

NUTRIENTS AND ORGANOLEPTIC PROPERTIES OF FINGER MILLET (*Eleusine caracana*) BISCUITS ENRICHED WITH SOYA BEAN (*Glycine max*) AND CARROT (*Daucuscarota*)

*¹Okudu, Helen Ochanya, ²Ifeanacho Mercy Onuekwuzo, ³Abasiiekong, Solomon Kuyik and ¹Nwachukwu, Rachael Chinenye

¹Department of Human Nutrition and Dietetics, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, PMB 7267 Umuahia, Abia State, Nigeria.

²Department of Food, Nutrition and Home Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

³Department of Food Science and Technology, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, PMB 7267 Umuahia, Abia State, Nigeria.

*Corresponding author: helenokudu@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Background: The inability of the country to meet the industrial demand of wheat has necessitated the need for the research into alternative local sources of flour for baking.

Objective: The objective of the study was to evaluate the nutrient and organoleptic properties of finger millet biscuits enriched with soybean and carrot flour blends.

Methods: The study is an experimental design. Finger millet was purchased from a local Market in Brinigwari. Abuja. Soybean commercial wheat flour, carrot, sugar, baking powder and butter were purchased at Ubani, Umuhia Main Market. The method of de wet was adopted for production of finger millet flour. Soybean flour was produced using the method of Alabi while carrot flour was produced using the method described by Marvin. The samples consisted of 100% commercial wheat flour and blends of finger millet, soybean and carrot flour blends in the ratios of 70:20:10, 50:30:20 and 45:45:10 respectively. Creamy method was adopted for biscuits production. The biscuit products were subjected to chemical and organoleptic evaluations. Proximate parameters were evaluated using AOAC methods; Minerals using wet-acid digestion and vitamins determination using spectrophotometric and titration methods. Organoleptic properties were evaluated using a 9-point hedonic scale. The data generated were analysed using Statistical product for service solution version 20, means were separated and compared with Duncan multiple range test and Analysis of Variance.

Results: Moisture, carbohydrate, (7.05%; 68.63%) respectively were significantly higher in 100% wheat biscuit while protein (9.08 – 9.12%), fiber (3.41 – 3.93%), lipid (16.05 – 15 -28%), β -carotene (312.62-346.45mcg), flavonoids (1.91 -1.98mg) and vitamins B₁ (4.71 – 4.82mg), B₂ (8.05 – 8.21mg) and B₃ (2.83 – 2.97mg) respectively were significantly higher in composite biscuits. Mouth feels for samples. 50% finger millet: 30% soybean: 20% carrot powder flour blends(RC) (6.35) and 45% finger millet, 45% soybean: 10% carrot powder flour bends(RD) (6.80) were comparable to that of wheat biscuit while Colour (8.25), texture (7.80) and taste (8.25) of wheat biscuit were more preferred

Conclusion: Biscuits produced from composite flours had higher amounts of protein, fiber, lipid and vitamins values compared to biscuits produced from 100% wheat flour but acceptability was lower.

Keywords: Biscuit, composite flour, finger millet, soybean, carrot

INTRODUCTION

Millets are regarded as important crops due to their resistance to pests and diseases, short growing season, and productivity under hard and drought condition (1). Millet commonly called “African ragi” or “African millet” belongs to two varieties of the grass family, the *Chlorideae* and *Panniceae* (2). Finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) belongs to the tribe *Chlorideae* (2). It is the most common species of finger millet cultivated for food use; other wild and semi-wild species of this millet are *Eleusine indica* and *Eleusine Africana*. Finger millets rank fourth in importance among millets after sorghum, pearl millet and foxtail

millet (3). Finger millet is not a common household food in Nigeria as a whole, but in Northern Nigeria it is produced for food, beer and fodder (4). Pap prepared from finger millet is reported to be preferred to the ones made from maize and sorghum due to its flavor and high nutritional value (5). Using finger millet for biscuit production may increase its intake as consumption of biscuits has become very popular among all age group in Nigeria (6). Its use for breakfast and for snack is fast replacing locally available foods normally consumed for breakfast and snack in Nigeria. Increased demand for biscuits is attributed to their texture; cheapness and ready-to eat form. Finger millet

is a good source of carbohydrate, calcium (344mg) and magnesium (408mg), dietary fiber and polyphenols (7), but like other cereals it is a poor source of fat, protein and some vitamins.

