

AGRISILVICULTURE: A REFUGE FOR ABOVE AND BELOWGROUND BIODIVERSITY

*Uleh, A. M. and Usman, A. I.

Department of Forest Production and Products, Federal University of Agriculture Makurdi Nigeria *Corresponding Author: mauleh@ucdavis.edu

ABSTRACT

Agrisilviculture is a land use system that involves the integration of trees and other large woody perennials into farming systems through the conservation of existing trees, their active planting and tending operations. Biodiversity comprises of the variety of different form of life on earth such as plants, animals, microorganisms, the gene they contain and the ecosystem they form. It can be divided into belowground and aboveground biodiversity. This paper discussed agroforestry and biodiversity conservation, deforestation and its implication on biodiversity, agrisilviculture and mitigation of biodiversity loss. The benefits of agrisilviculture as revealed in this paper include provision of habitats for animals, preservation of germ plasm and other ecosystem services. The paper further revealed the relationship between agrislviculture and pollination service, agrisilviculture and belowground biodiversity with agrisilviculture and aboveground biodiversity and ecosystem services which is why there are needs to take some precautions into consideration. It was concluded that agrisilviculture will not only help to conserve biodiversity but also will increase yield and provide other ecosystem services. Hence, it was recommended that governments and nongovernmental organizations involved in crop production should incorporate agrisilviculture in their extension services so as to encourage more farmers on the need to introduce trees in their farming activities.

Keywords: biodiversity, agrisiviculture, conservation, aboveground, belowground

INTRODUCTION

Globally, forest covers about one third of the land area and serves as habitat to about 80 % of terrestrial biodiversity (Aerts and Honnay, 2011). However, due to increase in human population and the need to provide food for the increasing population, there has been a decrease in the extent and quality of forest habitat in the world over (Tilman et al., 2017). Forest lands are being cleared for agriculture and other activities without any viable solution to curb the trend. The extent of the decline is at an alarming rate especially in developing nations (Okeke, 2018). It has been reported that some countries in developing nations, the forest cover is extremely low due to high rate of deforestation (McDermott, 2009). Many trees, shrubs, herbs and assorted animals have been depleted while some are endangered, leading to biodiversity loss (Donkersley, 2019).

Agrisilviculture is a land-use system where woody perennials (trees, shrubs, palms, bamboos among others) are deliberately used on the same landmanagement units as agricultural crops (Carne, 2008). It intentionally combines agriculture and forestry to create integrated and sustainable landuse systems. It serves as a realistic alternative in balancing food production and biodiversity conservation. Agrisilviculture has the potential to maintain higher levels of biodiversity, and also enhance soil quality by increasing litter inputs and soil organic matter accumulation. As tree cover increases in agricultural landscape, it reduces the pressure of pests on crops and greatly improves pollination services (Barrios et al., 2017). Agricultural lands can support biodiversity provided better management plans are implemented to support their survival (Kleijn and Sutherland, 2003; Opermmann et al., 2012). In Europe ~50% of plant and animal species depend on agricultural habitats

(Kristensen, 2003). Therefore, agricultural practices that favour biodiversity can be used to conserve and improve biodiversity.

Agroforestry and biodiversity conservation

Agroforestry has been identified as a tool to preserve rich species diversity around the world (Mendez et al., 2001; Borkhataria et al., 2012). The roles it plays in biodiversity conservation cannot be overemphasized. These include providing habitat for species that can tolerate a certain level of disturbance, helps preserve sensitive species germplasm, helps to provide a more productive, sustainable alternative to traditional agricultural systems that may involve clearing natural habitats; and helps conserve biological diversity by providing other ecosystem services such as erosion control and water recharge, thereby preventing the degradation and loss of surrounding habitat. Agroforestry practices have 50-80% of the diversity of comparable natural forests and this can contribute to further preservation of biodiversity. Agroforestry induced biodiversity improvements have been reported in both temperate and tropical regions (Huang et al., 2002; Noble and Dirzo, 1997; Dollinger and Jose, 2018). Some studies have indicated significantly greater diversity in Agroforestry compared to forests and tree monoculture management (Steffan et al., 2007; Sistla et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2002).

