APPLICATION OF A PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION IN AN OPTIMAL POWER FLOW
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ABSTRACT

In this paper an efficient and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) has been presented for solving the economic dispatch problem. The objective is to minimize the total generation fuel and keep the power outputs of generators; bus voltages and transformer tap setting in their secure limits. The conventional load flow and incorporation of the proposed method using PSO has been examined and tested for standard IEEE 30 bus system. The PSO method is demonstrated and compared with conventional OPF method (NR, Quasi Newton), and the intelligence heuristic algorithms such as genetic algorithm, evolutionary programming.

From simulation results it has been found that PSO method is highly competitive for its better general convergence performance.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In power system operation, the economic dispatch (ED) problem is an important optimization problem. Moreover, it has complex and nonlinear characteristics with heavy equality and inequality constraints. Generally, there are two types of ED problem, i.e. static and dynamic.
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Solving the static ED problem is subject to the power balance constraints and generator operating limits. For the dynamic ED, it is an extension of the static ED problem. The dynamic ED takes the ramp rate limits and prohibited operating zone of the generating units into consideration [1]. The methods for solving this kind of problem include traditional operational research algorithms (such as linear programming, quadratic programming, dynamic programming, gradient methods and Lagrange relaxation approaches) and modern heuristic methods (such as artificial neural networks, simulated annealing and evolutionary algorithms). Some of these methods are successful in locating the optimal solution, but they are usually slow in convergence and require heavy computational cost. Some other methods may risk being trapped to a local optimum, which is the problem of premature convergence.

Recently, intelligence heuristic algorithms, such as genetic algorithm, evolutionary programming, and meta-heuristic algorithms have been proposed for solving the OPF problem[2] Like other meta-heuristic algorithms, particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm was developed through simulation of a simplified social system such as bird flocking and fishing school. PSO is an optimization method based on population [3], and it can be used to solve many complex optimization problems, which are nonlinear, non-differentiable and multi-modal. The most prominent merit of PSO is its fast convergence speed. In addition, PSO algorithm can be realized simply for less parameters need adjusting. PSO has been applied to various power system optimization problems with impressive success [4].

The main objective of this study is to introduce the use of Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) technique to the subject of power system economic load dispatch. In this paper, the PSO method has been employed to solve economic dispatch problem. This feature led to the reduction of total execution time of the algorithm when compared to other reported methods. In this paper, the PSO-POF method is presented and used to solve the ELD problem under some equality and inequality constraints. An application was performed on the IEEE 30 bus, 6 generators test system. Simulation results confirm the advantage of computation rapidity and solution accuracy.

The feasibility of the proposed method is to demonstrated and compared to those reported in the literature. The results are promising and show the effectiveness of the proposed method.
The results for a test system show that PSO is an effective method to solve OPF problem.

2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

The optimal power flow problem is concerned with optimization of steady state power system performance with respect an objective $F$ while subject to numerous constraints. For optimal active power dispatch, the objective function $F$ is total generation cost as expressed follows:

$$\min F = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (a_i + b_i P_{gi} + c_i P_{gi}^2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where:

$N$: total number of generation units

$a_i$, $b_i$, and $c_i$: cost coefficients of generating unit.

$P_{gi}$: real power generation of $i$th unit. $i = 1, 2 \ldots N$.

Subject to:

Equality constraints as:

$$P_{gi} - P_{di} - \sum_{j=1}^{N} |V_i| |V_j| |Y_{ji}| \cos(\delta_i - \delta_j - \theta_{ij}) = 0$$

and

$$Q_{gi} - Q_{di} - \sum_{j=1}^{N} |V_i| |V_j| |Y_{ji}| \sin(\delta_i - \delta_j - \theta_{ij}) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Inequality constraints as:

Branch flow limits:

$$|S_i| \leq S_i^{\max} \ \ i = 1 \ldots nl$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Where: $nl$: number of lines.

Voltage at load buses

$$|S_D|^{\min} \leq |S_i| \leq |S_D|^{\max} \ \ i = 1 \ldots nd$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Where: $nd$: number of load buses.

Generator MVAR

$$Q_{Gi}^{\min} \leq Q_{Gi} \leq Q_{Gi}^{\max} \ \ i = 1 \ldots N$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Slack bus MW

$$P_G^{\min} \leq P_G \leq P_G^{\max}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)
Transformer tap setting

\[ t_k^{\text{min}} \leq t_k \leq t_k^{\text{max}} \]  

(7)

Upper and lower bounds with bus voltage phase angles:

\[ \delta_i^{\text{min}} \leq \delta_i \leq \delta_i^{\text{max}} \]  

(8)

3. PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION

Particle swarm optimization (PSO) is a population-based optimization method first proposed by Kennedy and Eberhart in 1995, inspired by social behavior of bird flocking or fish schooling. The PSO as an optimization tool provides a population-based search procedure in which individuals called particles change their position (state) with time. In a PSO system, particles fly around in a multidimensional search space. During flight, each particle adjusts its position according to its own experience (This value is called \( p_{\text{best}} \)), and according to the experience of a neighboring particle (This value is called \( g_{\text{best}} \)), made use of the best position encountered by itself and its neighbor (Figure 1).

