## Chemical Composition, Fibre Fraction and Anti-Nutritional Substances of Semi-arid Browse Forages of North-Eastern Nigeria

## A. A. Njidda

# Department of Animal Science, Bayero University, Kano P.M.B. 3011, Kano State, Nigeria

ABSTRACT: The nutritive value of leaves from eight different browse trees and shrubs were analyzed. They include Ficus polita, Ficus thonningii, Batryospermum paradoxum, Kigalia africana, Celtis integuifolis, Khaya senegalensis, Leptadenia lancifolia, and Ziziphus abyssinica. were collected from Gwoza, in Borno, State, Nigeria. The browse samples were analyzed for chemical composition, fibre fractions and anti-nutritive components of their leaves. Results showed no significant differences (P>0.05) in the DM content, which ranged from 95.2 to 97.0%. Leptadenia lancifolia, Ficus thonningi and Ficus polita recorded higher CP content which was significantly higher (P<0.05) than the CP content of the other browse plants analyzed. A range of 2.00 to 5.00% and 8.00 to 18.00% were recorded for EE and Ash values for the eight browse plants. The values reported for minerals showed that Ficus thonningii had the lowest value of Ca (0.75%) while Kigalia africana was low in P and Mg (10.00 and 0.15%). Their fibre contents showed a range of 37.3 to 51.2, 16.2 to 41.2, 4.9 to 12.7, 45.84 to 50.92, 12.4 to 26.90g/100g for NDF, ADF, ADL, ADIash and Cellulose respectively. The values reported for anti nutritive factors range from 0.08 to 0.39 for TCT, 0.24 to 0.65 for phenolics, 2.02 to 2.55 for Saponin, 4.58 to 8.15 for Oxalate, 2.85 to 5.81 for phytate, 0.04 to 0.08 for HCN and 0.0005 to 0.002mg/g. The results showed that the browse species studied have good nutrients contents, low and safe levels of anti-nutritional factors, and may therefore form good feed resources for modern intensive ruminant animal production.

Key words: Browse forage species, anti-nutritive factors, tannins

#### INTRODUCTION

During the dry season, ruminant diets are limited by shortages in amount and quality of available forage (Shelton, 2004), crop residues or by products (Babayemi et al., 2004a) which result in reduced livestock productivity in the tropical countries (Odenyo et al., 1997). Tree fodders are important in providing nutrient to grazing ruminants in arid and semi-arid environments, where inadequate feeds are a major constraint to livestock production (Aganga and Tshwenyane, 2003). Tree fodders maintain higher protein and mineral contents during growth than do grasses, which decline rapidly in quality with progress to maturity (Shelton, 2004). Tree fodders are important source of high quality feed for grazing ruminants and as supplements to improve the productivity of herbivores fed on low quality feeds. Tree fodders form part of the complex interactions between plants, animals and crops (Aganga and Tshwenyane, 2003). They help to balance a plant-animalsoil ecosystem and from which there is a sustainable source of feeds (Devendra, 1994). The availability of a variety of these feeds and the selection process enable the herbivores, especially the goats to extend as well as meet their feed preferences. Traditional farmers in the semi-arid region of Nigeria allow their goats, sheep and cattle to browse on tree forages, in the range lands and they also cut and feed these tree foliages as supplements experience and convenience. based on Leguminous trees and shrubs often have thorns, fibrous foliage and growth habits which protect the crown of the tree from defoliation. Many plants also produce chemicals which are not directly involved in the process of plant growth (secondary compound) but act as deterrents to insects and fungal attack. These compounds also affect animals and the nutritive value of the forages. Mycotoxins (fungal metabolites) produced by saprophytic and endophytic fungi are also a potential source of toxins in forages (Norton, 1994).

