A LANGMUIR STUDY OF NOVEL SCHIFF BASE LIGAND FOR ION SENSOR APPLICATION
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ABSTRACT

Two novel Schiff Base ligands are used in this work. These amphiphilic ligands were being chosen because of the suitability in forming Langmuir film by using Langmuir-Blodgett (LB) technique. Characterizations of these ligands were carried out through LB technique to obtain the surface pressure-mean molecular area (\(\Pi-A\)) and surface potential-mean molecular area (\(\Delta V-A\)) isotherms. The analysis made from extrapolating the \(\Pi-A\) graphs led to the result of the estimated area and the radius of the ligand molecules oriented on the air-water subphase. The UV-Visible spectrometer was used to study the optical properties of the ligands. This study was made in order to recognize the fundamental properties of these ligands for future works on detecting ions applications.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Schiff bases are aldehyde - or ketone-like compounds in which the carbonyl group is replaced by an imine or azomethine group. It considers as a Schiff Base with the general structure, \( R_2C=NR' \) \( (R' \neq H) \). The nitrogen atom of azomethine \( C=N \) double bond in Schiff base also exhibits a strong affinity for transition metal ions [1].

Schiff base is one of the famous compounds that is important in various field of science. The properties of Schiff base make them good ligands for metal ions detection. Schiff base metal complexes also have numerous applications like antitumor, antioxidant and possess attractive electronic properties. Several investigation have been done to investigate in situ coordination of Schiff base with metal ions at the air/water interface [2-3] and the complex proved to form a monolayer on the water surface. Schiff base also gave a good performance in molecular recognition [4] and formation of novel nanoarchitectures [2]. On the other hands, the Langmuir-Blodgett (LB) technique of monolayer transfer has often been used for the construction of highly ordered ultrathin film from water surfaces-to-solid substrates [5]. Hence, this technique has been chosen as the suitable technique to study the ligands in this research.

In this present work, novel Schiff base materials have been characterized using Langmuir and LB film. We also investigated the ultraviolet visible (UV-Vis) characteristics of both ligands in solution and thin film form.

2. MATERIAL AND METHOD

2.1. Ligands

In this work, two types of organic ligands had been used, 2-Hydroxy-5-bromobenzylidene-4-methylbenzenesulfonohydrazide (SBL-Br) in Fig. 1 and 2-Hydroxy-5-chlorobenzylidene-4-methylbenzenesulfonohydrazide (SBL-Cl). Both contain the amine group and made up of ketones or aldehyde. Both of the ligands have the same basic structure but difference in the end (Br or Cl).
2.2. Synthesis Process of Ligands

Both SBL-Br and SBL-Cl was synthesis accordingly as in Fig. 2.

2.2.1. Process for the Synthesis of SBL-Br

4-methylbenzenesulfonohydrazine (0.30 g, 1.61 mmol) and 5-bromo-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (0.32 g, 1.61 mmol) were dissolved in acidified ethanol (25 ml, pH 4.0-4.5) by adding of glacial acetic acid. The reactants were heated under reflux for 2 hours. Resulting colorless solution was evaporated in a vacuo to give brown crystalline precipitates which were then filtered off, washed with cold ethanol and then dried over silica gel (yield: 72%).

4-Methylbenzenesulfonohydrazine; (ii) Salicylaldehyde

Process for the synthesis of SBL-Cl: SBL-Cl was prepared by refluxing a solution of 4-methylbenzenesulfonohydrazine (0.30 g, 0.30 g, 1.61 mmol) and 5-chloro-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (0.25 g, 1.61 mmol) in acidified ethanol (25 ml) for 2 hours. The resulting colorless solution was concentrated in a vacuo to give brown crystalline precipitates which were then filtered off, washed with cold ethanol and then dried over silica gel (Yield: 73%). Then, the product obtained was recrystallized from 95% ethanol.
3.3. Langmuir Blodgett (LB) studies

Initially, beginning with SBL-Br, the ligands was mixed with 10 ml of chloroform (CHCl₃) under 10 minutes of ultrasonification process (40 kHz). Then, the solution of ligands (1.0 mg/ml) were carefully spread on the water surface using a micrometric syringe with several volumes (950 µL, 1000 µL, 1050 µL, 1100 µL and 1150 µL) on the air-water interface of the LB trough. The method was repeated for SBL-Cl.

The water used for the monolayer experiments was deionized water (18.2 MΩ/cm) that has been purified by Millipore Milli-Q system. A Wilhelmy plate made from filter paper act as a pressure sensor to measure the surface pressure (Π) of the monolayer. After 15 minutes for evaporation of chloroform, the surface pressure-area (Π-A) isotherms were recorded. The compression speeds of barriers were 5 mm/min at the air/water subphase because interface lower speeds allow better molecular packing [6]. The Π-A isotherm and surface potential of these materials, each had been analyzed using KSV 2000 system LB deposition trough and Surface Potential (S-POT) respectively. Surface pressure and surface potential studies have been carried out in a constant room temperature cleanroom because temperature has a direct effect on isotherm. The surface potential device (S-POT) connects directly to the existing LB surface. ΔVsensor measures the potential difference above and below the film spontaneously, while the LB software measures the surface pressure. The alignment of the molecular dipoles could cause a large effect in the surface potential during compression as the orientation of the molecules change. Furthermore, the cleanroom ensure the experiment being carried out in a very clean place.

