

# Day of admission and risk of myocardial infarction mortality in a cardiac care unit in Basrah, Iraq

JN Al-Asadi, FN Kadhim<sup>1</sup>

Department of Community Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq, <sup>1</sup>Al-Junaina PHC Center, Basrah Health Directorate, Basrah, Iraq

## Abstract

**Background:** Among many factors that may affect the in-hospital mortality among acute myocardial infarction (AMI) patients admitted to the cardiac care unit (CCU), the day and time of admission have been reported to play some role, but such relationship is controversial.

**Objective:** The objective of the following study is to assess the effect of the day and time of admission on in-hospital mortality of patients with AMI.

**Subjects and Methods:** Retrospective analysis of data of all patients with AMI who were admitted to the CCU in Al-Sadr Teaching Hospital, Basrah, Iraq during 2010 was conducted.

**Results:** A total of 419 patients were included in this analysis. The mean age of patients was  $62.4 \pm 11.6$  years, 64.9% of them were men. Admission during weekdays was greater than that during weekends (63.2% vs. 36.8%). Admission during off hours was greater than that during regular-hours (59.9% vs. 41.1%). Weekend admissions were more likely to be presenting with ST elevation myocardial infarction, complications and hypotension. A likewise pattern of baseline characteristics was found among patients admitted at the off-hours time.

The overall in-hospital mortality rate was 16.5%. The weekend admission was associated with a higher unadjusted hospital mortality rate than that for weekday admission (23.4% vs. 12.5%, respectively; odds ratio [OR], 2.14; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.27-3.61;  $P = 0.004$ ). In multivariate analyzes, no statistically significant difference in mortality was found between weekend and weekday admissions (OR, 0.658; 95% CI, 0.311-1.392). Whereas, off-hours admission was significantly associated with a higher mortality (25.5% vs. 3%;  $P < 0.001$ ), adjusted OR, 12.178; 95% CI, 3.846-38.442.

**Conclusion:** Of predictors for the in-hospital outcome of AMI, day of admission had no significant influence on mortality, whereas off-hour admission was associated with an increased risk of AMI in-hospital mortality.

**Key words:** In-hospital mortality, myocardial infarction, weekend

**Date of Acceptance:** 18-Jan-2014

## Introduction

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is a major cause of death world-wide. Effective therapies can improve its associated morbidity and mortality.<sup>[1]</sup> Despite the efforts of health care providers to improve the consistency and timely delivery of effective health care and treatments, many studies demonstrated quality gaps in AMI care in routine clinical practice.<sup>[2]</sup>

Many studies have reported that patients with myocardial infarction (MI) who were admitted to hospitals on weekends or during “off-hours” (weekday nights, weekends and holidays) have worse outcomes than patients admitted during the other week days.<sup>[3-6]</sup> The reasons for these variations have not been well-defined. Lower staffing levels (both in terms of number and expertise) in hospitals on weekends than on weekdays, diagnostic and therapeutic

### Address for correspondence:

Prof. Jasim N Al-Asadi,  
Department of Community Medicine, College of Medicine,  
University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq.  
E-mail: alasadijasim1951@yahoo.com

### Access this article online

#### Quick Response Code:



Website: [www.njcponline.com](http://www.njcponline.com)

DOI: 10.4103/1119-3077.141422

PMID: \*\*\*\*\*

procedures might take longer or are postponed until office hours, or patients admitted at such times were with severe illness and were less likely to receive guideline-based medications had been claimed as causes for such weekend effect.<sup>[7-13]</sup> Therefore, to improve outcomes, identification and estimation of increased weekend mortality may promote the redesign of health care services.<sup>[13]</sup>

However, this increased mortality outside office hours has been questioned by several other studies,<sup>[14-18]</sup> suggesting that the association was confounded by differences in the definition of office hours, differences in the study population, sample size, health care organization or insufficient correction for case mix and severity of illness. As a result of these methodological and organizational differences between countries, it remains unclear whether there is a relationship between increased in-hospital mortality and day or time of admission.

