

http://www.ajol.info/index.php/jrfwe *ifewr* ©2023 - *ifewr* Publications ISBN: 2141 - 1778 This work is licensed under a Bodunde et al., 2023 Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

PHYSICO-MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF SELECTED SPECIES SUBJECTED TO **THERMO-OIL TREATMENT**

 \odot

(cc)

¹Bodunde T. O., ²Ogunleve M. B., ¹Aguda L. O., ²Olaoye K.O., ¹Oriire L.T., Adiji A.O. ¹Aguda O.Y. and ²Okanlawon, F.B.

¹Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria ²Federal College of Forestry, Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria *Corresponding Authors: agudaola@gmail.com; 08062312196

ABSTRACT

This research examined the effect of thermal modification on the physical and mechanical properties of Gmelina arborea, Triplochiton scleroxylon, and Hevea brasiliensis using palm kernel oil. Colour change, density, compression strength, Modulus of rupture, and modulus of elasticity were measured. Results showed that at temperatures between 170°C and 190°C, average density values varied from 375.83 kg/m³ to 431.70 kg/m³ for obeche, 470.56 kg/m³ to 474.59 kg/m³ for Gmelina arborea and rubber from 539.17 kg/m³ to 510.47 kg/m³. The Average Compression strength values varied from 25.38 N/mm² at 27.50 N/mm² for obeche, 42.87 N/mm² to 45.16 N/mm² for Gmelina arborea, and rubber from 28.83 N/mm² to 25.37 N/mm². The Average Modulus of Elasticity values varied from 4264.63 N/mm² at 170°C to 4891.66 N/mm² for obeche, 8496.72 N/mm² to 7405.64 N/mm² for Gmelina arborea, and rubber from 5159.17 N/mm² to 4613.07 N/mm². The Average Modulus of Rupture values varied from 67.32 N/mm² to 60.45 N/mm² for obeche, 78.54 N/mm² to 74.52 N/mm² for Gmelina arborea, and rubber from 63.83 N/mm² to 58.16 N/mm². It was observed that dimensional stability was enhanced when thermally modified. The colour of wood samples became darker after treatment therefore, thermal modification is recommended for applications where the appearance and high strength of wood are not paramount.

Keywords: Gmelina; Triplochiton; Hevea brasiliensis; thermal modification.

Correct Citation of this Publication

Bodunde T. O., Ogunleye M. B., Aguda L. O., Olaoye K.O., Oriire L.T., Adiji A.O. Aguda O.Y. and Okanlawon, F. B. (2023). Physico-mechanical properties of selected species subjected to thermo-oil treatment. Journal of Research in Forestry, Wildlife & Environment Vol. 15(2): 281 – 287.

INTRODUCTION

Thermal modification was developed as an alternative to traditional wood treatments such as impregnation with chemical products (toxic products) that aims to improve wood properties. Thermal treatment is a process of modification of different materials at high temperatures. Studies have shown that this process can be performed between 140 and 160°C (Pincelli, 2012; Bal, 2013) and between 180°C and 260°C (Hill, 2006).

The physical and mechanical properties of wood can be used to define its strength and suitability for structural or construction

purposes. However, these properties can vary with respect to the wood species (Jamala et al., 2013). Hence, (Qiaofang et al 2019) stated that some wood species possess low physicomechanical properties. Nevertheless, some studies have shown that the physicomechanical properties of wood can be improved through immersion in organic matter impregnation and thermal treatments among others.

In a study, Bal (2013) determined the effects of heat treatment on the physical properties of heartwood and sapwood of Cedrus libani, and found a clear difference in the physical

properties between the heartwood and sapwood at 220°C. Also, Esteves and Pereira (2009) reported increasing dimensional stability in heat-treated wood. However, these studies only subject the wood species to direct temperature without the consideration of suitable pretreatment to optimize the thermal process.

Over time, the use of chemicals in wood modification has been adopted, but its contribution to environmental pollution is an of concern. Unlike conventional issue chemicals, palm kernel oil is an edible plant oil produced from the kernel of oil palm produced by palm trees scientifically referred to as *Elaeis* guineensis which is environmentally friendly. Palm oil is processed from the pulp of oil palm fruit. It is semi-solid at room temperature and more saturated than palm oil and coconut oil (Boateng et al., 2016). More importantly, palm kernel oil is considered a good material useful in wood modification (Yiin et al., 2014).

