Original Article

Assessment of Body perception, Psychological Distress, and Subjective Quality of Life Among Obese and Nonobese Subjects in Turkey

N Son

Department of Medical History and Ethics, Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Afyon Kocatepe University, Afyonkarahisar, Turkey

BSTRAC

Background: Obesity can lead to psychological, social, and medical problems that may negatively affect the quality of life Aim: In our study, we aimed to evaluate the body perception, psychological distress, and subjective quality of life of obese subjects in comparison with normal weighted ones. **Methods**: A total of 494 subjects, aged between 18 and 64 years, were included to the study. Patients with the body mass index (BMI) of $\geq 18.5-24.9$ kg/m² were assigned to the control group; BMI \geq 30 kg/m² were assigned to the obese patient group. An ethical committee approval with protocol No. of 2011/242 was obtained from the Ethical Committee of Eskisehir Osmangazi University Faculty of Medicine. Interviews were performed two times with obese subjects in the 1st and the 6th month of weight loss program, once with the control group. Data identification form, the form which included the biochemical parameters, Trait Anxiety inventory, State anxiety scale, Quality of Life scale-Short Form [(SF-36)(QOL)], Multidimensional Body-Self Relations Questionnaire (MBSRQ), Zung Depression Rating Scale, and Zung Anxiety Rating Scale were applied to participants. Results: Obesity is more common in women. Obesity reduces the quality of life, negatively affects body perception, and triggers anxiety. Because of their body structure, obese individuals are exposed to social pressure and unethical attitudes. Conclusions: Both health risks and social implications of obesity are important. Further studies are needed to ethically evaluate the psycho-social problems caused by obesity.

KEYWORDS: Obesity, body perception, quality of life, obesity and depression, obesity, and ethics

Date of Acceptance: 18-Dec-2016

Introduction

Obesity, one of the most important health problems of both developed and developing countries is a chronic disease which may lead to psychological, social, and medical problems that may negatively affect the quality of life.^[1] In recent years, the prevalence of obesity in all age and socioeconomic groups is increasing rapidly.^[2]

The most practical way used to diagnose obesity is to calculate BMI. Obesity, which is defined as BMI \geq 30kg/m² [weight (kg)/height² (m²)], causes the emergence of many diseases by affecting all systems of the human body. It also affects the quality of life by leading to psychosocial disorders and exposure to unethical negative discrimination in some people.^[3,4]



Studies showed that obesity can lead to social isolation, inferiority, unemployment, decreased self-esteem, anxiety, depression, and marital incompatibility. [5,6] In addition, obese people face new challenges, because of social pressure and humiliation against obese people, preparation of some unethical offensive rules for obese people and troubles caused by being overweight. This situation has brought the debate of rules, which are tried to be implemented in a scientific world, to be

Address for correspondence: Dr. N Son,
Department of Medical History and Ethics, Department
of Nutrition and Dietetics, Afyon Kocatepe University,
Afyonkarahisar, Turkey.
E-mail: nazanson@gmail.com

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Son N. Assessment of body perception, psychological distress, and subjective quality of life among obese and nonobese subjects in Turkey. Niger J Clin Pract 2017;20:1302-8.

ethical. Our aim in this study was to compare the body perceptions, psychological distress, and subjective quality of life of obese and normal-weight adults. We hypothesized that obesity negatively affects both body perception and subjective quality of life which can cause psychological distress.

