

## Distribution of three weevil species in the various growth stages and residues of plantains (*Musaspp*) in Littoral Region of Cameroon

Justin N Okolle<sup>\*1,2</sup> and Ghislain K Mboueda<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>African Research Centre on Bananas and Plantains (CARBAP), BP 832, Douala, Cameroon

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Agricultural Research for Development (IRAD), Cameroon

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Agronomy and Agricultural Sciences, University of Dschang, Cameroon

\*Author for correspondence: Tel: +(237)695951991 or 674534786 Email [okollejustin@yahoo.com](mailto:okollejustin@yahoo.com) or [justinokolle@yahoo.com](mailto:justinokolle@yahoo.com)

### ABSTRACT

Many people in the rural and semi-urban areas in the tropics depend on plantains and bananas as a staple food and as source of income. Bio-ecological studies such as quantifying insect pest numbers within-plants and with-in residues will help to reduce the amount of insecticides applied in plantations or farms. The distribution of three weevil species with respect to plantain growth stages and pre-/post-harvest residues was studied in a researcher-managed and a farmer-managed farm. Adult weevil species were counted from the following growth stages; suckers, pre-flowered, flowered, and bunched plants; as well as from these residues; desuckers, pseudo stem stumps, and cut pseudostems lying horizontally on the ground. Although *Cosmopolites sordidus* is widely reported as the most economically important insect on bananas/plantains, there were significantly more *Polytusmellerborgi* compared to *C. sordidus* and *Metamasius hemipterus* (very rare). In both farms, highest numbers of *C. sordidus* were found on pseudostemstumps as well as on bunched plants. In all the growth stages, numbers of the different weevil species were not significantly different in both farms. Also, for the residues, except for desuckers, all weevil species were similar in both farms. We proposed therefore that for any effective management of *C. sordidus*, application of management techniques that will significantly reduce adult numbers should focus on harvested stumps and bunched plants.

**Key words:** Coleoptera, Cucurliionidae, Insect, Pest management

### RESUME

Beaucoup de gens dans les zones rurales et semi-urbaines dans les tropiques dépendent des plantains et des bananes comme aliment de base et source de revenu. Les études bio-écologiques, telles que la quantification du nombre d'insectes nuisibles dans les plantes et les résidus, contribueront à réduire la quantité d'insecticides appliqués dans les plantations ou les champs. La distribution de trois espèces de charançons par rapport aux stades de croissance des plantains et aux résidus pré / post-récolte a été étudiée dans une champ gérée par un chercheur et une champ exploitée par un agriculteur ou petit paysanne. Les espèces de charançon adulte et les invertébrés associés ont été comptés à partir des étapes de croissance suivantes: Rejets, plantes pré-fleurées, fleurées et en grappes; Ainsi que de ces résidus; des desqueuses, des souches récoltées et des pseudotrons coupés couchés horizontalement sur le sol. Bien que *Cosmopolites sordidus* soit largement considéré comme l'insecte le plus important du point de vue économique sur les bananes / plantains, il y avait beaucoup plus de *Polytus mellerborgi* que *C. sordidus* et *Metamasius hemipterus* (très rare). Dans les deux champs, le plus grand nombre de *C. sordidus* a été trouvé sur les souches récoltées ainsi que sur les plantes avec des grappes. Dans tous les stades de croissance, le nombre de différentes espèces de charançons n'était pas significativement différent dans les deux champs. En outre, pour les résidus, à l'exception des déshuileurs, toutes les espèces de charançons étaient similaires dans les deux champs. Les invertébrés prédateurs potentiels trouvés étaient des fourmis, des perce-oreilles et des araignées. Nous avons donc proposé que, pour une gestion efficace de *C. sordidus*, l'application de techniques de gestion qui réduisent de façon significative le nombre d'adultes devrait se concentrer sur les souches récoltées et les plantes en grappes.

