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Abstract · This study examined the readiness of Kampala International University Doctoral Students for open and distance education and related this readiness to gender, income and perceived user friendliness of ICT. Using a self-administered questionnaire, data were collected from 43 of these students and analysed using means, t-test and correlation analyses. The findings were that there is relationship between each of gender, income and perceived user friendliness of ICT and readiness for open and distance learning. However, only the relationship between perceived user friendliness of ICT and the said readiness was found to be statistically significant. Therefore, it was concluded that, if they are to be ready for open and distance education, the students deserve equal encouragement, exposure and training with respect to the use of ICT, notwithstanding their gender and income differentials.
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Introduction

Traditional university education entailed students and their teachers staying in one locality, engaging in what we may term “localized and closed education”. However due to the Digital Revolution, this is no longer the case. Students and their teachers are increasingly being challenged
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to engage in “open and distance education” involving several people in several locations in the world attending joint virtual classes. Such internationalized education is facilitated by ICT particularly the Internet. Being an effective student in such an international context calls for a student to be electronically ready (e-ready) that is to be able to use ICT resources such as Internet.

The questions for this study were: how ready were doctoral students in Kampala International University (KIU) for open and distance education in so far as they used the Internet? How did this readiness differ with gender, income level and perceived user friendliness as suggested by innovation diffusion and adoption theorists such as Rogers (2003)? In answer, this paper reports on a survey carried out with the purpose of not only establishing levels of readiness of doctoral students in the University for Open and Distance education in so far as they used the Internet but also to link the same to gender, income level and perceived user friendliness.

**Literature**

**Gender and Readiness for Innovations**

Gender comprises a range of differences between men and women, extending from the biological to the social roles a woman has to play like caring for the children, cooking, fetching water and firewood, in addition to cultivation. Ssekiboobo (1995 cited in Basiisa, 1999) argues that such roles may hinder her from easily adopting to technology use. According to Kato (2000), the marginalization of women in regard to technology adoption and transfer is reinforced by the African cultural system which requires women to remain at home while husbands attend seminars, yet they do not always teach women what they have learnt in extension meetings.

Women do not have accessibility to the key productive resources such as capital, as well as being underprivileged in education and knowledge. Mwebesa (1997) observes that technological changes are not usually aimed at women at all, and that large scale development projects and their attendant technology rarely include policy regarding women; that sexist bias was the most important factor explaining the inability of women to take advantage of new technology offered; that appropriate technology programmes reveal that many projects do not achieve positive results for women’s lives; that in many projects, even technology introduced for the benefit of women has been co-opted by men for their own use.

**Income Level and Readiness for Innovations**

On the importance of income in innovation adoption, Schiffman and Kanuk (2004) observe that “consumers innovators have… higher personal or family incomes, and are more likely to have higher occupational statuses… than late adopters or non-innovators” (p. 538). According to Morales-Gomez and Melesse (1998), access to Internet and other ICTs is only open to a small fraction of the population, a phenomenon which is a function of income; Internet users tend to have above average income. They further assert that the situation is even more dramatic in developing countries where the income gap is exorbitant; where literacy rates are remarkably lower; and where the users of telecommunication technologies are likely to belong to modern elite.

**Perceived User Friendliness and Readiness for Innovations**

User friendliness (or usability, ease of use or non-complexity) is the degree to which an innovation is perceived as relatively easy to understand and use (Rogers, 2003). Lunkuse (2004) observes that technology users perceive a technology as user-friendly if it is easy to learn, become skilful, flexible and is controllable. Dawa (2004) refers to the ease of use of an innovation as its usability, quoting the International Standards Organisation (ISO 9241-11) as defining usability as “the extent to which a product can be used by specified users to achieve specified goals with effectiveness, efficiency and satisfaction in a given context” (Dawa, 2004: 43). Eason (1988) defines usability of a system as the system offering its functionality in such a way that the planned users will be able to master and exploit it without undue strain on their capacities and skills. It is in this domain that the importance of user friendliness, ease of use and ease of learning are usually emphasized. The
complexity of an innovation, as perceived by members of a social system, is negatively related to its rate of use (Rogers, 2003).