In other to enhance the nutrient composition of biscuit made from cereals such as sorghum or millet, supplementation using legume and carrot has been suggested (8). A study carried out by Serrem *et al.* (9) reported 200% increased of protein in a sorghum-soy composite biscuits with 1:1 sorghum: Defatted soybean flour over biscuits produced from 100% sorghum.

Soybean (*Glycine max*) belongs to the family leguminosae. It is mainly cultivated as seeds, used commercially as human food and livestock feed, and for the extraction form of oil. Soybean seeds contain 30 to 45% protein with a good source of all indispensable amino acids (9). Its protein is about 2 times of other pulses, 4 times of wheat, 6 times of rice grain, 4 times of egg and 12 times of milk (10). The utilization of soybean in production of composite flours has been reported by many researchers (11; 12; 13).

Carrot (*Daucus carota*) is rich in bioactive compounds like carotenoids and dietary fibers (14). It is also an excellent source of phytonutrients such as phenolics and polyacetylenes (15). In recent years the consumption of carrots and its products have increased steadily due to their recognition as important source of natural antioxidants and as precursor of vitamin A (16) and their use as supplement in products like, bread, cake, biscuits and several other products is on the increase (17).

Finger millet is a member of the millet family known as “ragi” or “tamba” (18). It consists of the brown, light brown and white cultivars (19). The white cultivar was developed mainly for baking industry, the brown and light brown for porridge use and the brown cultivar for brewing of traditional beer (20). Nutritionally every 100g of the grain contains 65 – 75% carbohydrates, 5 – 8% protein, 1 - 2 % fat, and 15 – 20% dietary fiber (21). This work was designed to evaluate the nutrients and organoleptic properties of biscuits produced using finger millet enriched with soybean and carrot flours.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Procurement of raw materials

One (1kg) kilogram of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) was purchased from a local Market in Brinig wari town FCT Abuja, Nigeria. Soybean (*Glycine max*) and carrot (*Daucus carota*) (1kg each) were purchased from in Ubani Market Umuahia, Abia

State Nigeria. Refined wheat (1kg), sugar (500g), baking powder and butter used for biscuit making were also purchased from Ubani Market in Umuahia, Abia State.

Production of finger millet flour

The method of de wet (22) was adopted in the production of finger millet flour. One thousand (1000g) grams was winnowed to remove chaff, dirt and stones. The sample was washed with tap water, drained and sun dried for 10hr and then dry-milled milled with a hammer milling machine (model ED-5 Thomas Willy; England). The dried milled flour was sieved through a 100um mesh sieve and package in an air tight container pending usage.

Production of soybean flour

The production of soybean flour was done using the method of Alabi (23). One thousand grams (1000g) soybean was sorted to remove unhealthy seeds, stones and dirt. The seeds were washed using tap water and boiled with 5 cups (1250ml) of water for 30 minutes. The hot water was discarded. The seeds were rinsed and then soaked with 5 cups (1250ml) of cold water for 3 hours to soften the hull for easy removal. The soybean was dehulled, washed and blanched for 10 minutes, drained and dried in an air oven (80°C) for six (6) hours. It was roasted using a steel frying pan at low temperature for 20 minutes until golden (light) brown, it was then cooled, dry milled using a hammer milling machine (model ED-5 Thomas Willy; England) grinding and sieved with 100um mess sieve to obtain fine powder and packed in air tight container.

Production of carrot powder

The carrots was washed in potable water, peeled manually using a kitchen knife, sliced manually using a kitchen knife into about 56mm thickness; the sliced carrots was blanched for 3 minutes in hot water to prevent browning and discoloration. It was cooled and then dried in a hot air oven at 60°C for 24 hours. The dried carrots were ground to fine powder using a kitchen blender (Model HL 3294/C Phillips) and sieved with a 0.150 μ sieve.

Blend formulation and ingredients used for preparation of biscuits

The blends were formulated on protein basis. Sample RB (70:20:10 finger millet, soybean and carrot) consisted of 70g finger millet, 20g soybean, 10g carrot, 40g margarine, 30g sugar, 1g baking powder, 0.5g flavor (vanilla), 10g egg, and 10g milk. Sample RC (50:30:20 finger millet, soybean and carrot) consisted of 50g finger millet, 30g soybean, 20g carrot, 40g margarine, 30g sugar, 1g baking powder, 0.5g flavor (vanilla), 10g egg, and 10g milk. Sample RD (45:45:10 finger millet, soybean and carrot)

consisted of 45g finger millet, 45g soybean, 10g carrot, 40g margarine, 30g sugar, 1g baking powder, 0.5g flavor (vanilla), 10g egg, and 10g milk. While sample RA (100% wheat (control)) contained 100g wheat, 40g margarine, 30g sugar, 1g baking powder, 0.5g flavor (vanilla), 10g egg, and 10g milk.