**Mots clés:** Coleoptera, Cucurliionidae, Insectes, Lutte anti-parasitaire

## INTRODUCTION

Plantains and bananas are staple foods for many Cameroonians (Desdoight *et al.*, 2005; NgohNewilah, 2005). Generally, a typical banana and plantain plant consists of roots, corms, pseudostems (usually covered with dry old leaf sheaths), and the crown (leaves, fruits and flowers) (Speijer and De Waale, 1997). In Cameroon, the black banana corm borer weevil (*Cosmopolites sordidus*) has been mentioned as the main insect pest on banana/plantain production areas. This pest occurs in 7 out of the 10 regions of Cameroon (Fogain, 1994; Fogain *et al.*, 1998; Lescot, 1988; Tomekpe *et al.*, 1999; Moulioum-Perfoura *et al.*, 2002; Tomekpe & Fondi, 2008; Okolle *et al.*, 2009). Other weevil species found on farms and plantations include the silky cane weevil (*Metamasius hemipterus*) and the small black species (*Polytus mellerborgi*).

In spite of all these reports in Cameroon, none has attempted to quantify the level of infestation or distribution in relation to banana/plantain growth stages or residues. According to Williamson *et al.*, 2008, lack of such bioecological studies on pests is one of the major driving forces encouraging indiscriminate use of pesticides in most African smallholders' agroecosystems. Such studies are necessary because they will help to drastically reduce the amount of chemicals applied. Secondly, it will give preliminary insight on the behavioural evolution of the weevil species following different management techniques or agronomic practices in the farms. The objective of this research therefore was to quantify the infestation level of three weevil species in relation to phenological stages and pre-/post-harvest residues of plantains in two different production systems.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study site and production systems

The study was carried out in Njombe, Littoral Region of Cameroon. Njombe is about 80 km from Douala (Economic capital of Cameroon), and found between Latitude 4°35' North and longitude 9°39' East with a volcanic soil and an altitude of 80 meters above sea level (masl). The town has mean annual rainfall of 2086mm, mean monthly temperature that varies between 22.1°C and 32.2°C, while relative humidity varies between 32% and 100%.

The research was carried out in two plantain farms: (i) Researcher-managed farm found in the African Research Centre on Bananas and Plantains (CARBAP) in Njombe, and (ii) Farmer-managed farm also found in Njombe town. The researcher-managed system consisted mainly of 'Batard' cultivar (*Musa* AAB) and a few hybrids. Clean planting materials (plants from corm bits rapid multiplication technique) were used to establish the farm. The following agronomic and/or agricultural practices were carried out: Weeding (mechanically), desuckering (removal of excess suckers), fertilization (100-120g of N/plant/year, 35g of urea/plant, 50g of sulfate/plant/year, and 160g of KCl/plant/year), irrigation of 120mm water/plant/year, and use of pesticides (propiconazole at 100g/ha for sigatoka diseases, Cadusofos at 2g/ha (three times per year for nematodes), and Fipronil (Regent 5G®) at 30g/plant – twice per year for weevils). The borders of this farm consisted of banana plantations of Plantation Haut du Penja (PHP) Company, ornamental plants and weeds such as *Pennisetum purpureum*.

The farmer-managed farm consisted mainly of 'Batard' and 'Big Ebangha' cultivars. It was established using traditional suckers from other fields. The farm also had food crops such as cocoyams, sweet potatoes, papaya and maize (a sort of mixed cropping). Agronomic practices

included manual weeding, desuckering, fertilization (100-120g urea/plant and 60g KCl/plant only for the first cycle, as well as leaf pruning for control of sigatoka diseases.

### **Weevil distribution in relation to growth stages of plantains**

To begin with, different growth stages in the farms were identified and categorized as follows: Suckers (SK), Pre-flowered plants (PF), Flowering plants (FP) and bunched plants (BP). SK were between 0.5-1.5m in height and about 4 months old. PF were about 4-7 months of age and between 2-3.5m in height. The FPs were about 8-10 months old and between 3.5m to 4m in height.

