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**Abstract:** Kirkeby’s *English–Swahili Dictionary* is a bilingual dictionary of more than 50,000 entries. The most laudable feature of the dictionary is its attempt to be user-friendly especially in the way the entry words have been arranged and the amount of information given. However, a clear objective for the compilation of the dictionary is lacking. The compilers do not seem to know the lexicographical gap they want to fill, the users they are targeting, and their dictionary-using skills. In discussing the strong and weak points of the dictionary, the article will refer to theories of dictionary criticism. Three criteria set by McMillan (1949) will guide this review article: (1) the quantity of the information in the dictionary; (2) the quality of the information presented; and (3) the effectiveness of the presentation of the information. Questions posed in the course of this article will include: Does the dictionary give the information required by the user? Is the information transparently accessible? How is the information presented?
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1. **Introduction**

Throughout its long history, the dictionary has always been an object of criticism and it is this practice that helped it to grow from its beginning as a glos-
sary to a book of multi-linguistic and multi-cultural information like James Murray's *Oxford English Dictionary*. Some of the early recorded dictionary critics as quoted in Landau (1984: 48-56) include Addison who suggested an English dictionary with quotations from literature. Many critics of 18th century English dictionaries such as Swift, Defoe, Pope, and others, proposed a dictionary attempting to survey and record the English language. Johnson (1755) took into account proposals made by these critics and introduced into his dictionary illustrative quotations as evidence of how a word is used in its different contexts and meanings. Johnson had his critics too. Richardson and Trench, as quoted in Mathews (1933: 63-65) criticized Johnson and others for not adhering to the principles of historical lexicography. It is on the basis of these comments that James Murray with others compiled the *Oxford English Dictionary* according to the principles of historical lexicography. Modern dictionary critics include Lemmens and Wekker (1986) who reviewed grammar in English learner's dictionaries in which they pointed out flaws and inconsistencies in entering grammar in these dictionaries. Recommendations made by the critics helped to improve the treatment of grammar in the *Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary of Current English*, the *Longman Dictionary of Contemporary English*, the two volumes of the *Oxford Dictionary of Current Idiomatic English* and the *Longman Dictionary of Phrasal Verbs*. Critical reviews of the coding system of the dictionaries and proposals for an adequate coding system helped much to improve the coding system used in presenting various kinds of grammatical information.

The value of a dictionary is not based on the size of the dictionary alone (Ilson 1988: 10) but also on other more important factors. Jackson (1998: 28) puts these in question form: Is the information required by the user present in the dictionary and is it transparently accessible? How is the information presented?

The following three criteria for evaluating dictionaries set forth by McMillan (1949) will be used in discussing Kirkeby's *English–Swahili Dictionary*:

1. The quantity of information the dictionary offers. This includes the number of entries, meanings, new words (when compared with its competitors), synonyms, pronunciation, etymology and the use of subject and usage labels.

2. The quality of the information presented in the dictionary. The aspects to examine here are:
   
   a. the accuracy of the information,
   b. the completeness of the information,
   c. the clearness of the information,
   d. the simplicity in presenting the information, i.e. the information should be rendered in such a way that it can easily be deciphered, and
(e) the modernity of the dictionary, i.e. the number of current words in
the language which has been included in the dictionary.

(3) The effectiveness of the presentation of the information. This in-
cludes the order of arranging entries, the placement of etymology, the ordering
of senses, and the presentation of pronunciation. Also important is the typogra-
phy.

McMillan notes that any thorough review should also examine the essays in the
front matter and the appendices in the back matter. It is important that a dic-
tionary review should further answer the following questions: What are the
purposes of the particular dictionary? What are its properties? How is its con-
tent presented and how are the information categories structured?

2. Kirkeby's dictionary

If a dictionary were to be judged by the number of its printed pages alone, the
number of entries it purportedly contains according to the blurb, the informa-
tion categories it has and the size of the paper used in printing it, Kirkeby's
dictionary would undoubtedly have been the largest English–Swahili diction-
ary. It has 1 069 pages in comparison with the 924 pages of TUKI (1996). The
largest Swahili dictionary is the Swahili–French dictionary of Sacleux (1939)
with 1 112 pages. Kirkeby (2000) is the first English–Swahili dictionary that
offers a pronunciation guide for English words. Even the paper size is also the
largest. Unlike TUKI or Johnson or many English dictionaries whose page
numbering in Arabic numerals only begins with the main text, Kirkeby starts
off with Arabic numbering from the first page so that the main section of the
dictionary only begins on page 13.

Kirkeby (2000) is a replica of an English–Norwegian dictionary by the same
compiler. The project started in 1997 when Willy Kirkeby and his assistants/
consultants began to replace the Norwegian text with a Swahili text (see Pref-
ace). The dictionary was completed in two and a half years. In addition to sev-
eral assistants, the compiler had the help of a Swahili novelist, a teacher and a
Swahili expert who acted as proof reader. Kirkeby is a prolific dictionary com-
piler. He has to his credit ten other dictionaries which were compiled between
six were Norwegian–English while four were English–Norwegian, one of
which was used in compiling this English–Swahili dictionary.

Kirkeby does not explicitly identify the user profile of his dictionary ex-
cept mentioning nurses complaining that they had no dictionary to look up
medical terms they came across in textbooks (see Preface). It is important for
any dictionary project to identify the users, the information the users need and
if they can understand such information. The lexicographer has therefore to
answer the following questions: Who will use the dictionary? What will they use it for? What are their reference skills and what are their expectations? It is important to recall the observation of Barnhart (1962: 161): "It is the function of a popular dictionary to answer the questions that the user of the dictionary asks, and dictionaries on the commercial market will be successful in proportion to the extent to which they answer these questions of the buyer."

Dictionary writing should not be driven by commercial factors alone, e.g. the potential size of the market and current competitor dictionaries in the market, but should be designed with a special set of users and their specific needs in mind. Apart from being guided by the three aspects of evaluation outlined in par. 1, the following discussion will especially consider the needs of the two main user groups for whom this dictionary is possibly meant: English learners of Swahili and Swahili learners of English.

3. Entries in Kirkeby

Kirkeby estimates that his dictionary contains 60 000 entries within the 1 047 pages of the main dictionary text. But a rough estimate shows that the dictionary may contain a little more than 50 000 entries. The entries are arranged in alphabetical order. An entry in this dictionary could be a basic form, a derived or inflectional form of the basic form, a compound word or verbal phrase and fixed expressions whose first member is the headword. For example:

(1) accident, accidental, accidentally, accidental death, accident write-off.

All these entries are in bold-face. In addition to this, some phrasal terms in bold-face have also been entered as run-ons. For example:

(2) tablet … n 1. … kidoge (vi-); sleeping ~ …; suckable ~ …
   I. track … n 1. alama (-) (of ya); cover one's -s …; colloq: stop dead in one's -s …; off the beaten ~ …

It seems as if the dictionary has entered as many word combinations (compound words) of the headword as possible. Although this could be seen as a good practice, the question remains: Which of these are essential in a dictionary of this size? Of the word family 24 combinations are given (see p. 339), all of which are entered as headwords.

