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Abstract
Salmonellosis is a veterinary and public health problem of major importance. It is a leading cause of food poisoning in humans and an important cause of various diseases of livestock resulting in high morbidity and mortality. A cross sectional study was conducted to determine the prevalence of salmonellae in intensively reared and backyard chickens from poultry farms and markets across Nsukka environs, and to determine their antimicrobial susceptibility profiles. A total of 300 chickens comprising of 150 intensively reared and 150 backyard chickens were sampled by cloacal swab using International Office of Epizootics (OIE) standards. Out of 300 samples, 12 (4%) were positive for salmonellae. Salmonellae prevalence rates of 1.3% in intensively reared and 6.7% in backyard chickens were observed. The prevalence rate of salmonellae was significantly higher (P < 0.05) in backyard chickens than in intensively reared chickens. The antibiogram studies showed that the Salmonella serovars were totally (100%) resistant to amoxicillin and augmentin, moderately sensitive to nalidixic acid (67%) and nitrofurantoin (83%); and fully sensitive (100%) to ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, cotrimoxazole, and gentamicin. The MIC ranges for cotrimoxazole, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, and tetracycline, were 8-16, 0.016-0.125, 0.5-1.0, and 2.0-8.0 µg/ml, respectively. MBC values of 0.031-0.5, 1.0-4.0, and 64.0-512.0 µg/ml, were obtained for ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, and tetracycline, respectively. This study have shown that poultry birds harbour Salmonella spp. and could serve as reservoirs for the rare serotypes whose transmission vehicles remain unknown.
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Introduction
Salmonellosis caused by Salmonella spp. is considered as a veterinary and public health problem of major importance, and one of the most prevalent diseases in birds, causing high losses in poultry industry, with a corresponding high socio-economic impact (Metawe and Tulip, 2004; Foley et al., 2008). It is a leading cause of food poisoning and foodborne illnesses in humans and therefore, a major public health concern (Shah and Korejo, 2012). In poultry, salmonellae give rise to pullorum diseases and fowl typhoid, resulting in increased mortality and poor quality in chicks hatched from infected eggs, anaemia, depression, laboured breathing and diarrhoea. In humans, salmonellosis results in enteric fever (typhoid) and acute gastroenteritis, which can range from mild to severe infection (Todar, 2005).

Most human cases of salmonellosis are thought to be linked to the consumption of products such as meat, poultry, eggs, milk, seafood, and fresh produce contaminated with the organism (Carrique-Mas and Davies, 2008; Foley et al., 2008). The organism’s route of infection is the faecal-oral route via food or water contaminated with faeces or urine of previously infected persons or animals. Poultry birds have frequently been reported as a means of salmonellae contamination and most studies
have implicated chicken carcasses and droppings (Orji et al., 2005; Payne et al., 2006; Fashe et al., 2010; Selvaraj et al., 2010; Hassanein et al., 2011). Nearly 2,500 Salmonella serovars are capable of infecting poultry and all are considered to be potentially pathogenic for man or animals (Al-Nakhl et al., 1999). Hence, monitoring and control of Salmonella in poultry has been a priority over the last few decades in developed countries (Erikson and Aspa, 2007). In Nigeria there is a high rate of consumption of poultry products and increase in the cases of Salmonella-associated gastroenteritis has been frequently noticed (Fasure et al., 2012).

Most of the feed concentrates, consisting mainly of fish or meat meal, could be a potential source of Salmonella spp. and could serve as a means by which intensively reared poult could be infected. Backyard/free range chickens may be infected through contact with wild animals, domestic mammals, commercial poultry that are carriers of salmonelae, scavenging at the refuse tips and consequently may play a role in the transmission of the organism to other animals and humans.

The use of antimicrobials in any environment creates selection pressures that favour the survival of antibiotic-resistant pathogens (Adeleke et al., 2012). The routine practice of giving antimicrobials to domestic livestock for growth promotion and prophylaxis is an important factor in the emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the food chain (Akinyemi et al., 2007; Oluyege et al., 2010; Fasure et al., 2012; Shah and Korejo, 2012). The development of antimicrobial resistance is emerging among some of these pathogens has become a serious problem, especially the emergence of multi-drug resistant strains or variants. Some of these pathogens isolated from environmental sources have shown an increased resistance to antibiotics since they have developed a number of elaborate mechanisms for acquiring and disseminating plasmids, transposons, phages, and other genetic determinants (Harts and Kamiuki, 1998). This study was undertaken to determine the prevalence of Salmonella spp. in chickens in Nsukka and its environs, and to determine the pattern of antimicrobial susceptibility of the isolates.

