

Ecophysiological Response of *Capsicum annuum* L. Exposed to Simulated Acid Rain

Bamidele, J. F. and Eguagie, M. O.

Department of Plant Biology and Biotechnology, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Benin, Edo State, Nigeria.

(Received 03:11:2015 Accepted 06:12:2015)

Abstract

Pot experiments were carried out to evaluate the effects of simulated acid rain (pH 5.6 (control), 5.0, 4.0, 3.0, and 2.0) exposures on *Capsicum annuum* L. All pH levels with the exception of the control adversely affected all growth parameters (plant height, number of leaves, fresh and dry weight of leaves, root, stem and fruits, stem girth) of the plant. Leaf area and fruit production were also impacted. Nutritional analysis of the leaves revealed a decline with increasing acidity levels. Simulated acid rain induced morphological changes such chlorosis, necrosis, early leaf senescence, leaf abscission and death. The reduction in growth parameters were concentration dependent. The most negative effects of simulated acid rain on the plant growth parameters and nutrient content analysis were observed in pH 2.0 treatment.

Key words: Acid rain, *Capsicum annuum*, growth parameters, morphological changes, pH. *Correspondence:* otasowie.eguagie@uniben.edu

Introduction

Acid rain has been defined as the wet deposition of pollutants such as oxides of sulphur and nitrogen contained in power plant emission, factory smoke and car exhaust, which react with the moisture in the atmosphere (Kita et al., 2004). Rain that presents a concentration of $\mathrm{H}^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ ions greater than 2.5 μ eq⁻¹ and pH values lower than 5.6 is considered as being acidic. It has been recognized that herbaceous plants are more sensitive to direct injury by acid rain than woody plants (Heck et al., 1986). The adverse effects of acid rain include chlorosis, necrosis, early senescence and stunting (Evans et al., 1997). The harmful effects of acid rain have been reported on some crop plants such as Triticum aestivum, Solanum lycopersicum, Glycine max, Lens culinaris and Coriandrum sativum (Singh, 1989; Kausaret al., 2006; Kazim, 2007). Simulated acid rain has also been known to effect a reduction in plant growth and yield of Zea mays and Solanum lycopersicum (Banwart et al., 1988; Shripal et al., 2000; Dursun et al., 2002).

Bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) belongs to the family Solanaceae. They are tropical plants and thus suited to hot and humid conditions. *Capsicum* fruits can be eaten raw or cooked. The fruit of most species of *Capsicum* is known to contain capsaicin, a lilophilic chemical

that can produce strong burning sensation in the mouth of the unaccustomed eater. Most mammals find this unpleasant, whereas birds are unaffected (Mason et al., 1991; Norman et al., 1998). Chili peppers are of great importance in Native American medicine, and capsaicin is used in modern medicine mainly in topical medications as a circulatory stimulant and analgesic. In more recent times, an aerosol extract of capsaicin, usually known as capsicum or pepper spray, has become widely used by police forces as a nonlethal means of incapacitating individuals and in a more widely dispersed form for riot control and personal defense. Cayenne is also very high in vitamin C so it acts as a preventative against respiratory infections and can help strengthen the immune system. It has also been proposed that the capsaicinoids might be useful in fighting cancer. The reports available for rainfall acidity on crop plants are mainly derived from studies conducted in the temperate regions, with little or no research findings in the tropics. Therefore, the objective of the present study was to examine the growth, nutrient relations and yield of Capsicum annuum exposed to simulated acid rain

Materials and Methods

Planting Procedure: The experiment was conducted in the screen house of the department

of Plant Biology and Biotechnology, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Benin, Benin City, Edo were planted State. Seeds directly into experimental pots containing loamy soil. Three viable seeds of Capsicum annuum were sown into the soil at a depth of 3cm. The seedlings were watered and grown for three (3) weeks after which thinning was carried out to reduce the plant to one (1) per pot. The plants were grown for six weeks before treatments commenced. Each pH treatment had four replicates and the experiment was arranged in a completely randomised design (CRD). Simulated acid rain was sprayed to the planted Capsicum annuum plants according to their pH values of 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, and 5.6 (control). The solutions were applied using a medium size pressurized sprayer on the plants. The plants were sprayed with simulated acid rain for seven weeks before the experiment was terminated.

Preparation of Simulated Acid Rain: The acids used consist of a mixture of concentrated Tetraoxosulphate (VI) acid (H_2SO_4) and concentrated nitric acid (HNO_3) in 3:1 ratio. The acidic solution was then diluted with distilled water and a Digital Hanna pH meter was used to get the desired pH levels (2.0, 3.0. 4.0, 5.0 and 5.6).