(3) family allowance, family bliss, family business, Family Division, family doctor, family estate, family feeling, family friend, family grouping, family heirlooms, family house, family income supplement, family influence, family law, family likeness, family lines, family man, family name, family planning, family responsibilities, family room, family saga, family silver, family tree.

Are these combinations sufficient? And could further ones not be added indefinitely? For example:
(4) family Bible, family car, family dictionary, family loss, family mosque, family school, family table, family teacher.

This question is asked, not because the inclusion of indefinite word combinations is propagated, but because there seems to be too many superfluous entries.

Other compound words entered as headwords include those with air (81 combinations), aircraft (13), advertising (19), black (27), blood (51), body (29), book (29), chief (34), child/children (37), Christmas (27), church (13), film (36), fire (61), hair (48), half (80), hard (72), home (66), etc.

By giving every lexical item as a main headword, too much valuable space has been wasted. Furthermore, the so-called "senses" of a headword are all moved to the left side of a column, each beginning on a new line regardless of whether the last line of the definition of a "sense" is completely filled or not. So entry words like get with 53 "senses" or make with 38 "senses" plus 23, the so-called "various connections", add up to 61 unfilled lines for make alone. The entry word take has a total of 128 cm of unused space. If one line of a column of the dictionary covers 6.5 cm this means that the unused space for the entry take equals 19 (i.e. 128 cm ÷ 6.5) lines. A random selection of two pages of the dictionary reveals that page 437 has 130 cm and page 928 has 108 cm of unused space which equals 17 lines per page. Statistically the dictionary has 17 799 (i.e. 1 047 pages x 17) unused lines. Translated into pages the unused space equals 59 (i.e. 17 799 lines ÷ 150 lines per 2 columns of a page = 118 columns ÷ 2) pages. So if all the space had been utilized, the dictionary would have been reduced to 988 (1 047 – 59) pages.

The dictionary was made unnecessarily voluminous. Although by giving headword status to all derived, inflected and compound words, the compiler might have attempted to user-friendliness, this was done at the expense of wasted space whose cost the user will have to bear, because the bigger the dictionary, the more expensive it is.

It is not necessary to enter every combination a word can have but only a few combinations, especially those whose meanings are opaque, i.e. those whose meanings cannot be deduced from the meanings of the words that form them. Combinations like those of family given under (3) and even those of home listed under (5) should not all have been entered in the dictionary because the meanings of many can easily be deduced from the meanings of their component parts.

(5) home address: anwani ya nyumbani
    home entertainment: burudani ya nyumbani
    home life: maisha ya nyumbani
    home lover: mtu anayependa sana nyumbani
    home loving: -enye kupenda sana nyumbani

The word home has 66 independent headwords which are either derivatives of home or compound words whose one member is home (see p. 461-462).
Compared with Kirkeby, TUKI (1996) has almost the same lexical stock. The only difference is that TUKI has been more prudent in selecting and arranging entries. As a result TUKI has fewer main entries because most of the word combinations are entered as run-on entries as shown by the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aa–</td>
<td>Ff–</td>
<td>Gg–</td>
<td>Mm–</td>
<td>Tt–</td>
<td>Uu–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUKI</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirkeby</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the entry words under A, between Aa and advocate, are compared, it is found that TUKI has all the items in Kirkeby except the following 77:

(6) aardvark, aardwolf, abbacy, abbr(ev), ABC, abele, abnormally, aborticide, abortificient n, abortificient adj, about-ship, abreast, abreaction, abseil, absinth(e), acacia, a cappella, accepted, access key, accessories department, acclaim, clamatory, acclimatization, accompanying, account-balancing, account customer, account executive, account holder, accounting, accounting cost, accounting item, accounting machine, accounting system, accounting term, account manager, accounts analysis, accrued, accumulative, accusing, AC/DC, ace, acerbate, acescent, acetone, acetyl, acetyl-salicylic, achlamydeous, achromatic, achromatism, acicular, acid-base balance, acid count, acid drops, acid-fast, acidhead, acoustic homing, acoustically, acoustic image, acoustic nerve, acoustic perfume, acquaintance, acropolis, across-the-board, actionable, action committee, action-packed, action programme, action replay, activation, activator, actress, actuator, acupuncture

Kirkeby, on the other hand, lacks the following lexical items found in TUKI (1996) between Aa–advocate:

(7) abb, ablate, abnegation, A-bomb, abcise, absentmindedly, absent oneself, absorption, abusively, abusiveness, abyssmal, Abyssinia, academicals, academician, accelerando, accidence, accordant, accretion, accretibilities, acriflavine.

As regards the method of presenting entries which share a common basic form, TUKI treats all such items as run-on entries to the main entry word as shown in (8).

(8) accept vt 1 pokea. ~ bribe pokea rushwa. 2 kubali, kiri. ~ a fact kubali ukweli. ~able adj. ~ability n ukubalifu. ~ance n kukubali, ridhaa.

Suffixes ~able, ~ability and ~ance represent the verbal derivatives of accept, namely acceptable, acceptability and acceptance.
This is in contrast with Kirkeby who enters them all as main entry words as shown in (9) below:

(9) accept ... vb 1. -kubali (ex sby’s excuse; an invitation; a task; terms) -pokea (ex students) - the responsibility -kubali (or -pokea) jukumu (or wajibu); …
2. (= put up with) -stahimili; -vumilia;
3. com: -kubali.

acceptability ... n ukubalifu
acceptable ... adj: -a kukubalika; -a kupokewa; -a kukaribisha; …
acceptance ... n 1. kukubali; ridhaa; …
2. com: makubaliano; kuridhika; kukiri; kukubali; …
accepted ... adj 1. -enye kukubalika (ex truth; rule); …

4. Lexicographical categories

After the selection and presentation of headwords have been looked at, the lexicographical categories given under these headwords can now be examined. The reference needs of foreign learners are basically two: receptive and productive, and any information given should try to meet these needs. The information usually found in a dictionary entry is of four types: 1. Internal information which presents facts about the lexicographical unit: the orthography, pronunciation and form of the headword. The form includes derivational and inflectional aspects as well as compound forms which make up one lexical item. 2. External information provides facts about its relationships with other lexicographical units. In this information, the syntax of a word is shown, especially the obligatory combinations. 3. Usage information gives facts about the way the lexicographical unit is used. This aspect deals with the context in which the entry word is used in ordinary linguistic discourse, revealing its grammatical and semantic meanings. 4. Etymological information provides facts about the history of the headword which includes the historical development from its origin to its current form, and the development of its senses.