Preparation of biscuits

Creaming method was adopted for the production of the biscuits. Sugar and margarine were mixed manually with a wooden spatula until the mixture became fluffy; egg, milk and flour mixed baking powder were added alternatively and mixed until smooth dough was formed. The dough was then spread uniformly to a thickness of about 0.25cm over a board using a rolling pin. Biscuit cutter was used to cut the sheet of rolled dough into desired shapes and size. The cut pieces were placed over a greased baking tray and transferred into a preheated laboratory oven at 160°C for 20 minutes (BCH- Rotary oven. Great Britain). The biscuits were cooled to room temperature (30°C) and stored in air tight container for further analysis.

Chemical analyses of the samples

The proximate compositions of the biscuits were determined using standard AOAC (24) methods. Moisture content was determined gravimetrically. The crude protein content was determined using micro-Kjeldahl method, 6.25 was used as the nitrogen conversion factor. The crude fat content was determined using Soxhlet extraction method. The ash content was determined by incinerating the samples at 600°C in a muffle furnace. Carbohydrate was obtained by difference, while energy was calculated using the Atwater Conversion factors in KJ and Kcal (17KJ per 4Kcal, 17KJ per 4Kcal, and 37KJ per 9Kcal, for protein, carbohydrate and lipid respectively). Mineral elements were determined using wet-acid digestion method for multiple nutrients determination as described by the method of AOAC (24). The digest was used for the determinations of calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) using the ethylamine ditetra acetic acid (EDTA) Versenate complexometric titration method. Potassium (K) and sodium (Na) were evaluated using flame photometry method and phosphorus (P) by the vanadomolybdate method using the spectrophotometer (Model 3030, Perkin Elmer, Norwalk USA). Microminerals (Zn, Fe) were by

Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Model 3030 Perkin Elmer, Norwalk USA).

The β – carotene, riboflavin, niacin and thiamin of the products were determined spectrophotometrically as described by AOAC (25), while ascorbic acid was determined as described by AOAC (24) using titration method.

Sensory evaluation

Sensory evaluation of the products was carried out by a group of 20 untrained panelists randomly selected from the Department of Human Nutrition and Dietetics. The evaluation was carried out in the food laboratory of the Department. The judges evaluated the products using an nine point hedonic scale where 9 = like extremely and 1 = dislike extremely. Panelists scored the sample for four sensory attributes – colour, flavor, taste and over all acceptability. A cup of potable water was given to the panelist to rinse his/her mouth after each tasting.

Statistical analysis

The data generated from duplicate analysis were keyed into the computer and analyzed using Statistical product for service solution (SPSS version 20). Means and standard deviations were calculated. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the means and mean separation was done using Duncan multiple range test. All calculations were done at 5% level of significance ($p < 0.05$).

RESULTS

Energy and proximate composition of biscuits produced with finger millet, soybean and carrot flour blends

Energy and proximate composition of the samples are presented on Table 1. Moisture was low in all the samples. Moisture content of biscuits produced with 100% wheat flour was however significantly higher (7.05%) than moisture obtained from biscuit produced from composite flour (6.81 – 6.97%). Crude protein (9.21 – 9.68%), crude fiber (3.41 – 3.92%), crude lipid (15.28 – 16.22%) and ash (2.59 – 2.81%) were significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher in biscuits produced from the composite flour while carbohydrate was significantly higher (68.63%) biscuits produced from 100% wheat flour. Energy was higher in biscuits produced from 50% finger millet, 30% soybean, 20% carrot (426.62kcal).