**Hypotheses**
From the literature, the research hypothesized that gender related to readiness for open and distance education in such a way that males were better. Each of income level and perceived user friendliness was postulated to be a positive correlate of readiness for open and distance education.

**Methods**
Using a quantitative correlational survey design, data were collected using a self-administered questionnaire with questions or items of relevance in this paper, namely a question on gender and income level. The questionnaire had three items on perceived user friendliness of ICT ($\alpha = 0.912$) and eight items on use of Internet ($\alpha = 0.859$), and hence readiness for open and distance education. The questionnaire was reliable for the study as both alpha coefficients were above 0.5 (Cronbach, 1971). Using the said questionnaire, data were collected from a sample of 43 doctoral students in Kampala International University. The data were analysed at univariate level using means. Hypotheses were then tested using two bivariate analyses, namely t test and correlation analyses.

**Findings and Discussion**

**Background of Respondents**
Of the 43 respondents, according to specialisation, Education Management contributed biggest (32.6%), followed by Business Management and Public Management each contributing 27.9%; and trailed by Management information Systems (11.6%). In terms of age, the majority (53.9%) were aged between 30 and 40 years, followed by those below 30 years (33.3%), and the rest (12.8%) were above 40 years of age. With regard to gender, males (76.7%) dominated females (23.3%), while regarding income level, most respondents perceived themselves as being of medium income (81.0%), followed by 16.7% of low income and one (2.4%) of high income. Regarding possession of qualification in ICT, the majority (64.3%) held none, vis-à-vis 35.7% with one. With respect to current job, the majority (50.0%) were both teachers and administrators, followed by 40.5% in teaching only and the rest (9.5%) in administration.

**Readiness for Open and Distance Education**
The dependent variable in the study, readiness for open and distance education, conceptualized as level of use of Internet, was a multi-dimensional variable made of eight items, each scaled 1 = Very rarely or never, including never heard of it; 2 = Rarely use; 3 = Neither rarely nor regularly; 4 = Regularly; and 5 = Very regularly. Pertinent means are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator of Readiness: Level of Use of...</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Level of Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>Regular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web surfing</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>Regular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulletin board, mailing lists and discussion groups</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>Neither rare nor regular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer conferencing systems</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>Rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video conferencing systems</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>Rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic journals and newsletters</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>Neither rare nor regular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic databases</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>Rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-line library catalogues</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>Rare</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Table 1, the only Internet facilities with appreciable levels of use, were email and web surfing in that order, suggesting low levels of use of Internet by the respondents, and hence low levels of readiness for open and distance education. An overall average index (“Ready” from the eight items in Table 1) had a mean = 2.86, which suggested that overall, respondents neither rarely
nor regularly used Internet facilities, and hence had fair levels of readiness for open and distance education. This finding was at least partially in line with those of earlier researchers who found low levels of utilization of computers by students in universities in Uganda. For example, Nassanga (2001) found that students in Makerere hardly participate in ICT usage and management. Gakibayo (2001) established low levels of use of Internet by students in Mbarara University of Science and Technology, while Wakanyasi (2002) found the same true in Nkumba University. Pertinent conclusions and recommendations are also given hypothesis by hypothesis:

**Gender and Readiness for Open and Distance Education**

The first hypothesis was that gender related to readiness for open and distance education or use of Internet, with males being better. Summary statistics and t-test results there from, are given in Table 2.

| Table 2: Statistics and t Test on Readiness for Open and Distance Education by Gender |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------|------|-----|-----|
| Gender    | Count | Mean | Std Dev | T    | P   |
| Female    | 10    | 3.06 | 0.88    | 0.877| 0.387|
| Male      | 27    | 2.79 | 0.80    |      |      |

Although means in Table 2 suggested that females were more ready for open and distance education than males, the pertinent t value was small (p > 0.05). Thus at the five percent, the null hypothesis was upheld. While the study finding negated the pertinent hypothesis, it was similar to that of Ehikhamenor (1999), but disagreed with others (e.g., Mburu, Massimo and Mutua, 2000). The possible explanation for the anomalous study finding is that levels of readiness for open and distance education or use of Internet could be so low among doctoral students in the University that they cut across the gender divide. The study thus concluded that any assistance with respect to Internet resources should be availed to females and males equally. Hence the recommendation that relevant stakeholders (such as the Directorate of ICT) give both female and male doctoral students in the University, equal training, exposure and/ or encouragement with respect to Internet resources.

