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ABSTRACT

Background: The degree of the lower uterine segment (LUS) thinning and the risk of uterine scar defect have been studied. However, the relationship between the methods of closure and the degree of thinning needs further elucidation. Aim: The aim of this study was to determine whether a LUS transverse cesarean section (CS) closure method in one or two layers affects subsequent scar thickness. Subjects and Methods: In this prospective study, 150 women were enrolled and randomly assigned to one- or two-layer closure of the LUS incision. Patients were divided into two groups. Each group included 75 patients, of primigravidae with gestational age from 38 weeks to 40 weeks one group had a single layer closure and the other had a double layer closure. Results: We found an increase in the thickness of LUS-CS scar in cases with double layer closure of the incision than a single layer closure as depicted by ultrasonography after 2 days and 2 weeks post-operative. Conclusion: These findings suggest that the number of closing layers of CS directly affect the thickness of the scar.
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INTRODUCTION

Cesarean section (CS) is the delivery of a fetus through an abdominal and uterine incision; technically, it is a laparotomy followed by a hysterotomy.[1] The number of deliveries by CS has been increasing steadily world-wide in recent decades. CS is also associated with long-term risks such as adhesions, uterine scar rupture, and placental complications. The latter two complications are likely to be associated with the poor uterine scar healing following CS.[2]

Regarding Egypt, a significant rise in cesarean deliveries has occurred for all births from 4.6% in 1992 to 10.3% in 2000. However, hospital based cesarean deliveries were much higher in 1988 (13.9%), increasing to 22.0% in 2000. Although, the CS rate was slightly higher in private hospitals, the rate also increased consistently in the public hospitals.[3]

The uterus may be closed with interrupted or continuous sutures in 1, 2 or 3 layers. Observational studies have suggested that a single-layer closure is associated with scar defects and is more likely to dehisce in subsequent pregnancies.[4] However, a sensitivity analysis indicated that the risk of uterine rupture was increased after a locked single-layer closure but not after an unlocked single-layer closure, compared with a double-layer closure.[5] Recent findings suggest a strong association between the degree of the lower uterine segment (LUS) thinning and the risk of uterine scar defect.[6]

Transvaginal sonography is a new tool to assess uterine scar thickness in women with a previous Cesarean delivery to determine the critical thickness above, which safe vaginal delivery.[7]

Several investigators have reported a strong association between the degree of LUS thinning and the risk of uterine scar defect.[6] therefore, thickness of CS scar may serve as an excellent predictor of uterine scar defect in women contemplating vaginal birth after cesarean section. An ideal cut-off value cannot be recommended, underlining the need for more standardized measurement techniques.[7,8]

The aim of this study is to evaluate the uterine scar thickness by ultrasonography in women randomly assigned to one or two layer closure of the uterine incision after primary surgery.
cesarean delivery and in addition to prove or disprove the relative beneficial effect of each for myometrium closure.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Patients were recruited from both the out-patient clinic and the inpatient wards of Obstetrics and Gynecology Department in Tanta University Hospital, Tanta, Egypt during the period from July 2010 to June 2012.

Patients were approached for participation if their delivery was a scheduled primary elective cesarean delivery due to fetal or maternal causes.

This study was carried out on 150 patients, divided into two groups (A and B). Each group included 75 primigravidae with gestational age from 38 weeks to 40 weeks. Group-A: Underwent single layer closure of transverse lower segment CS. A one-layer closure usually involves a single continuous, locking layer of absorbable suture (0 Vicryl sutures). Group-B: Underwent double layer closure of transverse lower segment CS. A two-layer closure typically adds an imbricating layer of absorbable suture (0 Vicryl sutures). The research was approved by the Ethical Committee of Tanta Faculty of Medicine.

All the participants’ names were hidden and replaced by code numbers to maintain the privacy. After obtaining written consent and confirming entry into the study, each patient was assigned a treatment group by selection of the next consecutive envelope. The group-Allocation was revealed to the surgeon during the surgery just before the repair.

Exclusion criteria were multiple gestations, abnormalities of fetal heart rate, polyhydramnios, uterine malformation, anterior placenta previa, placenta accreta, uterine or cervical fibroid, fetal macrosomia, any previous uterine operation or any medical disease that compromises wound healing as diabetes mellitus, collagen diseases or anemia.

Patients had an ultrasonographic evaluation of the LUS immediately preoperative (baseline) and then follow-up measurements at 48 h, 2 weeks, and 6 weeks postoperatively. All sonographic measurements were carried out in the Department of Obstetrics and by one staff member who was duly trained for the purpose.

Baseline measurements were obtained by measuring the myometrial thickness in the mid-sagittal plane by transabdominal ultrasonography at a point below the reflection of the bladder in a technique described by Buhimschi et al. [9] The device used was (LOGIQ™ 100 PRO), serial no.: 60725WS9, manufactured by: Wipro GE Health Care Private Ltd.

Postoperative evaluation of the uterine incision involved identifying the uterine scar as described by Koutsougeras et al. [10] and measuring the scar in the mid-sagittal plane perpendicular to the uterine wall by transabdominal or transvaginal approach, governed by the station of the presenting part and placental localization. All ultrasonographic examinations were performed with an ultrasound machine LOGIQ™ 100 PRO.