The utilisation of browse is limited by the high lignin content and the presence of antinutritional factors, which may be toxic to ruminants. Many browse species have chemicals that appear to be produced for the purpose of deterring invasion or consumption of their leaves by microbes, insects and herbivorous animals. However, the toxic compounds seem to become of significance nutritionally only when the plant constitutes a high proportion of the diet. Hence, the effects of high protein forage could override the effect of the toxic compounds when used as supplement in the diets. The present study therefore examines the nutrient composition and anti-nutritional constituents of some browse species in the semi-arid region of Nigeria.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Forage samples collection and experimental site: The leaves of eight indigenous browse species commonly consumed by ruminant animals were used in this study. The species Ficus were: Ficus polita, thonningii, Batryospermum paradoxum, Kigalia africana, Celtis integuifolis. Khava senegalensis. Leptadenia lancifolia and Ziziphus abyssinica. All the plants were harvested from Gwoza Local Government Area (LGA) of Borno State Nigeria. The area is located at  $11.05^{\circ}$  North and  $30.05^{\circ}$  East and on an elevation of about 364 above sea level in the North Eastern part of Nigeria (Ijere and Daura, 2000). The ambient temperature ranges between  $30^{\circ}$ C and  $42^{\circ}$ C being the hottest period (March to June) while its cold between November to February with temperatures ranging between  $19 - 25^{\circ}C$ (Ijere and Daura, 2000). The browse forages were harvested from at least 10 trees per each specie selected at random in four locations within the study area at the end of the rainy season. The harvested sample were then pooled for each individual tree species and then oven dried at 105°C for 24h to constant weight and ground to pass through a 1.0mm, sieve. The samples were then sub-sampled to obtain three samples for each tree species and used for the laboratory analysis.

**Chemical Analysis:** Samples browse species were analyzed for dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE), crue fibre (CF) Oxalate, Fluoroacetate and ash according to AOAC (2002). The mineral composition (calcium and magnesium) of the forages were analyzed using atomic absorption spectrophotometry (Zohary, 1973). Phosphorus was determined according to the Vanadomolybdophosphoric Acid Method (Shiou, 1996) using a spectrophotometry (Jenway 6100, UK). The leaf samples were analyzed for neutral detergent fibre (NDF). acid detergent fibre (ADF), acid detergent lignin (ADL) and cellulose according to Van Soest et al. (1991). Percentage hemi-cellulose content was obtained by finding the difference between NDF and ADF values (Church, 1975) Anti nutritional constituents: Phytate in the plants was estimated as phytic acid using the method of Maga (1982), while hydrogencyanide (HCN) was determined by the Knowels and Watkins distillation method as described by Pearson (1976), Saponins and total condensed tannin was determined as reported by (Babayemi et al., 2004a) and (Polshettiwar et al., 2007), phenolics was determine using Folin Ciocalteu as describe by Makkar (2000).

**Statistical analysis:**The means and standard error of means were calculated for the proximate and anti-nutritional factor values. Means were separated using the least significant difference (LSD) (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The Crude protein (CP) content of Ficus polita, Ficus thonningii and Leptadenia lancifolia were higher than the other species. The CP of the browse species ranged from 13.85 to 16.65%, which is above the 7% CP requirement for ruminants which will provide ammonia required by rumen microorganism to support optimum microbial activity. Norton (2003), justifies the use of browse forages in small quantities in order to supplement poor quality pastures and crop residues. The high CP content of browse species is well documented and is one of the main distinctive characteristic of browse compared to most grasses. Norton (1998) reported a range of CP contents from 12 to 30% for tropical tree legumes, and Le Houerou (1980) found a mean of 12.5% in West African browse species with about 17% for the leguminous species. Generally, the CP content in browse has been shown to be above the minimum level required (7%) for microbial activities in the rumen (Norton, 1998). The species in the Leguminosae family have a higher protein content compared to other species, although species in the Capparidaceae family have on average 25% more protein than legumes (Le Houerou, 1980). Le Houerou (1980) also noted that all browse species are able at all their phenological stages to meet the energy requirements of livestock at maintenance level and often well above, and thus West African browse are considered to be excellent fodder, with very few exceptions. The difference in CP content between species can be explained by inherent characteristics of each species related to the ability to extract and accumulate nutrients from soil and/or to fix atmospheric nitrogen, which is the case for legumes plants. The other factors causing variation in the chemical composition of browse forages include soil type (location), the plant part (leaf, stem, pod), age of leaf and season. With regard to the location, some authors have reported that browse plants in the Sahelian zone are higher in N compared to plants in the humid zone (Rittner and Reed, 1992). Younger leaves are richer in N than mature leaves, which however contain more N than the litter. The fruits are shown to have a N content in between young and old leaves, and vary little with stage of maturity (Breman and Kessler, 1995).