There are many studies focused on the body perception, quality of life, anxiety and depression levels separately, and most of them were cross-sectional. [3,4,7,8] In this study, we aimed to assess such levels in 1 study and assess the changes of such parameters in obese subjects in a prospective design.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a prospective study conducted among patients who were admitted to the Endocrine and Metabolic Diseases clinic of Osmangazi University Medical Faculty Hospital, Eskisehir, and a private hospital in the province of Eskişehir, Turkey, between August 2011 and November 2012. Subjects were assigned to two groups as a patient group (n=355) and a control group (n=193). A control group with similar characteristics with the study group were selected from the cases who admitted to our hospital for periodical examinations without any comorbidities. Interviews were performed two times with obese subjects in the 1st month and 6th month of weight loss program, once with the control group upon this time period. All questionnaires were applied by the author with face-to-face interview. At 6th month controls, we reached 301 patients who completed the first interview. 84.7% participation rates have been achieved in the obese group. Voluntary obese patients in the age group of 18–64 years, BMI of ≥30 kg/m², not receiving any medication, no special training on weight control were assigned to the obese group. Voluntary subjects in the age group of 18-64 years and BMI between 18.5 and 24.5 kg/m² were assigned to the control group. An ethical committee approval with protocol No. of 2011/242 was obtained from the Ethical Committee of Eskişehir Osmangazi University Faculty of Medicine, Turkey.

Data were collected using a questionnaire which contained sociodemographic details of respondents such as age, height, and weight. Height (cm) was measured using a stable wall-mount device. Weight was measured using a constant electronic scale (max: 250 kg). BMI was calculated as body mass (kg) divided by height (m) squared. A tape measure was used for waist and hip circumference (cm). The respondents anxiety level was assessed with State-Trait Anxiety inventory (STAI)^[8] and Zung Anxiety Rating Scale,^[9] and depressive symptoms were assessed with Zung Depression Rating Scale.^[10] Furthermore, respondents quality of life was assessed with

Quality of Life scale-Short Form [(SF-36)(QOL)]^[11-13] and the Multidimensional Body-Self Relations Questionnaire (MBSRQ) (14) was used. All scales and questionnaires were Turkish validated.^[8-14] Data were named as Obese (A) for the first data of obese group, Obese (B) for 6th-month data, and Control for the control group data.

Statistical analysis

All data analyses were performed by using PASW Statistics 18. Continuous variables summarized with n (sample size), mean and standard deviation, categorical variables summarized with n (sample size), median and 25th-75th percentiles. Non-normally distributed variables were compared with the Mann–Whitney U test for independent groups and the Wilcoxon test for dependent two groups. P value less than 0.05 (P < 0.05) was accepted significant.

RESULTS

A total of 494 subjects were included in this study which consists of 301 obese and 193 control subjects. In the obese group, 220 (73.1%) were female and 81 (26.9%) were male. In the control group, 132 (68.4%) were female and 61 (31.6%) were male [Table 1]. The mean ages were 37.79 years (SD = 10.60) for the obese group and 33.62 years (SD = 8.59) for the control group.

At the end of the 6th month, the average body weight of obese group was 92.43 ± 16.73 (-7.57±00.02 kg less than baseline), and BMI was 34.25 ± 5.94 (-2.79±0.1 less than baseline). These values were statistically significant (P < 0.001) [Table 2].

In the SF-36 scale, statistical differences between Obese (A) and Obese (B) were found in favor of Obese (B), for general health perception (P < 0.001), mental health (P < 0.001), and social functioning (P < 0.05) subgroups [Table 3].

The State Anxiety Inventory between obese (A) and obese (B) groups revealed a statistically significant

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the participants Obese (n = 301)Control (n=193) % n % 73,1 132 68,4 Female 220 Gender 81 26.9 61 31,6 Male Married 222 73.8 117 60.6 Have child 229 76,1 107 55,4 Secondary 131 43,5 44 22,8 school Educational High

28,6

27,9

68

81

86

84

35,2

42,0

school

University

Status

Son: Body perception, psychological distress, quality of life among obese and nonobese subjects