**Income Level and Readiness for Open and Distance Education**

The second hypothesis in the study was that income level was positively related with readiness for open and distance education or use of Internet. Respondents were thus to rate themselves on income on a scale where 1 = Low; 2 = Medium; and 3 = High. However, only one individual gave a self-rating in the third category, prompting the writers of this report to ignore that respondent, leaving only two categories of respondents. Table 3 gives pertinent summary statistics and t-test results.

| Table 3: Statistics and t Test on Readiness for Open and Distance Education by Income Level |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------|------|-----|-----|
| Income Level | Count | Mean | Std Dev | t    | P   |
| Low         | 6     | 3.13 | 0.70    | 0.806| 0.426|
| Medium      | 30    | 2.83 | 0.85    |      |      |

According to means in Table 3, readiness for open and distance education seemed to fall with income, which however was not supported by the small F value (p > 0.05). Thus the null hypothesis was accepted at the five percent level of significance. Not only was this finding inconsistent with the study hypothesis, but also inconsistent with findings of several other studies (e.g., Matovu, 2003; Nafuna, 2002). The finding challenged the theoretical assertion that the higher the income, the easier it is for an individual to acquire personal ICT facilities, and to get informal exposure to ICT through ICT magazines and newspapers (Moralez-Gomez and Melesse, 1998).

The possible explanation for this could be that students with more financial ability to acquire Internet facilities tend to be older, making their advanced age to militate against eagerness to go in for the said resources. The opposite may be true for less financially able students. This inconclusive debate raises a gap for future researchers to consider. In the interim however, the study concluded that all doctoral aspirants in the University irrespective of income level deserve same treatment in the area of readiness for open and distance education or use of Internet. Hence the recommendation that Top Management and Directorate of ICT and other relevant stakeholders give all of them equal pertinent training, exposure and/ or encouragement, regardless of their income level.
**Perceived User Friendliness of ICT and Readiness for Innovations**

Perceived user friendliness of ICT was conceptualized as three items, pertaining to perceived ease of use of new hardware, software and communication facilities, respectively. All items or questions were Likert-scaled in such a way that a minimum of 1 stood for the worst case scenario (i.e. 1 = Strongly disagree), 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree to a maximum of 5 which stood for the best case scenario (i.e. 5 = Strongly agree). Table 4 gives descriptive statistics there from.

**Table 4: Means on Perceived User Friendliness of ICT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators of User Friendliness</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I find it easy to learn to use new hardware</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Mean = 3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I find it easy to learn to use new software</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I find it easy to learn to use new communication facilities</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means in Table 4 suggested that respondents perceived communication facilities easiest to use (Mean = 3.62, corresponding to “Agree”), followed by hardware (Mean = 3.49, corresponding to “Neutral”) and lastly software (Mean = 3.40, corresponding to “Neutral”). However, overall, the respondents had a rather positive perception of user friendliness of ICT (Overall mean = 3.50, corresponding to “Agree”). Pearson’s linear correlation coefficient (PLCC) of the two numerical indexes (“Ready” and “Ufriend” from Tables 1 and 4 respectively) turned out to be $r = 0.381$, $p = 0.022$ indicating a positive co-relation ($r > 0$) which indeed was big ($p < 0.05$) leading to rejection of the null hypothesis and acceptance of the alternative hypothesis to the effect that perceived user friendliness significantly positively related with use of Internet and hence readiness for open and distance education at five percent significance level.

This finding was in agreement with other researchers, such as Kiiza (2003) who empirically established that perceived ease of use was a major factor in acceptance of automatic teller machines; and Mugweri (2000) who established that perceived user friendliness curtailed implementation of networks in the Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development in Uganda. The conclusion was that the more doctoral students perceived ICT to be user friendly, the more they will use the Internet, and hence the more ready they will be for open and distance education. Hence the recommendation that the University (especially Directorate of ICT), enhance perceived user friendliness of ICT by doctoral students via ICT training.
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