Abstract

Objectives: This study evaluated the microtensile bond strength (μTBS) of a resin composite bonded to bleached enamel as a function of bleaching conditions.

Materials and Methods: The whiteness hydrogen peroxide (HP) bleaching agent containing 35% HP was applied to the central incisors’ facial enamel surface and irradiated as follows: No treatment (G1; control); no light (G2); light-emitting diode, the 40s (G3); diode laser, the 20s (G4); and neodymium:yttrium aluminum garnet laser, 20s (G5). A Variolink II resin composite structure was then built up incrementally on the surface. The teeth were sectioned into three 1.2 mm × 1.2 mm wide “I”-shaped sections. The specimens were then subjected to microtensile testing at a crosshead speed of 1 mm/min. Data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA (α =0.05) followed by the Tukey Honestly Significant Difference post-hoc test. The fractured surfaces were observed with a stereomicroscope at × 100 magnification.

Results: One-way ANOVA revealed no statistical differences among the groups (P > 0.05). No differences appeared between the groups bonded 14 days after bleaching (P > 0.05). Mean μTBS values (MPa) were as follows: 22.05 ± 5.01 (G1); 19.6 ± 5.6 (G2); 19.3 ± 5.4 (G3); 20.08 ± 2.08 (G4); and 18.1 ± 4.8 (G5). Many adhesive failures occurred at the bleached and irradiated enamel surfaces.

Conclusion: The various irradiation treatments following the application of the whiteness HP bleaching agent to enamel did not significantly reduce the μTBS within a 14-day period.
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Introduction

Dental bleaching is one of the most rapidly developing areas of dentistry. Demand is increasing for tooth bleaching to improve the whiteness and perceived the esthetic appearance of tooth tissue,[1,2] Contemporary tooth bleaching methods provide real-time, successful, and affordable tooth whitening.[3,4]

Several types of irradiation sources are currently used to accelerate the in-office bleaching procedure.[5,6] These techniques, using coherent[4,7] or incoherent[8] light sources, are quick and convenient.[5]

The bleaching procedure is an oxidation reaction that releases free radicals. Hydrogen peroxide (HP; HOOH)
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These include hydroxyl ions (OH\(^{-}\)); perhydroxyl ions (HOO\(^{-}\)), which are considered stronger free radicals; hydrogen ions (H\(^{+}\)); water molecules (H\(_2\)O); and oxygen ions (O\(^{-2}\)). Water (H\(_2\)O) and oxygen molecules (O\(_2\)) can form in the presence of salivary peroxidase enzymes.\(^{[4]}\)

Decomposition of HP is a unidirectional reaction that ultimately leads to the formation of water. In the first step, HP dissociates into free radicals such as HOO\(^{-}\) and O\(^{-2}\), which oxidize the organic dark stains on teeth to a much lighter shade.\(^{[1]}\) The saturation point is reached when the bleaching intensity has stabilized.\(^{[9]}\) The O\(^{-2}\) ion is stabilized by removing an electron from surrounding molecules, such as the color pigments present in the enamel surface. This degradation of the pigments can make them lighter.\(^{[10]}\)

The in-office bleaching technique involves using high concentrations of carbamide or HP for faster and more effective treatment.\(^{[9,11]}\) The effectiveness of these chemical agents can be accelerated by light or heat.\(^{[4]}\) The purpose of the light source is not to directly bleach the teeth, but to accelerate the activation of the bleaching product by absorbing light from a photosensitizer (a dye). The energy absorbed from the light accelerates the oxidation–reduction reaction.\(^{[12]}\)

Bleaching treatment is frequently recommended before porcelain restorations or adhesive esthetic restorations are performed.\(^{[13]}\) However, reductions in bond strength to enamel and dentin have been reported when these restorations are made immediately after the bleaching treatment.\(^{[13,14]}\) Approximately 7–14 days are required to achieve the normal bond strength.\(^{[13]}\) Various treatments with antioxidants have been used to reverse this effect, such as 10% sodium ascorbate and the catalase enzyme. However, these are typically not routine clinical procedures.\(^{[13]}\)

The microtensile technique is a more reliable test. It more closely reflects the interfacial bond strength because it provides a more uniform stress distribution.\(^{[21,23]}\)

Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate the microtensile bond strength (\(\mu\)TBS) of a resin composite to bleached enamel as a function of different Nd:YAG, light-emitting diode (LED), and diode laser light-activating applications. The null hypothesis was that no statistically significant differences would appear in the bleaching photoactivation, and the \(\mu\)TBS would be unchanged.

Materials and Methods

Twenty sound, recently extracted, human maxillary central incisors were cleaned and polished. Those with cracks and carious lesions were excluded. The teeth were stored in distilled water at room temperature immediately after extraction until the bleaching process was conducted. Before bleaching, the teeth were randomly divided into five groups of four teeth each for subsequent exposure to the different light sources. Then the whiteness HP bleaching agent (FGM Produtos Odontológicos Ltda, Joinville, SC,
Brazil) containing 35% HP was applied to the facial enamel surface according to the groups.

