emitted pollutant reaching the receptor is very low.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Gaussian Air Dispersion Model: Determination of Downwind Concentration of Measured Pollutants

Gaussian dispersion model was used to predict the average concentration of NOx downwind for hourly average based on the eqn 2 the same as the one provided below;

\[ C(x,0,0,H) = \frac{Q}{\pi U \delta y \delta z} Exp \left( -\frac{H^2}{2 \delta z^2} \right) \]

Where:
- \( H \) = Average effective stack height (m)
- \( U \) = Average wind speed at 10 m, 4.0 m
- \( C \) = Downwind concentration mg/m³

Model Results Tigo: The model results from the TIGO BSs showed peak NOx concentration of 0.35 µg/m³(NO) and 0.014 µg/m³(NO₂) at 10m from the source. Also the measured concentration was found to be 5 µg/m³ and 2.5 µg/m³ for both NO and NO₂ respectively at 2.5m from the source. The results both measured and modeled are shown in Figure 12.
Model Results For The Sasatel: The model results from the Sasatel showed the peak concentration of NOx of to be 0.0033 µg/m³(NO) and 0.0056 µg/m³(NO₂) at 10m from the source. However the measured concentration did not show any detection possibly because of the instrument detection limit. The results of modeled concentration are shown in Figure 13.

Model Results for Vodacom: The model results from the Vodacom BSs showed peak NOx concentration of 0.0006 µg/m³(NO) and 0.001 µg/m³(NO₂) at 300m from the source. However the measured concentration showed a peak concentration of 10 µg/m³ at 2.5m. The measured concentration was higher possibly due to contribution of other sources such as motor vehicles. Both measured and modeled concentration results are shown in Figure 14.

![Graph](image1.png)

**Fig. 13:** Modeled NO conc. for Sasatel tower

![Graph](image2.png)

**b) Modeled NO₂ conc. for Sasatel tower.**
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Fig. 14a Modeled conc. of NO for Vodacom  b) Modeled conc. of NO\textsubscript{2} for Vodacom BS

c) The measured NOx concentration with distance

**Model Results for Zantel:** The model results from the Zantel BSs showed peak NOx concentration of 0.017 µg/m\textsuperscript{3}(NO) and 0.012 µg/m\textsuperscript{3}(NO\textsubscript{2}) at 300m from the source. The measured concentration was below the instrument’s detection limit. The model output is shown in Figure 15.
Model Results For Zain: The model results from the Zain BSs showed peak NOx concentration of of 0.013 µg/m³ (NO) and 0.008 µg/m³ (NO₂) at 10m from the source. The measured concentration showed a peak concentration of 10 µg/m³ and 7 µg/m³ for NO and NO₂ respectively, at 2.5m. The measured concentration was higher compared to the modeled, first, due to the difference in positions of the measured and modeled concentration given the method of measurement, but also possibly due to contribution of other sources such as motor vehicles, since these sites are located close to the street or main roads. The results of both measured and modeled peak concentrations are shown in figure 5.15.
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Particulate Matter (PM) Results: Tigo: The results of the stack particulate emissions for TIGO 1 and TIGO 2 BSs are as shown in figure 17 and 18, while the ratios of the indoor to outdoor are given in Tables 1 and 2. According to the results PM concentration was found to be higher after the generators had been running for both the outside and the indoor environments. This might also be caused by the orientation of the generator stack being horizontal which caused unidirectional flow of stack smoke. The ratio of the indoor to outdoor concentration was 0.5 and 0.8, which shows that the indoor concentration was higher than the outdoor concentration for the same reason that the horizontal stacks may have influenced the concentrations in the indoor environment.

![PM concentration graph]

Fig 17: The PM concentration at Room 1 & 2 and outdoor

Table 6: Ratios of PM concentrations (indoor and Outdoor) before and after the generator running

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling points</th>
<th>Before R1</th>
<th>Before R2</th>
<th>After R1</th>
<th>After R2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indoor</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio In/Out</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Atmospheric pollution from the major

**Table 7:** Ratios of PM concentrations (indoor and outdoor) before and after the generator running

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling points</th>
<th>Before starting the generator</th>
<th>After running the generator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Room 1</td>
<td>Nursery class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio In/Out</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Zantel:** The results of the stack particulate emissions for Zantel BSs are as shown in figure 19, while the ratios of the indoor to outdoor are given in Table 3. These results suggest that PM concentration was higher after the generators had been running for both the outside and the indoor environment. However the PM levels in the outside ambient air was greater than in the indoor which may have been caused by other PM sources such as the moving vehicles to and from the area, given that the place is close to the street road. The results and the ratio of the indoor to outdoor concentration was found to range between 0.53 to 0.98 for before and after sampling respectively, the closeness of the ratio to 1 during sampling might have been due to proper ventilation in the classroom.