Kirkeby makes available the following lexicographical information for the headwords: orthography, pronunciation, grammatical information, usage labels which include status labels, subject or domain labels, regional labels and time labels. Other information categories are meaning and illustrative examples. Etymological information is not provided. Kirkeby does not disclose the function of his dictionary, but from its content it can be deduced that it has decoding and encoding, i.e. receptive and productive functions respectively. It also gives technical language from some specialized fields such as botany, zoology, physics, etc. All this information is condensed in a pedagogical bilingual dictionary for English learners. There is no doubt that the compiler wanted to meet all the needs of different categories of prospective users of the dictionary. But the desire to serve the diverse needs of these users makes the different categories of this dictionary virtually incompatible, often leaving the users very dissatisfied. The information categories can now be examined separately.
4.1 Orthography

The dictionary gives variant spellings of some headwords and variations in British and American English, e.g. haulier (US: hauler), and hardware shop (US: hardware store). This is normal practice in lexicography, and the examples have been treated properly except that the variants and the English American variations which are on a par with the headwords, are given in italics instead of the bold type used for the headwords.

Many word combinations or compound words are entered as headwords. Most of them are written as two separate words while others are joined by a hyphen and some are spelt as a single word. For example:

(10) (a) mail sack n, mail order n, mail carrier n, mailing list n, male chauvinism n, male chauvinist n, male dominance n, main line n, head teacher n, honey badger n

(b) mail-order firm n, male-dominated adj, male-chauvinist adj, man-day n, man-eater n, man-hour n, man-hungry n, man-made adj, man-hunting adj, man-labour adj, holiday-maker n, home-brew n, home-brewed adj, home-loving adj

(c) mailbag n, mailbox n, mailman n, manhandled adj, manhandle vb, mainstream n, mainframe n, mainline vb, homelover n, homesick adj, headmistress n, headmaster n, honeybee n, honeybird n

If the word combinations in (10)(a)–(c) are studied, it is unclear why the compound words are presented in different spellings. Why, for example, are mail sack, mail carrier and mail van written as two words while mailbag, mailbox and mailman as one word, especially when bearing in mind that mail sack and mailbag are synonyms as is the case with mail carrier and mailman, both meaning postman. Likewise the adjectives male-dominated and male-chauvinist are joined by a hyphen while the nouns male chauvinist, male dominance and main line are written as two words, and mainframe and mainstream are written as one word without a hyphen. Although some adjectival forms are joined by hyphens as can be seen in (10)(b), nominal forms like man-eater and man-hour are also joined by hyphens. Forms written as one word without a hyphen cut across all grammatical categories: adjectives (homesick and manhandled), nouns (homelover and honeybee) and verbs (manhandle and mainline).

4.2 Pronunciation

Pronunciation in a dictionary is rendered in phonetic symbols which represent the sounds of a language. Provision of the symbols is based on the assumption that the user has already mastered the sound inventory of the target language and is able to produce the acceptable sounds as they occur in familiar words. Transcribing phonetic symbols to represent the sounds of a word is difficult to
Kirkeby uses the phonetic symbols of the IPA to represent the different sounds in English words, e.g. /a:/, /æ/, /ʌ/, /e/, /θ/, /ð/, /ŋ/, /z/, /s/ etc. To be able to decipher these symbols one needs a pronunciation guide. Kirkeby's guide is not very useful in helping to pronounce the words. One would have expected that, since this is a dictionary intended to help Tanzanians whose "knowledge of English has greatly deteriorated" (see Preface), the compiler would have made a serious study of Swahili words in order to find suitable examples for producing certain sounds instead of referring to English, German or French words as shown in (11).

\[(11) \text{x in words like 'loch' and 'ugh' is pronounced like ch in the German word 'nach'.}
\]

\[\sim \text{above a vowel indicates nasalisation (only in some French words).}\]

How can Tanzanian English learners be expected to use German or French to help them with the pronunciation of English words? One would even question whether a non-linguist Tanzanian can really make any distinction between the following set of vowels: /a:/ and /æ/, /e/ and /ɛ/, /o/ and /ou/, or /u/ and /ʌ/ as in the following example:

\[(12) \text{far-flung} \quad [\text{fa:'flʌŋ}]\]

Likewise it is difficult for them to decipher phonetic symbols such as /ŋ/, /θ/, /ð/, /ʃ/, /ʒ/, /s/ and /dʒ/.

A lexicographer intending to provide this information has to think of how best to help the users. Indeed he has to be sure whether dictionary users will actually need this information. Lexicographical research has shown that pronunciation is information that users look up the least in a dictionary.

However, the guide would have been meaningful if examples of Swahili were used. For example: ng' as in ng'ombe could have been used instead of the phonetic symbol /ŋ/, th as in thamani instead of /θ/, dh as in dhana instead of /ð/ and kh as in khatibu instead of /x/. The Swahili phonetic equivalents would have been more meaningful to the Swahili learner than the IPA. Although this would have been breaking away from the tradition, it is user-friendly as far as the Swahili English learner is concerned. It should be recalled that the English dictionary had also used the respelling method before the IPA was adopted. This can be done if one is willing to involve consultants in the field. The native speakers, journalists and amateur lexicographers used as consultants by Kirkeby have limitations.

When one looks at the pronunciation guide and the pronunciation symbols in the entries, it becomes obvious that the information was copied from another dictionary disregarding its relevance for this specific dictionary.

Further examination of the other symbols in the guide reveals some pho-
nological information such as main stress, secondary stress and nasalisation which does not form part of the general knowledge of the anticipated users and cannot be deciphered by them. This is known only to students of phonology. Provision of a pronunciation guide requires the relevance of the symbols for the anticipated users, the users’ ability to interpret these symbols and the lexicographer’s innovation of the pronunciation guide to make it suitable for the users.

4.3 Grammar

Grammar is one of the important information categories in a dictionary, especially one designed for learners of a language.

4.3.1 Grammatical categorization

Grammatical information is more useful to the learner of a foreign language than to the native speaker. Pedagogical and bilingual dictionaries provide more grammatical information than those for native speakers (Landau 1984). Information given in a dictionary should be helpful to the user and the user should not be confused with obscure conventions. More pragmatic information is needed about how people communicate with each other and more attention must be given to the structure of the spoken language (Sinclair 1987).

Most of the headwords in this dictionary have been classified and labeled with their word-class markers, viz. noun, verb, adjective, adverb etc., but some headwords have not been marked:

(13) tax arrears, tax avoidance, tax bill, tax burden, tax certificate, tax concession, tax consultant, tax collector, tax dodger, tax evader, tax expert, jack plug, jack point, jack tar, jack towel, jet engine

The following items with similar forms have, however, been classified and labelled:

(14) tax-exempt n, tax-finding n, tax-free adj, rag-and-bone-man n, jack-in-the-box n, jack-in-house n, jack-of-all-trades n, jet-propelled adj, jet-black adj

There are no linguistic explanations that discriminate (13) from (14). Although one could argue that the examples under (14) (with a hyphen) tend to develop towards (becoming) one-word compounds, it could still be argued that the two sets (with or without a hyphen) do not differ because all of them are lexical units representing one concept just like single lexical units.

4.3.2 Subgrammatical categorization

Subgrammatical categorization is very important for a pedagogical dictionary. It is not enough to classify words into seven or eight categories as if words in
each category behave the same morphologically and syntactically, especially the major categories viz. nouns, verbs and adjectives. Language learners need to be informed whether a noun is countable or uncountable and whether it has a plural and what the plural is. Users need to be told whether a verb is transitive or intransitive and what its conjugation forms are. Information is also needed about attributive and predicative adjectives or their comparative and superlative forms. Morphological aspects of lexical items such as inflections and derivational affixes is furthermore important information that should be given.