The red activator was mixed into the colorless bleaching gel at the moment of use according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The mixture was brushed on the buccal surface of a tooth to produce a uniform layer approximately 1 mm thick.

- G1 (control): No treatment
- G2 (no photoactivation): Bleaching gel was applied, but no photoactivation device was used
- G3 (blue-emitting diode): Bleaching gel was applied and photoactivated using a blue LED (light intensity 470 mW/cm²) for 40 s
- G4 (diode): Bleaching gel was applied and photoactivated using a diode laser (Lasersmile, Biolase, San Clemente, CA, USA) (continuous mode, output power 4.0 W, 1 mm distance, energy density 17.7 J/cm², wavelength 980 nm, focal spot area 4.25 cm²) for 20 s
- G5 (Nd:YAG): Bleaching gel was applied and photoactivated using an Nd:YAG laser (Fotona, At Fidelis, Ljubljana, Slovenia) (noncontact bleaching handpiece, output power 4.0 W, pulse repetition rate 60 Hz, 1 mm distance, pulse length 320 μs, energy density 0.23 J/cm², wavelength 1064 nm, focal spot area 0.08 cm²) for 20 s.

Adhesives and resin cements were applied according to the manufacturers’ instructions 14 days after the bleaching process. Total Etch etching gel (37% phosphoric acid) was applied only on the enamel for 15 s, then rinsed and dried in air. Syntac primer was then applied for 15 s, and air-dried. Syntac adhesive was applied for 10 s, and dried in air. Heliobond light-curing bonding agent was finally applied. Total Etch, Syntac, and Heliobond were all obtained from Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Schaan, Liechtenstein.

After setting of the cement, a composite resin (Variolink II) block was incrementally built-up on the cement surface to a height of 5 mm. Each increment of 2 mm was light-cured for 40 s. All curing steps were performed using the same halogen light-curing unit (Bluephase). All specimens were stored in distilled water at 37°C for 24 h. Variolink II and Bluephase were obtained from Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Schaan, Liechtenstein.

The specimens (resin block/tooth) were sectioned perpendicularly to the cement/tooth interface using a cutting machine (Buehler Isomet 1000 Low Speed Saw, Buehler Ltd., Lake Bluff, IL, USA). A diamond saw blade was used at low speed with water cooling and irrigation. The teeth were sectioned into three “I”-shaped sections with dimensions of 1.2 mm × 1.2 mm [Figure 1]. The specimens were prepared from the middle third of teeth for specimens’ standardization. Approximately 12 rectangular specimens (8 mm in length) were obtained from each tooth for microtensile testing.

**Microtensile bond strength test**

Cyanoacrylate adhesive (Zap-It, Dental Ventures of America, Inc., Corona, CA, USA) was used to attach a specimen to the opposing arms of a μTBS testing device (Harvard Apparatus Co. Inc., Holliston, MA, USA). The bonded area was kept perpendicular to the long axis [Figure 2] to avoid torsion and shear forces. The mounting adhesive was applied sparingly to the edges of each specimen. The specimen was fractured under tension at a crosshead speed of 1 mm/min. The crosshead movement was stopped automatically upon fracture. μTBSs were recorded and tabulated for statistical analysis. The two halves of a fractured sample were retained to assess the fracture mode.

The bond strength data were subjected to the Shapiro–Wilk normality test. Normal distributions were observed, so a parametric test (one-way ANOVA, \( \alpha = 0.05 \)) was used to determine the significance between the groups, followed by the Tukey Honestly Significant Difference post-hoc test. The fracture surfaces were observed using a stereomicroscope at ×100 magnification to identify the fracture mode.

**Results**

Table 1 lists the mean μTBS data for the five groups with their standard deviations. Table 2 lists the ANOVA results.

One-way ANOVA revealed no statistical differences between the groups (\( P > 0.05 \)). No differences appeared between the groups bonded 14 days after bleaching.
A stereomicroscope was used to analyze the type of fracture. Many adhesive failures occurred at the bleached and irradiated enamel surfaces. Adhesive failures occurred in 67% of the G1, 75% of the G2, 83% of the G3, 83% of the G4, and 92% of the G5 specimens. In the control group (G1), 33% of the specimens failed within the resin cement.

Figure 4 presents scanning electron microscope images of the bleached enamel surfaces. Specimens from groups bleached with the photoactivation unit had similar topographies. However, scratches were observed in bleached enamel surfaces that had been treated with the diode and the Nd:YAG lasers (i.e., G4 and G5). No morphological changes were observed in enamel surfaces bleached with the Nd:YAG laser or those not photoactivated.

Table 1: MBS of testing groups (in MPa)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22.05±5.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19.6±5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19.3±5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20.08±2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18.1±4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No treatment: G1 = Control; G2 = No light; G3 = An light-emitting diode, 40 s; G4 = A diode laser, 20 s and G5 = Nd: YAG laser, 20 s. SD = Standard deviation; MBS = Microtensile bond strengths; Nd: YAG = Neodymium: yttrium aluminum garnet.