Characteristics related to the	leasurement characteristics reg Obese (A)	Obese (B)	Control		
body structure	Average (25%-75%)	Average (25%- 75%)	Average (25%- 75%)		
II.i.al.4	164.43±8.49	164.43±8.49	168.60±8.30		
Height	163.00 (159.00-170.00)	163.00 (159.00-170.00)	168.00 (163.00-174.25)		
	100.0 ± 16.75	92.43±16.73	63.26±9.31		
Weight	96.00 (89.00-108.00)		61.00 (72.00-90.00)		
	† † ***	90.00 (80.00-100.00)			
	114.45±13.47	107.42±12.63	80.38±11.41 77.00 (87.50-101.50)		
Waist	112.00 (106.00-122.00) ††***	98.00 (106.00-115.00)			
	125.66±11.61	117.96±10.88	98.58±8.20		
Hip	125.00 (117.00-132.00)				
	† † * * *	110.00 (117.00-125.00)	97.00 (93.00-104.00)		
Which / Him makin	0.91 ± 0.07	0.91 ± 0.07	0.81 ± 0.06		
Waist / Hip ratio	0.91 (0.86-0.95)	0.91 (0.85-0.95)	0.80 (0.76-0.86)		
	37.04±6.04	34.25±5.94	22.13±1.51		
BMI	35.45 (32.60-39.47)				
	† † ***	32.76 (30.11-36.48)	22.30 (20.95-23.26)		

Subscales	Obese (A)			Obese (B)				Control		
•	Min	Max	Average (25%-75%)	Min	Max	Average (25%-75%)	Min	Max	Average (25%-75%)	
			57.05±27.25			55,08±32,43			82,27±20,70	
Dhamiaal famatianina	0	100	60.00	0	100	55,00	0	100	90,00	
Physical functioning			(40.00-80.00)			(15,00-85,00)			(70-100)	
			††ns, †***			+** *				
			53.82±38.80			58,05±36,50			83,41±26,94	
Physical role limitations	0	100	50.00	0	100	50,00	0	100	100	
Physical role illiniations			(25.00-100.00)			(25,00-100,00)			(75,00-100,00)	
			††ns, †***			+***				
Pain			54.13±30.53			51.01±33.22			77.19 ± 22.22	
	0	100	54.00	0	100	52.00	0	100	80.00	
i aiii			(32.00-74.00)			(11.50-74.00)			(62.00-100.00)	
			††ns, †***			+** *				
			50.44±22.85			56,97±18,58			67,66±17,23	
General health	0	100	52.00	5	97	57,00	0	100	67,00	
perceptions			(35.00-67.00)			(42,00-72,00)			(57,00-78,25)	
			++*** , +***			†***				
			51.49±22.96			51,81±23,71			67,61±19,63	
Vitality	0	100	50.00	0	100	50,00	0	100	70,00	
vitaiity			(35.00-70.00)			(35,00-70,00)			(55,00-85,00)	
			††ns, †***			†***				
			48.83±36.05			51,60±36,34			73,92±31,81	
Emotional role	0	100	33.33	0	100	33,33	0	100	100,00	
limitations			(33.30-66.67)			(33,30-100,00)			(66,67-100,00)	
			††ns, †***			+** *				

Contd...

Son: Body perception, psychological distress, quality of life among obese and nonobese subjects

Table 3: Contd										
Subscales		Obese (A)			Obese (B)			Control		
	Min	Max	Average (25%-75%)	Min	Max	Average (25%-75%)	Min	Max	Average (25%-75%)	
Mental health	-		56.57±22.10			61,70±16,92			69,80±18,05	
	0	100	56.00	0	100	56,00	0	100	72,00	
			(44.00-72.00)			(48,00-72,00)			(56,00-80,00)	
			++***, +***			+***				

[†] Differences between A and Control are given in A (Mann Whitney U), † Differences between B and Control are given in B (Mann Whitney U), † Differences between A and B are given in A (Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test), P > 0.05 ns, P < 0.05*, P < 0.01**, P < 0.001***.