In each farm, 100 plants of each growth stage or category were chosen and visually inspected randomly. Using a sharpened knife, old leaf sheaths on the pseudo stems were removed from the base up to about 1m. There was no destructive sampling since the farmer did not agree to that. Secondly, since the intention was not to address damage caused, there was no need for destructive sampling. Three weevil species (*Cosmopolites sordidus* – entirely black in colour and between 9mm to 14mm in length, *M. hemipterus* – black in colour but with orange or yellow spots and between 9mm to 14mm in length, as well as *P. mellerborgi* that is black in colour and between 1.0cm to 1.1cm in length (excluding the rostrum) (Fogain, 1994; Padmanaban *et al.*, 2001; Okolle *et al.*, 2009). Without removing them, the weevils were counted in situ twice per month from August to October.

### **Weevil distribution in relation to residues**

As with the growth stages, the major types of residues in the farm were identified and classified as follows: Desuckers (DS) (small plants removed from the parent plant or mat), cut pseudostems (CP) usually found on the soil after harvest, and

harvested stumps (HS) usually 1-1.5m high. For each farm, 100 residues for each category were carefully inspected monthly from August to October. Using a sharp knife, old leaf sheaths were removed and the number of adult weevils of the different species counted. For DS and CP, a machete was used to split them longitudinally and then count adult weevils present and this was carried out monthly.

### **Data analyses**

Mean numbers of weevil species for the different banana growth stages and residues in the two plantations or farms were calculated using descriptive statistical method by (Fowler *et al.*, 1998). By using the Statdisk software (Mario *et al.*, 2003), significant differences among the weevil species were first calculated using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and then subjected to Tukey's means separation test (Fowler *et al.*, 1998). To find out whether the weevil species distribution for the different plantain growth stages and residues were significantly different in both farms, the means were subjected to a Z-test (Zar, 1999).

## **RESULTS**

### **Distribution of weevil species in the researcher-managed farm**

Generally, number of *C. sordidus* and *M. hemipterus* on growth stages were similar although it was significantly highly statistically different from the numbers of *P. mellerborgi* on PF and BP (**Table 1**). As for residues, *C. sordidus* and *P. mellerborgi* were similar but significantly different from numbers of *M. hemipterus* (**Table 1**). In all cases, there were highest numbers of *P. mellerborgi* on growth stages and residues (especially on HS) compared to *C. sordidus* and *P. mellerborgi* while *M. hemipterus* had no special preference. In addition, numbers of *C. sordidus* and *M. hemipterus* were similar in all growth stages while that of *P. mellerborgi* were more on PF and BP.

**Table 1:** Mean numbers ( $\pm$  SE) of adult weevil species on growth stages and residues of plantains in a researcher-managed farm in Njombe, Cameroon

Weevil Species	Growth stages				Residues		
	SK	PF	FP	BP	DS	CP	HS
Cosmo	1.14 $\pm$ 0.08a	0.29 $\pm$ 0.09a	0.12 $\pm$ 0.04a	0.37 $\pm$ 0.12a	0.03 $\pm$ 0.02a	0.04 $\pm$ 0.04a	0.33 $\pm$ 0.08b
Metamas	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00a	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00a	0.03 $\pm$ 0.03a	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00a	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00a	0.03 $\pm$ 0.03a	0.08 $\pm$ 0.04a
Polytus	0.65 $\pm$ 0.17a	2.25 $\pm$ 0.11b	0.43 $\pm$ 0.13a	3.12 $\pm$ 0.55b	0.09 $\pm$ 0.03a	0.81 $\pm$ 0.42a	1.85 $\pm$ 0.44b

, Means with the same letters in a column are not significantly different at  $P = .05$  (Tukey's Test). Cosmo = *Cosmopolites sordidus*, Metamas = *Metamasius hemipterus*, Polytus = *Polytus mellerborgi*. SK = Suckers, PF = Pre-Flowered, FP = Flowered Plants, BP = Bunched Plants. DS = Desuckers, CP = Cut Pseudostems lying on the ground, HS = Harvested Stumps

### Distribution of weevil species in the farmer-managed farm

From **Table 2** for the growth stages, weevils were present in all growth stages, except *M. hemipterus* that was present only on PF. Of all the species, *P. mellerborgi* had the highest numbers especially on PF and BP. In the residues, except *P. mellerborgi* absence in DS, weevils were present in all the residue types but highest on HS.