![Fig. 1. Concept of a searching point by PSO.](image)

This modification can be represented by the concept of velocity. Velocity of each agent can be modified by the following equation:

\[ v_{k+1} = wv_k + c_1 \text{rand} (p_{\text{best}} - x^k) + c_2 \text{rand} (g_{\text{best}} - x^k) \]  

(9)

Using the above equation, a certain velocity, which gradually gets close to \( p_{\text{best}} \) and \( g_{\text{best}} \) can be calculated.

The current position (searching point in the solution space) can be modified by the following equation:
\( x^{k+1} = x^k + v_{k+1}, \quad k = 1,2,..., n \)  

(10)

Where:
\( x^k \) is current searching point, \( x^{k+1} \) is modified searching point, \( v_i \) is current velocity, \( v_{k+1} \) is modified velocity of agent \( Vpbest \) is velocity based on \( pbest \), \( Vgbest \) is velocity based on \( gbest \), \( n \) is number of particles in a group, \( m \) is number of members in a particle, \( pbest_i \) is \( pbest \) of agent \( k \), \( gbest_i \) is \( gbest \) of the group, \( w \) is weight function for velocity of agent \( k \), \( c_i \) is weight coefficients for each term.

- \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are two positive constants.
- \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) are two randomly generated numbers with a range of \([0,1]\).
- \( w \) is the inertia weight and it is defined as a function of iteration index \( k \) as follows:

\[
  w(k) = w_{\text{max}} - \left( \frac{w_{\text{max}} - w_{\text{min}}}{\text{Max.Iter}} \right) k.
\]

(11)

Where \( \text{Max.Iter}, k \) is maximum number of iterations and the current number of iterations, respectively.

To insure uniform velocity through all dimensions, the maximum velocity is as:

\[
  v_{\text{max}} = \left( x_{\text{max}} - x_{\text{min}} \right) / N.
\]

(12)

Where \( N \) is a chosen number of iterations.

### 3.1. Applied to optimal power flow

The cost function is defined as:

\[
  F = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (a_i + b_i P_{Gi} + c_i P_{Gi}^2).
\]

(13)

To minimize \( F \) is equivalent to getting a minimum fitness value in the searching process.

The particle that has lower cost function should be assigned a fitness value.

The objective of OPF has to be changed to the maximization of fitness to be used as follows:

\[
  \text{fitness} = \begin{cases} 
    F / f_{\text{max}}; & \text{if } f_{\text{max}} > F \\
    0; & \text{otherwise}
  \end{cases}
\]

(14)

The PSO-based approach for solving the OPF problem to minimize the cost takes the following steps:
Step 1: randomly generated initial population.
Step 2: For each particle, the construction operators are applied.
Step 3: the Newton Raphson routine is applied to each particle.
Step 4: fitness function evaluation.
Step 5: compare particles fitness function and determine pbest and gbest.
Step 6: change of particles velocity and position according to (9) and (10) respectively.
Step 7: If the iteration number reaches the maximum limit, go to Step 8. Otherwise, set iteration index $k = k + 1$, and go back to Step 2.
Step 8: Print out the optimal solution to the target problem.

Fig.2. PSO-OPF computational procedure.
3.2. Load flow calculation

Once the reconstruction operators have been applied and the control variables values are determined for each particle a load flow run is performed. Such flows run allows evaluating the branches active power flow, the total losses and voltage magnitude this will provide updated voltages angles and total transmission losses. All these require a fast and robust load flow program with best convergence properties; the developed load flow process is upon the full Newton Raphson algorithm.

3.3. Simulation results and discussion

The proposed PSO algorithm is tested on standard IEEE 30 bus system shown in Fig. 3. The test system consists of 6 thermal units (Table1), 24 load buses and 41 transmission lines of which four of the branches (6-9), (6-10), (4-12) and (28-27) are with the tap setting transformer. The total system demand is 283.4 MW. The program was written and executed on Pentium 4 having 2.4 GHZ 1GB DDR RAM. The optimal setting of the PSO control parameters are: \( c_1 = 0.5, c_2 = 0.5 \), numbers of particles is 50 and number of generations is 30. The Inertia weight was kept between 0.4 and 0.9.