		Min.	Max.	Average (25%-75%)
				42.58±5.61
	Obese (A)	28	62	43.00 (39.00-46.00)
				††***, †ns
CTATE				45.26 ± 6.60
STATE ANXİETY	Obese (B)	27	66	45.00 (41.00-49.00)
MINMETT				†**
	Control	32	55	43.45±5.42
	Control			43.00 (40.00-48.00)
				48.90 ± 6.08
	Obese (A)	32	66	48.00 (45.00-53.00)
				††*** , †* *
TRAIT				$46,81\pm6,10$
ANXIETY	Obese (B)	28	64	47,00 (43,00-50,00)
				†ns
	Control	36	74	47,39±5,34
	Control	30	/4	47,00 (44,00-50,25)

[†] Differences between A and Control are given in A (Mann Whitney U), †Differences between B and Control are given in B (Mann Whitney U), †Differences between A and B are given in A (Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test), P > 0.05 ns, P < 0.05*, P < 0.01**, P < 0.001**.

	Table 5: F	Results of Zung Ar	nxiety and Depression	n Scale
		Min.	Max.	Average (25%-75%)
				43.27±10.73
	Obese (A)	24	70	42.00 (35.00-50.00)
				††*, †***
ZUNG ANXIETY				41.59±9.98
ZUNG ANAIETY	Obese (B)	23	67	43.00 (32.00-49.00)
				+***
	0 1	22	70	35.34±8.13
	Control	22	70	34.00 (30.00-40.00)
				42.52±10.18
	Obese (A)	20	70	42.00 (35.00-49.00)
				† †** , †** *
ZING DEDDEGGON				44.51±8.60
ZUNG DEPRESSION	Obese (B)	24	68	45.00 (38.00-51.00)
				+ ***
	0 1	• •	57	36.22±8.23
	Control	20	57	36.00 (30.00-42.00)

[†] Differences between A and Control are given in A (Mann Whitney U), † Differences between B and Control are given in B (Mann Whitney U), † Differences between A and B are given in A (Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test), P > 0.05 ns, P < 0.05*, P < 0.01**, P < 0.001**.

Table 6: Results of Multidimensional Body-Self Relations Questionnaire (MBSRQ)									Q)	
Subscales		Obese (A)			Obese (B)			Control		
	Min	Max	Average (25%-75%)	Min	Max	Average (25%-75%)	Min	Max	Average (25%-75%)	
Appearance			2.78±0.84			3.18±0.75			3.90±0.77	
Evaluation	1	5	2.83 (2.16-3.50)	1,5	5	3.16 (2.66-3.66)	1.33	5	3.83 (3.33-4.50)	
			††***, † ***			† ***				
Appearance			3.44 ± 0.73			3.37 ± 0.66			3.86 ± 0.74	
Orientation	1.6	5	3.50 (2.90-4.00)	1,8	5	3.30 (2.90-3.80)	1.60	5	3.90 (3.37-4.32)	
			††ns, †***			† ***				
Physical capability			2.93 ± 0.81			3.16 ± 0.67			3.77±0.77	
evaluation	1	5	3.00 (2.33-3.50)	1,33	5	3.16 (2.79-3.66)	1.33	5	3.83 (3.16-4.37)	
			††***, † ***			† ***				
Physical capability			2.82 ± 0.63			3.05 ± 0.61			3.28 ± 0.69	
orientation	1 22	4.00	2.77 (2.44-3.11)	1 44	1 11	3.11 (2.66-3.44)	1.67	5	3.22 (2.88-3.69)	
	1.22	4.89	††***, † ***	1,44	4,44	÷*				
Health evaluation			3.00 ± 0.83			3.21 ± 0.72			3.67 ± 0.77	
	1	5	3.00 (2.50-3.66)	1	5	3.16 (2.66-3.66)	1	5	3.66 (3.16-4.16)	
	1	3	††***, † ***	1	3	†***				
Health orientation			3.12±0.69			3.23 ± 0.64			3.59 ± 0.69	
	1	_	3.12 (0.69-0.04)	1.26	4.01	3.23 (0.64-0.03)	1.18	5	3.59 (0.69-0.05)	
	1	5	† †* , †***	1,36	4,91	†***				
Body areas			2.38 ± 0.83			2.90 ± 0.89			3.81 ± 0.72	
satisfaction	1	150	2.44 (1.77-3.00)	1	5	3.00 (2.41-3.55)	1	5	3.88 (3.33-4.33)	
	1	4.56	††***, †***	1	3	†***				
Scale total score			168.01±32.44			180.35±30.14			210.15±32.44	
(STS)	02	262	171.50 (155.25-190.00)	112	265	181.50 (175.00-194.50)	126	285	206.00 (186.00-227.00)	
	93	263	++***, +***	113	265	+ ***				