**Table 2:** Mean numbers ( $\pm$  SE) of adult weevil species on growth stages and residues in a farmer's-managed plantain farm in Njombe, Cameroon

Weevil Species	Growth stages				Residues		
	SK	PF	FP	BP	DS	CP	HS
Cosmo	0.11 $\pm$ 0.04a	0.30 $\pm$ 0.08a	0.33 $\pm$ 0.06a	0.47 $\pm$ 0.12b	0.07 $\pm$ 0.02a	0.12 $\pm$ 0.04a	0.68 $\pm$ 0.12b
Metamas	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00a	0.70 $\pm$ 0.05a	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00a	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00a	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00a	0.27 $\pm$ 0.13a	0.28 $\pm$ 0.15a
Polytus	0.94 $\pm$ 0.15a	7.10 $\pm$ 0.6b	5.88 $\pm$ 0.07b	7.25 $\pm$ 0.06b	0.82 $\pm$ 0.16a	0.58 $\pm$ 0.15a	5.4 $\pm$ 0.48b

Means with the same letters in a column are not significantly different at  $P = .05$  (Tukey's Test). Cosmo = *Cosmopolites sordidus*, Metamas = *Metamasius hemipterus*, Polytus = *Polytus mellerborgi*. SK = Suckers, PF = Pre-Flowered, FP = Flowered Plants, BP = Bunched Plants. DS = Desuckers, CP = Cut Pseudostems lying on the ground, HS = Harvested Stumps

### Comparing infestation of weevil species in farmers and researcher-managed farms

In all the growth stages, numbers of the different weevil species were not significantly different in both farms (research-managed and farmer-managed) (**Table 3a**). However, for the residues (**Table 3b**), with the exception of DS where *P. mellerborgi* was significantly different, all weevil species were similar in both farms.

**Table 3a:** Comparison of mean numbers ( $\pm$  SE) of adult weevil species from different growth stages of plantains in a researcher-managed (RM) and farmer-managed (FM) farm

Weevil Species	Growth Stages							
	Suckers (SK)		Pre-Flowered (PF)		Flowered Plant (FP)		Bunched Plant (BP)	
	RM	FM	RM	FM	RM	FM	RM	FM
Cosmo	1.14 $\pm$ 0.08a	0.11 $\pm$ 0.04a	0.29 $\pm$ 0.09a	0.30 $\pm$ 0.08a	0.12 $\pm$ 0.04a	0.33 $\pm$ 0.06a	0.37 $\pm$ 0.12a	0.47 $\pm$ 0.12b
Metamas	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00a	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00a	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00a	0.07 $\pm$ 0.05a	0.03 $\pm$ 0.03a	0.03 $\pm$ 0.00a	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00a	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00
Polytus	0.65 $\pm$ 0.17a	0.94 $\pm$ 0.15a	2.25 $\pm$ 0.11a	7.10 $\pm$ 0.67a	0.43 $\pm$ 0.13a	5.88 $\pm$ 0.07a	2.12 $\pm$ 0.55a	7.25 $\pm$ 0.06

Means with the same letters in a row are not significantly different (Z-Test, Critical Z-value = 1.96). Cosmo = *Cosmopolites sordidus*, Metamas = *Metamasius hemipterus*, Polytus = *Polytus mellerborgi*.