Meanwhile, UV-Vis measurements were carried out in CHCl₃ solution using JASCO V-570 UV/VIS spectrophotometer with the path length of 1 cm and a spectral region from 190 nm to 1100 nm.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Surface Pressure-Area (Π-A) Isotherms of SBL-BrandSBL-Br

The surface pressure against the mean molecular area isotherms of a floating layer indicates that the thermodynamic properties of molecules at the air/water interface. The monolayer at the air-water interface is manipulated by means of a movable barrier which had control the area per
molecule. The result showed at Fig.3 demonstrated good stability and repeatability of the monolayers. As the solution spread on the subphase through differ in volume, the Π-A curve shift towards left, revealing more ligands interact on air-water interphase. By extrapolating the steepest linear region, (acknowledging the solid phase) of the curve to zero surface pressure will gives the limiting area per molecule (A₀) as shown in Table 1 and the radius is also being calculated.

![Figure 3. Surface pressure-area (Π-A) isotherms of (a) SBL-Br and (b)SBL-Cl](image)

**Table 1. Mean molecular area and radius of SBL-Br and SBL-Cl**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume (µL)</th>
<th>SBL-Br</th>
<th>SBL-Cl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean Molecule Area, A₀ (nm²)</td>
<td>Radius (nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
<td>1.125 x 10⁻²</td>
<td>6.0 x 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1.041 x 10⁻²</td>
<td>5.8 x 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050</td>
<td>1.278 x 10⁻²</td>
<td>6.4 x 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1.125 x 10⁻²</td>
<td>6.0 x 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean molecular area changed with different spreading volume on the subphase. The orientation of the molecule affects the surface pressure. Each of the molecular became packed, thus producing a semisolid monolayer due to compression from the barriers on the surface.

**3.2. Surface Potential and Effective Dipole Moment of Molecules at the Interface**
Fig. 4 depicts the ΔV-A versus area per molecule relationship for SBL-Br and SBL-Cl on a pure water subphase. The relationship can be seen at the onset of the surface potential where for the same volume dispersed (1050 µl), maximum value occurs for SBL-Br at 0.018 nm² for effective dipole moment and 0.021 nm² for SBL-Cl. It can clearly be seen that SBL-Cl is higher compared to SBL-Br because of the presence of the conjugated electron system in SBL-Cl. This lead to a strong dipole moment value that is aligned orthogonally plane to the air-water interface. The relationship between ΔV and effective dipole moment, $\mu_\perp$, is given by the Helmholtz equation in Equation (1).

$$\Delta V e_0 e A = \mu_\perp (1)$$

where $A$ is the area per molecule, $e_0$ is the vacuum permittivity and $e$ is the relative permittivity of the monolayer.

3.3. Ultraviolet-Visible Spectra Analysis

The absorption spectra of SBL-Cl and SBL-Br in CHCl₃ shows 3 main peaks (Fig. 5). The first and second peaks in SBL-Cl and SBL-Br which are 242 nm and 275 nm were due to the electronic transition of $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ of the aromatic rings or C=N bond. While, the third peak of SBL-Cl (332 nm) and SBL-Br (333 nm) are due to the transition of $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ of the imine group (-NH-N=C-) in the hydrazone ligand [7].
By using Beer-Lambert Law, the molar absorptivity for both ligands were calculated in Fig.6 using Equation (2).

\[ \varepsilon = \frac{A}{l \cdot c} \]  

where \( \varepsilon \) is the Greek letter, epsilon, \( A \) is the absorbance, \( l \) is the length of solution of the light passes through (1 cm) and \( c \) is the concentration of solution (mol dm\(^{-3} \)).

**Table 2.** Spectroscopic data for ligands in chloroform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>Absorbance (abs.u)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By using Beer-Lambert Law, the molar absorptivity for both ligands were calculated in Fig.6 using Equation (2).

\[ \varepsilon = \frac{A}{l \cdot c} \]  

where \( \varepsilon \) is the Greek letter, epsilon, \( A \) is the absorbance, \( l \) is the length of solution of the light passes through (1 cm) and \( c \) is the concentration of solution (mol dm\(^{-3} \)).

**Table 2.** Spectroscopic data for ligands in chloroform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>Absorbance (abs.u)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig.5.** Absorption spectra of Ligand in chloroform, (a) SBL-Br and (b) SBL-Cl

**Fig.6.** Absorption versus Concentration Graphs of (a) SBL-Br and (b) SBL-Cl

Table 2 shows molar absorptivity (\( \varepsilon \)) for the ligands for the same peak. It can be concluded that SBL-Cl has higher value, which means stronger absorbing chromophores compared to SBL-Br.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absorption Maximum Wavelength</th>
<th>Molar Absorptivity, $\varepsilon$ ($M^{-1}cm^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Absorption Maximum Wavelength</th>
<th>Molar Absorptivity, $\varepsilon$ ($M^{-1}cm^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak 1 (242 nm)</td>
<td>23110.8</td>
<td>Peak 1 (242 nm)</td>
<td>49227.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 2 (275 nm)</td>
<td>17125.2</td>
<td>Peak 2 (275 nm)</td>
<td>40641.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 3 (332 nm)</td>
<td>6072.7</td>
<td>Peak 3 (333 nm)</td>
<td>16881.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. CONCLUSION

From the above data and discussion, the materials that investigated can form monolayers on the air-water interface. By comparison, SBL-Cl has higher values on the radius which were calculated using mean molecule area; higher value of effective dipole moment, $\mu_e$ and imply higher value of molar absorptivity, $\varepsilon$. It is suggested that this material of Schiff base ligand can be further study on thin film formation as a promising nanomaterials in sensing the heavy metals.
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