No study had been conducted in Basrah concerning such issue. Therefore, this study was conducted to determine whether day and time of admission to the cardiac care unit (CCU) increase the risk of death from AMI or not.

## Subjects and Methods

### Study design

This was a retrospective hospital-based study carried out for the period from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2012 to 30<sup>th</sup> September 2012.

### Study population

The study included patients admitted to the CCU in Al-Sadr Teaching Hospital during the period from 1<sup>st</sup> of January to 31<sup>st</sup> of December 2010 with proven AMI as the primary reason for admission. AMI diagnosis was based on European Society of Cardiology/American College of Cardiology definition of MI.<sup>[19]</sup> Patients with valvular heart diseases, history of coronary bypass surgery, coronary angioplasty and patients with congenital heart diseases were excluded from the study.

### Data collection and study variables

Data for this study were drawn from CCU and inpatient hospital discharge records available from the hospital archive department. For each discharge episode, we obtained the patient's age, sex, date of admission and discharge, outcome (discharged alive or dead). Admissions on Friday or Saturday were classed as weekend admissions and admissions during Sunday to Thursday were classed as weekday admissions.

Time of admission, was dichotomized into regular or office hours (8 am-2 pm h during weekdays; Sunday-Thursday) and off-hours (2 pm-8 am h during weekdays; Sunday-Thursday, 8 am-8 am the following morning hours

during Friday-Saturday and holidays). Additional patient covariates included baseline socio-demographic and medical history factors and characteristics of the clinical presentation including the presence or absence of coexisting conditions (such as diabetes, hypertension) and the presence or absence of complications including mechanical (such as heart failure and cardiogenic shock), arrhythmic, embolic (central nervous system or peripheral embolization), or inflammatory (pericarditis).

MI type was divided into ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) and non-ST elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI). STEMI was defined by the presence of ST segment elevation  $\geq 1$  mm ( $\geq 2$  mm in V1-V3) in two or more adjacent leads. Whereas NSTEMI was defined by the presence of ST depression, T wave inversion, or non-significant ST-T changes.<sup>[20]</sup> As far as treatment strategies are concerned, all patients had nearly the same conservative treatment strategy on admission. Coronary interventional therapy is not available in this hospital. This study was approved by the Ethics and Human Research Committee of Basrah Medical College.

### Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were presented as numbers and percentages and compared by Chi-square test. While continuous variables were presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation and compared using *t*-test or ANOVA. Observed differences are expressed as *P* value. Logistic regression analysis was used to predict the independent risk factors of in-hospital mortality. *P* < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

All analyzes were performed with SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 19, (IBM, Chicago, Illinois, USA).

## Results

Of the 419 admissions, 64.9% were men. The mean age was 62.4 years ( $\pm 11.6$ ), 47.7% of the patients were aged 65 years or more.

Differences in baseline characteristics of the patients between the weekday and weekend, regular hours and off-hours admission are shown in Table 1. Although fewer MI admissions on weekends when compared with weekdays (36.8% vs. 63.2%), there was no significant difference in most of the socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of the two groups.

In general terms, weekend admissions were more likely to be presenting with STEMI, complications and hypotension, but had nearly similar proportions of previous MI. A likewise pattern of baseline characteristics was also found among patients admitted at off-hours time.

**Table 1: Baseline patients' characteristics for admissions on weekdays versus weekends and during regular hours versus off-hours**