Energy and proximate composition of biscuits produced with finger millet, soybean and carrot flour blends

Proximate composition (%)	Sample RA	Sample RB	Sample RC	Sample RD
Moisture content	7.05 ^a ±0.03	6.81 ^c ±0.01	6.93 ^b ±0.02	6.97 ^b ±0.02
Crude protein	6.82 ^d ±0.02	9.21 ^c ±0.01	9.39 ^b ±0.02	9.68 ^a ±0.01
Crude fiber	2.73 ^d ±0.02	3.92 ^a ±0.02	3.63 ^b ±0.02	3.41 ^c ±0.02
Crude lipid	12.64 ^d ±0.02	16.05 ^a ±0.01	15.90 ^b ±0.01	15.28 ^c ±0.01
Ash content	2.15 ^d ±0.02	2.81 ^a ±0.01	2.67 ^b ±0.01	2.59 ^c ±0.01
Carbohydrate	68.63 ^a ±0.01	61.22 ^d ±0.02	61.22 ^d ±0.02	62.10 ^b ±0.01
Energy (kcal)	415.50 ^d ±0.16	426.11 ^b ±0.05	426.62 ^a ±0.13	424.56 ^c ±0.13

Values are mean ± standard deviation of 2 replicates. Mean within each column not followed by the same superscript are significantly different ($P>0.05$) from each other.

Keys:

RA = 100% wheat flour,
RB = 70% finger millet: 20% soybean: 10% carrot powder flour blends,
RC = 50% finger millet: 30% soybean: 20% carrot powder flour blends,
RD = 45% finger millet, 45% soybean: 10% carrot powder flour blends.

Minerals composition of biscuits produced with finger millet soybean-carrot flour blends

Minerals composition of the products is shown in Table 2. Macro-minerals were generally low in all the samples. Calcium (18.45mg/100g) and phosphorus (30.76mg/100g) were significantly higher in biscuits produced with 100% wheat flour. Sodium (11.33mg/100g) and magnesium were significantly higher in biscuit produced with 70% finger millet,

20%soybean, 10%carrot flour while potassium (12.66mg/100g) was significantly higher in biscuit produced with 45% finger millet, 45% soybean,10% carrot. Iron and zinc (3.11 vs1.96mg/100g) obtained in biscuits produced with 100% wheat were significantly higher than values of iron and zinc obtained for biscuits produced from the flour blends (2.53 – 2.78mg vs 1.63 – 1.81mg respectively).

Table 2: Minerals composition of biscuits produced with finger millet, soybean and carrot flour blends

Minerals (mg/100g)	Sample RA	Sample RB	Sample RC	Sample RD
Calcium	18.45 ^a ±0.03	14.38 ^b ±0.01	14.07 ^c ±0.01	13.83 ^d ±0.01
Sodium	9.61 ^d ±0.01	11.33 ^a ±0.02	11.18 ^b ±0.01	11.02 ^c ±0.01
Magnesium	7.21 ^d ±0.01	10.86 ^a ±0.02	10.63 ^b ±0.01	10.52 ^c ±0.02
Phosphorus	30.76 ^a ±0.02	19.48 ^b ±0.01	18.22 ^c ±0.01	17.35 ^d ±0.02
Potassium	4.82 ^d ±0.02	11.11 ^c ±0.01	12.02 ^b ±0.02	12.66 ^a ±0.02
Iron	3.11 ^a ±0.01	2.53 ^d ±0.02	2.62 ^c ±0.01	2.78 ^b ±0.01
Zinc	1.96 ^a ±0.02	1.63 ^d ±0.02	1.71 ^c ±0.01	1.81 ^b ±0.01

Values are mean ± standard deviation of 2 replicates. Mean within each column not followed by the same superscript are significantly different ($P>0.05$) from each other.

Keys:

RA = 100% wheat flour,
RB = 70% finger millet: 20% soybean: 10% carrot powder flour blends,
RC = 50% finger millet: 30% soybean: 20% carrot powder flour blends,
RD = 45% finger millet, 45% soybean: 10% carrot powder flour blends.

Vitamin composition of biscuits produced with finger millet, soybean and carrot flour blends

Vitamin composition of the samples is in Table 3. Biscuit produced with with70% finger millet, 20%soybean and 10% carrot (RB) had the highest value for vitamins B₁ (4.82mg), B₂ (8.21mg) and B₃ (2.97mg), vitamin C (3.27mg) and β-carotene (346μg). Biscuits produced with 50% finger millet: 30% soybean: 20% carrot flour blends had B₁

(4.75mg), B₂ (8.11mg), B₃ (2.92mg), vitamin C (3.15mg) and β-carotene (323.69μg). The values of B₁, B₂, B₃, Vitamin C and β-carotene for biscuits produced with 45% finger millet: 45% soybean: 10% carrot flour blends (RC) were 4.71mg, 8.05mg, 2.83mg, 2.93mg and 212.62μg respectively while biscuit produced from 100% wheat flour had the least values for vitamins B₁ (4.51mg), B₂ (7.81mg), B₃ (2.13mg), vitamin C (2.60mg) and β-carotene (26.7μg).