RESULTS

The general characteristics of the population for each study period are reported in Table 1. The differences between the two groups for maternal age, gestational age at delivery, mean thickness of the LUS before the operation, neonatal birth weight and the duration of postoperative hospital stay statistically insignificant.

The most common indications for CS delivery are depicted in Table 2. The commonest indications were: Malpresentations, extreme degree of contracted pelvis, oligohydraminos, and placental abruption with unfavorable cervix, posterior placenta previa, and meconium with unfavorable cervix.

The mean (SD) duration of operation in group-A was 43.7 (7.1) min while in group-B it was 47.7 (5.9) min. The difference between both groups is significant (P=0.03).

As regards anesthetic complications, succinyl choline apnea occurred in 1 case of group-A. Atonic post-partum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Group A (single-layer)</th>
<th>Group B (double-layer)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mother age in years</td>
<td>28.84 (3.4)</td>
<td>28.36 (3.2)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age in weeks</td>
<td>39.11 (0.7)</td>
<td>39.16 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of the operation in minutes</td>
<td>43.86 (7.1)</td>
<td>47.68 (5.9)</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay in days</td>
<td>1.48 (0.8)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight in kg</td>
<td>2.86 (0.6)</td>
<td>2.87 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of LUS before the operation in mm</td>
<td>4.80 (0.8)</td>
<td>5.1 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: General characteristics of the study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indications of CS operation</th>
<th>Group A N=75</th>
<th>Group B N=75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fetal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligohydramnios</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mal-presentation</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meconium with unfavorable cervix</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild placental abruption with unfavorable cervix</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genital herpes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme degree of contracted pelvis</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior placenta previa</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condyloma acuminata</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displaced pelvic fracture</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successful repair of vesico-vaginal fistula</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The indications of CS in the cases

CS – Caesarean section
hemorrhage occurred in two cases of group-A. Wound sepsis occurred in 9 cases (3 from group-A and 6 from group-B).

In this study, transvaginal ultrasound was carried out for five cases of group-A while 70 cases of this group underwent trans-abdominal ultrasonography. However, in group-B seven cases underwent transvaginal ultrasound, while the remaining 68 cases underwent trans-abdominal ultrasonography.

LUS thickness evaluated by ultrasound 2 days after CS for cases of group-A ranged from 20 mm to 30 mm with a mean (SD) of 25.5 (3.5) mm. In group-B, the analogs values ranged from 25 mm to 36 mm with a mean of 28.5 (3.6) mm. The difference between both groups is significant (P<0.01).

LUS thickness 2 weeks after CS judged by ultrasonography revealed that its’ value for cases of group-A ranged from 14 mm to 20 mm with a mean (SD) 16.8 (2.2) mm. The corresponding values in group-B ranged from 16 mm to 25 mm with a mean of 19.4 (2.7) mm. The difference between both groups is significant (P<0.01).

**DISCUSSION**

CS is one of the most commonly performed major abdominal operations in women in both affluent and low-income countries. Rates vary considerably between countries and health services.[11-13]

For emergency surgery, 55% of obstetricians use single-layer closure of the uterine incision, 37% use double-layer closure while 11% use single-layer closure only in women undergoing concomitant sterilization.[14]

Closure of the hysterotomy site has gained interest because of the potential relationship with uterine rupture during a trial of labor in the future pregnancies.[15-17]

Several techniques for myometrium closure have been described, including the use of interrupted, locked, and unlocked continuous sutures with single-or double-layer closure.[18]

Uterine closure may be performed with either a single-or double-layer closure technique. Single-layer closure using a running locking stitch has been shown to be associated with decreased operative time and fewer additional hemostatic sutures. A large Canadian study found a four-fold increase in the risk of uterine rupture in a woman who had a single layer closure in their previous pregnancy.[19-23]

In the existing investigation, we found that the duration of one layer technique operation for closure of uterine incision was significantly shorter than that for two-layer technique. This matches with Ferrari and associates who instituted that one layer technique has a shorter operating times.[24]

The ultrasonographic measurement of the thickness of the LUS is useful for deciding the best type of delivery for patients. The knowledge of this ultrasound measurement may explain the differences in the results for both study groups: Among patients with one previous CS, concern about a thin lower segment probably contributed to increase the rate of elective CS while knowledge of a thick myometrium helped to reduce the rate of CS during labor, by lowering the fear of uterine rupture.[25]

From the contemporary study, it is evident that the LUS scar measured 48 h post-operatively was significantly thicker among women submitted to two-layer technique of hysterotomy closure than those submitted to a single-layer closure technique (P=0.003).

Furthermore, we displayed that the mean LUS-CS scar thickness 2 weeks post-operative was significantly thicker among women submitted to two-layer technique of hysterotomy closure than those submitted to a single layer closure technique (P=0.0005).

Hamar et al. disclosed insignificant variations in the lower segment hysterotomy scar thickness at 48 h and 2 weeks postoperatively between one-layer compared with two-layer closure technique of the hysterotomy incision. They concluded that uterine scar thickness diminishes progressively after both one- or two-layer closure, but does not vary with the mode of hysterotomy closure.[26]
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