Characteristic	All (n=419)	Weekdays (n=265) (63.2%)	Weekends (n=154) (36.8%)	P value	Regular hours (n=168) (40.1%)	Off-hours (n=251) (59.9%)	P value
Socio-demographic							
Age, mean (SD), year	62.4±11.6	61.8±11.8	63.4±11.3	0.156	61.7±12.1	62.8±11.3	0.335
Male, n (%)	272 (64.9)	175 (66)	97 (63)	0.528	113 (67.3)	159 (63.3)	0.410
Smoker, n (%)	122 (29.1)	75 (28.3)	47 (30.5)	0.890	47 (28)	75 (29.9)	0.867
Medical history, n (%)							
Diabetes mellitus	156 (37.2)	97 (36.6)	59 (38.3)	0.727	62 (36.9)	94 (37.5)	0.910
Hypertension	229 (54.7)	136 (51.3)	93 (60.4)	0.072	87 (51.8)	142 (56.6)	0.335
Previous MI	200 (47.7)	125 (47.2)	75 (48.7)	0.762	77 (45.8)	123 (49)	0.524
Complications	112 (26.7)	57 (21.5)	55 (35.7)	0.002 <sup>a</sup>	33 (19.6)	79 (31.5)	0.007 <sup>a</sup>
Clinical characteristics							
STEMI diagnosis, n (%)	259 (61.8)	152 (57.4)	107 (69.5)	0.014 <sup>a</sup>	94 (56)	165 (65.7)	0.043 <sup>a</sup>
Pre-hospital delay > 12 h	186 (44.4)	124 (46.8)	62 (40.3)	0.194	83 (49.4)	103 (41)	0.091
SBP (mean±SD) mmHg	132.3±36.2	135.3±35.9	127.1±36.2	0.025 <sup>a</sup>	137.4±35.3	128.9±36.5	0.018 <sup>a</sup>
DBP (mean±SD) mmHg	81.2±22.0	82.9±21.7	78.3±22.2	0.037 <sup>a</sup>	84.6±20.8	78.9±22.5	0.009 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Significant difference. SD=Standard deviation; n=Number; MI=Myocardial infarction; STEMI=ST elevation myocardial infarction; SBP=Systolic blood pressure; DBP=Diastolic blood pressure

Table 2 shows the effects of certain variables on in-hospital mortality. Mortality was significantly higher in the following patients: Elderly (25.0% vs. 8.7%;  $P < 0.001$ ); females (28.6% vs. 9.9%;  $P < 0.001$ ); patients with complications (42.0% vs. 7.2%;  $P < 0.001$ ); and those with past history of diabetes mellitus (21.8% vs. 13.3%;  $P < 0.05$ ).

A total of 259 patients (61.8%) had STEMI. Of those, only 73 patients (28.2%) received thrombolytic therapy. The in-hospital mortality rate among those who did not receive thrombolytic treatment was 20.8% versus 13.4% among those who received thrombolytic treatment (odds ratio [OR] = 1.69; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.77-3.71;  $P = 0.183$ ).

The overall in-hospital mortality rate of AMI was 16.5%. The observed mortality rate of patients admitted to the CCU on weekends was 23.4% compared with 12.5% of patients admitted on weekdays. Unadjusted analysis of mortality on weekends versus weekdays demonstrated a significant relationship between weekends and increased death rates (OR, 2.145; 95% CI, 1.273-3.614;  $P = 0.004$ ). When adjusted for the confounding variables, weekend admission was not found to be independently associated with increased hospital mortality (OR, 0.658; 95% CI, 0.311-1.392;  $P = 0.273$ ). However, total mortality was significantly increased in patients admitted at off-hours compared with office working (regular) hours even after adjusting for other confounding factors (OR, 12.178; 95% CI, 3.846-38.442;  $P < 0.001$ ) [Table 3].

The multivariate analysis by logistic regression showed also that the significant predictors of in-hospital mortality were; age  $\geq 65$  years, female gender, time of admission, history of