Table 3: Vitamin composition of biscuits produced with finger millet soybean and carrot flour blends

Vitamins (mg/100g)	Sample RA	Sample RB	Sample RC	Sample RD
Vitamin B ₁	4.51 ^d ±0.01	4.82 ^a ±0.02	4.75 ^b ±0.01	4.71 ^c ±0.01
Vitamin B ₂	7.81 ^d ±0.01	8.21 ^a ±0.01	8.11 ^b ±0.01	8.05 ^c ±0.02
Vitamin B ₃	2.13 ^d ±0.01	2.97 ^a ±0.01	2.92 ^b ±0.01	2.83 ^c ±0.02
Vitamin C	2.60 ^d ±0.00	3.27 ^a ±0.02	3.15 ^b ±0.01	2.93 ^c ±0.03
β-Carotene (mcg/100g)	26.7 ^d ±0.03	346.45 ^a ±0.03	323.68 ^b ±0.06	312.62 ^c ±0.02

Values are mean ± standard deviation of 2 replicates. Mean within each column not followed by the same superscript are significantly different ($P > 0.05$) from each other using Duncan multiple range test. Where: RA = 100% wheat flour, RB = 70% finger millet: 20% soybean: 10% carrot powder flour blends, RC = 50% finger millet: 30% soybean: 20% carrot powder flour blends, RD = 45% finger millet, 45% soybean: 10% carrot powder flour blends.

Sensory evaluation of biscuits produced with finger millet, soybean and carrot flour blends

Sensory evaluation of biscuits produced with finger millet, soybean and carrot flour blends are shown on Table 4. Mouth feel of biscuits produced with composite flours, 50% finger millet: 30% soybean: 20% carrot powder flour blends (6.35) and 45% finger millet, 45% soybean: 10% carrot powder flour blends (6.80) were comparable to value (7.50) obtained for biscuits produced with 100% wheat. Value (7.40) obtained for aroma for biscuits produced with 100%

wheat was not significantly different from values (6.30 -6.45) obtained for the composite biscuits. Colour acceptability for 100% wheat biscuits (8.25) was significantly higher than values obtained for biscuits produced with finger millet; soybean and carrot flour blends (5.95-6.85). Values of texture and taste of biscuits produced from 100% wheat was (7.80,8.25) , 70% finger millet, 20% soybean and 10% carrot (RB) (6.55,6.60), 50% finger millet: 30% soybean: 20% carrot flour (6.35,6.45) and 45% finger millet: 45% soybean: 10% carrot flour blends (6.60, 6.30).

TABLE 4: Sensory evaluation of biscuits produced with finger millet, soybean and carrot flour blends

	Sample RA	Sample RB	Sample RC	Sample RD
Colour	8.25 ^a ±0.72	5.95 ^b ±2.82	6.45 ^b ±2.52	6.85 ^b ±2.10
Texture	7.80 ^a ±1.11	6.55 ^b ±1.61	6.35 ^b ±1.60	6.60 ^b ±1.67
Taste	8.25 ^a ±1.07	6.60 ^b ±1.82	6.45 ^b ±2.16	6.30 ^b ±2.13
Aroma	7.40 ^a ±1.31	6.30 ^a ±1.59	6.40 ^a ±1.81	6.45 ^a ±1.67
Mouth feel	7.50 ^a ±1.10	5.75 ^b ±2.27	6.35 ^{ab} ±1.90	6.80 ^{ab} ±1.80
General acceptability	8.40 ^a ±0.68	6.55 ^b ±1.64	6.30 ^b ±2.39	6.75 ^b ±2.25

Values are mean ± standard deviation of 2 replicates. Mean within each column not followed by the same superscript are significantly different ($P > 0.05$) from each other using Duncan multiple range test. Where: RA = 100% wheat flour, RB = 70% finger millet: 20% soybean: 10% carrot powder flour blends, RC = 50% finger millet: 30% soybean: 20% carrot powder flour blends, RD = 45% finger millet, 45% soybean: 10% carrot powder flour blends.