Kirkeby’s dictionary lacks information about verbal conjugation in its entries. This information is necessary if it is to be used efficiently for production purposes. Kirkeby does indicate plural inflections for the irregular nouns, e.g. wife (wives), thief (thieves), but this has not been done consistently. Some nouns e.g. directory, fly, family etc., do not have their plural inflections indicated. Moreover, the compiler does not mark nouns which are countable and those which are uncountable, cf. furniture, sympathy, butter, water, etc. This information is useful to learners of English because it helps them to select the appropriate agreement between a noun and the verb.

As for the comparative and superlative forms of adjectives, the dictionary is very inconsistent. At some adjectives like big and bad this information is given while many adjectives such as fast, poor, pretty, quick, safe, tall, thin etc. lack this information. Even the irregular adjectives which do not take -er and -est but are preceded by more and most for the comparative and superlative forms such as beautiful, handsome, competent, etc. have also not been provided with this information. Even the so-called regular forms undergo morphological changes when the comparative and superlative inflections are suffixed to them, hence it is important to indicate them. For example:

(15) tall, taller, tallest; safe, safer, safest; pretty, prettier, prettiest; thin, thinner, thinnest; beautiful, more beautiful, most beautiful; competent, more competent, most competent; little, less, least.

The adjectival examples show forms which take ~er and ~est; others take ~r and ~st or ~ier and ~iest while still others double the last consonant and then add ~er and ~est.

Because all this information is important for the English learner, a pedagogical dictionary should supply it. Kirkeby completely neglected this information.

4.3.3 Swahili grammatical information

Kirkeby has attempted to enter some Swahili grammatical aspects in the Swahili equivalents of the English headwords. These are the plural affix of nouns. For example:

(16) friend n rafiki (ma-); hand n kiganja (vi-) cha mkono
The affixes in brackets after the Swahili equivalents (see rafiki and kiganja under (16)) are the plural affixes of the headwords. This means that the plural of rafiki is marafiki and that of kiganja is viganja. Legere (1990) has done the same in his dictionary. This is relevant only when dealing with single lexical units like rafiki and not with multilexical units like kiganja cha mkono because, when this lexical unit changes into plural every item in it is affected, as shown in (17).

(17) kiganja cha mkono n > viganja vya mikono

There is no viganja cha mkono as implied in (16).

If the compiler felt that it was necessary to show the plural form of the Swahili noun, he should have considered how best to deal with this type of lexical unit. Should all the plural affixes and concordial agreements for the headword be indicated as in (18)?

(18) kiganja cha mkono n (vi- vy- mi-)

Or should he rewrite the whole multi-lexica l unit with its plural prefixes as in (19) if he feels that the affixes are not communicative enough?

(19) kiganja cha mkono n (viganja vya mikono)

Alternatively, the plural prefixes can be eliminated and instead an elaborate front matter with a sketch of Swahili grammar, giving the classification of nouns can be included. Hopefully, with such an alternative, the user will consult the front matter for clarification when in need. What is important here is that any information in a dictionary should be communicative.

4.3.4 Word combinations and collocations

Syntax of the headword is usually shown through verbal phrases, collocations and illustrative examples. This information is important because it shows words found in combination with the headword. In Kirkeby’s dictionary many verbal phrases, most of which are idiomatic in character, have been arranged alphabetically as headwords and translated. Because English is rich in verbal phrases and idiomatic expressions, many verbs have a significant number of these multilexical items. For example look has 30 verbal phrases such as:

(20) look about for sth, look across, look after, look around, look as if, look at, look back, look before you leap!, look down, look for, look forward to, look here!, look in, look into, look like, look lively!, look nearer home, look on, look out, look out for, look over, look round, look sharp, look through, look to, look up, look up to sby, look sby up and down, look upon.

Whereas these word combinations are important in encoding and decoding, not all deserve a place in the dictionary because their combinations are neither obligatory nor have an idiomatic connotation. For example, look at, look across,
look down, look into or look up are phrases that should not have been listed because the meaning of each phrase is the total sum of the meaning of the words that form the phrase. Indeed one could replace look with another verb and get the meaning of the two. For example:

(21) wave at sby, walk across, go down, put into, climb up.

Although collocations and especially verbal phrases are important in a dictionary, Kirkeby should have selected and entered only those which have fixed meanings. Words which take certain prepositions, should be indicated, e.g. look (~ at, ~ up).

4.4 Illustrative examples

Illustrative examples are given to support and in some cases to supplement definitions. They help foreign learners to understand the meaning of a word. They serve to show the usual collocations or contexts in which each sense is used, thus providing a variety of grammatical information such as whether a verb takes an indirect object or is usually used in the passive voice, or whether an adjective is predicative or attributive. Examples are therefore given under headwords which have collocations that provide specific syntactical information or can help to distinguish different senses of a polysemous lexical item. Illustrative examples are also given to function words which have no lexical but only grammatical meaning. Familiar words are usually not exemplified because their meaning and usage is known unless they have developed new senses. For an example sentence to be useful, it must give a clear idea of the meaning (which has become polysemous). It must be short and to the point but sound authentic. Familiar words must also have few collocations and a fair amount of grammatical information.

Kirkeby provides many examples of usage that cut across different word classes. For example:

(22) appear ... vb 1. -jitokeza; he -ed out of the blue alijitokeza ghaf(u)la; ... 4. on the radio, on TV or in the theatre: -onekana; he first -ed on a television variety show yeye alionekana kwa mara ya kwanza katika kipindi cha michezo cha televisheni (or runinga); 5. -onekana; you can't ~ in (= be seen with) that hat huwezi kuonekana na hiyo kofia; 6. law: ~ (in court) -enda mbele ya mahakama; 7. (= seem) -elekea kuwa; he -s to be wrong (= it seems as if he’s wrong) anaelekea kuwa mwongo; ... 8.: it -s from what he said that ... kutokana na alichosema inaonekana kwamba ...; 9. formal (= be mentioned) -tajwa; my name must not ~ on the cases jina langu lisitajwe kwenye kesi.

Illustrative examples in this dictionary are no doubt very useful to the user. Many of them show collocations of the headword or its valence i.e. its syntacti-
cal patterns. The headword *appear* under (22) is a case in point. This example shows the different prepositions the headword takes:

(23) *appear*: ~ out, ~ on, ~ in, ~ to, ~ from.

However, these examples should have a purpose. A critical examination of the dictionary reveals superfluous illustrative examples. Compare the examples of *hand, shake, potato, immediate, jacket* and *jeer* under (24).