Browse species	DM	СР	EE	Ash	ОМ	Ca	Р	Mg
Forages								
Ficus polita	95.20	16.21 <sup>a</sup>	3.00 <sup>c</sup>	$10.00^{d}$	85.20 <sup>b</sup>	1.75 <sup>b</sup>	$50.00^{a}$	1.09 <sup>a</sup>
Ficus thonningii	95.20	$16.47^{a}$	$2.00^{d}$	$18.00^{a}$	77.20 <sup>d</sup>	$0.75^{\mathrm{f}}$	41.25 <sup>b</sup>	0.36 <sup>d</sup>
Batryospermum paradoxum	95.80	14.63 <sup>b</sup>	5.00 <sup>a</sup>	8.00 <sup>e</sup>	87.80 <sup>a</sup>	1.20 <sup>c</sup>	11.25 <sup>f</sup>	0.30 <sup>d</sup>
Kigalia africana	96.40	13.85 <sup>c</sup>	$3.00^{\circ}$	$18.00^{a}$	$78.40^{d}$	$0.90^{e}$	$10.00^{f}$	$0.15^{e}$
Celtis integuifolis	96.20	15.89 <sup>a</sup>	$3.00^{\circ}$	$16.00^{b}$	$80.20^{d}$	1.95 <sup>a</sup>	11.25 <sup>f</sup>	1.03 <sup>b</sup>
Khaya senegalensis	97.00	14.11 <sup>b</sup>	3.00 <sup>c</sup>	10.00 <sup>d</sup>	87.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.95 <sup>e</sup>	26.25 <sup>d</sup>	0.25 <sup>e</sup>
Leptadenia lancifolia	95.80	16.65 <sup>a</sup>	4.00 <sup>b</sup>	18.00 <sup>a</sup>	77.80 <sup>d</sup>	1.05 <sup>d</sup>	31.25 <sup>c</sup>	$0.40^{\circ}$
Ziziphus abyssinica	97.00	14.37 <sup>b</sup>	2.00 <sup>d</sup>	14.00 <sup>c</sup>	83.00 <sup>c</sup>	1.10 <sup>d</sup>	20.00 <sup>e</sup>	0.46 <sup>c</sup>
Means	96.07	15.27	3.13	14.00	82.08	1.21	25.16	0.51
SEM	0.14N S	0.18	0.12	0.69	0.63	0.08	3.56	0.08

Table 1: Proximate composition (% DM) of some semi arid browses

a, b, c, means in the same column with different superscript differ significantly (P<0.05). DM = Dry matter; CP = Crude Protein; EE = Ether Extract; OM = Organic matter; NDF = Neutral detergent fibre; ADF = Acid detergent fibre; Ca = Calcium; P = Phosphorus; Mg = Magnesium

Table 2: Mean Fibre (g/	z/100g DM)	content of some	browse species
-------------------------	------------	-----------------	----------------

Browse species Forages	NDF	ADF	ADL	ADIash	Cell.	Hemi Cell.
Ficus polita	$37.30^{fg}$	$27.20^{d}$	$4.90^{d}$	45.84	22.20 <sup>b</sup>	$10.10^{cd}$
Ficus thonningii	$51.20^{a}$	$41.20^{a}$	$10.00^{\circ}$	50.92	$26.90^{a}$	$10.00^{cd}$
Batryospermum paradoxum	$47.60^{b}$	$32.10^{b}$	$11.60^{b}$	50.89	$18.70^{\circ}$	15.50 <sup>b</sup>
Kigalia Africana	$38.40^{\mathrm{f}}$	29.60 <sup>c</sup>	$12.10^{a}$	50.15	$17.20^{d}$	$8.80^{e}$
Celtis integuifolis	$42.30^{d}$	31.20 <sup>b</sup>	$12.50^{a}$	50.13	$16.50^{\rm e}$	$11.10^{\circ}$
Khaya senegalensis	44.60 <sup>c</sup>	$32.10^{b}$	11.30 <sup>b</sup>	41.23	18.30 <sup>c</sup>	12.50 <sup>c</sup>
Leptadenia lancifolia	$41.20^{d}$	31.70 <sup>b</sup>	$12.70^{a}$	49.89	$12.40^{\rm f}$	9.50 <sup>e</sup>
Ziziphus abyssinica	39.60 <sup>e</sup>	$16.20^{\rm e}$	$5.90^{d}$	50.22	$16.70^{\rm e}$	$23.40^{a}$
Means	42.77	30.41	10.13	48.66	18.61	12.62
SEM	0.88	0.82	0.74	0.96	0.68	0.65

