### REVIEW PAPER

# A Review of the 2010 WHO Adult Antiretroviral Therapy Guidelines: Implications and Realities of These Changes for Zambia

D.M. Patel<sup>1,2,3</sup>, C. Moyo<sup>1</sup>, and C.M. Bositis<sup>1,2,3</sup>,

<sup>1</sup>Program in HIV Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, University Teaching Hospital, Lusaka; <sup>2</sup>AIDSRelief Zambia; and <sup>3</sup>University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

#### INTRODUCTION

In July 2010, the World Health Organization (WHO) released their new guidelines on Antiretroviral therapy for HIV infection in adults and adolescents: Recommendations for a public health approach which were last published in 2006. The goals of these guidelines as outlined by WHO are to use the public health approach to improve treatment outcomes and the quality of life of people living with HIV. WHO released these guidelines as a result of new literature addressing timing of treatment initiation, selection of 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> line treatment regimens and management of patients with co-infections. This article will review these new recommendations in the context of the current literature and current treatment practices in Zambia.

#### When to start therapy?

The WHO guidelines stress avoiding death, disease progression and HIV transmission in determining when a patient should be initiated on antiretrovirals (ARVs). The major change in their recommendation for treatment initiation is to start antiretroviral therapy (ART) at CD4 counts <350 cells/mm³ instead of <200 cells/mm³. The primary study cited by WHO is CIPRA HT-001, a randomized clinical trial in Haiti which randomized participants to start ART at CD4 counts of 200-350 cells/mm³ or to defer therapy until CD4 counts were <200 cells/mm³. At the interim analysis, higher

\*Corresponding author

Devang Patel

Email: dpatel@ihv.umaryland.edu

mortality was seen in the patients that deferred ART and the study was terminated early. Multiple studies (summarized in the table below) have shown that early ART initiation improves outcome measures such as morbidity, mortality, and immune reconstitution.

Study	Major Findings
SMART Study <sup>2</sup>	Decrease in AIDS related events when ART initiated at CD4>250 cells/mm <sup>3</sup>
When to Start <sup>3</sup>	Decreased all-cause mortality when ART initiated at higher CD 4 counts
ART Cohort Collaboration <sup>4</sup>	Decreased all-cause mortality when ART initiated at higher CD 4 counts
NA-ACCORD <sup>5</sup>	69% increase risk of death in patients deferring ART compared to those starting ART at CD4 counts of 350-500 cells/mm <sup>3</sup>
ATHENA 6	Immune reconstitution with CD4 count >800 cells/mm³ more likely when ART initiated at higher CD4 counts
HOPS cohort <sup>7,8</sup>	Early treatment initiation associated with higher CD4 count immune reconstitution

Increasing evidence, including a study partially conducted in Zambia, suggests treatment of HIV-infected individuals can also result in decreased sexual transmission of HIV. A South African study published prior to the 2006 WHO update predicted an 11.9% reduction in annual risk of HIV

*Key words:* Guidelines, HIV, World Health Organization, antiretroviral, Zambia.

transmission if all patients with a CD4 count >200 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> were initiated on treatment and a 71.8% reduction in annual risk of HIV transmission could be expected if all patients with a CD4 count of <350 cells/mm³ were started on ART.12 A more recent study from Kenya showed a 92% reduction in HIV transmission when the infected individual is on ART with the greatest benefit seen in individuals with low CD4 counts or high viral loads. 13 In Zambia, 68% of those with severe HIV disease are currently receiving ART based on local guidelines for eligibility which are similar to the previous WHO guidelines.<sup>14</sup> If the greatest benefit of treatment as a prevention strategy is seen in individuals with low CD4 counts, then Zambia may be able to implement this strategy by achieving a higher level of ART coverage of these same patients. However, further studies need to duplicate the results seen in Kenya to show true effectiveness.

While the authors agree that currently available data support earlier treatment initiation, this has to be viewed in the context of available resources and the commitment of the donor community. Medecins Sans Frontieres published a report in May 2010 documenting their observations in 8 sub-Saharan African countries (not including Zambia) that show decreased donor commitments that has resulted in stalling of new patient enrollment. They postulate that if this trend continues, implementation of WHO guidelines will not be possible and patients will be turned away when eligible for treatment.<sup>15</sup> This is particularly important in Zambia where international sources accounted for approximately 76% of total national AIDS spending in 2006. <sup>14</sup> The new WHO guidelines undoubtedly will increase the burden of stress on a stretched Zambian health care system that will require continued support from global health care initiatives to keep up with the costs incurred by treating these additional patients.