We aim to pretreat wood from selected hardwood (Gmelina species arborea, *Triplochiton* scleroxylon, and Hevea brasiliensi) with palm kernel oil and subject same to thermal modification with the view of improving their strength properties. Thus, this the physico-mechanical study assessed properties of thermally pretreated selected hardwood species.

MATERIAL AND METHODS Sample collection

The study samples (*Gmelina arborea, Triplochiton scleroxylon*, and *Hevea brasiliensis*) were bought in a Timber market at Oke-aro in Akure South Local Government area, Ondo State, Nigeria while the palm kernel oil was processed in Ede, Osun State.

Sample Preparation

The conversion of the wood samples of 20 mm \times 20 mm \times 60 mm and 20 mm \times 20 mm \times 300 mm for physical and mechanical properties, respectively were done at the Federal University of Technology Akure. All of the samples were oven-dried before treatment with palm kernel oil. The wood samples were heated in palm oil using a pressure cooker to allow deep penetration of palm kernel oil into the wood samples while the untreated samples represent the control. The thermal modification of the wood samples in palm kernel oil was done at varying temperatures (170° and 190°C) and time intervals (30 and 45 minutes) at the

Federal University of Technology Akure. The wood samples were oven dried after treatment. A total of 150 samples were prepared for the experiment. Further tests were carried out at the Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, Jericho, Ibadan, Oyo State.

Experimental design

Each sample was replicated five (5) times for all experiments, and laid out as a 3 x 2 x 2 factorial experiment (3 wood samples: *Gmelina arborea*, *Triplochiton scleroxylon*, and *Hevea brasiliensis*), two-time interval and two temperature readings). Data Analysis: Data generated were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Science. Data on the physical and mechanical properties were analyzed, mean and standard deviation of each property were determined. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), Duncan Multiple Range Test was carried out on the data set to assess if there are significant differences between the time interval and temperature range.

RESULTS

The density of treated wood samples

The relationship between Density among the wood Species, Temperature, and Time is shown in Table 1. The samples obtained from the Gmelina arborea had a mean density of 470.56g/m³ at 170°C while at 190°C the mean density was 474.59 kg/m³, both values were lesser than that of the control samples with a mean density of 476.55 kg/m³. Hevea brasiliensis had a mean density of 539.17kg/m³ at 170°C, and at 190°C, the mean density was 510.73kg/m3; both values obtained were lesser than the mean density of the untreated samples of *Hevea brasiliensis* which was 606.47kg/m³. Wood obtained from the Triplochiton scleroxylon had the least mean density among the three wood species. At 170°C, the mean density was 375.83kg/m³, while at 190°C, the mean density recorded was 431.70kg/m³, the values obtained at temperatures 170°C and 190°C were higher than those from the untreated samples of obeche with mean density of 345.65kg/m³. From the data obtained, it can be observed that as temperature increased with an increase in time, the density of Gmelina arborea and Triplochiton scleroxylon density decreased, and on the other hand Rubber wood at 170°C increased as time duration increased.

Species	Temperature	Time	Density(Kg/M ³)	Average
	°C	(Minutes)		
Triplochiton	170	30	413.50±24.66°	375.83±51.17 ª
scleroxylon		45	338.16±41.66 ^c	
	100	30	469.45±39.53°	121 70 ± 56 61 ª
	190	45	393.80±45.33°	431.70±30.01
	Control		345.70±65.17°	345.70±65.17 ^a
Gmelina	elina 170 prea	30	463.06±27.66 ^b	470.56±23.89 °
arborea		45	478.06 ± 19.47^{b}	
	100	30	472.44±23.19 ^b	474.59±17.32 °
	190	45	476.73±11.22 ^b	
	Control		476.55 ± 7.06^{b}	476.55±7.06 ^a
Hevea brasiliensis	170	30	463.42±99.77 ^a	539.17±110.19 ^a
		45	614.92±54.95 ^a	
	100	30	401.47 ± 29.74^{a}	510 47 117 49 a
	190	45	619.47±21.68 ^a	J10.4/±11/.40
	Control		456.47±55.45a	456.47±55.45 ^a

Table 1: Density of *Triplochiton scleroxylon*, *G. arborea*, and *Hevea brasiliensis* Against Species, Temperature, and Time.