NDF=Neutral detergent fibre; ADF=Acid detergent fibre; Acid detergent lignin; ADIash=Acid detergent Insoluble ash;Cell.=Cellulose and Hemi cellulose

With regard to the fibre content, Rittner and Reed (1992) reported similar mean for NDF and lignin contents across different ecological zones as follows 40.1% and 11.7% in the

Sahelian zone, 45.7% and 10.5% in the subhumid zone and 43.6% and 9.3% in the humid zone respectively. Fall (1993) found a range of 31 to 57% for NDF and 19 to 43% for ADF. The values of the present study fall within the range reported by Njidda *et al.* (2010a) and Njidda *et al.* (2010b). NDF and ADF contents in *Ficus polita* and *Ziziphus abyssinica* were lower than the values reported by Bibi-Farouk *et al.* (2006) and Sena *et al.* (1998). This species also had a high lignin content. Lignin is a component of the cell wall, and deposited as part of the cell wall-thickening process (Boudet, 1998). Lignin is in general higher in browse than in herbaceous plants. The content varies according to species, age and the plant parts. Positive correlations were reported between contents of lignin and soluble or insoluble proanthocyanidins (Rittner and Reed, 1992). Reed (1986) also found a negative correlation between the content of NDF and soluble phenolics, while the correlation with insoluble proanthocyanidins was positive. The browse forages had low to moderate content of fibre. This is a positive attribute of the browse forages since the voluntary DM intake and digestibility are dependent on the cell wall constituents (fibre), especially the NDF and lignin (Bakshi and Wadhwa 2004).

Browse species Forages	ТСТ	PHE	SAP	OXA	PHY	HCN	FLU
Ficus polita	$0.20^{b}$	$0.24^{d}$	2.31	5.92 <sup>d</sup>	4.29 <sup>b</sup>	$0.05^{d}$	0.0012
Ficus thonningii	$0.24^{b}$	$0.65^{a}$	2.55	8.15 <sup>a</sup>	$4.02^{b}$	$0.05^{d}$	0.0020
Batryospermum paradoxum	0.19 <sup>c</sup>	$0.42^{b}$	2.36	$8.00^{a}$	3.40 <sup>c</sup>	$0.08^{a}$	0.0011
Kigalia Africana	$0.08^{d}$	0.37 <sup>c</sup>	2.02	5.02 <sup>d</sup>	$2.22^{d}$	$0.08^{a}$	0.0014
Celtis integuifolis	0.39 <sup>a</sup>	0.39 <sup>b</sup>	2.40	$4.58^{e}$	2.85 <sup>d</sup>	$0.06^{\circ}$	0.0005
Khaya senegalensis	$0.21^{b}$	$0.48^{b}$	2.02	$7.20^{b}$	5.81 <sup>a</sup>	$0.04^{e}$	0.0010
Leptadenia lancifolia	0.15 <sup>c</sup>	$0.45^{b}$	2.16	6.22 <sup>c</sup>	4.51 <sup>b</sup>	$0.06^{\circ}$	0.0010
Ziziphus abyssinica	$0.38^{a}$	0.34 <sup>c</sup>	2.23	5.04 <sup>d</sup>	$4.08^{b}$	$0.07^{b}$	0.0010
Means	0.23	0.41	2.26	6.23	3.82	0.61	0.001
SEM	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.17	0.14	0.002	0.0005

TCT=Total condenced tannin; PHE=Phenolics; SAP=Saponin; OXA=Oxalate; PHY=Phytate: HCN=Hydrogen cyanide; FLU; Fluoroacetate