![IEEE 30-BUS Electrical Network](image)

**Fig.3.** IEEE 30-BUS Electrical Network.

Figure 4 shows the cost convergence of PSO based OPF algorithm for various numbers of generations. It was clearly shown that there is no rapid change in the fuel cost function value after 30 generations. Hence it is clearly that the solution is converged to a high quality solution at the early iterations (13 iterations).
Tableau 1. Power generation limits and generator cost parameters of IEEE 30 bus system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bus Number</th>
<th>$P_G^{\text{min}}$ [MW]</th>
<th>$P_G^{\text{max}}$ [MW]</th>
<th>a [$/\text{hr}]$</th>
<th>b [$/\text{MWhr}]$</th>
<th>c [$/\text{MW}^2\text{hr}]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bus 1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>$37.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus 2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>$175 \cdot 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus 5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>$625 \cdot 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus 8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>$83 \cdot 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus 11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>$250 \cdot 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus 13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>$250 \cdot 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.4. Convergence characteristic of the IEEE 30 bus system.

The algorithm reaches a minimize cost of 802.0136 $$/\text{hr}$ and a minimize loss of 9.3301 MW.
The minimize cost and power loss obtained by the proposed algorithm is less than value reported in [7, 8, 9, 10, 11] using the evolutionary copulation techniques, genetic algorithm, Ant colony optimization for the some test systems. The results gotten
including cost and power losses are compared with those acquired by other methods and present on tables 2 and 3.

Tableau 2. Results PSO-OPF compared with N.R. and QN-OPF methods for the IEEE 30 bus electrical network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N-R</th>
<th>QN-OPF</th>
<th>PSO-OPF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pg1 [MW]</td>
<td>99.211</td>
<td>170.237</td>
<td>175.6915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pg2 [MW]</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>44.947</td>
<td>48.6390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pg5 [MW]</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>28.903</td>
<td>21.4494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pg8 [MW]</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>17.474</td>
<td>22.7200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pg11 [MW]</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>12.174</td>
<td>12.2302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pg13 [MW]</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>18.468</td>
<td>12.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Loss [MW]</td>
<td>5.812</td>
<td>8.805</td>
<td>9.3301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation cost [$/hr]</td>
<td>901.918</td>
<td>807.782</td>
<td>802.0136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results show that PSO algorithm gives much better results than the classical method.

The difference in generation cost between these methods and in Real power loss clearly shows the advantage of this method. In addition, it is important to point out that this simple PSO algorithm OPF converge in an acceptable time. For this system was converged to highly optimal solutions set after 8 generations.

Tableau 3. Comparison of the PSO-OPF with different methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pg1 [MW]</td>
<td>178.0872</td>
<td>173.8262</td>
<td>177.8635</td>
<td>176.2358</td>
<td>176.1522</td>
<td>175.6915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pg2 [MW]</td>
<td>48.722</td>
<td>49.998</td>
<td>43.8366</td>
<td>49.0093</td>
<td>48.8391</td>
<td>48.6390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pg8 [MW]</td>
<td>20.954</td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>23.1231</td>
<td>21.8115</td>
<td>22.1299</td>
<td>22.7200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pg13 [MW]</td>
<td>12.052</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>13.1199</td>
<td>12.0129</td>
<td>12.0000</td>
<td>12.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation cost [$/hr]</td>
<td>802.4484</td>
<td>802.5557</td>
<td>803.123</td>
<td>802.465</td>
<td>802.404</td>
<td>802.0136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time [Sec]</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The best solutions, which are shown in Table 3, clearly, the PSO–OPF algorithm method has always better solutions than those of the other methods. This signifies the higher quality solution obtained by the proposed algorithm. Figure 9 shows distribution the generation cost of the best solution for 283.4 MW load demand.

The simulation results in the IEEE 30 bus system demonstrate the feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed method PSO–OPF in minimizing cost of the generator. It is useful for obtaining high quality solution in a very less time compared to other methods GA-OPF [7], EP-OPF [8], ACO-OPF [9], IEP [10] and SADE_ALM [11].

The comparisons of computational time of the five methods are shown in Figure 11. clearly the computational time of the PSO–OPF algorithm method is lowest in comparison to those of the other methods. The security constraints are also checked for voltage magnitudes and angles. Simulation results give the voltage magnitudes are from the minimum of 1.0040 p.u. to maximum of 1.06 p.u (Figure 5), and the angles are from the minimum of -14.0652° to the maximum of 0.0° (Figure 7).

![Fig.6. The Voltages after optimization for the IEEE 30 bus system.](image1)

![Fig.7. The voltage angles after optimization for the IEEE 30 bus system.](image2)
Figure 8 shows operating states of generating obtained by PSO based OPF algorithm for the minimum solution of the PSO algorithm.

4. CONCLUSION

In this study PSO algorithm has been developed for determination of global optimum solution for economic dispatch. The performance of the proposed algorithm demonstrated through its evolution on IEEE 30 bus power system. To demonstrate the superiority of PSO optimal results have been compared with varies techniques available in literature namely, genetic algorithm, ant colony optimized. The results show that the optimal dispatch solutions determined by PSO lead to lower active power loss then that found by other methods, which confirms that the PSO is well capable of determining the global or near global optimum dispatch solution.

5. REFERENCES


**How to cite this article**