Change in colour of treated wood samples

The colour of wood samples changed with increased temperature from 170 °C to 190 °C. *Gmelina arborea* turned darker as shown in Fig 2a than *Triplochiton scleroxylon* (Fig 2b) and

Hevea brasiliensis (Fig 2c). Figure 1: a) *Gmelina arborea* before and after treatment, b) *Triplochiton scleroxylon* before and after oven drying. c) *Hevea brasiliensis* before and after treatment

a)



b





The compression strength of treated wood samples

The relationship between compression against Species, Temperature, and Time is shown in Table 2. Results showed that temperature and time were significantly different from each other with respect to compression strength. *Gmelina arborea* had the highest mean at 170 °C with a mean compression strength of 42. 87N/mm² while at 190 °C, mean compression was 45.16N/mm², which was higher than that of the control samples 42.22 N/mm². *Hevea brasiliensis* had a mean compression of 28.83N/m² at 170 °C and at 190 °C, mean compression was 25.37N/mm²; both values obtained were lesser than the mean compression of the untreated samples of rubber wood which was 31.52 N/mm². Wood obtained from the *Triplochiton scleroxylon* had the least mean compression among the three wood species. At 170 °C, the mean compression was 25.38 N/mm², while at 190 °C, the mean compression recorded was 27.50 N/mm² the values obtained at temperatures 170 °C and 190 °C were higher than those from the untreated samples of obeche with a mean density of 23.61Nm/m².

Wood Species	Temperature	Time	Compression	Average
-	(°c)	(Minutes)	(N/mm^2)	U
Triplochiton		30	27.21±2.85 ^b	25.38±12.32 ^a
scleroxylon	170	45	23.54 ± 2.26^{b}	
		30	27.50±2.30 ^b	27.50 ± 2.30^{a}
	190	45	27.50 ± 2.30^{b}	
	Control		23.62±6.13 ^b	23.61±6.13 ^a
Gmelina		30	39.56±2.36 ^a	42.87 ± 4.28^{a}
arborea	170	45	46.20 ± 2.86^{a}	
		30	40.12 ± 3.55^{a}	45.16±5.97 ^a
	190	45	50.20±2.05ª	
	Control		42.22 ± 3.08^{a}	42.22 ± 3.08^{a}
Hevea		30	27.31±3.93 ^b	28.83±3.65 ^a
brasiliensis	170	45	30.35 ± 2.94^{b}	
		30	20.02 ± 9.40^{b}	25.37±8.47 ^a
	190	45	30.71±1.27 ^b	
	Control		31.52 ± 2.88^{b}	31.52 ± 2.88^{a}

 Table 2: Compression Strength of Triplochiton scleroxylon, G. arborea, and Hevea brasiliensis Against Species, Temperature, and Time.

Modulus of Elasticity (MOE)

The relationship between MOE against species, temperature, and time is shown in Table 3. The MOE from the samples obtained from the *Gmelina arborea* had the highest mean MOE at 170 °C MOE of 8496.72. 87 N/mm² with the 190 °C MOE which was 7405.64 N/mm², both values were higher than samples for control with mean MOE of 4870.27 N/mm². *Hevea brasiliensis* wood had a mean MOE of 5159.18 N/mm² at 170 °C while at 190 °C the mean MOE was 4613.07 N/mm². However, both

values obtained at these temperatures were lower than the mean MOE of the untreated samples of 3718.12N/mm². *Triplochiton scleroxylon* wood samples had the least mean MOE of 4264.63N/mm² at 170 °C, and at 190 °C, the mean MOE was 4891.66N/mm². Similarly, both values were higher than the untreated samples of the same wood with a mean MOE of 3046.88N/mm². From the result, it can be observed that the temperature increased with an increase in time.