The total condensed tannins (TCT) ranged from 0.15mg/g to 0.39mg/g DM. The level is lower than the range of 60 to100g Kg DM that is considered to depress feed intake and growth (Barry and Duncan, 1984). However, in ruminants, dietary condensed tannins of 2 to 3% have been shown to have beneficial effects because they reduce the protein degradation in the rumen by the formation of a protein-tannin complex (Barry, 1987). The phenolic content of the browse ranged from 0.24 to 0.65mg/g DM. The values are lower compared to that reported by Osuga et al. (2006). Phenolic compounds are the largest single group of SPCs, and total phenolics in plants can reach up to 40% of the dry matter (Reed 1986; Tanner et al., 1990). In grasses, the major phenolic is lignin that is bound to all plant cell walls, and is a significant limiting factor in their digestion in the rumen (Minson, 1990). Lignin is also a limiting factor in the digestion of legumes, but is bound largely to the vascular tissue (Wilson 1993), with often high concentrations of other free and bound phenolic compounds (phenolic acids, coumarins and flavonoids) in floral, leaf and seed tissues (McLeod, 1974).

Oxalate content in this present study was low. It has been reported that 20g/kg oxalate can be lethal to chicken (Acamovic et al., 2004). Oxalate has been shown to deplete the calcium reserve, but these browse species were found to contain repsonable amount of ccalcium, magnesium and phosphorus (Le Houerou, 1980; Akinsoyinu and Onwuka, 1988). Ca and carbon are also released from the hydrolysis of Ca Oxalate some of which will be either absorbed or excreted by the ruminant animals. With Ca absorption rate of ruminants put at 31% (Randy et al., 1984; Haenlein 1987) and P at 4% absorption (Adeloye and Akinsoyinu, 1985) reasonable amount of the Ca and P intakes will be lost via faeces and urine to the soil. Such voided minerals/nutrients are thereby recycled for further use to support

plants which are ploughed back into the soil, when so much N is returned to the soil. This reduces the use of inorganic N fertilizer and lends weight to the use of organic manure in farming. However, given the time to adapt, the microorganisms in the rumen can metabolise moderate amounts of oxalate.

The mean saponin value was 2.26% with a range of 2.02mg/g to 2.55 mg/g DM. Feedstuffs containing saponin had been shown to be defaunating agents (Teferedegne, 2000) and capable of reducing methane production (Babayemi et al. 2004b). Cheeke (1971) reported that saponin have effect on erythrocyte haemolysis, reduction of blood and liver cholesterol, depression of growth rate, bloat (ruminant) inhibition of smooth muscle activity, enzyme inhibition and reduction in nutrient absortion. Saponins have been reported to alter cell wall permeability and therefore to produce some toxic effect when ingested (Belmar et al., 1999). The antinutritional effects of saponins have been mainly studied using alfalfa saponins. Sharma and Chandra (1969) observed that 4-7 weeks of ad libitum feeding of albizia gave rise to toxic manifestation in sheep. Symptoms include listlessness, anorexia, weight loss and gastro-enteritis. The toxicity of saponins can be reduced by repeatedly soaking the feed in water, though the level recorded in this present study may not pose any problem to the animals.

The phytin levels reported in this study ranged from 2.22 to 5.81mg/g DM, which is lower than 13.80 to 25.20mg/g DM reported by Okoli *et al.* (2003) for the southeastern browses in Nigeria. These levels are unlikely to have any adverse effects on ruminants.

The HCN contents of the browse species examined were equally low. The HCN ranged from 0.05 mg/g to 0.08mg/g DM. The lethal dose of HCN for cattle and sheep is 2.0 to 4.0mg per kg body weight. The lethal dose for cyanogens would be 10 to 20 times greater because the HCN comprised 5 to 10% of their molecular weight (Conn, 1979). However, the quantity of HCN produced by most of these species is too low to pose major animal health problems (Kumar and D'Mello, 1998). Animals suffering from cyanide toxicity must be immediately treated by injecting a suitable sodium nitrate dose of and sodium thiosulphate (Kumar, 2003). Generally, only plants that produce more than 20mg

HCN/100g fresh weigth are considered deleterious (Everist, 1981). The value for fluoroacetate ranged from 0.0010 to 0.0014mg/g DM. The value was negligible to pose any problem to animals although if the compound is in large amounts it is known to inhibit the Krebs cycle by formation of fluoroacetate (Everist, 1974) and is used as a poison for rats and rabbits (Norton, 1994a).

