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ABSTRACT

The adsorption of mucin onto HA has been investigated with respect to the role of electrostatic interactions, ionic environment, mucin concentration and pH. Aqueous solution of Mucin was suspended with treated and untreated HA and the mucin concentration in the supernatant determined. The Langmuir’s model was used to analyze isotherm data; the maximum amount of mucin adsorbed and the affinity or association constants were calculated. The presence of added Ca ions increased the amount of mucin adsorbed as well as the association constant. The mechanism of the adsorption of mucin on hydroxyapatite is considered to be related mainly to electrostatic interactions and zeta potential change as well as some hydrogen bonding between the

INTRODUCTION.

The importance of the adsorption of proteins onto hydroxyapatite (HA) in a variety of oral biological events cannot be overemphasized. When biomaterials come into contact with various biological fluids (blood, saliva, tears), protein adsorption at the solid – liquid interface is the first phenomenon, which occurs. Apatites are excellent biomaterials due to their biocompatibility. HA can form a bond with bone and tissue, biological apatite is the main constituent of the hard tissues of bone and teeth. Mucin is a class of glycoproteins characterized mainly by its large molecular weight and high level of O-linked oligosaccharide. It is the major constituent of mucus in various parts of the body and covers the surfaces of the buccal cavity and epithelial organs. Mucin has been identified in several additional types of dental biofilms such as salivary pellicle on (HA). Mucin is the major constituent of salivary protein and salivary proteins are selectively adsorbed onto tooth surfaces forming the ‘acquired enamel pellicle’. It has been reported that the pellicle influences the initial attachment of microorganisms to the tooth surface and remains interposed between the enamel and dental plaque.

A concise understanding of the mechanism of protein adsorption onto
HA will contribute immensely to the present trends in caries research, where there is increased interest in the role proteins are playing as potential inhibitors of the enamel or dentine demineralization.

It has been reported that the bone-implant interfaces comprise a so-called bonding zone composed of a calcium- and phosphorus-rich proteinaceous matrix. The role of mucous glycoproteins as a macromolecular surfactant is of great importance in the science and technology of biomaterials. Biosurfaces such as dentures are placed on a mucosal surface. Several mechanisms for the human salivary albumin (HSA) adsorption to biomedical polymers have been reported. However, reports on the mechanism of mucin adsorption on these biomedical materials are virtually not available. This report details an in vitro study that investigated:

i) the affinity of mucin to HA particles,
ii) the effect of the surrounding ionic composition and pH on the adsorption process and
iii) using these results to suggest a probable mechanism for the adsorption of mucin onto HA.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Materials
HA was obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (approximately 25% solid suspended in 0.001M phosphate buffer and pH 6.8). 4ml of the suspension yielding 1.1g dry weight of the solid HA. The calcium/phosphate ratio was 1.63 and surface area 25 m² g⁻¹. The HA used in these studies is termed ‘Calcium deficient’.

Mucin powder was obtained from Nacalai Tesque Inc., Kyoto, Japan (lyophilized powder, analytical grade). It was used without further purification.

Methods
0.2mL of mucin supernatant was transferred to a test tube containing 5.0mL Bradford reagent (Biorad, Richmond, CA) and the total volume adjusted to 8.0mL with doubly distilled water according to the Bradford assay. Absorbance was measured at 595nm with a Spectronic 20 uv-visible spectrophotometer (Bausch & Lomb Co., NY). The concentration of mucin was calculated according to a standard solution of bovine serum albumin, BSA (Sigma Chemical Co.). HA suspended in doubly distilled water served as control. HA was suspended in 0.01M CaCl₂, 0.01M NaCl, and 0.01M Na₂HPO₄ for 4 h at room temperature. The HA was washed with doubly distilled water and used in investigating the effect of these ions on the adsorption of mucin on HA.

One way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post test was performed using Graphpad Prism version 3.00 for Windows.

Adsorption Isotherms
The amount of adsorbed protein was calculated by subtracting the amount of unadsorbed (free) protein in the supernatant from the amount of protein in the control (mucin not suspended in HA powder) and plotted in the form of a Langmuir adsorption isotherm as shown in Figure 1.

The maximum amount of adsorbed mucin and the mucin-HA association constant were calculated according to the slope and the x-intercept, respectively, of the linear curve:

\[ \frac{F}{B} = \frac{1}{KaN} + \frac{1}{N_F} \]
To 0.02g of HA all experiments were in triplicates) and incubated for 2h at 37°C. where

\[ B = \text{bound mucin}, \quad F = \text{free mucin}, \quad K_A = \text{association constant and} \quad N = \text{maximum amount of mucin adsorbed.} \]

**Figure 1:** Langmuir adsorption isotherm of mucin adsorbed on hydroxyapatite

\[ R^2 = 0.99975 \quad X\text{-intercept} = -0.04 \]

**Figure 2:** Adsorption Isotherm of Mucin to Hydroxyapatite
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Figure 2 shows the typical adsorption isotherm of mucin to HA powder. As concentration of mucin was increased, there was an initial rapid adsorption followed by a slower approach to a limiting value of 0.95mg/mL.