**Materials and methods**

Sample collection: A total of 300 cloacal swab samples comprising of 150 intensively reared chickens, collected from three different farms, and 150 backyard chickens, collected at three different live bird markets. The 150 backyard chickens were collected as follows: 50 from Nkwo Ibeagwa, 76 from Ikpa and 26 from Eke Enugu-Ezike based on availability of local chicken for sampling, while 50 intensively reared chickens each were collected from Department of Animal Sciences, University of Nigeria, Nsukka (UNN) poultry, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, UNN poultry and a private poultry farm in Nsukka town. The sample size was arrived at using a modified formula according to Abdullahi (2010). Samples were collected according to the recommendations of the International Office of Epizootics (OIE, 2012). Cloacal swab samples were collected from live birds using sterile cotton tipped swabs. The swab was inserted into the cloacae of each bird and rotated gently against the lining of the cloacae and immersed into a sterile tube containing 5 ml of buffered peptone water (Lab M, Lancashire, United Kingdom). The collected samples were transported to the laboratory for further analysis within one hour from the time of collection.

Isolation and identification of Salmonella isolates: The cloacal swab samples inoculated into the buffered peptone water were pre-enriched by incubating aerobically overnight at 37°C. After incubation, 0.1 ml of the overnight culture was transferred into 10 ml of Rappaport Vassiliadis (RV) medium (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hants, England) and incubated at 42°C for 24 h. Thereafter, a loop-full of broth culture was streaked on Salmonella-Shigella agar (SSA) (Titan Biotech, India) and incubated aerobically for 24-48 h at 37°C. Suspected colonies on the Salmonella-Shigella agar presenting as non-lactose fermenters, with or without hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) production were streaked on MacConkey agar (Mircroma Trading House, Torbagyi U, Hungary) for purity check and to obtain discrete colonies (Cheesbrough, 2006; Buyaz et al., 2010; Hemen et al., 2012). Suspected colonies of Salmonella were taken for further morphological and biochemical typing which included urease, triple sugar iron test, IMVIC (Indole, Methyl red, Voges-Proskauer, Citrate), sugar fermentation tests, amino acid (lysine and arginine)
decarboxylase, ONPG (orthonitrophenylgalactosidase), catalase, and motility. All biochemically typical Salmonella isolates were serotyped based on reaction with somatic (O), flagellar (H), and capsular (Vi) antisera (Difco, USA). Salmonella O and Vi antigens were identified by slide agglutination test procedure. After the confirmation of the individual Salmonella O antisera, cultures were further characterized for H (phase I and II) antisera based on Spicer-Edwards antisera by tube test procedure. Serotyping was carried out at the WHO Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on Salmonella at Pasteur Institut, Paris, France. The samples were shipped to France on Agar slants in a well packaged box containing ice packs.

Antibiotic susceptibility test:
Disc diffusion test: The antibiotic paper disc susceptibility test was performed according to the CLSI method (Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion test) on Muller-Hinton agar (Titan Biotech, India). About 3 to 4 discrete colonies of each isolate was inoculated into Mueller-Hinton broth separately and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The broths were matched to 0.5 McFarland standard and streaked using sterile cotton swabs on Mueller-Hinton Agar plates. The antimicrobial agents used were augmentin (30 µg), ofloxacin (5 µg), gentamicin (10 µg), nalidixic acid (30 µg), nitrofurantoin (200 µg), cotrimoxazole (25 µg), amoxicillin (25 µg) and tetracycline (25 µg) (Abtek Biologicals Ltd, Liverpool, United Kingdom). Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853 served as control. Zones of inhibition were evaluated following the recommendations by CLSI; (2007).