#### What to Start?

The major changes in this recommendation are that stavudine (d4T) and abacavir (ABC) are no longer listed as options in the first line regimen. A primary reason for this change is that d4T has an unfavorable side effect profile including; lipodystrophy, peripheral neuropathy and lactic acidosis, thus making other nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) options more attractive for

treatment WHO does not mention perhaps an equally important reason for removing d4T from the 1<sup>st</sup> line regimen: the inability to sequence to 2<sup>nd</sup> line drugs after failing a d4T regimen. When a patient fails on d4T, thymadine analogue mutations (TAMs) will begin to accumulate. These TAMs reduce the activity of all other NRTIs including tenofovir (TDF), making them less effective or completely ineffective. A study from Malawi observed multiple different resistance patterns with d4T use in subtype C virus (the predominant HIV subtype in Zambia), all of which led to compromised 2<sup>nd</sup> line treatment. Accumulation of TAMs is also an issue with AZT as a 1<sup>st</sup> line regimen, making both TDF and ABC better alternatives for 1st line ART from a resistance perspective. Failure with either of these agents leads to mutations which preserve AZT activity, allowing for effective AZT use as a fully active 2<sup>nd</sup> line agent.

Abacavir (ABC) was listed as a possible agent in 1<sup>st</sup> line ART in the prior guidelines but has been omitted from this recommendation without a specific explanation. Abacavir is more expensive than the other NRTIs, and can also cause a potentially fatal hypersensitivity reaction in up to 5-8% of patients starting this drug.<sup>17</sup> However, a strong association has been observed with the presence of the HLA-B\*5701 allele and risk for the hypersensitivity reaction, and this gene is relatively uncommon in sub-Saharan Africans (<1%) compared to US Caucasians ( $\sim8\%$ ). The expected rate of this reaction in Zambia should therefore be significantly less than that observed in the US and Europe. A more compelling argument against using ABC as a preferred NRTI may be the results of ACTG 5202. This randomized controlled trial comparing the efficacy and safety of TDF/FTC and ABC/3TC regimens revealed a shorter time to failure in the ABC arm if the initial viral load was >100,000 copies/mL.<sup>20</sup> These results were contrary to the HEAT study which showed comparable viral suppression rates at 96 weeks for both TDF and ABC based regimens irrespective of CD4 count.<sup>21</sup> Further investigation is necessary before discounting ABC as a 1<sup>st</sup> line drug but its higher cost will likely keep it from routine 1<sup>st</sup> line use in Zambia.

WHO has taken a major step forward with its new recommendations for 1<sup>st</sup>-line therapy. The decision to remove d4T, based on its known toxicities and the

impact that these have on patient morbidity, mortality, and adherence should reap long-term benefits. However, they do not explicitly address the issue of drug sequencing, which is critical in resource limited settings so that viable 2<sup>nd</sup>-line options will remain for those who fail 1<sup>st</sup> line.

#### **HIV** and Tuberculosis co-infection

The major change in regards to TB co-infection is that WHO now recommends starting ART on all patients with TB. The two diseases potentiate each other's course, increasing morbidity and mortality. 22,23 Despite this knowledge, no clear consensus has been achieved regarding the optimal time to start ARVs in a patient with TB co-infection. Clinicians may defer treatment of HIV until after completion of TB treatment to minimize drugrelated toxicities, the possibility of developing Immune Reconstitution Inflammatory Syndrome (IRIS) and drug-drug interactions (particularly the cytochrome p450 mediated interactions between rifampin and protease inhibitors which are the mainstay of 2<sup>nd</sup> line treatment in Zambia). However, this may place the patient at risk for developing concomitant opportunistic infections thereby increasing morbidity and mortality.<sup>24</sup> The SAPIT trial, a randomized controlled trial conducted in South Africa, demonstrated 56% lower mortality in patients receiving concurrent TB/HIV treatment compared to those who deferred ART until after completion of anti-tuberculous therapy (ATT).<sup>27</sup> The same study also demonstrated that the rate of IRIS was three times higher with concurrent treatment. In Zambia, up to 60% of TB patients are also HIV infected.<sup>14</sup> The authors contend that the risk of IRIS is outweighed by the reduction in mortality that would occur if Zambia adopted this recommendation. The ideal timing of ART initiation during ATT is still an unanswered question that hopefully will be answered by several ongoing studies (SAPIT, ACTG A5221, and CAMELIA).<sup>26</sup>