(24) **hand**: hold -s -shikana mikono; … ~ hold -s with a girl -shikana mikono na msichana; shake -s -peana mikono; shake -s with sby -peana mikono na mtu; shake -s on the deal -peana mikono baada kwa kubaliana jambo

**immediate**: 1. ~ help msaada wa mara moja; take ~ action chukua hatua za mara moja; 2. the ~ family ndugu wa karibu; in the ~ future siku za karibu; my ~ neighbours majirani zangu wa karibu; her ~ surroundings mazingira yake ya karibu

**jacket**: potatoes baked in their ~ viazi vilivyookwa na maganda yake; potatoes boiled in their ~ viazi vilivyochemshwa na maganda yake

**jeer**: ~ at sby -dhihaki mtu; ~ at sby's stupidity -dhihaki ujinga wamtu

The entries cited above show cases of examples which do not offer new semantic or grammatical information but repeat information already provided in some of the other examples.

5. **Meaning**

Meaning of an entry word in a bilingual dictionary is rendered by an equivalent lexical item in the target language. In the absence of exact equivalents in the two languages, glosses can be used as translation equivalents (Bartholomew and Schoenhals 1983). Thus the number of senses in the source language are usually reflected in the target language in the dictionary. Likewise, the phrases and fixed expressions in the source language are given their equivalent translations in the target language. In this section, the senses given as Swahili equivalents of the English headwords, the manner in which they have been presented and the degree of their comprehension to learners of English will be examined. The entry words in the dictionary have one or more than one Swahili equivalent. For example:

(25) **alcohol** … *n*: alikoholi; kileo; pombe; kilevi; …

*alcohol abuse* (= abuse of alcohol) unywaji wa pombe kupita kiasi.

I. *alcoholic* … *n*: mlevi (wa-) sugu.

II. *alcoholic* *adj*: -a kulevya; -a kileo; -enywe asili ya kulevya.

*alert* *adj* 1. (= wide awake; fully awake) -liyemacho; -liyeamka (ex I ’m not feeling very alert this morning because of lack of sleep);

2. *fig* (= wide-awake; sharp) -liye makini (ex some alert readers noticed the mis-
take; he is a very ~ child yeye ni mtoto aliye makini; he's an ~ (= a wide-
awake) person, intelligent, resourceful and not afraid to act yeye ni mtu
mwenye akili, mwenye mawazo mazuri, asiyeogopa kufanya jambo; with
all senses ~ makini kabisa; ...

From the examples under (25) it can be seen that alcohol has only one sense
which is translated by four Swahili synonyms: alikoholi, kileo, pombe and kilevi.
The headword alcoholic n has only mlevi sugi while alcoholic adj has the syn-
onymic equivalents: -a kulevya, -a kileo and -enye asili ya kulevya. The Swahili
equivalent alikoholi unlike its other synonyms is not a common but a technical
word, hence it needs to be marked in order to alert the user that it has a re-
stricted usage. Although the compiler has extensively marked words with a
special usage, the marking of such words has not been consistent. Moreover,
alikoholi should appear last in the list of synonyms because it is a rare word.
Common synonyms should appear first because they are the ones which users
may most often want to look up in the dictionary.

The presentation of Swahili equivalents has in some cases been preceded
by synonymic paraphrases of the senses. This can be illustrated by alcohol abuse
and the senses 1 and 2 of alert under (26).

(26) alcohol abuse (= abuse of alcohol) unywaji wa pombe kupita kiasi.
alert adj 1. (= wide awake; fully awake) -liyemacho; …
2. fig (= wide awake, sharp) -liye makini …

The paraphrases are meant as elaborations (glosses) of the headwords before
the Swahili equivalents are given. With the help of these paraphrases, the reader
is expected better to understand the headword in the source language before
coming to the Swahili equivalents. This is probably possible for the speaker or
learner who already knows English and understands fixed expressions such as
wide awake or fully awake. A Swahili speaker learning English will find the para-
phrases useless because he does not know what the fixed expressions mean.
Even for the English speaker, 'wide awake' in 1 and 2 would not make any dif-
ference. Indeed, -liyemacho can be used figuratively as well. Moreover, the
illustrative sentence in alert sense 2 (see (27)) is neither helpful to the reader nor
a good example of a well-constructed grammatical English sentence.

(27) he's an ~ person, intelligent, resourceful and not afraid to act yeye ni mtu
mwenye akili, mwenye mawazo mazuri, asiyeogopa kufanya jambo.

The Swahili translation is also confusing. Do the phrases following 'mtu
mwenye akili' qualify this phrase or are they different interpretations of the
English sentence: 'he's an alert person'. Another feature in the entry alert which
is also found throughout the dictionary, is the English illustrative examples in
brackets preceded by 'ex' that are juxtaposed in the entry after the Swahili
equivalent. These examples are not translated into Swahili. See senses 1 and 2
of alert under (28):
alert adj 1. (= wide-awake; fully awake) -liyemacho; liyeamka; (ex I’m not feeling very alert this morning because of lack of sleep); 2. fig (= wide-awake; sharp) -liye makini (ex some alert readers noticed the mistake) …

The elaboration principle indicated by the equation marks is either restating the headword or paraphrasing it. For example:

(29) alcohol abuse (= abuse of alcohol)
alert (= wide-awake; fully awake)
fame (= celebrity; renown)
famed (= well-known; famous)
family allowance (= child benefit)
family silver (= silver heirlooms)
fancied (= imaginary)

Even if the words in brackets were elaborating the headwords, such words are often more difficult than those being elaborated. The words celebrity and renown are no more common than the headword fame. Likewise silver heirlooms is more difficult than family silver and child benefit than family allowance.

A critical examination of the Swahili equivalents reveals a number of shortcomings in the equivalents selected and the manner of presenting them. In the following section, the Swahili equivalents and how they have been presented will be examined.

5.1 Sentences used as Swahili equivalents

The main objective of a bilingual dictionary in rendering the lexical meaning of the headword is to provide equivalent words in the target language. Phrasal equivalents are accepted as translation equivalents in the absence of one-to-one lexical units. The significance of using a lexical or multilexical unit is that such items can easily be applied in translations from one language to another. Definitions of headwords are confined to monolingual dictionaries because their main objective is to paraphrase the headword in such a way that one can understand its meaning. This is in contrast with a bilingual dictionary where one is expected to know the meaning of a lexical item in one of the languages and only wants the equivalent word. Kirkeby has in many cases used full-fledged definitions as Swahili equivalents for English headwords. For example:

(30) buffet 2. in restaurant or hotel: chakula (vya-) kilivyowekwa mezani ili walaji wajihudumie
call loan mkopo (mi-) unaoweza kudaiwa wakati wowote
call mark namba (-) ya kitabu inayoonyesha mahali kitabu kinapopatikana
call meter mita (-) ya kuhesabu dakika katika matumizi ya simu
call sign fungu (ma-) la maneno na tarakimu zinayotambulisha utangazaji redioni
cable television huduma ya televisheni kwa njia ya kebo bila antena
callboy mtu (wa-) aliye pembeni ya jukwaa ili kuwahimiza waigizaji
chaps US: ovaroli za ngozi zivaliwazo na wachunga ng’ombe katika tambare za Amerika

There is no reason for such long descriptions which could have been shortened. If the language does not have words for the headwords in the source language, simple coinages would have been better. For example:

(31) buffet: chakula cha kujihudumia
call loan: mkopo wa kulipa haraka
call mark: alama bainishi
call meter: mita ya simu
call sign: ishara ya kutangaza
cable television: televisheni ya kebo
callboy: mhimiza waigizaji
chaps: US: bwelasuti la ngozi

5.2 Superfluous equivalents

The dictionary has some examples of good Swahili equivalents for certain English headwords. Unfortunately these are followed by further paraphrases of these shorter equivalents. In most cases such descriptive paraphrases are repetitive and long-winded.