[†] Differences between A and Control are given in A (Mann Whitney U), †Differences between B and Control are given in B (Mann Whitney U), †Differences between A and B are given in A (Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test), P > 0.05 ns, P < 0.05*, P < 0.01**, P < 0.001***.

difference between the obese and the control groups (B) (P < 0.001). The Trait Anxiety Inventory between obese (A) and obese (B) groups, revealed a statistically significant difference in favor of Obese (B) (P < 0.001) [Table 4].

While the Zung anxiety scale scores decreased from baseline in the obese group (P < 0.05), the Zung Depression Scale scores showed a statistically significant increase from the baseline in the obese group (P < 0.01) [Table 5].

DISCUSSION

Obesity is related with many metabolic diseases, by adversely affecting the life expectancy and quality of life, by causing psychological and social problems, and is described as a disease of the century. [15,16] In subjects who meet inclusion criteria of our study, higher population of female subjects shows that obesity is more common in women and such finding was similar with other studies. [17-20] Also, such a result can indicate that women are more concerned about their weight more than man.

Similar with our results, some studies reported being married, unemployed, and having low level of education as risk factors for obesity. [21,22] According to studies, obese patients have a poor quality of life; also, obesity causes many social and psychosomatic problems which can be improved with weight loss. [23-26]

In our study, consistent with the literature, the evaluation of subscales of quality of life questionnaire revealed statistically significant difference in favor of obese (B) patients in areas of general health (P < 0.001) and mental health (P < 0.001) with compatible weight loss.^[26,27]

According to our results, in the obese group, scores of trait anxiety scales decreased with weight loss. The negative body image is one of the psychosocial problems caused by increased BMI. Some studies stated that obesity plays a role in emergence of psychopathological conditions ranging from anxiety to psychosis by causing low self-esteem.^[17,25,28] Obese people are often stigmatized by their physical appearance and get messages that make them feel bad, incompetent, and ugly.^[29,34-35]

In obese people, feeling upset about their body structure, and having poor body image can cause depression, communication disorders, and can negatively affect academic achievement and social relation.^[31-36]

Comparison of Obese (A) and Obese (B) group in subscales of Multidimensional Body-Self Relations Questionnaire (MBSRQ) showed positive improvement between 1st and 6th month applications, in appearance evaluation, physical capability evaluation, physical capability orientation, health evaluation. orientation, body areas satisfaction, and scale total score (STS) except appearance orientation. Determined significances are statistically significant for health orientation, and statistically significant at an advanced level in all other subscales [Table 6]. In addition to the health risks as reported in the literature, obesity also leads to various psychological problems such as depression, self-esteem, and negative body image.[34,35]

According to studies, the depression rate seemed to be increased in relation to BMI. [5-7,30,36] Alicia *et al.*, [37] in 2009, evaluated obese individuals and obese weight losers, and reported increased physical function, improved general health, feeling more energetic, having increased quality of life, and decreased depression, with weight loss. Similarly, a number of studies have reported that weight loss decreases depression. [38-43] The Zung anxiety scale results are in accordance with the articles defending the idea that obesity increases anxiety.

In conclusion, obesity disease impairs the quality of life of individuals. Quality of life decreases at the same rate that obesity increases. Weight loss increases body satisfaction of obese patients. Weight loss for obese patients increases the quality of life especially in male patients and with increasing education level. Women are more prone to depression than men, and depression increases with low education level. Obesity is a disease that should be treated in all respects. In treatment of obese patients, following Endocrinology examination. creation of individual specific medical nutrition and exercise plans, providing psychological support and most importantly making them feel self-worth are necessary. Members of the treatment team should consist of experts in their field and must demonstrate ethical approach to patients. During the treatment inner voice and expectations of patients should be taken into account.