**Table 2: Univariate analysis of variables affecting the MI in-hospital mortality**

Variable	No.	Mortality no. (%)	OR (95% CI)	$\chi^2$ ; P value
Age (years)				
<65	219 (52.3)	19 (8.7)	3.509	20.250;
$\geq 65$	200 (47.7)	50 (25)	(1.986-6.199)	<0.001
Sex				
Male	272 (64.9)	27 (9.9)	3.630	24.116;
Female	147 (35.1)	42 (28.6)	(2.126-6.169)	<0.001
Smoking				
Non-smoker	297 (70.9)	56 (18.9)	0.513	4.227;
Current smoker	122 (29.1)	13 (10.7)	(0.269-0.978)	0.040
History of diabetes mellitus				
Negative	263 (62.8)	35 (13.3)	1.815	5.127;
Positive	156 (37.2)	34 (21.8)	(1.079-3.056)	0.024
History of hypertension				
Negative	190 (45.3)	28 (14.7)	1.262	0.757;
Positive	229 (54.7)	41 (17.9)	(0.747-2.132)	0.384
Past history MI				
Negative	219 (52.3)	38 (17.4)	0.874	0.261;
Positive	200 (47.7)	31 (15.5)	(0.520-1.468)	0.610
Complications				
Negative	307 (73.3)	22 (7.2)	9.367	72.238;
Positive	112 (26.7)	47 (42)	(5.279-16.622)	<0.001
Type of MI				
NSTEMI	160 (38.2)	20 (12.5)	1.633	2.962;
STEMI	259 (61.8)	49 (18.9)	(0.931-2.866)	0.085
Duration of chest pain				
$\leq 12$ h	233 (55.6)	35 (15.0)	1.265	0.798;
> 12 h	186 (44.4)	34 (18.3)	(0.754-2.122)	0.427
<b>Total</b>	<b>419</b>	<b>69 (16.5)</b>		

OR=Odds ratio; CI=Confidence interval; MI=Myocardial infarction; NSTEMI=Non-ST elevation myocardial infarction; STEMI=ST elevation myocardial infarction

**Table 3: Weekend versus weekday and off-hours versus regular hours MI mortality**

Time of admission	Patient numbers	All deaths	Mortality rate (%)	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P value
Weekend	154	36	23.4	2.145 (1.273-3.614)	0.004	0.658 (0.311-1.392)	0.273
Weekday	265	33	12.5				
Off-hours	251	64	25.5	11.16 (4.384-28.392)	<0.001	12.178 (3.846-38.442)	<0.001
Regular hours	168	5	3				

CI=Confidence interval; MI=Myocardial infarction; OR=Odds ratio

diabetes mellitus, low diastolic blood pressure on admission and complications [Table 4].

## Discussion

The baseline clinical characteristics of the patients were similar, except for the higher prevalence of hypotension, complications and STEMI type of AMI during weekends and during off-hours. This might be due to that, during regular (office) hours, patients with mild and less specific symptoms can more easily attend the hospital for assessment.<sup>[16]</sup>

There was a shorter pre-hospital delay at the weekend as well as off-hours admissions, possibly due to the more intense symptoms among patients admitted during such times or due to the influence of significant others such as family members or peers.<sup>[21]</sup>

The overall in-hospital mortality of MI patients at the CCU was 16.5% which is similar to that reported in Baghdad Teaching Hospital in 2011 which was 16.1%,<sup>[22]</sup> but it was higher than that reported in Saudi Arabia (3.0%),<sup>[23]</sup> Iran (9.4%),<sup>[24]</sup> Italy (7.4%),<sup>[25]</sup> Pakistan (Rawalpendi) 9.2%<sup>[26]</sup> and Kosovo (10.25%).<sup>[27]</sup>

Mortality among patients admitted during weekends was higher than in those admitted during weekdays, but after adjusting for other variables, no significant increase in overall mortality was noticed in patients admitted at the weekend compared with those admitted on weekdays. A result which is consistent with that reported by others.<sup>[18,28]</sup> However, the mortality was increased significantly in patients admitted at the off-hours time when compared with those admitted in the official working hours even after adjustment for other factors. This result agrees with that of other studies,<sup>[3,29,30]</sup> but it contradicts that of some studies which showed no significant effect of time of admission on mortality.<sup>[14-16]</sup> Temporal and methodological influences may account for such differences.<sup>[16]</sup>

Several explanations are possible for the association between weekend, time of admission and increased in-hospital mortality. Differences in the delivery of care in hospital during weekend periods, reduced overall staffing levels, less senior doctors and less experienced staff, cross cover for other clinicians' patients, discontinuity