**Table 3b:** Comparison of mean numbers ( $\pm$  SE) of adult weevil species from different residues of plantains in a researcher-managed (RM) and farmer-managed (FM) farm

Weevil Species	Residues					
	Desuckers (DS)		Cut Pseudostem (CP)		Harvested Storm (HS)	
	RM	FM	RM	FM	RM	FM
Cosmo	0.03 $\pm$ 0.02a	0.07 $\pm$ 0.02a	0.04 $\pm$ 0.04a	0.12 $\pm$ 0.04a	0.33 $\pm$ 0.08a	0.68 $\pm$ 0.12a
Metamas	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00a	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00a	0.03 $\pm$ 0.03a	0.27 $\pm$ 0.13a	0.08 $\pm$ 0.04a	0.28 $\pm$ 0.15a
Polytus	0.09 $\pm$ 0.03a	0.82 $\pm$ 0.16b	0.81 $\pm$ 0.42a	0.58 $\pm$ 0.15a	1.85 $\pm$ 0.44a	5.4 $\pm$ 0.48a

Means with the same letters in a row are not significantly different (Z-Test, Critical Z-value = 1.96). Cosmo = *Cosmopolites sordidus*, Metamas = *Metamasius hemipterus*, Polytus = *Polytus mellerborgi*

**What of the damage caused by the weevils?**

**Damage is more important than mere numbers of weevils. As *Polytus mellerborgi***

*populations were very high in the plantains, was this also translated into high damage cause by this species? (unfortunately damage assessment was not considered for this study.*

**DISCUSSION**

All the three weevil species recorded in this study have been previously reported on bananas and plantains in Cameroon (Fogain, 1994; Fogain *et al.*, 1998; Ysenbrandt *et al.*, 2000, Okolle *et al.*, 2009). However, according to Ysenbrandt *et al.* (2000), and based on pseudostem trapping results, only *P. mellerborgi* and *C. sordidus* were recorded; and no *M. hemipterus* were found. This is somehow similar to the present study where although all three weevil species were recorded, *P. mellerborgi* and *C. sordidus* were more common while *M. hemipterus* was very rare. Since *M. hemipterus* was rarely found on residues and growth stages, it may imply that plantains are not a major host plant for the weevils.

In both farms, highest numbers of *C. sordidus* were on HS residues and BP growth stages. On East African highland bananas, Gold *et al.* (1998) reported that *C. sordidus* adult density was highest on flowered plants although total weevil numbers were associated with preflowered plants and harvested stumps. These authors also reported 92% oviposition in standing residues (HS) and that toppled plants and harvest pseudo-stems lying on the ground were favored breeding sites.

However, contrary to our study, Masanza *et al.*, (2005a) reported more adult weevils on prostrate than on harvested stumps although oviposition was similar for both residues. Ittyeipe, (1996) found out that more eggs are laid on corms to which more adult borers are attracted. This suggests that for effective management of the adult *C. sordidus* in small-scale farms and plantations, HS residues and BP growth stages should be targeted for chemical control, application of entomopathogens and placing of disc-on-stump or disc-corm traps.

In addition, removal of HS and CP residues from the farm to a spot where they can be decomposed or chopped into smaller pieces will help to decrease population of adult weevils. Field sanitation especially the removal and/or destruction of residues have been widely mentioned as very useful for sustainable management of weevils in banana farms (Seshu Reddy *et al.*, 1998; Karamura & Sikora, 1998; Masanza *et al.*, 2005b, Tiwari *et al.*, 2006). While some scientists think that residues should not be removed because they attract ovipositing adults and divert their attention from living plants, others think that residues should not be allowed because when they rot, the young emerging weevils will have opportunity to attack more plants. Treverrow (2003) mentioned that extreme care should be taken if harvested stumps are removed because this might affect suckers depending on the stumps for nutrients.

As for growth stages, removal of old leaf sheaths from BP and/or spraying them with reduce amount of insecticide will also help reduce the population of adults especially females that lay eggs. According to Gold and Messiaen (2000), cut corms or bulbs or pseudostems attract more adult weevils but according to Gold *et al.* (1998), more adult weevils are usually associated with residues especially HS. All these show that HS is an important source of infestation to nearby suckers or plants sharing the same mother corm. To protect such plants, insecticidal treatments should be applied around these HS residues or disc-on-stump/disc-corm traps treated with insecticides or entomopathogens should be applied on modified traps constructed on these harvested stumps.