Acknowledgment

This article is produced from the thesis of "Ethical evaluation of body perception and quality of life in obese and non-obese subjects". The study has received no financial support. I owe my thanks to Professor Dr. Ömür SAYLIGIL for her valuable contributions.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest

REFERENCES

- Leblanc E, O'connor E, Whitlock EP, Patnode C, Kapka T. Screening/management of obesity in adults. Rockville: AHRQ Publication. 2011;9-40.
- Play L, Pillsbury L. Leveraging Food Technology for Obesity Prevention and Reduction Effords, Psychological consequences of obesity: weight bias and body image in overweight and obese youth. Washington, DC: National Academies; 2011;118.
- Andersen ER. Obesity etiology assessment treatment and prevention. Human Kinetics 2003;3-85.
- 4. Hancock P, Hughes B, Jagger E. The body, culture and society. Great Britain, Biddles Ltd 2000;64-117.
- Bray AG. Contemporary Diagnosis Management of Obesity. A Division of AMM Co. U.S.A. 1998;5-100.
- ÖÇ Çirpar, Muluk NB, Yalçinkaya F, Arikan OK, Oğuztürk Ö, Aslan F. State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) assessment of mothers with language delayed children. Clin Invest Med 2010;33:E30-E35.
- Saraç F, Parıldar S, Duman E, Saygılı F, Tüzün M, Yılmaz C. Quality of life for obese women and men in Turkey. Prev Chronic Dis 2007;4:A5-Epub 2007 Jun 15.
- Burns CM, Tijhuis MA, Seidell JC. The relationship between quality of life and perceived body weight and dieting history in Dutch men and women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2001;25:1386-92.
- Mantar A, Yemez B, Alkın T. [The validity and reliability of the Turkish version of the anxiety sensitivity index-3]. Turk Psikiyatri Derg 2010 Fall 21:225-34.
- Baltas A. The Turkish version of Zung's SDS. Neurology Monographs. Istanbul University Faculty of Medicine 1974.
- 11. Pinar R. Reliability and construct validity of the SF-36 in Turkish cancer patients. Qual Life Res 2005;14:259-64.
- Bilge U, Unalacak M, Unluoglu I, Ipek M, Celer O, Akalın A. Relationship between 1, 25-dihydroxy Vitamin D levels and homeostatic model assessment insulin resistance values in obese subjects. Niger J Clin Pract 2015;18:377-80.
- 13. Kocyigit H, Aydemir O, Fisek G, Olmez N, Memis A. Validity and reliability of Turkish version of Short form 36: A study of a patients with romatoid disorder. J Drug Ther (in Turkish) 1999;12:102-6.
- Büyükyilmaz F, Sendir M, Salmond S. Evaluation of body image and self-esteem in patients with external fixation devices: a Turkish perspective. Orthop Nurs 2009;28:169-75.
- Özgür G, Gümüş BA, Palaz C. Investigation of depressive symptom levels of obese individuals and effective factors. J Anatol Nursing Health Sci 2008;11:3-5.
- Luppino FS, de Wit LM, Bouvy PF, Stijnen T, Cuijpers P, Penninx BW, et al. FG. Overweight, obesity, and depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. 2010;67:220-9.
- Cash TF, Pruzinsky T. Body image New York: A Division of Publications, Inc. 2002;5-210.
- The Management of Overweight and Obesity Working Group, VA/DoD Clinical Practice Guideline for Screening and Management of Overweight and Obesity, Washington. 2006;15-117.