**Table 4: Multivariate analysis of predictors of in-hospital mortality of AMI patients**

Predictors	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P value
Age ≥ 65 years	1.045 (1.010-1.081)	0.001
Female gender	4.672 (2.263-9.647)	<0.001
Time of admission	12.178 (3.846-38.442)	<0.001
History of diabetes	2.553 (1.236-5.274)	0.029
Low diastolic BP on admission	1.1 (1.03-1.23)	0.045
Complications	7.973 (3.911-16.252)	<0.001

AMI=Acute myocardial infarction; OR=Odds ratio; CI=Confidence interval; BP=Blood pressure

of care, reduced availability of certain procedures and less supervision have all been considered as possible explanations for the 'weekend effect'.<sup>[11]</sup> All of these factors also apply at off-hours, as well as a possible average increase in time to first consultant review.<sup>[31]</sup> Patients may have greater physical activity, alcohol use and emotional stress when at home on nights and weekends. Later waking times and presumptive later medication administration which could contribute to such increase in proportion of patients with AMI presented at these times.<sup>[21]</sup>

Pathophysiologic factors may affect the timing and type of acute coronary syndrome. The complex interaction of plaque disruption, thrombosis, fibrinolysis and endothelial function are not completely understood and may differ on nights and weekends. Circadian changes in catecholamines, cortisol, blood pressure and pulse have been described, potentially altering coronary artery wall shear stress.<sup>[32]</sup> An increase in platelet aggregation has been described in the morning<sup>[33]</sup> and the fibrinolytic system has marked circadian pattern or variation.<sup>[34]</sup>

Furthermore, it was suggested that patients who initially present to hospital at weekends and off-hours times may on average be more severely ill, compared with those with lesser emergencies who wait or have been planned for next-day normal daytime assessment.<sup>[29]</sup>

Advanced age was significantly associated with higher in-hospital mortality, a result which agrees with that of others.<sup>[35,36]</sup> A study by Gurwitz *et al.*, showed that although in-hospital death after AMI has declined for patients less than 65 years of age but improvements have not been realized for old age group.<sup>[37]</sup>

Female gender was another characteristic which was associated with higher mortality in patients with AMI. This study is consistent with previous studies which showed that women had a higher adjusted in-hospital mortality than men.<sup>[38,39]</sup> This may be due to the fact that females are usually older than males at presentation and also females were more likely to have a higher prevalence of other risk factors such as dyslipidemia and diabetes mellitus.<sup>[26]</sup>

In univariate analysis, smoking was found to be inversely associated with MI, a result which seems to be contradictory. It is in agreement with that of others.<sup>[24,40,41]</sup> Younger age and lower comorbidity, more frequently men than women and probably a better clinical profile in smokers might partly account for this “smoking paradox”.<sup>[40,42-44]</sup> However, after adjustment for other variables, no significant effect of smoking on in-hospital mortality was observed.

Diabetes mellitus is another factor which significantly increased risk of mortality in patients with AMI. This result is in agreement with that of other researchers.<sup>[24,45]</sup> Diabetic patients have increased platelet aggregation and coagulation activity leading to increased probability of thrombus formation.<sup>[46]</sup> They develop cardiomyopathy more frequently than those without diabetes.<sup>[47]</sup> Left ventricular dysfunction and heart failure as complications of MI are more frequent in diabetic than in non-diabetic patients.<sup>[48]</sup> Furthermore, disturbed autonomic tone consequent to diabetic neuropathy may be a direct cause of fatal arrhythmias.<sup>[49]</sup> These factors may explain the increased MI mortality in diabetic patients.

Patients who were hypotensive on admission (particularly low diastolic blood pressure) had a higher risk of mortality, a result which had been reported by others.<sup>[50]</sup>

The results of this study should be interpreted in the context of several limitations. This study was carried out in a single hospital, which is a multispecialty teaching hospital and the particular way in which health care services may be quite different and not representative of other locations. There may be some lead-time bias, since the time of admission as recorded in the hospital episode statistics is not necessarily the same as the time of arrival in hospital, if patients have been assessed in the emergency department before being admitted to the acute cardiac unit. This study is a retrospective one; the data of some patients were not complete due to lack of medical records. Despite these limitations, the results of our study are still comparable to published findings.