**Fig. 18:** The PM concentration at Room 1 & Nursery school class and outdoor

**Fig. 19:** The PM concentration at outdoor and indoor in classroom.
Table 8: Ratios of PM concentrations (indoor and Outdoor) before and after the generator running

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling point</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indoor class room</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio In/Out</td>
<td>0.5357</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Zain: The results of the stack particulate emissions in the sampled points for Zain BSs are shown in figure 20. Similar to Zantel, PM concentration was found to be higher after the generators had been running for both the outside and the indoor household. The ratios of the indoor to outdoor PM concentrations were such that before the generator was on Household (HH) PM$_{2.5}$ were higher than ones after the generator running.

![Fig. 20: PM concentration at outdoor and indoor inside 2 house holds](image)

Table 9: Ratios of PM concentrations (indoor and Outdoor) before and after the generator running

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indoor house 1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor house 2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor HH1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor HH2</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio In/Out</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vodacom: The results of the stack particulate emissions in the sampled points for Vodacom BSs are as shown in Figure 21, while the ratios of the indoor to outdoor are given in Table 5. PM concentration was found to be higher after the generators had been running for both the outside and the indoor environment. The ratio of the indoor to outdoor concentration was found to be 0.75 to 0.85 and 0.6 to 0.8 before and after running the generator for the two rooms, this shows that the outdoor concentration was higher than the indoor concentration in all cases which may have been contributed by the orientation of the generator stack being vertical so as to allow dispersion and the location of the rooms being a bit far from the source about 3m.
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Fig. 21: The PM concentration at outdoor and indoor inside class room

Table 10: Ratios of PM concentrations (in and Outdoor) before and after the generator running

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling points</th>
<th>Before starting generator</th>
<th>During generator running</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Room 1</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room 2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio In/Out</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sasatel: The results of the stack particulate emissions at different sampling points for Sasatel BSs are as shown in figure 22 while the ratios of the indoor to outdoor are given in Table 6. In this case the results of PM concentration were also found to be higher after the generators had been running in both the outside and the indoor environment. The ratio of the indoor to outdoor concentration showed that the outdoor concentration was higher than the indoor concentration which may also be contributed by the distance the rooms are located from the source and the stack being vertical so that dispersion was enhanced.

Fig. 22: The PM concentration at outdoor and indoor inside class room
Comparison of the ambient PM$_{2.5}$ concentration between horizontal and vertical stacks. The results show that PM$_{2.5}$ concentration from the horizontal stacks cause a greater contribution in the increased ambient PM$_{2.5}$ level than those coming from vertical stack. This is shown in figure 23.

Table 11: Ratios of PM concentrations (indoor and Outdoor) before and after the generator running

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling points</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indoor house 1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio In/Out</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions: The mobile telecommunication sector has played a pivotal role in the country’s economic development, especially enhancing instantaneous communication. This research was set to determine potentially adverse impacts to the environment by investigating how effective the EMPs and MPs are implemented with respect to the noise, particulate and the NOx gases pollution. Noise level was found to on the higher side compared to permissible levels. In addition, the released levels of the PM$_{2.5}$ caused a significant raise in PM level in the ambient air PM$_{2.5}$ concentration of the surrounding (indoor and outdoor) environments with the hourly average increase of about 0.25mg/m$^3$ (Tigo BSs), 0.045 mg/m$^3$ (Zantel BSs), 0.08 mg/m$^3$ (Zain), 0.23 mg/m$^3$ (Vodacom BSs) and 0.04 mg/m$^3$ (Sasatel BSs) above the standard of 0.1mg/m$^3$ (TBS, 2005).

The EMPs do not propose the cut of values for gaseous NOx emissions however the levels of concentration at the stack exits are low with maximum hourly average of 0.18 mg/m$^3$ (NO) and 0.135 mg/m$^3$ (NO$_2$) compared with the permissible standard provided by the of <250mg/m$^3$.

The Gaussian air pollutant plume model provided an approximation of the contribution of the BS generators to the atmosphere of maximum hourly concentration of NO and NO$_2$ respectively of about 0.35µg/m$^3$, 0.014µg/m$^3$at 10m from the source for Tigo BS, 0.0033µg/m$^3$ and 0.0056 µg/m$^3$ at 10m for Sasatel BSs, 0.0006µg/m$^3$ and 0.001 µg/m$^3$ for Vodacom BSs at 300m from the source, 0.017µg/m$^3$ and 0.012 µg/m$^3$ for Zantel BSs at 10m from the source and 0.013µg/m$^3$ and 0.008µg/m$^3$ for Zain BSs at 10m from the source. The measured NOX values had hourly peak averages of NO and NO$_2$ respectively of about 5µg/m$^3$ and 2.5µg/m$^3$ (Tigo BS), 10µg/m$^3$ (Vodacom BS) and 10µg/m$^3$ and 7µg/m$^3$ (Zain BS) at 2.5m from the source.
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