(32) calf love mapenzi (-) ya kitoto; mapenzi ya kijingajinga kwa vijana
caller mgeni (wa-); mtu (wa-) ajaye kusalimu
bug colloq: virusi (pl); chembe maradhi (vye-)

These further paraphrases of the headwords under (32) which have already been rendered by the Swahili equivalents mapenzi ya kitoto, mgeni and virusi are unnecessary.

5.3 Ambiguous or misleading Swahili equivalents

Some Swahili equivalents are ambiguous or misleading to users, not representing exactly what the headword denotes. They share some semantic features of the headword but cannot qualify to be the equivalent of the headword.

(33) hard currency fedha za kigeni
hard palate the – kaakaa (ma-) la juu
hard sell ugumu wa kuuza
off camera nje ya kamera

The Swahili equivalent of hard currency is not fedha za kigeni (foreign currency) but convertible currency, i.e. currency whose value cannot fall suddenly (Oxford
The term *fedha za kigeni* was coined from the Tanzanian perspective, because foreign currencies such as the US dollar, British pound or European Union’s euro are to the Tanzanians *fedha za kigeni* (foreign currencies). The term cannot be used in the same way by Americans, British or Europeans when referring to their own currencies. To them the currency is not foreign currency, but hard currency because it is convertible.

*Hard palate* is a term contrasted with *soft palate*. In Swahili the equivalents of these phonetic terms are *kaakaa gumu*, and *kaakaa laini* respectively. It is therefore not correct for *hard palate* to be translated as *kaakaa la juu* (the upper palate) as if there is *kaakaa la chini*. Moreover, the dictionary lacks the term *soft palate*.

*Hard sell* means "difficult to sell". This is used when referring to goods which sell very slowly. The Swahili neologism cannot be *ugumu wa kuuza* because this is a literal translation of the words *hard* (*ugumu*) and *sell* (*kuuza*). The headword in English is *sell* and the qualifier is *hard*. The headword in the Swahili equivalent should have been *kuuza* and the qualifier *gumu*. So the Swahili equivalent could be *kuuza kugumu* which is better than *kuuzika kwa shida*.

*Off camera* is incorrectly translated as *nje ya kamera*, literally meaning "outside the camera" while it actually means "away from the camera" or "not to be photographed". An appropriate equivalent could have been *bila kupigwa picha*.

Other headwords which have not been given appropriate Swahili equivalents are given under (34).

(34) **camouflage** majificho  
**Caesarean birth** uzazi wa kupasuliwa  
**cafeteria** mahali pa kulisha watu wengi

### 5.4 Incorrect description of headwords

Some descriptions of headwords provide false information. For example:

(35) **drama** drama; *riwaya*  
**hair root** kisiki cha nywele; mzizi wa nywele  
**hair piece** kipisi cha nywele  
1. Gambian 2. language: Kigambia  
**Senegalese 2. language**: Kisenegal

Swahili has adopted *drama* as the equivalent of the English word *drama* also given as headword. The term *riwaya* was coined to represent the English word *novel*. It is therefore misleading to translate *drama* with *riwaya* as well.

*Hair root* cannot be defined as *kisiki* (stump) *cha nywele* (of hair) because *kisiki* is neither the equivalent of *root* in Swahili nor the appropriate translation of *hair root*. The appropriate equivalent should have been *mzizi wa nywele*. The translation *kipisi* *cha nywele* as equivalent of *hair piece* cannot be accepted because *kipisi* is not a Swahili word. Kigambia and Kisenegal as languages of Gambia and Senegal respectively are questionable. There are no such languages.
Many African countries were created and given names which do not conform with the name of the people and languages spoken in these territories, cf. Tanganyika, Kenya, Uganda, Sudan, Namibia, Mozambique, etc. This is in contrast with many European countries, e.g. Ireland (Irish), Spain (Spanish), England (English), etc.

5.5 Long descriptions as Swahili translation equivalents

The dictionary has some headwords with long descriptions which are appropriate for a monolingual dictionary but not for a bilingual dictionary whose objective is to help the reader to translate from a source language to a target language. Compare the examples under (36):

(36) **flatmate** n mtu (-) wa kuishi naye fleti moja (= friend with whom one shares a flat)
    flat race mbio-za farasi ambazo hakuna kuruka vihuunzi horse race without hurdles
    flight crew wafanyakazi (wa-) ndani ya ndege workers in a plane
    flight attendant mhudumu (wa-) ndani ya ndege attendant in a plane
    flight handler mfanyakazi wa kupakia na kupakua mizigo na abiria uwanja wa ndege worker who handles passenger’s luggage at the airport
    flight instructor mwalimu (wa(a)limu) anayetoa mafunzo ya kurusha a teacher who teaches how to fly a plane
    flight lieutenant rubani (ma-) wa kijeshi mwenye cheo cha luteni pilot of the airforce with the rank of lieutenant
    harbour charges ushiru wa kutia nanga fees for anchoring at a port

These paraphrases could be shortened to multiword equivalents as shown under (37):

(37) **flatmate** mkazi mwenza wa flati flatmate
    flight crew wafanyakazi wa ndege flight crew
    flight attendant mhudumu wa ndege flight attendant
    flight handler kuli wa ndege flight handler
    flight instructor mkufunzi wa kurusha ndege flight instructor
    flight lieutenant luteni wa jeshi la anga flight lieutenant
    harbour charges ushiru wa bandari harbour charges

5.6 Use of Swahili proverbs to describe headwords

Proverbs are fixed expressions with figurative meanings which cannot be deduced from the meanings of the words which form them. It is therefore unwise to use them to describe headwords because their meanings would not be understood. For example:

(38) **flatter** vb: ~ sby -visha mtu kilemba cha ukoka; -paka mtu mafuta kwa mgongo wa chupa make sby feel big
flattery n: kilamba cha ukoka; ... kupakwa mafuta kwa mgongo wa chupa
be made feel big
meat 5. colloq: easy meat (= an easy target) kuku mweupe

These headwords could simply be described as follows:

(39) flatter vb ~ sby sifu mno; sifu kupita kiasi praise falsely
flattery sifa za uwongo, kusifu kupita kiasi false praise

The glosses in (38) are correct except that they are proverbs which cannot easily
be understood. Therefore equivalents in plain English as in (39) are proposed.

5.7 Unacceptable Swahili equivalents or translations

Some Swahili equivalents cannot be regarded as appropriate translations be-
cause they are ungrammatically constructed and do not accurately represent
the meaning of the English headwords.