## Conclusion

The overall mortality of MI in CCU in Basrah is not significantly increased at weekends, but appears to be significantly greater on off-hours time.

Therefore, persons responsible for staffing and treatment requirements in CCUs should be aware of the possible increase in mortality in patients with MI admitted during “off hours” and health care providers should continue to work to enhance the health care system during regular and off-hours.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the personnel of the hospital in which the study was conducted for their kind help and co-operation.

## References

1. Antman EM, Anbe DT, Armstrong PW, Bates ER, Green LA, Hand M, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee to Revise the 1999 Guidelines for the Management of Patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction). *Circulation* 2004;110:e82-292.
2. Juneja D, Nasa P, Singh O. Physician staffing pattern in intensive care units: Have we cracked the code? *World J Crit Care Med* 2012;1:10-4.
3. Magid DJ, Wang Y, Herrin J, McNamara RL, Bradley EH, Curtis JP, et al. Relationship between time of day, day of week, timeliness of reperfusion, and in-hospital mortality for patients with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *JAMA* 2005;294:803-12.
4. Kostis WJ, Demissie K, Marcella SW, Shao YH, Wilson AC, Moreyra AE, et al. Weekend versus weekday admission and mortality from myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 2007;356:1099-109.
5. Schilling PL, Campbell DA Jr, Englesbe MJ, Davis MM. A comparison of in-hospital mortality risk conferred by high hospital occupancy, differences in nurse staffing levels, weekend admission, and seasonal influenza. *Med Care* 2010;48:224-32.
6. Clarke MS, Wills RA, Bowman RV, Zimmerman PV, Fong KM, Coory MD, et al. Exploratory study of the ‘weekend effect’ for acute medical admissions to public hospitals in Queensland, Australia. *Intern Med J* 2010;40:777-83.
7. Aujesky D, Jiménez D, Mor MK, Geng M, Fine MJ, Ibrahim SA. Weekend versus weekday admission and mortality after acute pulmonary embolism. *Circulation* 2009;119:962-8.
8. Becker DJ. Do hospitals provide lower quality care on weekends? *Health Serv Res* 2007;42:1589-612.
9. Aysin P, Yunus A, Bottle A, Majeed A, Bell D. Weekend mortality for emergency admissions. A large, multicentre study. *Qual Saf Health Care* 2010;19:213-7.
10. Angus DC, Shorr AF, White A, Dremsizov TT, Schmitz RJ, Kelley MA, et al. Critical care delivery in the United States: Distribution of services and compliance with Leapfrog recommendations. *Crit Care Med* 2006;34:1016-24.
11. Bell CM, Redelmeier DA. Mortality among patients admitted to hospitals on weekends as compared with weekdays. *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:663-8.
12. Kuijsten HA, Brinkman S, Meynaar IA, Spronk PE, van der Spoel JI, Bosman RJ, et al. Hospital mortality is associated with ICU admission time. *Intensive Care Med* 2010;36:1765-71.
13. Freemantle N, Richardson M, Wood J, Ray D, Khosla S, Shahian D, et al. Weekend hospitalization and additional risk of death: An analysis of inpatient data. *J R Soc Med* 2012;105:74-84.
14. Jneid H, Fonarow GC, Cannon CP, Palacios IF, Kilic T, Moukarbel GV, et al. Impact of time of presentation on the care and outcomes of acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 2008;117:2502-9.
15. Berger A, Stauffer JC, Radovanovic D, Urban P, Bertel O, Erne P, et al. Comparison of in-hospital mortality for acute myocardial infarction in Switzerland with admission during routine duty hours versus admission during out of hours (insight into the AMIS plus registry). *Am J Cardiol* 2008;101:422-7.
16. Takada JY, Roza LC, Ramos RB, Avakian SD, Ramires JA, Mansur Ade P. Emergency service admission time and in-hospital mortality in acute coronary syndrome. *Arq Bras Cardiol* 2012;98:104-10.
17. Meynaar IA, van der Spoel JI, Rommes JH, van Spreuwel-Verheijen M, Bosman RJ,