 Table 3: MOE of Triplochiton scleroxylon, G. arborea, and Hevea brasiliensis Against Species,

 Temperature, and Time

Species	Temperature	Time	MOE (N/mm ²)	Average
	(°C)	(Minutes)		
Triplochiton		30	3868.24±71.05 ^b	4264.63±690.93ª
scleroxylon	170	45	4661.02±822.35 ^b	
		30	5221.21±2713.36 ^b	4891.66±690.93 ^a
	190	45	4562.11±308.19b	
	Control		3046.87±1133.82 ^b	3046.87±1133.82 ^b
Gmelina		30	7638.57±1209.99ª	8496.72±1405.80 ^a
arborea	170	45	9354.87±1068.40 ^a	
		30	7610.81±1153.66 ^a	7405.64±913.63 ^a
	190	45	7200.47±664.82 ^a	
	Control		4870.27±738.02ª	4870.27±738.02 ^b
Hevea		30	5497.28±468.06 ^b	5159.17±728.44 ^a
brasiliensis	170	45	4821.07±830.09b	
		30	4292.07±647.74 ^b	4613.07±806.01ª
	190	45	4934.07±885.74 ^b	
	Control		3718.12±`1140.06 ^b	3718.12±`1140.06 ^b

Modulus of Rupture (MOR)

The relationship between the modulus of rupture and temperature with time is shown in Table 4. *Gmelina arborea* had the highest mean MOR of 78. 54N/mm² at 170 °C. At 190 °C, the mean MOR was 74.53N/mm² compared to the untreated samples with a mean MOR of 45.76 ± 4.97 Nm/m². Wood obtained from *H. brasiliensis* had a mean MOR of 63.83N/mm² at 170 °C while at 190 °C, the mean MOR was

58.17N/mm². Meanwhile, both values were lower compared to the mean MOR value of untreated samples which was $61.11N/mm^2$. Samples obtained from *T. scleroxylon* had the least mean MOR at 170 °C (67.32 N/mm²), while it was 45.44N/mm² at 190 °C. Both values were higher than the untreated samples of *T. scleroxylon* with 52.41±18.21 N/mm² as the mean.

Species	Temperature	Time	MOR (N/mm ²)	Average
	°C	(Minutes)		
Triplochiton		30	58.55±4.83 ^b	67.32±12.32 ^a
scleroxylon	170	45	76.08±11.24 ^b	
		30	45.45±28.52 ^b	45.44±19.45 ^a
	190	45	45.45 ± 7.16^{b}	
	Control		52.41±18.21 ^b	52.41±18.2 ^b
Gmelina		30	77.09 ± 10.57^{a}	78.53±9.90 °
Arborea	170	45	79.99±10.19 ^a	
		30	71.91±11.85 ^a	74.52±10.75 ^{ab}
	190	45	77.14±10.12 ^a	
	Control		45.76±4.97 ^a	45.76±4.97 ^b
Hevea		30	66.80±4.93 ^b	63.83±10.52ª
brasiliensis	170	45	$62.64{\pm}14.88^{b}$	
		30	59.02±13.83 ^b	58.17±13.74 ^a
	190	45	57.31±15.23 ^b	
	Control		61.11±6.63 ^b	61.11±16.63 ^a

Table 4: Modulus of Rupture of treated wood samples against Temperature and time

DISCUSSION

Physical properties of treated wood samples Density of treated wood samples against temperature and time

The density of the selected wood species did not follow a definite pattern as the density of G. arborea obtained at both temperature were lower than the untreated samples. Also, T. scleroxylon and G. arborea decreased with an increase in temperature while *H. brasiliensis* at 170°C and 190°C increased with an increase in This corroborates with the work of time. Borrega and Kärenlampi (2008); Gunduz et al. (2019) who confirmed that the density of thermally modified wood at high temperature decreases as noticed in **Triplochiton** scleroxylon. The density of H. brasiliensis wood was higher than G. arborea while Triplochiton scleroxylon had the least mean density value which agrees with the findings of Sulaiman and Lin (1989) who stated that there was an increase in the density of G. arborea as temperature increased. This also consulates

with Cown (1974) who conducted a test on three batches of G. arborea, where batch C increased in density and was fairly uniform from the base to the top of the tree. The density of the *H. brasiliensis* increased as temperature and time increased. This is in line with the statement of Lee et al., (2017) who stated that the mean H. brasiliensis density of wood treated using a hot press increased in comparison with control samples. The increase in mean *H. brasiliensis* wood density with time may be a result of age which agrees with Izekor et al., (2010) that wood density increases with an increase in age. Since the mean density of the three wood species ranges between 300 -700 kg/m³. This shows that they were all light wood and therefore will not be able to support heavy structures as density is a good indicator of strength in wood (Izekor et al., 2010).