DISCUSSION

Moisture was low in all the samples. Moisture in biscuits produced from composite flour was however significantly lower than that of biscuits produced with 100% wheat flour. Similar observation was made by Usman *et al.* (25) in biscuits produced from wheat flour and maize bran composite flour fortified with carrot extract. Lower moisture obtained in composite biscuits could be a function of their fiber contents. Fiber is known to play significant role in reducing water retaining capacity of bakery products (25). Moisture obtained in all the products was less than 10%. This implies that the products long shelf – life as moisture of ≤ 10% said to enhance packaging, general acceptability and shelf-life bakery products (26; 27). It is worthy to note that even though biscuits

produced from composite flour had lower moisture values compared with biscuits produced from 100% wheat flour. This observation is in consonance with an earlier study which reported increase in moisture with increase in amount of soybean in bakery products (28).

Protein obtained in composites biscuits was about 35.3 to 41.9% higher than the protein content of wheat biscuit. Supplementation of finger-millet with soybean must have increased the protein content of the composite biscuits. Fatoumata *et al.* (29) also reported increase of protein with supplementation of millet with cowpea and bambara groundnut. Protein is an important nutrient needed for proper child development. Daily protein requirement of children within the 3 -7years, ranges between 13 – 26g/day

(30), this implies that 100g of the composite biscuits can supply about 70 – 74.4% of their daily protein needs while 100g of wheat biscuits will supply 54%. It was also observed that the composite biscuit had lower amount of carbohydrate but significantly high amounts of fiber, fat and ash than wheat biscuits. The fiber content was less than 3g while those of composite biscuits were greater than 3g. The high fiber found in the composite biscuits could be attributed to the effect finger-millet (31).

According to European Food Safety Authority (EFSA, 2010), a food can be referred to as a good “source of fiber” if it contains at least 3g/100g of dietary fiber, and “high in fiber” if it contains at least 6g/100g of dietary fiber. In that vein the composite biscuits can be classified as good source of fiber. Fiber is known for its role in increasing stool bulk by acting as a vehicle for faecal water (32). High fiber and low carbohydrate obtained in composite biscuits may aid digestion in the colon and reduce constipation are associated with products from refined grain flours (33). Carbohydrate content of composite biscuit was significantly higher than those of composite biscuits while energy was higher in composite biscuits. Higher energy found in composite relative to that of wheat biscuit could be a function of their fat and protein contents.

Results of minerals showed that biscuits produced from wheat flour were significantly higher in calcium, phosphorus, iron and zinc while those produced with composite were significantly higher in magnesium, potassium, and sodium. Taken together, phosphorus was the most predominant mineral followed by calcium in all products. Sodium was significantly higher in composite biscuits compared to values of sodium to potassium in wheat biscuits; it is however interesting to note that the ratio of K to Na (K/Na) in the composite biscuit was equal to 1 while the ratio in wheat biscuits was less than 1. The composite biscuits can be recommended to hypertensive patients as K/Na <1 plays significant role in salt sensitive individuals (34).

Iron and zinc obtained in composite biscuits were significantly lower than amounts found in wheat biscuits, this implies that supplementation of finger-millet flour with soybean and carrot flour did not improve the zinc and iron values of the biscuits. All the vitamins analysed were significantly higher in composite biscuits, with Sample RB (70% finger millet: 20% soybean: 10% carrot powder) having the higher vitamin values than the other composite biscuits. Vitamins are known for their roles in enhancing body metabolism. β - carotene is implicated in the enhancement of immune system, decreased risk

of degenerative diseases such as cancers, cardiovascular disease, prevention of muscular degeneration and cataract formation (35), while the B-Vitamins play indispensable roles in the metabolism of carbohydrate, fats and protein in the body system (36).

The colour, texture and taste of wheat biscuits were more acceptable to those of composite biscuits. The dark and hard texture of the composite biscuits compared to those of wheat biscuits may have affected their acceptance. Hardness in biscuit produced from sorghum in part is attributed to lack of polar lipid in sorghum (37). Mouth feel of samples RC and RD were comparable to that of wheat biscuit while aroma was generally liked in all the samples.

CONCLUSION

Biscuits produced from composite flours had higher amounts of protein, fiber, lipid and vitamins values compared to biscuits produced from 100% wheat flour. Composite flour made from 70:20:10 flour blends is however recommended for biscuit production as it was richer in most nutrients compared to wheat and the other composite blends.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Our acknowledgement goes to Mrs Awa, Ezinne of biochemistry laboratory, National Root Crops Research Institute, Umudike who carried out the chemical analysis. Dr. Abasiokong, Solomon who carried out the statistical analysis.