**Conclusion:** The browse species evaluated in the current study had high CP content which would make them good protein supplements to poor quality roughages, especially during the dry season in the semi arid region of Nigeria

## REFERENCES

- Adeloye, A. A. and Akinsoyinu, A. D. (1985). Phosphorus requirements of young west African dwarf (*Fouta djallon*) goat for maintenance and growth. *Nutr. Rep. Intern.*32: 239-244.
- Acamovic, T., Steward, C. S. and Pennycott, T. W. (eds) (2004). Poisons plants and related toxins. Oxford University Press, 608pp
- Aganga, A. A. and Tshwenyane, S.O. (2003).
  Feeding values and Anti-nutritive factors of forage tree legumes. *Pakistan J. Nutri.* 2 (3): 170-177
- Akinsoyinu, A. O. and Onwuka, C. F. I. (1988). Mineral constituents of some browse plants used in ruminant feeding in southern Nigeria. *Nigerian J. Ani. Prod.* 15: 57-62
- AOAC (2002). Official Methods of Analysis of the Official Analytical Chemists, 17<sup>th</sup> ed. (Horwitz, W., ed.), Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington DC.
- Babayemi, O. J., Demeyer, D. and Fievez, V. (2004a). Nutritional value of qualitative assessment of secondary compound in seeds of eight tropical browse, shrub and pulse legumes. *Comm. Appl. Biol. Sci.* Ghent University, 69/1: 103-110
- Babayemi, O. J., Demeyer, D. and Fievez, V. (2004b). *In vitro* fermentation of tropical browse seeds in relation of their content of secondary metabolites. *J. Ani. Feed Sci.* 13 Suppl. 1: 31-34
- Bakshi, M. P. S. and Wadhwa, M. (2004). Evaluation of forest leaves of semi-hilly arid region as livestock feed. Asian-Australasian. J. Ani. Sci.. 95: 93-104
- Barry, H. J. (1987). Secondary compounds of forages. In: Nutrition of herbivores.

Hacker, J.B. and Ternouth, J. H. (eds) A. P. Sydney pp. 91-120

- Barry, T. N. and Duncan, S. J. (1984). The role of condenced tannins in the nutritional value of *Lotus pedunculatus* for sheep. I. Voluntary intake. Journal of Association of Official Analytical Chemists 65: 496-497.
- Belmar, R., Nava-Montero, R., Sandoval-Castro, C. and Menab, J. M. (1999). Jackbean (*canuvalia ensiforms* L. DC) in poultry diets: Anti-nutritional factors and detoxification studies. A. Review. *Poultry Sci. J.* 55 (1) 37-59
- Bibi-Farouk, F., Osinowo, A. O. and Muhammad, I. R. (2006). Proximate analysis of the leaves of some *Ficus* species in Northern Guinea Savannah of Nigeria. Proc. 11<sup>th</sup> Ann. Conf. of Animal Sci. Assoc. of Nigeria. Institute of Agricultural Research and Training, Ibadan –Nigeria. Pp
- Boudet, A.M. (1998). A new view of lignification. *Trends in Plant Science 3*, 67-71.
- Breman, H. and Kessler, J.J. (1995). Woody Plants in Agro-Ecosystems of Semi-Arid Regions. *Advanced series in agricultural sciences* no. 23. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 340 pp.
- Cheeke, P. R. (1971). Nutritional and Physiological implication Saponins: A Review.Canadian J. Ani. Sci. 51: 621-623
- Church, D. C. (1975). Digestive physiology and nutrition of ruminant 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Corvallis, Oregon, A and B Books.
- Conn, E. E. (1979). Cynamide and cynogenic glycosides in herbivores, their interaction with secondary plant metabolites.Rosenthal, G.A. Jansen, D.H. (eds) A. P., New York pp. 387-412.
- Devendra, C. (1994). Composition and nutritive value of browse legumes, pp. 49-65 In: Forage tree legumes in tropical agricultures.
- Ebong, C. (1995). Acacia nilotica, Acacia seyal and Sesbania sesban as supplement to tef (Eragrostis tef) straw fed to sheep and

goats. Small Ruminant Res. 18, 233-238.

Everist, S. L. (1974) Poisonous plants in Australia. Angus and Robertson, Sydney, 684pp.

Everist, S. L. 1981 Poisonous plants of Australia. Revised edition. Angus and Robertson, Sydney.