#### HIV and HBV co-infection

The new recommendations from WHO regarding HIV and hepatitis B virus (HBV) co-infection are more liberal in terms of eligibility for treatment. The guidelines recommend that all co-infected

individuals that require treatment for HBV infection should start ART but do not discuss what constitutes need for HBV treatment. The literature is well established regarding increased liver-related deaths in patient with HIV disease, and the majority of these deaths are related to progression of either HBV or hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection. 27,28 ART with activity against HBV may reduce some of these liver-related mortalities if patients with HBV co-infection are identified early and started on treatment before progression of liver disease. TDF, FTC, and 3TC all have anti-HBV activity, so patients with HIV/HBV co-infection should be started on a regimen including TDF plus either FTC or 3TC. None of the other NRTIs have anti-HBV activity, so using 3TC in combination with anything else will effectively lead to HBV monotherapy. This is not advised due to the rapid development of 3TC resistance in HBV.<sup>29</sup> HBV flares have been reported in patients on ART once effective anti-HBV drugs were withdrawn. Patients receiving 3TC but not TDF who then developed 3TC resistance have also had HBV flares.<sup>30</sup> This further emphasizes the need for multidrug therapy for HBV co-infected patients.

The exact burden of HBV disease in Zambia is uncertain but this recommendation encourages clinicians to investigate for HBV and HIV co-Unpublished data in Zambia from infection. Kapembwa et al found HBsAg to be positive in 9.9% of HIV-positive, ART-eligible adults. A small study from Kitwe found a HCV seroprevalence of 4.1% and HBV seroprevalence of 9.3% in HIVinfected pregnant women not yet on HAART.31 Identifying these patients may have significant impact on liver-related deaths in patients living with HIV in Zambia. The Zambia 1<sup>st</sup> line regimen already includes TDF and 3TC/FTC meaning that many patients with co-infection are being treated for HBV even if the clinician is unaware of the HBV status of the patient. Knowing the HBV status of this patient will be increasingly important if a regimen switch is considered as withdrawal of HBV treatment could lead to acute hepatitis flares.

#### When should ART be switched?

Viral load testing for HIV is the ideal method for detecting treatment failure but may not be feasible in all settings. The WHO definition of virological failure is based on thresholds of viremia below which clinical progression has not been shown to occur. The previous guidelines set 10,000 viral copies/ml as the threshold for failure based on the available literature that suggested clinical progression was minimal below the range of 5,000 to 10,000 copies/ml. <sup>32,33</sup> If the goal of ART is suppression of viral replication, then any persistently detectable viremia would constitute virological failure. Even low-level (< 1000 c/ml) viremia over time will lead to the accumulation of resistance mutations which may significantly impact future treatment options for the patient;<sup>34</sup> therefore, we contend any detectable viremia on ART is unacceptable.

Immunologic criteria are the primary method of determining failure in many countries including Zambia. Multiple studies have shown that these criteria do not correlate well with true virological failure. A study in Rakia, Uganda found that 11% of patients met WHO immunologic criteria for failure while 9.9% met virological criteria (2 viral loads >400 copies/ml) but only 2.3% of the patients met both immunologic and virological criteria for failure.<sup>35</sup> In another cohort of 149 patients in western Kenya, 58% of patients classified as failing based on immunologic criteria were actually not failing.<sup>36</sup> Clearly immunologic criteria alone are not sufficient in determining treatment failure and WHO seems to address this by recommending that immunologic criteria be used to CONFIRM clinical failure. This strategy may prevent many patients from being switched inappropriately to 2<sup>nd</sup> line ART, but it may also lead delayed diagnosis of treatment failure resulting in accumulation of significant resistance and compromised 2<sup>nd</sup>-line treatment options. Until viral load technology becomes widely affordable and accessible, the ideal strategy for early and accurate diagnosis of treatment failure in resource limited settings remains to be determined.