(40) i. change n: 1. mabadiliko ...; complete ~ mabadiliko kabisa; mabadiliko
mazima whole change
chaplain ... army ~ (= chaplain to the forces) mchungaji (wa-) alyeambati-
shwa kwenywe taasisi pastor attached to an institution; prison ~ mchungaji
wa kanisa wa kwenywe gereza.
character assassination uharibifu wa sifa destroying of character

Acceptable equivalents would have been:

(41) complete change mabadiliko kamili complete change
army chaplain kasisi wa jeshi army pastor
prison chaplain kasisi wa jela prison pastor
character assassination kuharibu sifa ya mtu tarnish sby’s character

6. Miscellaneous errors

Some typographical and grammatical errors appear in the dictionary. There are
also factual errors as well as omissions of some entry words. For example:

(42) alert adj 1. (= wide-awake; fully awake) -livemacho
cajole vb raijai
booking n 3. the player received a ~ (= the player was booked) mchezaji ali-
yeandika jina kwa kuvunja sheria
chandalua n; (in East frica) (= mosquito net) chandarua
half-bound adj; bookbinding liojadiliwa kwa ngoz
disappointment n ... a bitter ~ masikitiko makali; it was a great ~ ilikuwa
jambo la kukatisha tamaa sana; a bitter ~ masikitiko makali; it was a great
~ ilikuwa jambo la kukatisha tamaa sana; ... her ~ was obvious from her
face kukata tamaa kwake kulionyesha bayana usoni mwake
Some typographical errors are the following: The equivalent of alert should be written as two words -liye and macho and not one word -liyemacho as given in the dictionary. The entry words above show examples of typographical errors such as rain (instead of rainai under cajole), frica (instead of Africa under chandalua) and ngoz (instead of ngozi under halfbound). Under the entry disappointment two illustrative examples are repeated: a bitter disappointment and it was a great disappointment.

Some grammatical errors include the following: For the wrong Swahili translation, e.g. the player received a booking mehezaji aliyeandika jina kwa kuvunja sheria (the player who wrote his name for breaking the rule), the proper translation is mehezaji aliandikwa jina kwa kuvunja sheria (the player's name was written for breaking the rule). Likewise the translation of the illustrative sentences: Her disappointment was obvious from her face kukata tamaa kwake kulionyesha bayana usoni mwake (his disappointment was shown clearly on his face) and it was a great disappointment ilikuwa jambo la kukatisha tamaa sana, should have been kukata tamaa kwake kulionekana bayana usoni mwake (his disappointment was obvious on his face) and lilikuwa jambo la kukatisha tamaa sana (it was very disappointing).

Omissions include the entries between headwords great and grow. Usually errors in such a large-scale work are inevitable. However, some simply show that the compiler was not careful enough in constructing the entries and editing the dictionary manuscript. Given the time frame for this project, two and a half years, it is obvious that the work was done rather hurriedly.

7. Dictionary and standardization

A dictionary is a historical document and a standardizing tool. It records the language as it was used when it was first written and/or as it is used today. The dictionary also helps to standardize a language and show or teach the user the accepted (standard) form of the language if that has been determined. This explains why people always make reference to the dictionary whenever they are in doubt about the spelling, meaning or usage of words.

A lexicographer should be able to determine and show the most accepted form of a word where there may be variants. Kirkeby, however, instead of determining the most accepted form and giving it as standard, has entered all variants:

(43) cake n US: apple ~ keki ya tofa(h)a
I. calm 2. naut: utulivu; ush(u)wari
calummy n kash(i)fa
calumnious adj -a kash(i)fa
flight instructor mwalimu (wa(a)limu) anayetoa mafunzo ya kurusha ndege
These entries show different variants of Swahili words: tofaha and tofaa, ushwar and ushwar, kashfa and kashfa, and walimu and waalimu. Any Swahili scholar knows that walimu, tofaa, kashfa and shwar are the most accepted forms and the compilers of Kirkeby should have been aware of this and not introduced little-used variants. Since Swahili has dialects, variant pronunciations are inevitable. A pedagogical dictionary should aim at the standard form and avoid the inclusion of variants unless there is evidence that all variants are regarded as accepted standard forms, or unless the stated policy of the dictionary is to record all variants. Kirkeby’s dictionary does not have such a policy.

8. Sense discrimination and arrangement

Senses of an entry word are usually introduced by numbers, letters or semicolons. Numbers show that the senses are more distant in relation to each other, while letters and semi-colons suggest that the senses have a closer relationship. Kirkeby uses numbers and semicolons to distinguish different senses of the headwords. Example (44) shows four senses of depress as found in Kirkeby.

(44) depress … vb 1. (= press down) -inamisha chini; -gandamiza chini (ex a pedal);  
2. fig (= sadden) -huzunisha; -sikitisha; -vunja moyo; …  
3. com: -shuka; of price: -anguka;  
4. med. of heart activity: -shusha mapigo ya moyo.

The dictionary also shows other examples of headwords with multiple senses. Cf. the senses of devil in example (45).

(45) devil … n 1. also: shetani (ma-); rel: ibilisi; shetani;  
2. fig: adui (-) mbaya; …  
3. derog: he’s a lazy ~ ye ne mivu sana; …  
4. sympathetically: the poor ~ (= bugger) died of heart failure maskini ali-kufa kwa ugonjwa wa moyo; …  
5. give the ~ his due -pa mtu haki yake hata kama mwenyewe ni mbaya; …  
6. proverb: better the ~ you know (than the one you don't) zimwi likuju-al halikuli likakwisha  
7. → 16.

The headword devil is said to have 16 senses but in reality it has only two, 1. and 2. in the example above. The rest (3. → 16.) are fixed expressions in which the headword is used. It is therefore misleading to indicate that the word has sixteen senses. It is important to differentiate senses of an entry word, and fixed expressions, idioms or proverbs in which the headword occurs. A dictionary can introduce the senses with numbers, and then give the fixed expressions, idioms or proverbs under a subtitle.
9. The use of labels in the dictionary

Labels are used in a dictionary to draw the attention of the user to the limited use of some words. The labels could be categorized into the following: (a) currency label which indicates the dimension of time, e.g., obsolete/archaic; (b) domain label which shows the field to which the word belongs, e.g., law/music; (c) evaluation label which indicates the speaker or writer’s attitude, such as pejorative/appreciative; (d) figuration label which shows the type of meaning, e.g., literal/figurative; (e) regional label which shows where an item is mainly used (US/Brit. or Tanzania/Kenya); (f) register label indicates the manner of speech and writing in which the word is used, such as formal/informal; and (g) status label which shows whether a word belongs to the standard language or to a subset (slang/colloquial/dialect/offensive, etc.).