- Fall, S.T. (1993). Valeur nutritive des fourrages ligneux. Leur rôle dans la complementation des fourrages pauvres des ilieux tropicaux. Thesis Doct. Univ. Sci. Tech. ENSAM, Montpellier, France, 143 pp.
- Haenlein, G. F. W. (1987). Mineral and vitamin requirements and deficiencies. In: Santana O P Da Silva A G and Foote V. C. (eds), Proc. 14th International conf.on goats vol. 11 Brasilia, Brazil pp. 1249-1266.
- Ijere, J. A and Daura, M. M. (2000). "Borno State" In: Mamman, A. B., Oyebaji, J. O. and Peters, S. W. (eds). Nigeria: A people United, A future Assured. Vol. 2. Gabumo Publishers
- Kumar, R. and D'Mello, J. P. F. (1998). Antinutritional factors in forage legumes. In: tropical legumes in animal nutrition D'Mello J. P. F. and Devendra, D. (eds) CAB International Wallingford UK.
- Kumar, R. (2003). Anti-nutritive factors, the potential risks oftoxicity and methods to alleviate them. <u>http://www.faop.org/DOCREP/003/TO632</u> <u>E/T0632E10.htm</u>
- Le Houerou, H. N. (1980). Chemical composition and nutritive value of browse in tropical W. Africa. In: H.N. Le Houerou (ed), Browse in Africa: current state of knowledge. ILCA Addis Ababa Ethiopia pp. 261-289.
- Maga, J. A. (1982). Phytate, its chemistry, occurrence, food interaction, nutritional significance and methods of analysis. Journal of Agricultural Food and chemistry. Vol. 30pp 1-5
- Makkar, H. P. S. (2000). Quantification of tannins in tree foliage-a laboratory manual; a joint FAO/IAEA working document, Vienna, Austria.
- Makkar, H.P.S. (2003). Effects and fate of tannins in ruminant animals, adaptation to tannins, and strategies to overcome detrimental effects of feeding tannin-rich feeds. *Small*

Ruminant Res. 49, 241-256.

- Mcleod, M.N. (1974). Plant-tannins. Their role in forage quality. Nutrition Abstracts and Reviews, 11: 803–815.
- Minson, D.J. (1990). Forage in Ruminant Nutrition.Academic Press, London, 483 p.

- Moore, K.J. Jung, H-J.G. (2001). Lignin and fiber digestion. *J. Range Management 54*, 420-430.
- Njidda, A.A. Ikhimioya, I, Muhammad, B.F. and I. B Amaza (2010a). Chemical Composition, fibre fraction and Anti-Nutritive Substances. In: O. J. Babayemi, O. A. Abu, and E. O. Ewuola (eds.). Proc. 35<sup>th</sup> ., Nig. Soc. For Anim. Prod. 14-17 March, 2010,University of Ibadan, Nigeria. pp 477-480
- Njidda, A. A. Ikhimioya, I. and O. J. Babayemi (2010b).Variation of 24 h *in vitro* gas production and it relationship estimated metabolizable energy values of ruminant feeds. In: O. J. Babayemi, O. A. Abu, and E. O. Ewuola (eds.)Proc. 35<sup>th</sup> ., Nig. Soc. for Anim. Prod. 14-17 March, 2010, University of Ibadan, Nigeria. pp 491-494.
- Norton, B.W. (1994a). Anti-nutritive and toxic factors in forage tree legumes pp. 202-215. In Gutteridge R. C. and H.M. Shelton. Forage tree legumes in tropical agriculture. CAB International
- Norton, B.W. (1994b). Tree legumes as dietary supplements for ruminants pp. 192-201. In: Gutteridge R. C. and h. M. Shelton. Forage tree legumes in tropical Agriculture (CAB International).
- Norton, B.W. (2003). The Nutritive value of tree legumes. http://www.fao.org/ag/AGPC/doc/Publicat/ Gutt-shel/x5556e0j.htm.pp.1-10
- Norton, B.W. (1998). The nutritive value of tree legumes. In: Gutteridge, R.C., Shelton, H.M. (Eds.), Forage trees legumes in Tropical Agriculture. Tropical Grassland Society of Australia Inc., St Lucia Queensland,
- Odenyo, A. A., Osuji, P. O., Karanfil, O. and Adinew, K. (1997). Microbiological evaluation of Acacia angustissima as a protein supplement for sheep. *Anim. Feed Sci. Tech.* 65: 99-112.
- Okoli, I. C., Maureen, O., Anunobi, O., Obua, B. E. and Enemuo, V. (2003). Studies on selected browses of southeastern Nigeria with particular reference to their proximate and some endogenous anti-nutritional constituents. *Livestock Res. Rural Dev.* 15 (9): 3-7.
- Osuga, I. M., Abdulrazak, S. A., Ichinohe, T. Fujihara, T. (2006). Rumen degradation and *in vitro* gas production parameters