The services provided by agroforestry practices to rural livelihoods and conservation of biodiversity have attracted wide attention among agroforestry and conservation scientists (Mcneely and Schroth, 2006). Agroforestry technologies focus on the role of trees on farms and agricultural landscapes to meet economic, social and ecological needs (Garrity, 2006). Traditional agroforestry practices have a huge potential in supporting biodiversity conservation.

The use of agroforestry technologies mitigates biodiversity loss and provides opportunities for improving diversification and range of livelihood options for rural households (Akinnifesi *et al.*, 2008).

Deforestation and its implication on biodiversity

Deforestation is regarded as the permanent removal of trees (Derouin, 2019) without correct

replacement, leading to loss of biodiversity due to habitat destruction (Trucksess, 2003). Biodiversity is the variety of different forms of life on earth, including the different plants, animals, microorganisms, the genes they contain, and the ecosystem they form (Rawat and Agarwal, 2015). It is also regarded as the variability among living organisms from all sources including terrestrial, marine, and other aquatic ecosystems and ecological complexes. Biodiversity is essential in so many ways. It serves as source of food, fodder, fuel, timber, medicine, and much more. Biodiversity in agricultural landscapes can be divide into visible aboveground biodiversity, such as shade trees, insects with bio-control and pollination functions (parasitoid wasps, bees) which can be managed by farmers and invisible belowground biodiversity and mostly unmanaged soil biodiversity contributing to soil health (Swift et al., 2004; Wall et al., 2010).

Habitat loss due to deforestation is seen as the major threat to biodiversity (Rinkesh, 2020) and this is more alarming in developing nations. This is due to the high rate of unemployment in developing nations making the populace to harvest trees in an uncontrolled manner from natural forests. As population density increases in and near forested areas, the rate of deforestation also increases. Peasants continue to clear trees for subsistence farming to provide food for their families and when they experience low yield due to reduction in soil quality, they clear other land dominated by trees thereby increasing the rate of deforestation. Many of the trees removed are habitats of many pollinators. As their habitat and source of food is lost, they are compelled to migrate to other locations and some are even killed leading to loss of biodiversity (Anonymous, 2013).

The reduction in quality and quantity of the forest has led to massive extinction of many fauna species inhabiting the forest habitats (Aerts and Honnay, 2011). Forests are being degraded from primary forest to plantation forests resulting in the sharp decline of several fauna such as birds, fruit-feeding butterflies, leaf-litter amphibians, large mammals, arachnids, lizards, dung beetles and bats. Biodiversity loss typically affects a variety of benefits flow to people, often called ecosystem services. Trees help in providing habitats for wildlife, absorbing carbon from the atmosphere (Marinelli, 2019), fiber production, food, and many other products to generations of mankind and are invaluable genetic resources gained from the forest. Removal of forest leads to loss of biodiversity and jeopardizes many ecological services it provides. Many agricultural crops depend on birds for pollination, so removal of trees destroys their habitats and thus leads to their extinction. As natural forest declines, the population of pollinators and their activities also decline. It should be noted that forest regeneration for indigenous tree species and habitat features suitable for pollinators such as nesting sites and flora resources takes decades (Ricketts and Lonsdorf, 2013).

Case studies: Agrisilviculture and mitigation of Biodiversity loss

Tree cover preserves not only the aboveground biodiversity but also the less-studied, largely invisible and mostly unmanaged belowground biodiversity. Trees in agricultural landscapes provide favorable habitats for soil biodiversity, through microclimate buffering and continuous supply of organic matter inputs. Barrios et al. (2017), investigated the impact of changing tree cover on biodiversity and ecosystem services in different agricultural landscape. The experiments focused partly on the role of agroforestry as a biodiversity-based intervention for ecological intensification of agriculture. Land uses evaluated included dominant land-cover scenarios useful to comparatively assess the effects of trees on both below-ground and above-ground biodiversity. Results obtained from the six experimental sites suggest that increasing tree cover in agricultural landscapes can support plant and invertebrate biodiversity and significantly improve ecosystem functions that underpin ecosystem services.