REFERENCE

1. Chandrasekara, A. and Shahidi, F. (2010). Content of insoluble bound phenolics in millets and their contribution to antioxidant capacity. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*. 58(11): 6706-6714.
2. Nwabugwu, C.C. (2005). Production and Evaluation of Weaning Formula from Pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) and Millet Seed (*Pennisetum americanum*) Blends. University of Nigeria Research Publications. Pp. 18-20.
3. Obilana, A.B. and Manyasa, E. (2002). Millets in pseudo-cereals and less common cereals: Grain properties and utilization potential. P.S. Belton and J.R.N. Taylor, eds. Springer-Verlag Berlin. Page. 176-217.
4. Upadhyaya, H.D., Gowda, C.L.L. and Reddy, V.G. (2007). Morphological diversity in finger millet germplasm introduced from Southern and Eastern Africa. *Journal of Statistics of Agricultural Research*. 3(1): 1-3.
5. Mbithi-Mwikya, S., Ooghe, W., Van, C.J., Nagundi, D. and Huyghebaert, A. (2000). Amino

- acid profile after sprouting, Autoclaving and Lactic acid fermentation of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) and kidney beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*. 48 (8): 3081-3085.
6. Ayo, J.A. and Gidado, F.E. (2017). Physicochemical, Phytochemical and Sensory Evaluation of Acha-Carrot Flour Blends Biscuit. *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*. 25(5): 1-15.
 7. Nigerain Food Composition Table (2017). Sanusi, R.A., Akinyele, I.O., Ene-Obong, H.N., Enujiugha V.N., Owolabi, O., Chima, C.E., Badau, M., Ayo, J., Anigo, K., Alhassan, A., Udentia, E., Onuegbu, N., Williams, I., Alozie, Y., Sanusi, R.A., Olayiwola, I.O., Adeniyi, O.T. and Adesiyun, Y. Straight- Gate Publishers Limited
 8. Joel, N., Abdulraheem, L.O. and Zakari, U.M. (2014). Evaluation of the nutritional and sensory quality of functional breads produced from whole wheat and soybean flour blends. *African Journal of Food Science*. 5(8): 466-472.
 9. Serrem, C., Kock, H. and Taylor, J. (2011). Nutritional quality, sensory quality and consumer acceptability of sorghum and bread wheat biscuits fortified with defatted soy flour. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*. 46: 74-83.
 10. Islam, T., Chowdhury, A., Islam, M. and Islam, S. (2007). Standardization of Bread Preparation from Soybean flour. *International Journal of Sustainable Crop Production*. 2(6): 15-20.
 11. Basman, A., Koksel, H. and Ng, P.K.W. (2003). Utilization of Transglutaminase use to increase the level of barley and soyflour incorporation in wheat flour breads. *Journal of Food Science*. 68(8): 2453-2460.
 12. Neha, M. and Ramesh, C. (2012). Development of functional biscuits from soy flour and rice bran. *International Journal of Agricultural and Food Sciences*. 2(1): 14-20.
 13. Sunitha, V.S.B., Sindhu, and AnuBaBu, T. (2017). Formulation and proximate analysis of soya flour-carrot pomace biscuits. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Advanced Research Trends*. 4(1)3: 63-68.
 14. Hansen, S.L., Purup, S. and Christensen, L.P. (2003). Bioactivity of falcarinol and the influence of processing and storage on its content in carrots (*Daucus carota* L.). *Journal of Food Science and Agriculture*. 83:1010-1017.
 15. Krishan, D.S., Swati, K., Narayan, S.T. and Surekha, A. (2012). Chemical composition, functional properties and processing of carrot, a review: *Journal of Food Science and Technology*. 49(1): 22-32.
 16. Ibidapo, O.P., Ogunji, A., Akinwale, T., Owolabi, T., Akinyele, O. and Efuribe, N. (2017). Development and quality evaluation of carrot powder and cowpea flour enriched biscuits. *International Journal of Food Science and Biotechnology*. 2(3): 67-72.
 17. Opole R.A., P.V.V. Prasad, M. Djanaguiraman, K. Vimala, M.B. Kirkham and H.D. Upadhyaya. (2018) Thresholds, sensitive stages and genetic variability of finger millet to high temperature stress. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*. 204 (5):477.
 18. Ramashia, S.E., Gwata, E.T., Meddows-Taylor, S., Anyasi, T.A. and Jideani, A.I.O. (2018). Some physical and functional properties of finger millet (*Eleusinecorana*) obtained in sub-Saharan Africa. *Food Research International*. 104:113 – 118.
 19. Kumar, A., Metwal, M., Kaur, S., Gupta, A.K., Puranik, S.S., Singh, M., Gupta, S., Buba, B.K., Sood, S. and Yadav, R. (2016). Nutraceutical value of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L) Gaertn) and their improvement using omic approaches. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 7:1-14.
 20. Sood, S., Komar, A., Babu, B.K., Gaur, U.S., Pandey, D., Kant, L. and Pattnayak, A. (2016). Gene discovery and advances in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L) Gaertn) Genomics. An important nutria – cereal of future. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 7:1 – 17.
 21. Chethan, S. and Malleshi, N.G. (2007). Finger millet polyphenols optimization of extraction and the effect of pH on their stability. *Food Chemistry*. 105: 862 - 870
 22. de Wet J.M.J. (1995) Finger millet, *Eleusine coracana*. In: Smartt J, Simmonds NW(eds) Evolution of Crop Plants. Longman, Singapore, 137-140.
 23. Alabi, M.O. (2007). Nutrition: Processing and Utilization of Selected Food Crops in Nigeria. Peace House Press, Gboko, Benue State. 10-30.
 24. AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists) (2006). Official Methods of Analysis. The Association of Official Analytical Chemists Inc., 18th Ed. Arlington, VA, USA.
 25. Usman, G.O., Ameh, U.E., Alifa, O.N. and Babatunde, R.M. (2015). Proximate Composition of Biscuits Produced from Wheat Flour and Maize Bran Composite Flour Fortified with Carrot Extract. *Journal of Nutrition and Food Sciences*. 5(5): 1-2.
 26. Makkar, H.P.S. and Becker, K. (1996). Nutritional value and anti-nutritional component