# What should the 2<sup>nd</sup> line regimen of ART be?

The strategy for 2<sup>nd</sup> line ART continues to be predicated on the use of boosted protease inhibitors (PIs) which should be fully active in combination with an NRTI backbone. WHO now recommends atazanavir (ATV) boosted with ritonavir (r) or boosted lopinavir (LPV/r) as a preferred PI.

Boosted ATV requires only 100mg of ritonavir as opposed to the 200mg daily used with LPV. Atazanavir thus has the benefit of being a once/day medication with fewer GI side effects, less metabolic toxicity and lower pill count while providing comparable efficacy to LPV/r.<sup>37</sup> In many ways, an ATV based 2<sup>nd</sup> line regimen is preferable but likely cost-prohibitive in a resource limited setting. A cost analysis of the CASTLE study demonstrated that the use of a Lop/r saved USD 25,518 over 5 years compared to ATV/r. Additionally, observation of indirect hyperbilirubinemia (the major side effect of ATV) may be mistaken for liver disease in a setting where appropriate hepatic evaluation may be difficult to perform. A lopinavir based regimen will likely continue to be the mainstay of 2<sup>nd</sup> line treatment in sub-Saharan Africa.

The rationale for specific NRTIs in 2<sup>nd</sup> line ART is sound when considering the mutations with failure of the 1<sup>st</sup> line regimen. As mentioned before, d4T and AZT use may result in TAMs making 2<sup>nd</sup> line therapy less effective. However, if TDF (or ABC) is used in the 1<sup>st</sup>-line regimen, AZT will remain fully active in the 2<sup>nd</sup>-line regimen. The Zambian guidelines wisely incorporated this approach in their 2007 revision.

## What should the 3<sup>rd</sup> line regimen be?

WHO is particularly vague in their recommendations for 3<sup>rd</sup> line regimens and this is appropriate given the financial implications of recommending a particular drug for 3<sup>rd</sup> line or salvage therapy. When and how to use third line regimens should be determined at the national level with local experts determining the feasibility of obtaining agents from the new class of co-receptor antagonists (i.e. maraviroc) and integrase inhibitors (i.e. raltegravir) or newer ARV medications from older classes (i.e. the protease inhibitor darunavir and the newer generation NNRTI etravirine). In addition to the significant cost of these drugs, appropriate management of ARV-experienced patients will also require development of labs capable of performing viral load and genotypic resistance testing. Individual countries will have to decide how best to manage this increasingly common problem as more and more patients are being put on ART.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Many countries in sub-Saharan Africa including Zambia have a high prevalence of HIV infection while often lacking sufficient resources to deal with this pandemic. The WHO guidelines look to address this issue of providing appropriate HIV care in the context of resource-limited settings. They take a major step forward in recommending that all patients with a CD4 count below 350 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> be initiated on ART. The implications of this are substantial with increased need for resources and healthcare manpower. However, this strategy in the long-term should decrease hospital admissions for OIs and other HIV-related illnesses which currently overburden most hospitals in Zambia. The other major change is dropping d4T from the list of 1<sup>st</sup> line agents for ART. This recommendation will have significant impact on patient quality of life and further enforces the concept that patients in resource-limited countries should be eligible for the same quality of therapy as those in resource-rich countries

Issues regarding patients with co-morbidities are less clear although most clinicians likely agree with WHO recommendations to initiate ART in all patients with TB. With mounting evidence of liver-related deaths in HIV-positive individuals, screening for HBV co-infection will be increasingly important for preventable liver-related deaths. Early initiation of therapy can impact all cause mortality as previously discussed and this likely hold true for patients with TB and HBV co-infection as well.

Managing the treatment-experienced patient will be the greatest challenge moving forward as more patients are on treatment. Adherence counseling is essential to preventing treatment failure but for those who fail therapy, strategies should be in place for 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup>-line regimens. Deciding who is failing treatment is difficult in the absence of viral load testing and accurate alternative methods have not yet been identified. For those identified as failing, the 2<sup>nd</sup> line regimens from WHO should be effective particularly if TDF is used in 1st line regimens. Developing third-line regimens represents a complex task requiring the availability of expensive new ARVs, sophisticated laboratory testing and experienced HIV clinicians familiar with these drugs. Zambia has already begun to address the need for a cadre of HIV specialists through a new Masters degree program in HIV medicine.