Kirkeby applies labels to mark the usage restriction of words. These labels are important and useful to the user. However, they need to be presented consistently. When the headwords in the dictionary are looked at more closely, it becomes obvious that some words of the same status have been marked and others not. For example:

(46) machine-cut engin: -liokatwa kwa machine
machine-cutting allowance engin posho ya kufanyakazi kwa machine
machine-finished of paper -liomalizwa kwa machine
machine-glazed of paper -liong’arishwa kwa machine (liongarishwa)
machine part kipuri cha machine
machine-readable -enye kusomeka kwa machine
mediocre derog. -a hivi. hivi; -a kadiri; sio-zuri sana
mediocrity n: uduni; uhafifu; ukadiri
social democracy polit: demokrasia ya jamii
social democratic polit -a demokrasia ya jamii
socioeconomic -a kijamii na kiuchumi
social intercourse (formal) mwingiliano wa kijamii
social ladder mfumo wa kitaba katika jamii

Words whose domain seems to be easily deduced have been labeled, e.g., machine allowance or social democracy, but those such as machine part or social ladder whose domain is less easily determined, have not been marked. Words of the same status like machine-cut on the one hand and machine-finished and machine-glazed on the other have been treated differently. The former has been labeled but not the latter.

It seems as if the compilers could not make a distinction between words with restricted usage and ordinary words. Almost every word has a domain, even the everyday words we use. Cf. cook, boil, fry, roast, bake, etc. (cookery); ugali, nyama choma, biriani, makande, ndizi choma, etc. (foods); dengelua, buza, mbege, tembo, kangara, chimpuma, ulanzi, gongo, etc. (drinks); or plane, gauge, T-square, square, mullet, hammer, screw driver, chisel, etc. (carpentry). Such words need not be labeled because they are ordinary words. Users know their domain and that they are no longer restricted to specific users. Kirkeby has also labeled words of this type. For example:
Looking at the examples under (47), one is tempted to ask whether users really need to be told that solar eclipse, lip, melon and meeting house are specialized words with restricted usage. Actually, many of the labeled words in this dictionary are no longer specialized. Cf. (48):

(48) **machine accounting** bookkeeping uwekaji mahesabu kwa kutumia mashine
**machine-cut** engin -liokatwa kwa mashine
**machining allowance** engin posho ya kufanya kazi kwa mashine
**social democracy** polit: demokrasia ya jamii
**social democratic** polit -a demokrasia ya jamii

A general dictionary like this one should rather mark status, region, currency, figuration or register.

10. **Discussion**

Kirkeby’s dictionary has most of the properties required of a dictionary. It contains more than 50,000 entries which are arranged alphabetically within 1,047 pages. It is indeed the first English–Swahili dictionary which provides pronunciation of the English headwords. However, the first major shortcomings of the dictionary are: its failure to identify (a) the users for whom the dictionary has been compiled, (b) the dictionary needs of that group and (c) their dictionary-using skills. The compilation of a dictionary of this magnitude should have been preceded by a limited survey to try and find out the information categories suitable for the expected users. After having examined different aspects of the dictionary, some of the questions raised at the beginning of this article can now be answered.

(a) Is the information required by the users present in the dictionary?

Although the purpose of Kirkeby is not stated, its structure shows that it is a pedagogical dictionary. It contains illustrative examples and grammatical categorization of the headwords. However, it lacks subgrammatical information necessary for the learner of English (see par. 4.3.2). As far as grammatical information for the learner of Swahili is concerned, it is not very useful either because even this is not fully rendered (see par. 4.3.3). As for the Swahili equivalents, the dictionary fails the user here too because many of the headwords are defined instead of being given equivalent lexical or multilexical units (see par. 5.1 and 5.5). Furthermore proverbs have been used to define entry words (see par. 5.6).
(b) Is the information transparently accessible?

Although pronunciation is important for the user, its presentation in this dictionary is very cumbersome, especially for one who is not well versed in the IPA. Moreover, reference to German or French words to help pronounce English words as shown in the pronunciation guide does not assist a Swahili learning English. It seems that the compilers did not try to find out the dictionary-using skills of the expected users of the dictionary before giving lexicographical information through graphic symbols. As a result, a user cannot decipher these symbols to reproduce the sounds. The provision of pronunciation information considered as an advantage to this dictionary in comparison with other similar dictionaries, therefore reflects negatively on its design because it is information not easily accessible.

(c) How is the information presented?

The information is orderly presented except when other information inserted in between distorts the sequence of presentation. For example:

(i) A paraphrase of the headword has sometimes been inserted between the grammatical/subject label and its equivalent:

(49) **merchant n** (= wholeseller) mfanyabiashara ya jumla
    **merchantable adj** (= saleable; marketable) -a kuuzika, -a kununulika.
    **merchant ship n** (= merchant vessel) meli (-) ya bidhaa

(ii) At times additional English illustrative sentences introduced by *ex* (meaning example) which are not translated into Swahili are inserted between the Swahili equivalent and another illustrative example:

(50) **meet** ~ the eye -ona (ex a bleak landscape met the eye); *our eyes met* macho yetu yalikutana
    **measure n** 1. kipimo (vi-) (ex a glass measure for holding liquids); *common ~*
    kipimo cha kawaida

This practice is contrary to the dictionary-making principle in bilingual lexicography which requires a sentence in the source language to be translated into the target language.

Sometimes such examples are not sentences but phrases which indicate the context in which the headword can be used.

(51) **measure: ~up** (= measure out) -pima (ex ten metres of material)

This juxtaposition of extra information overloads the entry to such an extent that it becomes cumbersome for the user to decode it and thus to use the dictionary efficiently.

(iii) As a rule, senses of a headword are usually introduced by a number, and users can easily tell the number of senses of a word. In this way, they can
scan through the entry to find the sense they want. Kirkeby’s dictionary applies this principle, but mixes senses with phrases. Distinction is not made between senses of a word and word combinations/fixed expressions, hence all are introduced sequentially by numbers without differentiating senses from word combinations/fixed expressions (see par. 8).

The quality of the information presented and the effectiveness of the presentation of the information can now be critically examined. The work has some typographical errors (see par. 6). The compilers failed to determine forms which are more accepted than others, especially where there are variants (see par. 7) or headwords with similar characteristics (compound words) spelt differently, as one word, two hyphenated words or two separate words (see par. 4.1). The dictionary also contains inaccurate information (see parr. 5.3, 5.4 and 5.7). These all show that the compilers often did not seriously attempt to collect correct data.

The omission of the entries between the headwords great and growth is considerable: about 80 entries if the missing entry words are counted in TUKI (1996), but compared with Oxford Advanced Learner’s Dictionary of Current English (1990) the omitted words are 180. The incomplete grammatical information (see parr. 4.3.2 and 4.3.3) is also a serious shortcoming because this information category is important for a productive dictionary. Very little is known about the consultants and advisers of this dictionary because it is just a replica of an English–Norwegian dictionary. But for the Swahili part, the consultants and advisers are identified as two native speakers of the language, a journalist, a school teacher, a banker and a computer expert. The compilers should have consulted more people than these, especially linguists and lexicographers.

11. Conclusion

Having been compiled according to the principles of lexicography, the dictionary is a good contribution to the development of Swahili. However, its quality and effective presentation of the lexicographical categories show some flaws. The collection and processing of the data have not been carried out carefully and rigorously. This is partly because it was done in a limited timespan and without qualified experts. This work, if improved, can be a good dictionary for the learner of English.
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