MIC/MBC assay: The following antibiotics powder: (ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, tetracycline and sulphamethoxazole-trimethoprim combinations (cotrimoxazole)), were dissolved in appropriate diluents to obtain a stock solution of 5120 µg/ml each. Subsequent antibiotic dilutions were made in sterile Mueller Hinton broth and equal volume of the standardized inoculums was added to equal volume of an antibiotic concentration in test tubes. Antibiotic ranges were prepared one step higher than the final dilutions range required to compensate for the addition of an equal volume of inoculum (Andrews, 2001; CLSI, 2007). The inoculated tubes were incubated at 37°C for 16-18 h. Inoculated and uninoculated tubes of antibiotic-free Mueller Hinton broth and Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853 served as controls. The MIC corresponds to the lowest concentration of antibiotic at which there is no visible growth of the organism. The MBC was determined by plating out the tubes that showed no sign of growth on antibiotic free-Mueller Hinton agar plates and incubated at 37°C overnight (Andrews, 2001). The MBC corresponds to the lowest concentration of antibiotic that prevented the growth of the test isolates after subculture on antibiotic free-Mueller Hinton agar plates.

Results
Out of the 300 cloacal swab samples analyzed, bacteriological examination revealed 12 strains of Salmonella. Overall, 4% prevalence rate was obtained in the two groups of chickens. Prevalence rates of 1.3% in intensively reared chickens and 6.7% in backyard chickens were observed. Highest Salmonella prevalence of 20.8% was observed for samples collected from Eke Enugu-Ezike market, while no Salmonella was isolated from samples collected from Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Poultry and the private poultry farm (Fig. 1).
Fig. 1 Prevalence of Salmonella serovars based on sample source.

The antibiogram studies (Fig. 2) revealed that the Salmonella serovars were fully sensitive (100%) to ofloxacin, gentamicin and cotrimoxazole, but totally resistant (100%) to amoxicillin and augmentin. The general susceptibility pattern of the Salmonella serovar Enteritidis isolated from the intensively reared chickens and the backyard chickens to the antimicrobials showed approximately the same level of susceptibility (Fig. 3).

Fig. 2 Mean susceptibility patterns of the Salmonella serovars to the antibiotic discs.

Fig. 3 Comparison of mean susceptibility pattern of Salmonella ser. Enteritidis (S.E) isolated from intensively reared and backyard chickens.
Table 1 shows the minimal inhibitory concentration and minimal bactericidal concentration of the antimicrobial agents on the Salmonella serovars. The MIC values for cotrimoxazole, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin and tetracycline range from 8.0-16.0 µg/ml, 0.016-0.125 µg/ml, 0.5-1.0 µg/ml and 2.0-8.0 µg/ml respectively. The MBC values for ciprofloxacin, gentamicin and tetracycline range from 0.063-0.5 µg/ml, 1.0-4.0 µg/ml and 64.0-512 µg/ml respectively. Cotrimoxazole was not bactericidal on any of the isolate tested.

Table 1: MIC/ MBC values of the antibiotics tested against the Salmonella serovars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>S. Enteritidis</th>
<th>Test organisms MIC/MBC in (µg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. Wangata</td>
<td>S. Penarth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotrimoxazole</td>
<td>8/*</td>
<td>8/*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.125/0.125-0.5</td>
<td>0.0156/0.063-0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>0.5-1.0/1.0-2.0</td>
<td>0.5-1.0/2.0-4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>2.0-4.0/128-512</td>
<td>2.0-8.0/128-512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>2.0-4.0/128-512</td>
<td>2.0-8.0/128-512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Not bactericidal

Discussion

Salmonellosis occurs worldwide in both developed and developing countries and is a major contributor to morbidity and mortality with resultant economic costs (Antoine et al., 2008). In the present study, bacteriological screening of samples (150 each from intensively reared chickens and backyard chickens) from apparently healthy chickens showed that 12 samples harboured Salmonella spp. Isolation rate of 6.67% in backyard chickens was significantly higher (p<0.05) than the 1.33% obtained from intensively reared chickens. The low rate of salmonellae isolation observed in intensively reared chickens could be attributed to improved hygienic conditions in the poultry environment, and possibly, the incorporation of antimicrobials in poultry feeds.