Mechanical properties of treated wood samples

Compressive strength decreased with increased temperature in *T. scleroxylon* except for *G*.

arborea and H. brasiliensis which increased with increased temperature. The compressive strength of G. arborea was higher than T. scleroxylon while H. brasiliensis had the least compressive strength when compared to the control. This aligns with the work of Ma'ruf et al. (2019) which explained that compressive strength at all treatment temperature levels in Cocus nucifera and Gmelina arborea woods decreased when the treatment temperature increased. This could be associated with the variations in some parts of wood used since samples were obtained from planks from various sources. The analysis of variance showed that there was a significant difference between the compressive strength of T. scleroxylon and G. arborea. Gmelina arborea was observed to have high compressive strength when compared to T. scleroxylon and H. brasiliensis. Compression Strength Parallel grain has been classified according to Farmer, (1972), as very low, low, medium, high, and very high when the strength values are under 20 N/mm², ranging from 20- 35N/mm², 35-55N/mm², 55-85 N/mm² and over 85N/mm² respectively. Since the three wood species were below 55, it means the wood has a low compression strength

Modulus of Rupture and Modulus of Elasticity of treated wood samples

Modulus of Rupture of T. scleroxylon and H. brasiliensis decreased in value when compared to the untreated samples. The MOE and MOR of H. brasiliensis also decreased with an increase in temperature and cooking time. This corroborates with the work of Owoyemi and Iyiola, (2016); Qiaofang et al,(2019) who stated that H. brasiliensis decreased with an increase in temperature and time. It was found that the MOR and MOE of Triplochiton scleroxylon and G. arborea increased with increasing temperature, which is in line with the work of Gennari et al (2020) only discovered an increase in static bending strength in untreated G. arborea samples. This agrees with the work of Owoyemi et al, (2016) who observed that as

REFERENCES

- Bal, B. C. (2013). Effect of heat treatment on the physical properties of heartwood and sapwood of *Cedrus libani Bioresources 8 (1): 211-219.*
- Boateng L., Ansong R., Owusu W. B., and Steiner-Asiedu M. (2016).Coconut oil

the treatment temperature increased, the MOR of thermally treated G. arborea increased in value. According to Farmer (1972), MOR is rated very low when is under 50 N/mm², and low if it ranges from 50-85 N/mm². Since the MORs for the three species were less than 85 but greater than 50, it can be inferred that they they performed differently after treatment at different temperatures. The modulus of elasticity of T. scleroxylon and G. arborea decreased as temperature increased which does not agree with the work of Owoyemi et al, (2016) that investigated the MOE of G. arborea increased with temperature and duration as H. brasiliensis MOE increased. This agreed with the work of Qiaofang, et al, (2019) who revealed an increase in the MOE of H. brasiliensis wood as temperature increased with time. Upton and Attah (2003) classified the strength of species based on the MOE at 12% moisture content indicating that MOE below 9,000 N/mm² is Low. Therefore, the three wood species used in this study have a low Modulus of Elasticity since all the values were less than 9000 N/mm².

CONCLUSION

This study has helped to investigate the impact of thermal modification on T. scleroxylon, G. arborea, and H. brasiliensis using palm kernel oil. It was observed that there was a comparable improvement in the density of T. scleroxylon and H. brasiliensis after treatment. The Modulus of Elasticity and Modulus of Rupture improved for all the species when treated at an increased temperature, however, continuous increment in temperature for the treatment of these species did not produce the desired results. Notwithstanding, this study found that the strength of these wood species can be improved by thermally modification. On the other hand, The effect of palm kernel oil treatment negatively impacted the compression strength for H. brasiliensis, and MOR for T. scleroxylon and H. brasiliensis, respectively which made the wood darker when the temperature was increased.

> and palm oil's role in nutrition, health and national development: A review. *Ghana Medical Journal*. 50(3): 189– 196.