In conclusion, all three weevil species are found on plantains cultivated in a researcher-managed farm and a farmer-managed farm in Njombe, Cameroon. Although *C. sordidus* is widely considered as the most economically important of all the weevils on bananas/plantains, there are now more *P. mellerborgi*. Residues especially harvested stumps (HS) serve as important refuge/breeding site for adult *C. sordidus*. Does *P. mellerborgi* cause as high damage on plantains as *C. sordidus*? High numbers without commensurate damage are inconsequential. *C. sordidus* is considered the most economically important weevil species on plantains because of the severe damage it causes on this crop. (unfortunately damage assessment was not considered for this study)-

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Special thanks to the African Research Centre on Bananas and Plantains (CARBAP) Cameroon for support and permission to carry out the research in their established plots in the research station at Njombe. St. John's Catholic Mission Njombe for giving us access to their smallholder's farm. Moral and technical support of Dr. Pierre Michelle Loubana, Franklin Lombi Mbongo,

Fansi Gabriel, Belle Eugene, Lowe Justin, Mouassom Hughes and Ngole Joseph is highly appreciated.

#### REFERENCES

- Abate T., Van Huis A., and Ampofo J.K.O. (2000). Pest management strategies in traditional agriculture. An African Perspective. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 45: 631-659
- Abera-Kalibata A.M., Gold C.S., Van Driesche R.G., and Ragama P.E. (2007). Composition, distribution, and relative abundance of ants in banana farming systems in Uganda, *Biological Control* 40, 168-178
- Altieri M.A. (1999). The ecological role of biodiversity in agroecosystems. *Agriculture, Ecosystem and Environment* 74, 19-21.
- Anon. 2005. Guide pratiques sur la culture du bananier plantain au Cameroun. MINADER/PPTTE, October 2005 Edition, Available online: [www.programmeplantain.com](http://www.programmeplantain.com)
- Cook S.M., Khan Z.R., and Pickett J.A. (2007). The Use of Push-Pull Strategies in Integrated Pest Management, *Annual Review of Entomology* 52, 375-400.
- Desdoights E., Kwa M., Fogain R., Temple L., Sama Lang P., Bikoi A., and Achard R. (2005). A multidisciplinary monitoring centre by smallholders in Cameroon to identify factors limiting plantain production, *Fruits* 6 (4), 237-244
- Fogain R. (1994). Banana and plantain pests in Cameroon. *InfoMusa* 3(1), 19-20
- Fogain R., Moulioum Perfoura A., Abadie C., Escalant J.V., and Tomekpe K. (1998). Banana and Plantain IPM in Cameroon: Progress and Problems. In: Frison E.A, Gold C.S, Karamura E.B & Sikora R.A (eds.), Mobilizing IPM for sust. banana production in Africa. Proceedings of

a workshop on banana IPM held in Nelspruit, 23-28 Nov.1998. INIBAP, Montpellier, France. Fowler J., Cohen L., and Jarvis P. (1998). *Practical Statistics for Field Biology*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, UK

Gnonlonfin C.J.B., Heli K., Siame B., and Fandohan P. (2008). Infestation and population dynamics of insects on stored cassava and yams chips in Benin, West Africa, *Journal of Economic Entomology* 101 (6), 1967-1973

Gold C.S., and Messiaen S. (2000). The banana borer weevil *C.sordidus*. International Network for the Improvement of Banana and Plantain (INIBAP) *Musa Pest fact sheet* no.4

Gold C.S., Okech S.H., and Nokoe S. (2002). Evaluation of pseudostem trapping as a control measure against banana weevil, *C. sordidus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in Uganda. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 92, 35-44.

Gold C.S., Pinese B., and Pena J.E. (2002). Pests of bananas. In: Pena J.E., Sharp J.L. & Wysoki M. (eds.) *Tropical Fruit Pests and pollinators*, CAB International

Gold C.S., Rakazambuga N.D.T.M., Karamura E.B., Nemeye P., and Night G. (1998). Recent advances in banana weevil biology, population dynamics and pest status with emphasis on East Africa. In: Frison E.A., Gold C.S, Karamura E.B. and Sikora R.A (eds.) 1999, Mobilizing IPM for sustainable banana production in Africa. Proceedings of a workshop on banana IPM held in Nelspruit, 23-28 November 1998. INIBAP, Montpellier, France.