i. Agrislviculture and Pollination Service

As forest conversion from natural forest to agricultural system increases, pollination services are expected to decline, which is very critical for many crops. Forest remnants can help beneficial organisms to migrate into adjacent annual and perennial agroecosystems. Klein *et al.* (2003) carried out a research in Indonesia to investigate how decline in pollinators negatively affect the fruit of wild and

cultivated plants. Twenty-four agroforestry systems, differing in shade and distance to the nearest forest were compared. To estimate the resources available for bees, the fields were characterized according to the shade level and percentage cover of coffee plants in flower, and percentage cover of all non-coffee plants in flower. Flower-visiting bees were observed for 28 days. It was noted that coffee flowers attracted flower visiting bees for only one day. All flower visitors were counted, and sweep net was also used to catch bees for identification purpose. Some coffee plants were then selected to investigate pollen transfer efficiency for the different bees caught. They found that the diversity of social bees decreased with increasing forest distance. When foraging distances into the adjacent land-use systems were too long, coffee had a reduced fruit set. The forest offers suitable nesting site for bees and the shorter the distance the better for the bees. In their conclusion they pointed out that enhancement of bee diversity from three to 20 species may increase fruit set from 60 % to 90 %; therefore, farmers should conserve bee diversity to improve their coffee production. Their result agrees with Ricketts and Lonsdorf (2013) where they compared marginal values of tropical forest remnants for pollination service. Ricketts and Lonsdorf, though in a different region (Costa Rica), found that pollinator richness, visitation rate and pollen deposition rates all decline significantly with increasing distance from natural forest. This goes a long way to further buttress the need for policy makers to encourage agrisilviculture. Open farms are not likely to have rich diversity of pollinators as there will be no nesting sites for birds and other pollinators that live on trees.

Agrisilviculture will not only conserve plants, arthropods and vertebrates, they can also help in creating nests for pollinators and help in pest control. In comparing monoculture with agroforests, farm scale coffee trees conserve lower diversity than forests at the landscape scale. Also, transition from forests to habitats with lower tree cover significantly affected the species richness, abundance and functionality of insect pollinators (Barrios *et al.*, 2017). In Indonesia, a total of 453 insect pollinator individuals from 21 families were collected in pan traps that included eight species of bees, seven of wasps, seven of beetles, four of moths/butterflies and four of flies. Of them. all eight bee species, three wasps, five beetles, one butterfly and all four flies are known as efficient insect crop pollinators. Species richness and abundance were significantly different among landscape elements. Richness of total insect pollinators declined in monocrops (rice paddy fields) by 14% compared to natural forests, while it remained unchanged under mixed-tree (MT) agroforestry. A decrease in richness of efficient insect pollinators close to 40% was observed under monocrops, but this decrease was only about 15% under MT agroforestry. This richness of pollinators in agroforestry system compared to monoculture has been reported by many authors. Increased vegetation cover has been identified as an essential factor encouraging greater species diversity of insect pollinators in tropical landscapes.

- A field survey of birds and trees diversity was carried out in three communities surrounding Calakmul Biosphere Reserve in Campeche, Mexico, by Bohn, et al., (2014). Their objective was to evaluate how different management practices affect forest biodiversity. They found that bird species richness was higher in areas surrounding communities that generated more forest products. It was noted from their research that people living around forest lands could take care of the forest if they were given the right orientation and the relevance of trees to them. Krishna (2006) carried out a study on farm management in two villages in western middle hills, Nepal. The findings indicated that farmers who plant trees in their farms do not interfere with government owned forest. The trees they have in their farms provide the needed services and as such takes away pressure from natural forest and government owned forest. This again points to the fact that agrisilviculture can serve as a strategy to decongest the pressure on federal and state forest reserves. The result also pointed out that some of the farmers are willing to plant trees in their farms.
- ii. Agrislviculture and belowground biodiversity Mujeeb et al., (2012) investigated the effects of land use intensification on the distribution and abundance of soil invertebrate communities in India. Soil invertebrates were sampled in 15 different land use practices involving simple and intensively managed annual crop fields, monoculture tree plantation, and an agroforestry system. The study area (having 15 different land uses) was divided into 72 grid points of 200 m by 200 m per grid. In each of the 15 different land use systems, four plots were chosen, and soil monoliths were randomly taken from each plot. Soil monoliths of 25 cm \times 25 cm \times 30 cm were dug, and soil micro fauna were handand preserved in alcohol sorted for identification. The-findings revealed that the highest taxonomic richness in moist-deciduous and semi-evergreen forests and the lowest in annual crops and monoculture plantation. Earthworms and millipedes were significantly higher in the agroforestry systems than in annual crop field. Ants, termites, beetles, centipedes, crickets and spiders were more abundant in forest ecosystems than in any other system. They concluded that annual cropping systems have lower diversity of invertebrates than agroforestry system. This result further encourages the need strengthen to agrisilviculture to conserve biodiversity.
 - A forested area was converted to monoculture coffee to evaluate the effects of the clearing on soil health (Barrios et al., 2017). It was reported that four large-bodied native earthworms were lost upon conversion. The native earthworms were completely replaced by small bodied exotic earthworms. The conversion lowered the soil macro porosity by about 76%, annual litterfall reduced by 71%. These reductions can cause reduction in infiltration, increase in runoff and soil erosion, and pollinators that feed primarily on the native earthworms will migrate to other locations. The findings of this research further show that soil biotic diversity is linked to plant communities through the activities of herbivory and the decomposition of dead organic plant materials. Soil biota responds in different ways when the plant communities are altered. As the intensity of disturbance from tree