- of and ethanol extracted *Monringa oleifera*. *Animal Feed Science Technology*. 63: 211-238.
27. Sanni, L.O., Adebowale, A.A. and Tafa, S.O. (2006). Proximate, functional, pasting and sensory qualities of instant yam flour. A paper presented at the 14th ISTRC symposium, Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, Trivandrum, Kerala State, India.
 28. Nalawada, R.S. (2015). Preparation of bread by incorporation of soybean and ragi flour. Submitted to the Department of Food Science and Technology: Post Graduate Institute Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri-413722, District Ahmednagar, M.S. (India). 1-23.
 29. Fatoumata H., Fatoumata, O.A., Savadogo, M.S. and Brehima D. (2018). Study of the Nutritional Quality and Acceptability of Millet Biscuits (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) Supplemented with Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) and Bambara Groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* L.). *Journal of Agricultural Science and Food Research*. 9:1 1-4.
 30. Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine, Institute of Medicine (1998). Dietary Reference Intakes for thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, vitamin B6, Folate, vitamin B12, pyrothenic acid, biotin and choline. Washington D C National Academy Press.
 31. West African Food Composition Table/Table de composition des aliments d'Afrique de l'Ouest (2012). Barbara Stadlmayr, U Ruth Charrondiere, Victor N. Enujiugha, Romaric G. Bayili, Etel G. Fagbohoun, Babacar Samb, Pauline Addy, Ingrid Barikmo, Fatimata Ouattara, Arne Oshaug, Isaac Akinyele, George Amponsah Annor, Kennedy Bomfeh, Henrietta Ene-Obong, Ifeyironwa Francisca Smith, Ismael Thiam, Barbara Burlingame. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome Italy.
 32. European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (2010). Scientific Opinion on Dietary Reference Values for Carbohydrate and Dietary Fibre by EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA). *EFSA Journal*. 8(3): 1462.
 33. Atobatele, O.B. and Afolabi, M.O. (2016). Chemical composition and Sensory Evaluation of Cookies Baked from the Blends of Soybean and Maize flours. *Journal of Applied Tropical Agriculture*. 21(2): 8-13.
 34. Weaver, C.M. (2013). Potassium and Health Advances in Nutrition: *An International Review Journal*. 4: 3685 – 3775.
 35. Faulks, R.M. and Southon, S. (2001). Carotenoids, metabolism and falcarinol and the influence of processing and storage on its content in carrots (*Daucus carota* L.). *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. 83: 1010-1017.
 36. Wardlaw, G.M. and Hampl, J.S. (2007). Perspectives in nutrition. 7th ed. New York McGraw Hill. 54-244.
 37. Dovi, K.A.P. (2013). Whole grain sorghum and whole cowpea biscuits as a complementary food for improved nutrition. M.Sc Thesis Department of Food Science and Technology. Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences. University of Pretoria South Africa.