While certain challenges to implementation of these guidelines in Zambia and other resource-constrained countries exist, the emphasis on earlier treatment and a shift toward more potent, less toxic ARVs as part of 1<sup>st</sup> line therapy, provide countries worldwide with the opportunity to impact quality of life and overall disease burden of this global pandemic.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Severe P, Pape J, Fitzgerald D et al. A Randomized Clinical Trial of Early Versus Standard Antiretroviral Therapy for HIV-Infected Patients with a CD4 T Cell Count of 200-350 Cells/ml (CIPRAHT001). 49th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy; San Francisco, 2009; Abstract H-1230c.
- 2. Emery S, Neuhaus JA, Phillips AN, Babiker A, Cohen CJ, Gatell JM, et al. Major clinical outcomes in antiretroviral therapy (ART)-naive participants and in those not receiving ART at baseline in the SMART study. *J Infect Dis.* 2008;197(8):1133-44.
- 3. When to Start Consortium. Timing of initiation of antiretroviral therapy in AIDS-free HIV-1-infected patients: a collaborative analysis of 18 HIV cohort studies. *Lancet* 2009; 373: 1352–63.
- 4. The Antiretroviral Therapy (ART) Cohort Collabaration. Prognosis of HIV-1-infected patients up to 5 years after initiation of HAART: collaborative analysis of prospective studies. *AIDS* 2007, 21:1185–1197.
- 5. Kitahata MM, Gange SJ, Abraham AG, et al. Effect of early versus deferred antiretroviral therapy for HIV on survival. *N Eng J Med*. 2009;360(18):1815-1826.
- 6. Gras L, Kesselring A, Griffin JT, et al. CD4 Cell Counts of 800 Cells/mm3 or Greater After 7 Years of Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy Are Feasible in Most Patients Starting With 350 Cells/mm3 or Greater. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2007; 45(2):183-192.
- 7. Moore RD and Keruly JC. CD4+ Cell Count 6 Years after Commencement of Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy in Persons with

- Sustained Virologic Suppression. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2007:441-446.
- 8. Reynolds S, Makumbi F, Kagaayi J, et al. ART reduced the rate of sexual transmission of HIV among HIV-discordant couples in rural Rakai, Uganda. Paper presented at: 16<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 8-11, 2009; Montreal, Canada. Abstract 52a.
- 9. Sullivan P, Kayitenkore K, Chomba E, et al. Reduction of HIV transmission risk and high risk sex while prescribed ART: Results from discordant couples in Rwanda and Zambia. Paper presented at: 16<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 8-11, 2009; Montreal, Canada. Abstract 52bLB.
- 10. Granich RM, Gilks CF, Dye C, et al. Universal voluntary HIV testing with immediate antiretroviral therapy as a strategy for elimination of HIV transmission: a mathematical model. Lancet. 2009;373(9657):48-57.
- 11. Auvert B, Males S, Puren A et al. Can Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy Reduce the Spread of HIV?: A Study in a Township of South Africa. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004;36:613–621.
- 12. Donnell D, Baeten JM, Kiarie J, et al. Heterosexual HIV-1 transmission after initiation of antiretroviral therapy: a prospective cohort analysis. *Lancet* DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60705-2.
- 13. Zambia Country Progress Report UNGASS 2010 Reporting.
- 14. Medecins Sans Frontieres. No time to quit: HIV/AIDS treatment gap widening in Africa. May 2010.
- 15. Hosseinipour MC, van Oosterhout JJG, Weigel R et al. The public health approach to identify antiretroviral therapy failure: high-level nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor resistance among Malawians failing first-line antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS* 2009; 23:1127–1134.
- 16. Mallal S, Phillips E, Carosi G, et al. HLA-B\*5701 screening for hypersensitivity to abacavir. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358(6):568-579.
- 17. Nolan D, Gaudieri S, and Mallal S. Pharmacogenetics: a practical role in predicting antiretroviral drug toxicity? *J HIV Ther* 2003; 8(2):36-41.
- 18. Saag M, Balu R, Phillips E, et al. High sensitivity of human leukocyte antigen-