harvesting increases, the abundance of belowground biodiversity decreases (Sylvian and Wall, 2011; Tajik *et al.*, 2020).

In another location in Thailand where cassava farm was replaced with a rubber plantation, there was an initial sharp reduction in density and biomass of soil micro fauna. However, as the tree canopy began to expand and tree habitat function became prevalent, there was an overall increase in biomass, which was accompanied by a decrease in ant and increase in earthworms and termites. The species richness was higher in the rubber plantation compared to the one from the cassava farm.

iii. Agrisilviculture and aboveground biodiversity

Agroforestry conserve plants. systems arthropods and vertebrates that have been linked to the delivery of habitat as well as important regulating services, such as pollination and pest control (Perfecto et al., 2004; Klein et al., 2008; Tscharntke et al., 2011). The contributions of agroforestry trees to the nitrogen budget on the farm is substantial and can lead to greater increase in yield when managed efficiently, e.g. 200kg/ha from leucaena leucocephala(Vanlauwe et al., 2012), 110kg/ha from S. rostala (Giller, 2001) 120kg/ha from Tephrosia vophii (Gathumbi et al., 2002) were investigated as contributions of trees to nutrient enrichment.

Potential tradeoffs of Agrisilviculture

It is of uttermost importance to recognize that the effects of agrilvviculture practices are not always positive and their effects on biodiversity and ecosystem services needs taking some things into consideration. Organic input from certain agroforestry tree species may have negative effects on soil animals and functions (Barrios et al., 2017). Hence, a rich knowledge of different tree species and their functions will help to influence choice of the right species. In Thailand, there was an early reduction in soil macrofauna abundance and biomass before it eventually picked up. Farmers who are involved in annual crops may not want to experience reduction in micro fauna at the start of farming, as it can impact yield. There are cases where the farmer does not want trees in his or her farm as they believe it will hinder growth and there are foresters who believe the land for forest should not be used for any form of farming. All these issues need to be considered when designing the pattern of agrisilviculture systems that will minimize trade-offs and maximize synergies and complementarities that aim at biodiversity conservation and the delivery of multiple ecosystem service.

CONCLUSION

Agrisilviculture will not only help to conserve biodiversity but also will increase yield and provide other ecosystem services. Trees in the farm will serve as nest and pollen for pollinators and will minimize the rate of dependence on natural forest by man. Although agrisilviculture is a centuries-old form of ecosystem management in many parts of the world, its relevance in biodiversity management has not received the needed advocacy. The results obtained by the different authors cited in this paper and much other literature suggests very strongly that agrisilviculture will help to conserve above and belowground biodiversity. The need for farmers to continue to plant native trees in their farms is very essential.

Recommendations

Based on the importance of agrisilviculture, the following recommendations were drawn to encourage its practices.

- i. Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs), Universities and other agencies involved in crop production should incorporate agrisilvicultural system in their extension work so that rural dwellers can help in conserving biodiversity and at the same time enhance food production.
- ii. Governments in developing nations should as a matter of priority sensitize farmers on the need to introduce trees in their farms.
- iii. There is need to further research on the effect of trees on yam productivity since some farms believe trees negatively affect yields of yam.
- iv. Farmers should be guided on the type of trees to introduce into their farms to avoid toxicity of trees' chemicals to their crops.