Borrega, M., Karenlampi, P.P. (2008). Mechanical behavior of heat-treated spruce (*Picea abies*) wood at constant moisture content and ambient humidity. *Holz als Roh- und Werkstoff* 66(1): 63-69.

- Cown, D.J. (1974). Wood density of radiata pine: its variation and manipulation. *New Zealand Journal of Forestry* 19:84-92
- Esteves, B. M., and Pereira, H. M. (2009). "Wood modification by heat treatment: A

review," *BioResources* 4(1), 370-404.

- Farmer R.H (1972). Handbook of Hardwood. Second Edition. Her Majesty's Stationary Office London 2, 49-50pp
- Gennari E., Picchio R., Tocci D.and A. L. Monaco A. L.(2020).Modifications of Physical and Mechanical Characteristics Induced by Heat Treatment: Case Study on Ayous Wood (*Triplochiton scleroxylon K. Schum*). Environmental Science Proceedings.3,27.
- Gunduz, G., Aydemir, D., and Karakas, G. (2009): The effects of thermal treatment on the mechanical properties of wild pear (*Pyrus elaeagnifolia* Pall.) wood and changes in physical properties. Materials Design 30(10): 4391-4395.
- Hill, C.A.S. (2006). Wood modification: chemical, thermal and other processes. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, England. ISBN978-0-470-02172-9.
- Izekor, D.N., Fuwape, J.A and Oluyege, A.O (2010). Effects of density on variations in the mechanical properties of plantation grown *Tectona grandis* wood.Archives of Applied Science Research.2 (6): 113-120.
- Jamala, G.Y., Olubunmi, S.O, Mada, D.A and Abraham, P.(2013). Physical and Mechanical Properties of Selected Wood Species in Tropical Rainforest Ecosystem, Ondo State, Nigeria. IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science. Vol. 5,(3).PP 29-33
- Lee S. H., Lum W. C., Ashaari Z. Ruzanna F and Tan L. P., Mariusz M. and Chin K. L. (2017). Effect of post-thermal treatment on the density profile of rubber wood particleboard and its relation to mechanical properties. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 29 (1): 93–104 (2017)

- Ma'ruf S. D., Bakri S.1 FebryanoI G., SetiawanA., HaryantoA., SuriI F., Kim N H., and Hidayat W.(2019). Effects of Eco-friendly Hot Oil Treatment on the Wood Properties of *Gmelina aborea* and *Cocos nucifera* Advances in Engineering Research, volume 202 Proceedings of the International Conference on Sustainable Biomass
- Owoyemi, J.M. and Iyiola, E.A.(2016). Effects of Thermal Treatment on Selected Physical and Mechanical Properties of Rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) Wood. Applied Tropical Agriculture Volume 21, No.1, 190-195, 2016.
- Owoyemi J. M., Henry H., Adebayo, and Aladejana J. T. (2016). Physico-Mechanical Properties of Thermally Modified *Gmelina arborea* (Roxb.) Wood. Modern Environmental Science and Engineering. Volume 2, No. 10, pp. 691-700.
- Pincelli, A. L. P. S. M., Moura, L. F. and Brito, J. O. (2012). Effect of thermal rectification on colors of *Eucalyptus Saligna* and *Pinus caribaea* woods. Maderas. Ciencia y tecnología. 14 (2): 239-248.
- Qiaofang Z., Chuanfu C., Xiangyu Z., Dengyun T., and Kaifu L. (2019). The effect of thermal modification by hot pressing on the some physical and mechanical properties in rubberwood (*Hevea brasiliensis*).wood research 64 (2): 361-372
- Sulaiman A. and Lim S. G. (1989). Some Timber Characteristics of *Gmelina arborea* -Grown in a Plantation in Peninsula Malaysia. Journal of Tropical Forest Science. 2(2): 135-141.
- Upton, D. A. J., and Attah, A.(2003).Commercial timbers of Ghana – The potential for lesser used species.Forestry Commission of Ghana, Accra.pp6.
- Yiin C. L., Yusup S., Udomsap P., Yoosuk B., and Sukkasi S. (2014). Stabilization of Empty Fruit Bunch (EFB) derived Biooil using Antioxidants Computer Aided Chemical Engineering.vol 33. 223-228pp.