Data collection: Several parameters were used in the assessment of the growth and productivity of the plant. The height of shoot of the plants from the soil level to the top of the plant stems were measured using a meter rule. The measurements were taken weekly from the week acid spraying commenced till the day of harvest. The number of leaves, fruits and flowers on the plant was determined by counting. Leaf area was determined by the proportional method of weighing a cut-out of traced area of the leaves on graph paper with standard paper of known weight to area ratio. The stem girth was determined with the aid of a vernier caliper. The Chlorophyll content index of the leaves was measured using the Apogee chlorophyll content meter CCM-200 plus. The number of flowers and fruits on the plant were counted at an interval of seven (7) days from the period of initiation (week 4) to the time of harvest (week 7).

Elemental analysis was carried out at the Central Analytical Laboratory, Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research, NIFOR to determine the level of N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Na, and Fe in the leaves of *Capsicum annuum*. Ca, Mg, and Fe, content were determined with Atomic Absorption spectrometer, Bulk Scientific VGP 210. Na and K were determined using flame photometer while Phosphorus and Nitrogen were determined using colorimetric method.The fresh and dry weights were determined after seven weeks of treatment following the method of Hunt (1990).

Statistical Analysis: Data obtained were subjected to analysis using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences, Version 20.0. Treatment means were separated using the Duncan's multiple range test.

Results

The results on the plant height, number of leaves, number of flowers and number of fruits are presented in Table 1. There was a significant decline (P<0.05) in all parameters with increasing acidity levels.

Table 1 E	Effect of	simulated	acid rain	(SAR)	on pla	nt height	(cm),	number	of	leaves,	number	of	flowers,	and
number of	f fruits of	f Capsicum	n annuum,	7 wee	eks afte	r treatm	ent							

pH of SAR	Plant height (cm)	Number of leaves	Number of flowers	Number of fruits
5.6 (control)	24.50 <u>+ </u> 0.29 ^b	41.75 <u>+</u> 1.03 ^e	10.00 <u>+</u> 2.04 ^b	6.50 <u>+</u> 1.50 ^c
5.0	21.75 <u>+</u> 0.95 ^b	31.75 <u>+</u> 1.38 ^d	6.75 <u>+</u> 0.85 ^b	3.25 <u>+</u> 0.95 ^b
4.0	20.25 <u>+</u> 0.95 ^a	22.50 <u>+</u> 0.50 ^c	2.00 <u>+</u> 0.91 ^a	0.7+ 0.48 ^{ab}
3.0	15.75 <u>+</u> 2.14 ^a	19.25 <u>+</u> 0.63 ^b	1.50 <u>+</u> 0.50 ^a	0.75 ± 0.25^{ab}
2.0	15.25 <u>+</u> 1.31 ^a	14.00 <u>+</u> 1.22 ^a	0.00 <u>+</u> 0.00 ^a	0.00 <u>+</u> 0.00 ^a

KEY; Each value is a mean \pm standard error of four replicates. Means within the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at (*P*>0.05) from each other using Duncan Multiple Range Test.

The results of exposure of *C. annuum* to SAR (Stimulated acid rain) on its stem girth, leaf area and chlorophyll content is presented in Table 2. There was a significant reduction in the stem girth, the leaf area, and the chlorophyll content with decreasing pH levels. There was a significant reduction in plant growth parameters with increasing acidity levels. Control plants had the

highest value for stem girth, leaf area and chlorophyll content index.

The results on the fresh and dry weight of *Capsicum annuum* is presented in Table 3. There was a significant reduction in the fresh and dry weight with decreasing pH level. The control plant

had the highest fresh and dry weight compared to

other simulated acid rain treatments.

Table 2: Effect of simulated acid rain (SAR) on the stem girth (cm), leaf area (cm²), and chlorophyll content index (cci) of *Capsicum annuum*, 7 weeks after treatment

۰.,	er eapereann an		atilient	
	pH of SAR	Stem girth (cm)	Leaf area (cm ²)	cci
	5.6 (control)	0.75+ 0.29 ^b	15.23 <u>+</u> 3.1 ^b	24.40 <u>+</u> 5.29 ^a
	5.0	0.55 <u>+</u> 0.29 ^a	8.50 <u>+</u> 2.7 ^a	16.12 <u>+</u> 3.78 ^a
	4.0	0.50 <u>+</u> 0.41 ^a	7.12. <u>+</u> 2.23 ^a	20.70 <u>+</u> 2.35 ^a
	3.0	0.46 <u>+</u> 0.97 ^a	1.97 <u>+</u> 1.17 ^a	16.75 <u>+</u> 1.30 ^a
	2.0	0.39 <u>+</u> 0.89 ^a	3.4 <u>+</u> 2.52 ^a	15.60 <u>+</u> 2.92 ^a

KEY: Each value is a mean \pm standard error of four replicates. Means within the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at (*P*>0.05) from each other using Duncan multiple range test.