- b\*5701 as a marker for immunologically confirmed abacavir hypersensitivity in white and black patients. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2008;46(7):1111-1118.
- 19. Sax P, Tierney C, Collier AC, et al. Abacavirlamivudine versus tenofovir-emtricitabine for initial HIV-1 therapy. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa0906768.
- 20. Smith KY, Patel P, Fine D, et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-matched, multicenter trial of abacavir/lamivudine or tenofovir/emtricitabine with lopinavir/ritonavir for initial HIV treatment. *AIDS*. 2009:23(12):1547-1556.
- 21. Whalen C, Horsburgh CR, Hom D, et al. Accelerated course of human immunodeficiency virus infection after tuberculosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med, 1995. 151(1):129-35.
- 22. Jones BE, Young SM, Antoniskis D, et al. Relationship of the manifestations of tuberculosis to CD4 cell counts in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Am Rev Respir Dis*, 1993. 148(5):1292-7.
- 23. Schiffer JT, Sterling TR. Timing of antiretroviral therapy initiation in tuberculosis patients with AIDS: a decision analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2007; 44(2): 229-34.
- 24. Abdool-Karim SS, Naidoo K, Grobler A, Padayatchi N, Nair G, Bamber S et al. Initiating ART during TB Treatment Significantly Increases Survival: Results of a Randomized Controlled Clinical trial in TB/HIV co-infected Patients in South Africa. 16<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; Montreal, 2009. Oral abstract 36a.
- Chamie G, Luetkemeyer A, Charlebois E, et al. Tuberculosis as part of the natural history of HIV infection in developing countries. *CID* 2010;50 (suppl3):S245-S254.
- 26. Weber R, Sabin CA, Friis-Moller N, et al. Liver-related deaths in persons infected with the human immunodeficiency virus: the D:A:D study. *Arch Intern Med.* 2006;166(15):1632-1641.
- 27. Thio CL, Seaberg EC, Skolasky R, Jr., et al. HIV-1, hepatitis B virus, and risk of liver-related mortality in the Multicenter Cohort S t u d y (MACS). Lancet. 2002;360(9349):1921-1926.

- 28. Matthews GV, Bartholomeusz A, et al. Characteristics of drug resistant HBV in an international collaborative study of HIV-HBV-infected individuals on extended lamivudine therapy. *AIDS* 2006;20(6):863-70.
- 29. Nüesch R, Ananworanich J, Srasuebkul P et al. Interruptions of tenofovir/emtricitabine-based antiretroviral therapy in patients with HIV/hepatitis B virus co-infection. *AIDS*. 2008 Jan 2:22(1):152-4.
- 30. Siame G. Poster presentation: Hepatitis B and hepatitis C prevalence in HIV positive pregnant Women. *Retrovirology* 2006, 3(Suppl 1):P60.
- 31. Ledergerber B, et al. Predictors of trend in CD4-positive T-cell count and mortality among HIV-1-infected individuals with virological failure to all three antiretroviral-drug classes. *Lancet* 2004;364(9428):51-62.
- 32. Murri R, et al. Is moderate HIV viremia associated with a higher risk of clinical progression in HIV-infected people treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy:

- evidence from the Italian cohort of antiretroviral-naive patients study. *JAIDS* 2006;41(1):23-30.
- 33. Cohen C. Low-level viremia in HIV-1 infection: consequences and implications for switching to a new regimen. *HIV Clin Trials* 10(2):116-24.
- 34. Reynolds SJ, Nakigozi GC, Newell KD et al. Failure of immunologic criteria to appropriately identify antiretroviral treatment failure in Uganda. *AIDS*. 23(6):697-700, March 27, 2009.
- 35. Kantor R, Diero L, DeLong A et al. Misclassification of First Line Antiretroviral Treatment Failure Based on Immunological Monitoring of HIV Infection in Resource Limited Settings. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2009;49:454–462.
- 36. Simpson KN, Rajagopalan R, Dietz B. Costeffectiveness analysis of lopinavir/ritonavir and atazanavir+ritonavir regimens in the CASTLE study. *Adv Ther* 2009;26(2):185-193.