in some browse forages, grasses and maize stover from Kenya. J. Food, Agriculture and Environment. 4: 60-64.

- Pearson, D. (1976). The chemical analysis of foods. Churchill Livingston, Edinburgh. Pp. 352-354.
- Polshettiwar, S. A., Ganjiwale, R. O., Wadher, S. J. and Yeole, P. G. (2007). Spectrophotometric estimation of total tannins in some ayurvedic eye drops. *Indian J. Pharmaceutical Sci.*. 69 (4):574-576.
- Randy, H.A., Heintz, J. F. Lynch, D. L. and Sniffen, C. J. (1984). Protein, fibre and mineral nutrition of growing dairy goats. J. Dairy Sci. 67: 2974-2977.
- Reed, J.D. (1986). Relationship among soluble phenolics, insoluble proanthocyanidins and fibre in East African browse species. *J. Range Management*, 39: 5–7.
- Rittner, U. and Reed, J.D. (1992). Phenolics and In vitro degradability of protein and fiber in West African browse. J. Sci. Food and Agriculture 58, 21-28.
- Sena, L. P., Vanderjagt, D. J., Rivera, C., Tsin,
  A. T. C., Muhamadu, I. Mahamadou, O. Millson, M. Pastuszyn, A. and Glew, R. H. (1998). Analysis of nutritional components of eight famine foods of the Republic of Niger. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, 52(1): 17-30.
- Sharma, D. D. S. and Chandra, S. S. (1969). The nutritive value and toxicity of OHI (Albizia stipulate Bovin) tree leaves. *J. Res. Ludlhiana* 6: 388-393.
- Shelton, H. M. (2004) The importance of silvopastroal systems in rural livelihoods to provide ecosystem services. Proc. Of the 12<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Silvopastoal Systems. In: 't. Marnietje, L., Ramirez, L., Ibrahim, M, Sandoval, C. Ojeda, N and Ku, J. (eds). Universidad Antronoma de Yucatan, Merida, Yucatan, Mexico, 2004. pp. 158-174.
- Shio, K. (1996). Phosphorus. In: D.L. Sparks (Eds). Method of Soil Analysis: Chemical Methods. Part 3. Pp. 869-921. SSSA, Madison, WI.
- Silanikove, N., Gilboa, N., Perevolotsky, A. and Nitsan, Z. (1996). Goats fed tannincontaining leaves do not exhibit toxic syndromes. *Small Ruminant Res.* 21, 195-201.

- Steel, R. G. D., Torrie, J. H. (1980). Principles and procedures of statistics. McGraw Hill Book Co. Inc., New York. 481pp.
- Tanner, J.C., Reed, J.D. and Owen, E. 1990. The nutritive value of fruits (pods with seeds) from four *Acacia* spp. Compared with noug (*Guizotia abyssinica*) meal as supplements to maize stover for Ethiopian highland sheep. *Ani. Prod.*, 51: 127–133.
- Teferedegne, B. (2000). New perspectives on the use of tropical plants to improve ruminant nutrition. Pro. Nutr. Soc., 59: 209-214.
- Van Soest, P. J., Robertson, J. B. and Lewis, B. A. (1991). Methods for dietary neutral

detergent fibre and non starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *J. Dairy Sci.*. 74: 3583-3597.

- Wilson, J.R. (1993). Organisation of forage plant tissues. In: Jung, H.G., Buxton, D.R., Hatfield, R.D. and Ralph, J. ed. Forage Cell Wall Structure and Digestibility. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, 1–32.
- Zohary, M. (1973). Geobotanical foundations of the Middle East. Gustav Fisher Verlag, Stuttgart.