HaysimA., and Gold C.S. (1998). Potential of classical biological control for banana weevil, *C.sordidus* Germar, with natural enemies from Asia (with emphasis on Indonesia). In: Frison E.A.,

Gold C.S, Karamura E.B. and Sikora R.A (eds.) 1999, Mobilizing IPM for sustainable banana production in Africa. Proceedings of a workshop on banana IPM held in Nelspruit, 23-28 November 1998. INIBAP, Montpellier, France.

Ittyeipe K. (1986). Studies on host preference of banana weevil borer, *C.sordidus* Germar, *Fruits* 41(6) (1986) 375-379

Karamura E.B. and Sikora R.A. (1999). Mobilizing IPM for Sustainable banana production in Africa..Proc.of a workshop on banana IPM held in Nelspruit, 23-28 Nov. 1998. INIBAP, Montpellier, France.

Kekeunou S., Messi J., Weise S., and Tindo M. (2006). Insect pest incidence and variations due to forest landscape degradation in the humid forests zone of Southern Cameroon, Farmers perception and need for adopting an integrated pest management strategy. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 5(7), 555-562.

Koppenhofer A.M., Seshu Reddy K.V., Madel G., and Lubega M.C. (1992). Predators of the banana weevil *Cosmopolites sordidus* (Germar) (Coleoptera: Cucurliionidae) in Western Kenya. *Journal of Applied Entomology* 114, 530-533

Lemke R.L., VandenBygaart A.J., Campbell C.A., Lafond G.P., and Grant B. (2010). Crop residue removal and fertilizer N: Effects on soil organic carbon in a long-term crop rotation experiment on a Udic Boroll. *Agriculture, Ecosystem and Environment* 135, 42-51.

Lescot T. 1988. Influence of altitude on populations of banana weevil (*C. sordidus*), *Fruits* 43 (7-8) (1988) 433-437

Lobry de Bruyn L.A. (1999). Ants as bioindicators of soil function in rural environments. *Agriculture,*

*Ecosystem and Environment* 74 (1999) 425-441.

Mario F.T., Gilmartin W., Solberg E., and Abueisaad T. (2003). Statdisk 9.1 for Elementary Statistics 9<sup>th</sup> Edition, 2003.

Masanza M., Gold C.S., and Van Huis A. (2005a). Distribution, timing of attack, and oviposition of the banana weevil, *Cosmopolites sordidus*, on banana crop residues in Uganda. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 117, 119-126.

Masanza M., Gold C.S., Van Huis A., Ragama P.E., and Okech S.H.O. (2005b). Effect of crop sanitation on banana weevil *Cosmopolites sordidus* (Germar) (Coleoptera, Curculionidae) populations and crop damage in farmers field in Uganda, *Crop Protection* 24, 275-283.

Morales H. (2002). Pest management in traditional tropical agroecosystems: Lessons for pest prevention research and extension. *Integrated Pest Management Reviews* 7, 145-163

Mouliom-Pefoura A., Fogain R., Cohan J.P., Messiaen S., and Tomekpe K. (2002). Pests and diseases of bananas and plantains in Western and Central Africa: Impact and integrated control strategies. Proceedings of the International Workshop on IPM in Sub-Saharan Africa, 8-12 September 2002, Kampala, Uganda, pp 26-37

Ndemah R., Schulthess F., Le Ru B., and Bame I. (2007). Lepidopteran cereal stem borers and associated natural enemies on maize and wild grass hosts in Cameroon. *Journal of Applied Entomology* 131(9-10), 658-668

Ngoh Newilar G., Tchango Tchango J., Fokou E., and Etoa F.X. (2005). Processing and food uses of bananas and plantains in Cameroon, *Fruits* 60 (4), 247-253

Okolle J.N. (2006). Banana/Plantain: How to manage pests and diseases. A technical slip. *The Farmers Voice* 135, 3-10. Available online: [www.thefarmersvoice.org](http://www.thefarmersvoice.org)

Okolle J.N., Fansi G., Lombi F., Sama Lang P., and Loubana P.M. (2009). Banana Entomological Research In Cameroon, How Far And What Next? *African Journal of Plant Science And Biotechnology* (3)1, 1-19.