Table 2: Results of one-way ANOVA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Significant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between groups</td>
<td>107.329</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.832</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within groups</td>
<td>1305.782</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>23.741</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1413.111</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

This study evaluated the effects of four different bleaching techniques on the $\mu$TBS of resin bonded to a bleached enamel surface. Photoactivation and chemically-activated HP negatively influenced the $\mu$TBS when the bonding procedure was performed 14 days after bleaching. G1 (control) had the maximum mean $\mu$TBS value, followed by G4 (the group bleached with diode laser activation), G2 (no light), G3 (LED), and G5 (Nd:YAG laser). However, no statistically significant differences appeared between the five groups. The null hypothesis of this study was accepted for the $\mu$TBS of all groups treated with or without photoactivated bleaching.

HP is one of the primary agents used as a preservative treatment for bleaching pigmented or darkened teeth. HP has a low molecular size and readily releases oxygen free radicals (OH and OO) and the perhydroxyl anion (OOH). These species can penetrate the enamel surface and migrate along the dental structure to reach the dentin. Commercial HP solutions have a concentration of 3–35%. The concentration does not appear to affect the whitening achievable with HP or carbamide peroxide. Highly concentrated bleaching gels can be used to bleach much more quickly.

Most approaches to dental whitening have focused on accelerating peroxide bleaching of the anterior teeth with light sources. These light sources vary widely in their wavelengths and powers, e.g. plasma arc lamps, lasers and LEDs, and halogen curing lights. The Nd:YAG laser, in particular, has great potential for whitening teeth because it produces the heat necessary for activating the HP and the analgesia produced in the pulp through biostimulation.

Previous study showed that there was no difference between LED and diode laser groups. Although these results were consistent statistically with our results they obtained higher shear bond strength for the LED. However, the values suggested by Can-Karabulut and Karabulut are based on shear bond strength, whereas, in this study, $\mu$TBS values were evaluated. This study showed that there was no statistical difference diode laser and control groups, additionally higher $\mu$TBS values were obtained for the control group. The lower $\mu$TBS values of enamel that were found for the diode laser may be due to higher power density of the diode laser and also the specification of the applied gel might have played a role in this result.

The required delay between the bleaching and restoration steps is an issue. Cavalli et al. and others reported an reduction in the $\mu$TBS of bleached teeth. However, those restoration treatments (porcelain or composite) were applied just 24 h after the bleaching procedure. Nour El-din et al. reported that bleached teeth produced smaller and thinner resin tags than unbleached teeth, but the restorative treatments were applied immediately after the bleaching protocols. Sung et al. found that the bond strength of bleached teeth did not differ statistically from those stored in a physiological solution for 5 days before adhesive procedures. Giannini performed a carbamide peroxide treatment on specimens that had been stored in artificial saliva for longer than 2 weeks. In that study, the peroxide absorbed in the enamel was released during the bleaching treatment; remineralization occurred to reestablish the surface morphology.

This study showed that no statistical differences between the control and treated groups on the $\mu$TBS values. Residual oxygen could either inhibit free radical polymerization of the resins or interfere with resin infiltration into enamel and dentin. The time delay after bleaching could account for these findings. The time delay period is supposed to be sufficient for any residual oxygen to leave the dental hard tissues. Sung et al. and Giannini reported similar $\mu$TBS results for teeth stored in artificial saliva for 2 weeks after bleaching.

Various factors contribute to the reduced bond strength of an adhesive applied to bleached enamel and dentin. HP bleaching or agents released from the decomposition of HP may significantly reduce the amount of calcium and phosphate in the enamel and alter the chemical structure of the crystallites in the superficial enamel.

Additionally, the bond strength reduction in enamel and dentin treated with HP could be caused by residues in the enamel and dentin pores after completion of the bleaching treatment. Residual oxygen could either interfere with resin infiltration into enamel and dentin or inhibit free radical polymerization of the resins. Activation of the chemical agents can be accelerated by using light, heat, or laser during the whitening process. Energy absorbed by photosensitive agents is transferred to the peroxide and thereby accelerates the oxidation–reduction reaction. Many studies have recommended using the Nd:YAG laser to accelerate the bleaching process. Laser bleaching does not form the residual oxygen species produced by conventional bleaching techniques.

A 1–3 weeks delay after a bleaching treatment is often recommended before porcelain bonding or placement of composite resin restorations is done. This period is assumed to be sufficient for any residual oxygen to leave the dental hard tissues. However, in vitro conditions and clinical conditions are different. In vitro studies such as this study, the conditions do not completely duplicate the physical and chemical properties of the oral environment. This study carried out in enamel, and so results may be different for dentin.
Conclusion

The results of this research indicate that different HP bleaching treatments do not significantly reduce the μTBS over a 14-day treatment period. The time delay after bleaching period may be longer than 2 weeks and then should compare with no-photo activated enamel.
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