- Spronk PE. Off hour admission to an intensivist-led ICU is not associated with increased mortality. *Crit Care* 2009;13:R84.
18. Arabi Y, Alshimemeri A, Taher S. Weekend and weeknight admissions have the same outcome of weekday admissions to an intensive care unit with onsite intensivist coverage. *Crit Care Med* 2006;34:605-11.
  19. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, White HD, Joint ESC/ACCF/AHA/WHF Task Force for the redefinition of myocardial infarction. Universal definition of myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;50:2173-95.
  20. Yang SC, Wang YC, Hwang JJ, Hsu KL, Chiang FT, Tseng CD. Predictors of in-hospital mortality in patients with successful primary coronary intervention for acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction presenting as cardiogenic shock. *Acta Cardiol Sin* 2010;26:81-8.
  21. LaBounty T, Eagle KA, Manfredini R, Fang J, Tsai T, Smith D, et al. The impact of time and day on the presentation of acute coronary syndromes. *Clin Cardiol* 2006;29:542-6.
  22. Al-Hamandi ZN, Al-Khazraji KA. Predictors of in-hospital mortality after acute myocardial infarction, Baghdad teaching hospital medical city, Iraq. *Postgrad Med J* 2011;10:46-53.
  23. Alhabib KF, Hersi A, Alfaleh H, Alnemer K, Alsaif S, Taraben A, et al. Baseline characteristics, management practices, and in-hospital outcomes of patients with acute coronary syndromes: Results of the Saudi project for assessment of coronary events (SPACE) registry. *J Saudi Heart Assoc* 2011;23:233-9.
  24. Salarifar M, Sadeghian S, Darabyan S, Solaymani A, Amirzadegan AR, Mahmoudian M, et al. Factors affecting in-hospital mortality of acute myocardial infarction. *Iran J Public Health* 2009;38:97-104.
  25. Di Chiara A, Chiarella F, Savonitto S, Lucci D, Bolognese L, De Servi S, et al. Epidemiology of acute myocardial infarction in the Italian CCU network: The BLITZ study. *Eur Heart J* 2003;24:1616-29.
  26. Shabbir M, Kayani AM, Qureshi O, Mughal MM. Predictors of fatal outcome in acute myocardial infarction. *J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad* 2008;20:14-6.
  27. Bajraktari G, Thaqi K, Pacolli S, Gjoka S, Rexhepaj N, Daulxhiu I, et al. In-hospital mortality following acute myocardial infarction in Kosovo: A single center study. *Ann Saudi Med* 2008;28:430-4.
  28. Laupland KB, Shahpori R, Kirkpatrick AW, Stelfox HT. Hospital mortality among adults admitted to and discharged from intensive care on weekends and evenings. *J Crit Care* 2008;23:317-24.
  29. Maggs F, Mallet M. Mortality in out-of-hours emergency medical admissions – More than just a weekend effect. *J R Coll Physicians Edinb* 2010;40:115-8.
  30. Maier B, Behrens S, Graf-Bothe C, Kuckuck H, Roehnisch JU, Schoeller RG, et al. Time of admission, quality of PCI care, and outcome of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Clin Res Cardiol* 2010;99:565-72.
  31. Peberdy MA, Ornato JP, Larkin GL, Braithwaite RS, Kashner TM, Carey SM, et al. Survival from in-hospital cardiac arrest during nights and weekends. *JAMA* 2008;299:785-92.
  32. Strike PC, Steptoe A. New insights into the mechanisms of temporal variation in the incidence of acute coronary syndromes. *Clin Cardiol* 2003;26:495-9.
  33. Toffler GH, Brezinski D, Schafer AI, Czeisler CA, Rutherford JD, Willich SN, et al. Concurrent morning increase in platelet aggregability and the risk of myocardial infarction and sudden cardiac death. *N Engl J Med* 1987;316:1514-8.
  34. Sayer JW, Gutteridge C, Syndercombe-Court D, Wilkinson P, Timmis AD. Circadian activity of the endogenous fibrinolytic system in stable coronary artery disease: Effects of beta-adrenoreceptor blockers and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1998;32:1962-8.