Similar rate of salmonellae isolation (2%) in intensively reared chickens was reported in northern Thailand by Hanson et al. (2002). Isolation rate obtained in the present study was higher compared to 0%, 0.8% and 0.83% rates reported by Curtello et al. (2013) in Jamaica, Aragaw et al. (2010) in Ethiopia and Ammar et al. (2010) in Algeria respectively. The direct plating out method of the samples without enrichment and improved poultry hygienic conditions reported in these studies could have contributed to the lower rates. The prevalence rate of salmonellae obtained in intensively reared chickens in the present study was lower than the prevalence rates of 49%, 12.4%, 19%, 71% and 84%, reported by Saad et al. (2007) in Saudi Arabia, Ibrahim et al. (2013) in Egypt, Al-Abadi and Al-Mayar (2012) in Iraq, Ahmed et al. (2008) in Bangladesh, Ramya et al. (2012) in India, respectively. The observed high rates of salmonellae isolation in these studies could be as a result of the selective enrichment protocol employed in the studies and the geographical distribution where unhygienic conditions may have contributed to the high rates. Additionally, cloacal swabs from only diarrheic birds were analyzed in most of the studies with high prevalent rates. A lower rate of isolation (2.5%) of salmonellae in backyard (free range) chickens has been reported by Salihu et al. (2014) in Nasarawa, Northern, Nigeria.

Salmonellae were only isolated in one out of the three farms in the present study. This could be attributed to the incorporation of antimicrobials in poultry feeds, intermittent shedding of the salmonellae, or maintenance of good hygiene in the poultry environment. A similar pattern of isolation has been reported in Ethiopia by Aragaw et al. (2010) who failed to isolate Salmonella spp. in two of the three farms visited and also by Curtello et al. (2013) in Jamaica who failed to isolate Salmonella spp. from 1500 cloacal swab samples across different farms.

The routine practice of giving antimicrobials to domestic livestock for growth promotion and prophylaxis is an important factor in the emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the food chain (Su et al., 2004; Shah and Korejo, 2012). Hence, in recent years, antibiotic resistance in Salmonella has assumed alarming proportions worldwide (Murugkar et al., 2005).
Monitoring drug resistance pattern among the isolates not only gives vital clues to the clinicians and farmers regarding therapeutic regime to be adopted against individual cases, it is also an important tool to devise a comprehensive chemoprophylactic and chemotherapeutic drug schedule on flock basis within a geographical area.

Antimicrobial susceptibility test revealed that the Salmonella serovars isolated in the present study were 100% resistant to amoxicillin and augmentin; slightly resistant to tetracycline (8%), and highly sensitive to nalidixic acid, nitrofurantoin, cotrimoxazole, gentamicin, and the fluoroquinolones (ofloxacin and ciprofloxacin). The MIC values obtained for cotrimoxazole, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, and tetracycline lie within the equivalent sensitive MIC breakpoint range. The combination trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole (cotrimoxazole) was not bactericidal on the Salmonella serovars, whereas ciprofloxacin and gentamicin exhibited bactericidal activities.

Generally, the tetracycline MBC values far exceeded the MIC values (fourfold increase) which is probably due to its bacteriostatic nature. The Salmonella serovars were classified as multidrug resistant (MDR) being resistant to more than one antibiotic. The total resistant pattern for augmentin and amoxicillin by the salmonellae obtained in this study could also be attributed to long over use of these agents and related compounds in poultry feeds and or abuse by the local populace.

The antimicrobial sensitivity pattern obtained in this study agrees with the reports of Ogunleye et al. (2005) on increased susceptibility of Salmonella Paratyphi isolated from a commercial poultry farm in Ibadan to gentamicin and moderate sensitivity to nitrofurantoin and tetracycline; but varies with the report on augmentin being moderately sensitive and resistance to nalidixic acid. Our results are in total disagreement with the reports of Saliu et al. (2014) that reported a high resistance (81.7%) to ciprofloxacin, 76.1%, 65.8%, 66.2%, 58.1%, and 12.9% to gentamicin, ampicillin, chloramphenicol, cotrimoxazole, and amoxicillin, respectively. This could be due to differences in the strains of bacteria in the two studies and to environmental differences. They also vary with the reports of Murugkar et al. (2005) in India that reported moderate sensitive to amoxicillin by the Salmonella serotypes. The variation between the susceptibility patterns to amoxicillin could be attributed to increase resistance to the β-lactams and/or environmental variations.

In conclusion, the findings of this study show that poultry birds may be asymptomatic carriers and shedders of pathogenic bacteria in their faeces, thereby contaminating the environment. Any prophylactic program aimed at controlling these agents in poultry farms, must take into account backyard/free range chickens.
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