A case of a 22-month-old boy with necrotizing pneumonia presenting with leukaemoid reaction misdiagnosed as leukaemia: a case report and review of the literature
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Abstract

Background
Necrotizing pneumonia and hyperleukocytosis, to the extent of that seen in leukaemia, is a rarely reported presentation. The commonest trigger of such a presentation is an inflammatory process caused by an overwhelming infection which leads to bone marrow irritation. However, the misdiagnosis of this clinical entity as leukaemia should be avoided at all costs so as to avoid the anxiety associated with a diagnosis of cancer, both to the patients and their families.

Case presentation
Here, we report the case of a 22-month-old boy who was referred to our Pediatric Oncology Unit (POU). Owing to a high total leukocyte count (TLC) of 98,000 cells/µl, there was a strong suspicion of leukaemia. The boy had been reviewed at another hospital where he presented with fever and cough refractory to the commencement of tuberculosis medications as a result of chest radiography findings. Laboratory investigations performed on admission in the POU were negative for leukaemia and other myeloproliferative disorders. A chest computer tomography (CT) scan was performed to delineate opacification in the right middle lobe. This revealed multiple necrotic and emphysematous foci in line with a diagnosis of necrotizing pneumonia. Subsequently, the patient responded well to a course of piperacillin-tazobactam. The TLC normalized and the cough and fever resolved over a period of 2 weeks.

Conclusion
Here, we describe a particularly rare case of leukaemoid reaction with a massive leukocyte count. Such patients can be easily misdiagnosed as having leukaemia or other myeloproliferative disorders, especially in settings with limited diagnostic availability. Such misdiagnosis can cause undue stress on the patient and their families. Thus, it is important that patients presenting with these symptoms should undergo a thorough review of history, physical examination and a structured workup.
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Introduction
Leukaemoid reaction (LR) was first documented by Krumbhaar in 1926 in patients with “leukaemia-like” blood pictures characterized by a high total leukocyte count (TLC) (≥50,000 cells/µl) and the presence of myelocytes on peripheral smears1. In settings with limited diagnostic capability, it is possible that LR may be misdiagnosed as leukaemia or another form of myeloproliferative disease2. Here, we present the case of a 22-month-old boy who was referred to our unit for leukaemia evaluation after an initial complete blood count (CBC) result showed a TLC of 98,000 cells/µl; the patient had also experienced fever and cough for the previous 3 weeks.

Case presentation
A 22-month-old patient was referred to our unit due to fever, cough and night sweats for a period of 3 weeks. He was initially administered intravenous antibiotics (ampicillin and gentamycin) for 1 week and was then started on medication for tuberculosis (TB) for 2 weeks after a suspicious chest radiograph. A complete blood count (CBC) showed an elevated TLC with a suspicion of leukaemia; this was the primary reason for his referral to our unit.

On physical examination, he showed signs of wasting (his weight was 8.4 kg and his weight-for-height Z score was less than −3 standard deviations). The patient was also pale, dyspnoeic with chest indrawing and crackles on the right side of his chest. He was febrile with a temperature of 39.8°C, tachypnoeic, and tachycardic with an oxygen saturation of 89% on room air.

Laboratory investigations including a CBC showed a TLC of 103,000 cells/µl (neutrophils, 84.2%; lymphocytes, 9.25%; monocytes, 7.9%) and a red blood cell (RBC) count of 2.7×106. Other laboratory data included haemoglobin, 5.08 g/dl; mean corpuscular volume (MCV), 76.1 fl; mean corpuscular haemoglobin (MCH), 24.8 pg, MCHC, 32.6 g/dl, red cell distribution width (RDW), 16.4%; and a platelet count of 649×106. A peripheral smear showed hyper-segmented neutrophils, bands, metamyelocytes with microcytic hypochromic RBCs; C-reactive protein (CRP) was 102.5 mg/l. Flow cytometry of bone marrow aspirate was performed for leukaemia and was negative for CD34.
CD10, CD19, CD117, HLA-DR but positive for CD13, indicating the predominance of mature neutrophils. Other tests were performed and are summarized on Table 1. A chest radiograph (Figure 1) showed heterogeneous opacification in the upper part of the right lower lobe, middle lobe and lateral aspect of the right upper lobe. A subsequent chest computed tomography (CT) scan (Figure 2) showed consolidations in the right middle lobe and upper part of the right lower lobe with emphysematous regions which were suggestive of necrotizing pneumonia.