REFERENCES

- Akinnifesi, F. K., Sileshi, G., Ajayi, O. C, Chirwa, P.W., Mngomba, S., Chakeredza, S. And Nyoka, B.I. (2008). Domestication and conservation of indigenous Miombo fruit trees for improving rural livelihoods in Southern Africa. *Tropical Conservancy*; 72– 74.
- Anonymous(2013). Effects of deforestation. https://deforestationaroundtheworld.wordpr ess.com/2013/05/09/deforestation-affectsbiodiversity/ (Assessed on 3/16/2020).
- Aerts, R., Honnay, O. (2011). Forest restoration, biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *BMC Ecology*, 11:29.
- Barrios, E., Valencia, V., Jonsson, M., Brauman, A., Hairiah, K., Mortimer, P. E. and Okubo, S. (2017). Contribution of trees to the conservation of biodiversity and ecosystem services in agricultural landscapes. *International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services* and Management, 14:1, 1-16.
- Borkhataria, R.R., Collazo, J.A. and Groom, M. J. (2012). Species abundance and potential biological control services in shade vs. sun coffee in Puerto Rico. *Agriculture Ecosystem Environment*, 151:1–5.
- Bohn, J., Diemont, S., Gibbs, J., Stehman, S., and Vega, J. (2014). Implications of Mayan agroforestry for biodiversity conservation in the Calakmul Biosphere Reserve, Mexico. *Agroforestry Systems*. 88. 269 – 285.
- Carne, R. J., (2008). Agroforestry land use: The concept and practice. *Australian Geographical Studies*, 31(1):79 90
- Derouin, S. (2019). Deforestation: facts, causes and effects. https://www.livescience.com/27692-

deforestation.html (Assessed on 3/16/2020).

- Dollinger, J. and Jose, S. (2018). Agroforestry for soil health. *Agroforestry System*, 92:213– 219.
- Donkersley, P. (2019). Trees for bees. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment. 270 - 271: 79 - 83.
- Garrity, D. (2006). World agroforestry into the *future* The World Agroforestry Centre, Nairobi, Kenya.

- Gathumbi, S.M., Ndufa, J.K., Giller, K.E. and Cadisch, G. (2002). Do Species mixtures increase above- and belowground resource capture in woody and herbaceous tropical legumes? *Agronomy Journal*; 94:518–526.
- Giller, K.E. (2001). Nitrogen fixation in tropical cropping systems (2nd Edn.) *CAB International Publishing*, Wallingford.
- Huang, W., Luukkanen, O., Johanson, S., Kaarakka, V., Räisänen, S. and Vihemäki, H. (2002).
 Agroforestry for biodiversity conservation of nature reserves: Functional group identification and analysis. *Agroforestry System*, 55: 65–72.
- Klein, A. M, Ingolf, S., D, and Teja, T. (2003). Fruit set of highland coffee increases with the diversity of pollinating bees. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B, Biological Sciences* 270:955 – 961.
- Klein A-M, Cunningham SA, Bos, M. and Steffan-D. I. (2008). Advances in pollination ecology from tropical plantation crops. *Ecology*. 89:935–943.
- Kleijn, D. and Sutherland, W.J. (2003).How effective are European agri-environment schemes in conserving and promoting biodiversity? *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 40: 947–969.
- Krishna, P. A. (2006). Linking trees on farms with biodiversity conservation in subsistence farming systems in Nepal. *Biodiversity and Conservation.* 15:631 – 646.
- Kristensen, P. (2003). EEA Core Set of Indicators; Revised Version April 2003; European Environment Agency: Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Marinelli, J. (2019). Plant trees that turn your yard into a bird oasis – carbon sponge. https://www.audubon.org/news/plant-treesturn-your-yard-bird-oasis-and-carbonsponge (Assessed on 3/16/2020).
- Méndez, V.E., Lok, R. and Somarriba, E. (2001). Interdisciplinary analysis of home gardens in Nicaragua: Micro- zonation, plant use and socioeconomic importance. Agroforestry System, 51:85–96.
- McDermott, M. (2009). 10 Countries with the highest deforestation rates in the world. https://www.treehugger.com/corporateresponsibility/10-countries-with-the-highest-

deforestation-rates-in-the-world.html (Assessed on 3/16/2020).