  35. Newell MC, Henry JT, Henry TD, Duval S, Browning JA, Christiansen EC, et al. Impact of age on treatment and outcomes in ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Am Heart J* 2011;161:664-72.
  36. Sanguanwong S, Srimahachota S, Tungsubutra W, Srichaiveth B, Kiatchoosakun S. Predictors of in-hospital mortality in Thai STEMI patients: Results from TACSR. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2007;90 Suppl 1:91-7.
  37. Gurwitz JH, Goldberg RJ, Chen Z, Gore JM, Alpert JS. Recent trends in hospital mortality of acute myocardial infarction: The Worcester Heart Attack Study. Have improvements been realized for all age groups? *Arch Intern Med* 1994;154:2202-8.
  38. Shiraki T, Saito D. Sex difference of in-hospital mortality in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Acta Med Okayama* 2011;65:307-14.
  39. Canto JG, Rogers WJ, Goldberg RJ, Peterson ED, Wenger NK, Vaccarino V, et al. Association of age and sex with myocardial infarction symptom presentation and in-hospital mortality. *JAMA* 2012;307:813-22.
  40. Kang SH, Suh JW, Choi DJ, Chae IH, Cho GY, Youn TJ, et al. Cigarette smoking is paradoxically associated with low mortality risk after acute myocardial infarction. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2013;15:1230-8.
  41. Elosua R, Vega G, Rohlfes I, Aldasoro E, Navarro C, Cabades A, et al. Smoking and myocardial infarction case-fatality: Hospital and population approach. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil* 2007;14:561-7.
  42. Trappolini M, Matteoli S, Chillotti FM, Curione M, Del Vecchio LR, Puletti M. Cigarette smoking and acute myocardial infarction. *Minerva Cardioangiolog* 1996;44:609-16.
  43. Andrikopoulos GK, Richter DJ, Dilaveris PE, Pipilis A, Zaharoulis A, Gialafos JE, et al. In-hospital mortality of habitual cigarette smokers after acute myocardial infarction; the "smoker's paradox" in a countrywide study. *Eur Heart J* 2001;22:776-84.
  44. Gourlay SG, Rundle AC, Barron HV. Smoking and mortality following acute myocardial infarction: Results from the National Registry of Myocardial Infarction 2 (NRFMI 2). *Nicotine Tob Res* 2002;4:101-7.
  45. Zia S, Hasan ZU. Survival after myocardial infarction in patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2004;54:73-80.
  46. Aronson D, Rayfield EJ, Chesebro JH. Mechanisms determining course and outcome of diabetic patients who have had acute myocardial infarction. *Ann Intern Med* 1997;126:296-306.
  47. Zarich SW, Nesto RV. Diabetic cardiomyopathy. *Am Heart J* 1989;118:1000-12.
  48. Stone PH, Muller JE, Hartwell T, York BJ, Rutherford JD, Parker CB, et al. The effect of diabetes mellitus on prognosis and serial left ventricular function after acute myocardial infarction: Contribution of both coronary disease and diastolic left ventricular dysfunction to the adverse prognosis. The MILIS Study Group. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1989;14:49-57.
  49. Langer A, Freeman MR, Josse RG, Armstrong PW. Metaiodobenzylguanidine imaging in diabetes mellitus: Assessment of cardiac sympathetic denervation and its relation to autonomic dysfunction and silent myocardial ischemia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1995;25:610-8.
  50. Pehnek Z, Sinkovi A, Kamenik B, Marinsek M, Svensek F. Baseline characteristics, time-to-hospital admission and in-hospital outcomes of patients hospitalized with ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes, 2002 to 2005. *J Intensive Care Emerg Med* 2009;4:14-20.

**How to cite this article:** Al-Asadi JN, Kadhim FN. Day of admission and risk of myocardial infarction mortality in a cardiac care unit in Basrah, Iraq. *Niger J Clin Pract* 2014;17:579-84.

**Source of Support:** Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.