Blood cultures were negative for bacteria. Based on radiological findings, the patient was empirically started on piperacillin-tazobactam for necrotizing pneumonia. Three days after the antibiotics were started, the fever and respiratory distress declined, the condition gradually improved, the cough resolved, and the child’s weight began to increase. The child was discharged home after 17 days with a normal TLC of 7670 cells/µl.
Discussion

LR is a common haematological entity defined as a leucocyte count ≥ 50,000 cells/µl, predominantly featuring mature neutrophils and a left side shift. In most circumstances, the cause for LR is evident but our case represented a rare scenario in that LR, with a TLC ≥100,000 cells/µl, was misdiagnosed as leukemia. It is crucial for clinicians and haematopathologists to distinguish this condition from leukaemia and other myeloproliferative disorders for therapeutic purposes and to avoid causing undue fear among patients and their families caused by a cancer diagnosis. Table 2 summarizes previously published cases of LR with a TLC ≥100,000 cells/µl, along with the underlying diagnoses and outcomes. The aetiopathogenesis of LR can be best summarized by Hill and Duncan’s classification which groups the causes of LR into three categories: increased bone marrow irritation by external factors, response to increased peripheral cellular demand and the ectopic production of stimulating factors causing increased production and differentiation of bone marrow progenitors. Table 3 summarizes the most common causes of LR based on Hill and Duncan’s established classification.

The diagnosis of LR requires a thorough medical history and physical examination for signs of infection, acute blood loss and haemolysis; these three factors represent the most common causes. Malignancies and myeloproliferative disorders should also be excluded, particularly in cases with an elevated TLC. Diagnostic evaluations for LR include a CBC, which shows leukocytosis predominantly involving neutrophils, followed by a peripheral blood smear which shows activated neutrophils, bands forms and myelocytes with a left side shift. Our case had elevated levels of serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP), which is usually the case in LR. Depending on the cause and severity, acute blood loss and/or haemolysis may be apparent from the medical history; physical examination may also reveal, pallor, jaundice, tachycardia, gallop rhythm, organomegaly and signs of heart failure.

Occasionally the cause for LR may not be obvious. Under such circumstances, patients may require additional workup, including bone marrow aspirate/biopsy; in LR these tests show a hypercellular marrow with multilineage cells at variable stages of differentiation. Additional testing of peripheral blood and bone marrow specimens include immunophenotyping for clonal disorders such as leukaemia and the presence of polyclonal mature neutrophils in LR (CD13 and CD15 positivity) and negative CD34, CD117, CD10, CD19 and HLA-DR in leukaemia. Another confusing entity for LR is chronic granulocytic leukaemia (CGL) which overlaps with LR in a morphological sense, but its clonal pattern on immunophenotyping clearly distinguishes the two conditions. In order to exclude chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML), it is possible to investigate bone marrow morphology, which shows an arrest in the maturation of myeloid lineage. In addition, it is also possible to carry out cytogenetic evaluation for BCR-ABL1 (t (9;22)).

Infectious causes, including bacteria, viruses, and parasites account for the majority of LR cases, thus highlighting the need for an extensive workup. Body fluids and pathological specimens, such as blood, urine, bone marrow, stools, along with pleural and peritoneal fluids should be investigated to detect infectious agents that might cause LR. The identification of deep-seated infection foci and tumours require imaging such as ultrasonography, CT scans and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Confirmation of an ectopic cytokine-producing tumour requires the use of Enzyme Linked Immune sorbent Assay (ELISA) for granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF), granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor GM-CSF and interleukin (IL)-6 levels. Following the detection and appropriate management of the underlying cause, as with our present case, it is possible for cases of LR to resolve spontaneously. Refractory symptoms, which occur despite appropriate therapy for pneumonia, are encountered commonly in the published cases of necrotizing pneumonia; in endemic regions. This might lead to a suspicion of tuberculosis, as was the case of our current patient.

In conclusion, this case represents a rare situation in which the cause of LR posed a diagnostic challenge to clinicians. Routine clinical evaluations and laboratory investigations may not identify the underlying cause. In such cases, clinicians should actively seek rare causes by broadening their diagnostic workup and perform symptom-directed imaging to rule out malignancies and other rare causes.
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