- McNeely, J A. and Schroth, G. (2006). Agroforestry and biodiversity conservation—Traditional practices, present dynamics, and lessons for the future. *Biodiversity Conservation*;15: 549–554.
- Mujeeb, R. P., Varma, R.V. and Sileshi, G.W. (2012). Abundance and diversity of soil invertebrates in annual crops, agroforestry and forest ecosystems in the Nilgiri biosphere reserve of Western Ghats, India. *Agroforestry System*, 85:165–177.
- Noble, I. R. and Dirzo, R. (1997). Forests as human-dominated ecosystems. Science, 277: 522–525.
- Okeke, C. (2018). Nigeria: Is Nigeria Losing War Against Deforestation?
 - https://allafrica.com/stories/201808270252.html (Assessed on 3/10/2020).
- Opermmann, R., Beaufoy, G. and Jones, G. (2012). High Nature Value Farming in Europe: 35 European Countries—Experiences and Perspectives; Verlag Regionanlkultur: Ubstadt-Weiher, Germany.
- Perfecto, I., Vandermeer, J., Lopez, B.G., Nuñez, G., Greenberg, R., Bichier, P. and Langridge, S. (2004).Greater predation in shaded coffee farms: the role of resident neotropical birds. *Ecology*. 85:2677–2681.
- Rawat, U. S., and Agarwal, N. K. (2015).
 Biodiversity: Concept, threats and conservation. *Environment Conservation Journal*, 16(3): 19 28.
- Rinkesh, (2020). What is Biodiversity? https://www.conserveenergyfuture.com/what -is-biodiversity.php (Assessed on 2/29/2020).
- Ricketts, T. H and Lonsdorf, E. (2013). Mapping the margin: comparing marginal values of tropical forest remnants for pollination services. *Ecological Applications*, 23(5): 1113 – 1123.
- Sistla, S. A., Roddy, A. B., Williams, N.E., Kramer, D.B., Stevens, K. and Allison, S.D.(2016) Agroforestry practices promote biodiversity and natural resource diversity in Atlantic Nicaragua. PLoS ONE, 11: e0162529.

- Steffan-D. I., Kessler, M., Barkmann, J., Bos, M. M., Buchori, D., Erasmi, S., Faust, H., Gerold, G., Glenk, K. and Gradstein, S. R. (2007). Tradeoffs between income, biodiversity, and ecosystemfunctioning during tropical rainforest conversion and agroforestry intensification. *Proceeding of National Academy of Science*. USA, 104: 4973–4978.
- Swift, M. J., Izac, A. M. and Van, N. M. (2004). Biodiversity and ecosystems services in agricultural landscapes – are we asking the right questions? *Agriculture Ecosystem Environment*. 104:113–134.
- Sylvian, A. S and Wall, D. H. (2020). Linking soil biodiversity and vegetation: Implications for a changing planet. *American Journal of Botany*, 98 (3): 517 – 527.
- Tajik, S., Ayoubi, S. and Lorenz, N. (2020). Soil microbial communities affected by vegetation, topography and soil properties in a forest ecosystem, *Applied Soil Ecology*, 149: (103514).
- Tilman, D., Clark, M., Williams, D. *et al.* Future threats to biodiversity and pathways to their prevention. *Nature*, 546, 73 81.
- Trucksess, C. (2003). Deforestation and biodiversity presentation essay. http://fubini.swarthmore.edu/~ENVS2/S2003 /ctrucks1/essay05.html (Accessed on: 7/10/2020)
- Tscharntke, T., Clough, Y., Bhagwat, S. A., Buchori, D., Faust, H., Hertel, D., Hölscher, D., Juhrbandt, J., Kessler, M. and Perfecto, I. (2011). Multifunctional shade-tree management in tropical agroforestry landscapes - a review. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 48:619–629.
- Wall, D. H., Bardgett, R. D. and Kelly, E. F. (2010). Biodiversity in the dark. *Nature Geoscience*. 3:297–298.