- Satman I, Omer B, Tutuncu Y, TURDEP II. Study Group. Twelve-year trends in the prevalence and risk factors of diabetes and prediabetes in Turkish adults. Eur J Epidemiol 2013;28:169-80
- Ata A, Vural A, Keskin F. Body perception and obesity. Ankara Med J 2014;14:74-84.
- Singh GK, Siahpush M. Ethnic-Immigrant Differentials in Health Behaviors Morbidity Cause-Specific Mortality in the United States: An Analysis of Two National Data Bases, Human Biology, Wayne State University Pres USA. 2002;74:83-109.
- Sadock BJ, Sadock VA. Kaplan and Sadock's Comprehensive Textbook of Psychiatry. Baltimore: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins 2000;1787-97.
- Kolotkin RL, Meter K, Williams GR. Quality of life and obesity. Obes Rev 2001;2:219-29.
- Song AY, Rubin JP, Thomas V, Dudas JR, Marra KG, Fernstrom MH. Body image and quality of life in post massive weight loss body contouring patients. Obesity 2006;14:1626-36.
- Palmeira AL, Markland DA, Silva MN, Branco TL, Martins SC, Minderico CS, et al. Reciprocal effects among changes in weight, body image, and other psychological factors during behavioral obesity treatment: a mediation analysis. Int J Behavior Nutr Phys Act 2009;6:1-12.
- Lazar CC, Clerc I, Deneuve S, Auquit-Auckbur I, Milliez PY. Abdominoplasty after major weight loss: improvement of quality of life and psychological status. Obes Surg 2009;19:1170-175.
- 27. Doll HA, Petersen SE, Stewart-Brown SL. Obesity and physical and emotional well-being: associations between body mass index, chronic illness, and the physical and mental components of the SF-36 questionnaire. Obes Res 2000;8:160-70.
- Budderberg-Fischer B, Klaghofer R, Sigrist S, İ Budderberg C. impact of psychosocial stres and symptoms on indication for bariatric surgery and outcome in morbidly obese patients. Obese Surg 2004;14361-9.
- Levin J. Obesity and self-image. New York: The Publishing Group 2009;58.
- Wykes M, Gunter B. The media and body image. Great Britain: Athenaeum Press 2005;65-190.

- Muennig Peter. The body politic: the relationship between stigma and obesity-associated disease BMC Public Health 2008;8:128. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2458-8-128.
- Migliori F, Rosati C, D'Alessandro G, Cervetti GG. Body contouring after biliopancreatic diversion. Obes Surg 2006; 16:1638-44.
- Hout GC, Hagendoren CA, Verschure SK, Heck GL. Psychosocial predictors of success after vertical banded gastroplasty. Obes Surg 2009;19:701-7.
- Gardner RM, Gallegos V, Martinez R, Espinoza T. Mirror feedback and judgments of body size. J Psychosom Res 1989;33:603-7.
- Schwartz MB, Brownell KD. Obesity and body image. Body Image 2001:43-56.
- 36. Talen MR, Mann MM. Obesity Mental Health Primary Care Clinics in Office Practice. 2009;36:17-34.
- Alicia R, Ruelaz MD. Psychiatric involvement in obesity treatment. Focus 2009;3:310-16.
- 38. Faulconbridge LF, Wadden TA, Berkowitz RI, Sarwer DB, Womble LG, Hesson LA, *et al.* Changes in symptoms of depression with weight loss: results of a randomized trial. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2009;17:1009-16.
- Dhananjai S, Sadashiv J, Tiwari S, Dutt K, Kumar R. Reducing psychological distress and obesity through Yoga practice. Int J Yoga 2013;6:66-70.
- 40. Hayden MJ, Dixon JB, Dixon ME, Shea TL, O'Brien PE. Characterization of the improvement in depressive symptoms following bariatric surgery. Obes Surg 2011;21:328-35.
- 41. Kolotkin RL, Meter K, Williams GR. Quality of life and obesity. Obes Rev 2011;2:219-29.
- 42. Colles SL, Dixon JB, O'Brien PE. Loss of control is central to psychological disturbance associated with binge eating disorder. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2008;16:608-14.
- 43. Wedin S, Byrne K, Morgan K, Lepage M, Goldman R, Crowley N, et al. Presurgical weight is associated with pain, functional impairment, and anxiety among gastric bypass surgery patients. Pain Res Treat 2012;15:35-45.