Table 3. Effect of simulated acid rain (SAR) onfresh weight and dry weight of *Capsicum annuum*,7 weeks after treatment

pH of SAR	Fresh weight (g)	Dry weight (g)						
5.6 (control)	28.79 <u>+</u> 8.29 ^b	13.48+ 3.86 ^b						
5.0	9.23 <u>+</u> 1.62 ^a	3.47 <u>+</u> 0.78 ^a						
4.0	7.66 <u>+</u> 2.99 ^a	2.67 <u>+</u> 1.19 ^a						
3.0	2.48 <u>+</u> 1.17 ^a	1.00 <u>+</u> 0.48 ^a						
2.0	3.12 <u>+</u> 1.33 ^a	1.47 <u>+</u> 0.97 ^a						

KEY: Each value is a mean \pm standard error of three replicates. Means within the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at (*P*>0.05) from each other using New Duncan Multiple Range Test.

The results of nutrient accumulated in the leaves of *Capsicum annuum* is presented in Table 4. Nutrients accumulated in the leaves of *C. annuum* for control plants had the highest value compared to those exposed to simulated acid rain.

Table 4: Nutrient accumulated in the leaves of Capsicum annuum treated with simulated acid rain

Taxon	pH of SAR	N(%)	P (%)	K (%)	Ca(%)	Mg(%)	Na(%)	Fe(ppm)
Capsicum annuum	5.6 (control)	4.23	0.062	1.63	2.48	1.60	0.16	0.80
	5.0	2.63	0.044	1.02	2.40	1.22	0.07	0.60
	4.0	2.76	0.034	1.17	2.22	0.88	0.09	0.40
	3.0	1.95	0.051	1.19	2.16	0.34	0.20	0.35
	2.0	2.85	0.037	1.10	1.74	0.53	0.10	0.12

Morphological changes were observed throughout the period of the experiment. Table 5 showed the effects of simulated acid rain on the morphology of *C. annuum*.

PH OF SAR	Observed effects/ symptoms
5.6 (control)	luxuriant growth
5.0	Older leaves show signs of chlorosis but had good growth
4.0	Plant growth was stunted, but plant had good growth
3.0	Plant growth was stunted and necrotic lesions were visible on the leaves
2.0	The leaves were chlorotic. Plant growth was stunted.

Discussion

Acid rain is known to impact all living and non-living sub-stances. In the present study five pH levels (5.6, 5.0, 4.0, 3.0, 2.0) of simulated acid rain were applied on *C.annuum*. Simulated acid rain treatment deposited on leaves affect mainly the epidermal cells causing erosion of the cuticle and altering the leaf permeability (Evans, 1984). Symptoms of plants polluted with simulated acid rain included; chlorosis, necrosis, stunted growth, lesion, suppression of leaf production, leaf curling, withering of leaves, leaf abscission and even death of plants. Silva *et al.* (2006) reported that plants exposed to low pH rain (pH 3.0) are generally retarded with leaf chlorosis, necrotic spot coupled with dehydration of the plants. In *C. annuum*, chlorotic symptoms were observed in all acid rain treated plants four (4) weeks after treatment commenced. The acute symptom was observed at 2.0 pH level. pH levels of 2.0, 3.0, 4.0 adversely affected growth of C. annuum. The reduced plant growth might have been caused by the injuries, reduced photosynthesis and other physiological disorders. The reduction in plant growth have also been observed in Wheat, Sunflower and Coriander (Kausar et al., 2006; Mustabeen, 2006; Kazim, 2007). Waldron (1978) stated that the pH level is responsible for reduction in growth. It has been reported that plants sensitive to acid rain can show changes in their morphology, anatomy, physiology and biochemistry (Neufeld et al., 1985). All the plant growth parameters studied such as plant height, number of leaves, number of flowers, number of fruits, fresh weight and dry weight decreased significantly at all pH levels with respect to the control treatment. The highest reduction was observed at pH 2.0 level (Table 1 and 3). The adverse effects of simulated acid rain on plant growth parameters on several crops were also Banwart et al. (1990), Evans et reported by al.(1997) and Halman et al. (2008). Photosynthetic pigments were also inhibited in response to acidity levels. Stem girth and leaf area were reduced by simulated acid rain treatment relative to the control at pH 2.0 and pH 3.0 (Table 2).

Walker et al. (2001) stated that the availability of nitrogen in the soil is known to directly affect the growth of plants. Nitrogen is an essential macronutrient needed by all plants to thrive. It is an important component of many structural, genetic and metabolic compounds in plant cells. It is also one of the basic components chlorophyll, which essential of is for photosynthesis. The significant reduction in the amount of nitrogen in plants exposed to simulated acid rain could be partly responsible for their poor growth performance (Veukova et al., 1999). The observed stunted growth for plants treated with SAR pH2.0 was similar to an earlier study by Evans (1984). Iron is needed to produce chlorophyll. Its deficiency resulted in chlorosis, which compromised photosynthetic capability of the plant. the Expectedly, in all elemental analysis carried out, the control plants had the maximal value of nutrients accumulated in the leaves.