Padmanaban B., Kandasamy M., and Sathiamoorthy S. (2001). Small banana weevil: *Polytus mellerborgii* Boheman (Dryophthoridae; Curculionidae). *InfoMusa* 10 (2), 43

PlantInfo. (2002). Notes de lecture (Rapport de stage). A socio-economic analyses of the marketing system of food stuff in the Ngoulemakong sub-division, South Province of Cameroon; case of plantain, cocoyams and cassava, April-Sept, No. 50-51, p 9

Seshu Reddy K.V., Gold C.S., and Ngode L. (1998). Cultural control strategies for banana weevil *C. sordidus*. In: Frison E, Gold C.S., Karamura E.B. & Sikora R.A (eds.). Mobilizing IPM for Sustainable banana production in Africa. Proceedings of a workshop on banana IPM held in Nelspruit, 23-28 Nov. 1998. INIBAP, Montpellier, France.

Speijer P.R., and De Waele D. (1997). Screening of *Musa* germplasm for resistance and tolerance to nematodes. IPGRI, Italy, 43 pp

Tinzaara W., Karamura E., and Tushemereuwe W. (1999). Observation préliminaire sur les ennemis naturels associés aux charançons du bananiers en Ouganda, *InfoMusa* 8(1), 28-29

Tiwari S., Thapa R.B., Gautam D.M., and Shrestha S.K. (2006). Survey of banana stem weevil, *Odioparous longicollis* (Olivier) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in Nepal, *Journal of the Institute of Agriculture and Animal Sciences* 27, 127-131.

- Tomekpe K., and Fondi E. (2008). Regeneration guidelines: Banana. In: Dulloo ME, Thormann I, Jorge MA and Hanson J (eds) Crop specific regeneration guidelines (CD ROM). CGIAR system-wide genetic resource programme, Rome, Italy, 9pp
- Tomekpe K., Noupadja P., Abadie C., Tchango Tchango J., and Youmbi E. (1999). Amélioration génétique des 3 plantains pour la sécurité alimentaire et l'export. In: Mbofung CMF, Etoa FX (Eds) *Biosciences Proceedings* (Vol 6), An Annual Publication of the *Cameroon Biosciences Society*, pp 444-454
- Treverrow N. (2003). Banana weevil borer. *Agfact H6 AE-1*, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition (2003) 3p [www.agric.nsw.gov.au](http://www.agric.nsw.gov.au)
- Vinatier F., Tixier P., Le Page C., Duyck P.F., and Lescourret F. (2009). COSMOS, a spatially explicit model to simulate the epidemiology of *Cosmopolites sordidus* in banana fields. *Ecological Modeling*, 220, 2244-2254.
- Weissling T. J. and Giblin-Davis R.M. 1998. Silky cane weevil, *Metamasius hemipterus sericeus* (Olivier) (Insecta: Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Dryophthorinae). University of Florida, *IFAS Extension*, EE NY-053, 6pp
- Williamson S., Ball A., and Pretty J. (2008). Trends in pesticide use and drivers for safer pest management in four African countries, *Crop Protection* 27, 1327-1334
- Ysenbrandt H., Fogain R., Messiaen S., and Sama Lang P. (2000). Infestation levels of weevil species on *Musa* cultivars 'Grande Naine' (AAA) and French Sombre (AAB) and subsequent plant mortality in South Western Cameroon. *African Plant Protection* 6, 21-24
- Zar J.H. (1999). *Biostatistical Analysis*. Prentice Hall International, Inc 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, 660pp.

Received: 27/04/16

Accepted: 16/08/16