Conclusion

It is evident from this study that simulated acid rain with increased acidity levels had negative effects on the growth and yield of *C. annuum*. The exposed plants showed reduced growth with increasing acidity due to a reduction of photosynthesis as a result of chlorosis, necrosis and leaf abscission. Exposure to simulated acid rain at pH 4.0 and below markedly suppressed growth characteristics and yield of *Capsicum annuum*.

References

Banwart, W. L., Porter, P. M., Ziegler, E. L., and Hassett, J. J. (1988). Growth parameters and yield component response of field corn to simulated acid rain. *Environ. Exper. Bot.*28: 43-51.

Banwart, W. L., Finke, R. L., Porter, P. M., and Hassett, J. J. (1990).Sensitivity of twenty soybean cultivars to simulated acid rain.*J. Environ. Qual.*19:339-346.

Dursun, A., Kumlay, A. M., Yilderin, E., and Guvenc, I. (2002).Effects of simulated acid rain on plant growth and yield of Tomato. *Acta Hort*.579: 245-248.

Evans, L. S. (1984). Botanical aspects of acidic precipitation. *Botanical Rev*. 50: 449-490.

Evans, L. S., Gmor, N. F. and Dacosta, F. (1997). Leaf surface and histological perturbations of leaves of *Phaseolus vulgaris* and *Helianthus annuus* after exposure to simulated acid rain. *Ame. J. Bot.*4: 304-313.

Halman, J. M., Schaberg, P. G., Hawley, G. J., and Eagar, C. (2008). Calcium addition at the Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest increases sugar storage, antioxidant activity and cold tolerance in native red spruce (*Picea rubens*). *Tree Physio*.28(6), 855-862.

Heck, W.W., Heagle, A.S., and Shriner, D.S. (1986): *Hordeumvulgare* exposed to long term fumigation with low concentration of SO_2 . *Physiol. Plant*, 76:445-450.

Kausar, S., Khan, A. A.and Rahman, F. (2005). Impact of simulated acid rain on potato.In Herbal Drug and Environmental Pollution. A *satellite Session of Third International Conference on Plants and EnvironmentalPollution*, JamiaHamdard, New Delhi

Kazim, I. (2007). *Response of coriander to sulphur dioxide and acid rain*.M.Sc. Dissertation, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh.p. 18.

Kita, I., Sato T., Kase, Y., and Mitropoulos, P. (2004). Neutral rains at Athens, Greece: a natural safeguard against acidification of rains. *Sci. Total Environ.* 327: 285-294.

Mason, J. R., Bean, N. J., Shah, P. S. and Shah, C. (1991).Taxon-specific differences in responsiveness

to capsaicin and several analogues: Correlates between chemical structure and behavioral aversiveness. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 17: 2539–2551.

Mustabeen, A.(2006). *Impact of air pollutants on sunflower*. M.Sc. dissertation, Aligarh muslim University, Aligarh, p. 21

Neufeld, H. S., JernstedtJ. A., and Haines, B. L. (1985). Direct foliar effect of simulated acid rain. I. Damage, growth and gas exchange. *New Phytol.*99: 389-405.

Norman, D. M., Mason, J. R. and Clark, L. (1998). Capsaicin effects on consumption of food by cedar waxwings and house finches. *Wilson J. Ornithol*.104: 549–551.

Shripal, N., Pal, K. S., and Kumar, N. (2000).Effects of simulated acid rain on yield and carbohydrate contents of green pepper.*Adv. Plant Sci.*13: 85-88.

Silva, L. C., Oliva, M. A., Azevedo, A. A., and Araujo, J. M. (2006). Responses of resting plant species to pollution from an iron pelletization factory. *Water Air and Soil Pollut*.175(1-4): 241-256.

Singh, S.K. (1989). *Studies on interaction of air pollutants and root knot nematodes on some pulse crops.* Ph.D. Thesis. Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh.India.

Velikova, V., Tsoven, T. and Yordanov, I. (1999).Light and CO₂ response of photosynthesis and chlorophyll fluorescence characteristics in bean plants after simulated acid rain treatment.*Physiol.Planta*.107: 77-83.

Waldron, J.K. (1978). *Effects of soil drenches and simulated acid rain application of sulphuric acid and sodium sulphate on the nodulation and growth of legumes*. M. Sc. thesis, NCSU, Raleigh, 53pp.

Walker, R.L., Burns, I.G. andMoorby, J. (2001). Response of plant growth rate to nitrogen supply: a comparison of relative addition and nitrogen interruption treatments. *J. Exper. Bot* .52(355):309-317.