The maximum amount of mucin adsorbed and the affinity between the mucin molecule and the HA surface were calculated according to the Langmuir adsorption isotherm in Figure 1. A maximum of 0.104mg mucin was adsorbed per gram of HA (N=1/slope) and the mucin-HA association constant was 0.04mL/mg (K_a = -x intercept). However, a Scatchard plot shown in Figure 3 revealed a lack of linearity in the data. This implies a positive cooperativeness and probably the existence of additional binding sites.

**Effect of Ions.**

Binding occurred with HA not treated with calcium ions, though a positive correlation was observed as a result of the presence of calcium ions and the extent of mucin adsorption. HA has its own native calcium sites for adsorption and this was increased by the calcium ion treatment which has put the calcium ions more on the surface of HA. The effect of incubation time on the adsorption of mucin in the absence and
The results obtained show that most of the adsorbed mucin (>80%) was adsorbed within 1 h in either the absence or presence of calcium ions. Equilibrium adsorption was attained within 4 h as shown in Figure 4.

**Figure 4**: Effect of incubation time on the adsorption of mucin in the presence (●) and absence (○) of Calcium ions.

![Figure 4](image_url)

The results of the use of HA treated with NaCl, CaCl\(_2\) and Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\) for binding studies with mucin are shown in Figure 5. The Y-axis is expressed as the amount of mucin adsorbed to untreated HA which served as the control in this case subtracted from the amount of mucin adsorbed to the treated samples.

Generally, the amount of unadsorbed mucin in the supernatant fluid decreased following the suspension of mucin in calcium treated HA. There was very little adsorption as a result of HA treatment with NaCl and less than the control amount was adsorbed as a result of treatment with Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\).

Mucin is an acidic protein and will therefore have a net negative charge at pH 7.0 or higher. This means that it will bind to the calcium groups of HA through its COOH groups. The binding of these sites may expose the NH\(_3^+\) group that will bind to the phosphate groups of HA forming weak hydrogen bonds. Na\(^+\) may compete with NH\(_3^+\) groups for the phosphate sites on HA. There was no significant difference (P<0.05) in the amount of mucin adsorbed to HA in the presence or absence of NaCl. It has been reported that Na\(^+\) has a weak affinity for BSA and HA\(^{13}\), therefore bridging effect observed with divalent calcium may be absent or negligible.
Phosphate addition makes HA more negatively charged due to surface adsorption\(^1\). The phosphate groups are reported to have a higher affinity for HA surfaces than the COOH groups of proteins\(^1\). The parameters for the adsorption of various amino acids onto HA\(^1\) indicate that the strength of the phosphate bond is more than 20 times greater than that of the carboxyl bond. The more hydrophilic nature of the phosphates removes water from HA surfaces upon adsorption. The level of mucin adsorbed on HA pretreated with \(\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4\) is therefore probably due to the successful competition of phosphate for the calcium groups of HA thereby lowering the number of binding sites ordinarily available to mucin on an untreated HA. The results for \(\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4\) in Figure 5 was therefore not without cause.

**pH dependence and effect**

The net electric charge of a protein depends on its isoelectric pH (pI) and the pH of the environment. The pH of mucin solution in distilled water was 4.6 – 4.9. Mucin undergoes a neutral – acid transition and becomes negatively charged at higher pH values while at lower pH values mucin undergoes a neutral-basic transition and becomes positively charged. Also, the isoelectric point of HA has been found to be between pH 6.4 and 8.5 depending on the experimental methods used\(^1\). Since a high solid/liquid ratio is expected for the *in vivo* situation, in our present work, a solid/liquid ratio of 20g L\(^{-1}\) of HA was used. This means that at pH 7.0 the zeta-potential for our HA system will be positive\(^1\). Because mucin is negative in the pH region (7.0) that we worked in principally, electrostatic attraction played a very prominent role in the interaction of mucin with HA surface. This is substantiated by the results shown in Figure 6. At a lower pH (3.0), the mucin molecules are positively charged, this makes the presence of \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) ions irrelevant to the adsorption of mucin to HA surface. This can also be explained by the shift in the zeta-potential of HA at these pH values.

At pH 7.0, the negatively charged mucin molecule and the HA surface makes the bridging action of the divalent calcium ions very relevant and increased adsorption resulted as shown in Figure 6 and illustrated in Figure 7. Mucin is mainly adsorbed by electrostatic attraction between the COOH group of mucin and the calcium ion on the surface of HA. It has been reported that when labelled calcium and phosphate ions are added to HA, only phosphate ions are released when acidic proteins are adsorbed. Acidic proteins therefore exchange with phosphate and are adsorbed to the calcium ions\(^1\). The neutral and positive parts of mucin bind less strongly to HA.
Figure 5: The adsorption of 1.0mg/ml mucin to hydroxyapatite with CaCl$_2$, KCl or Na$_2$HPO$_4$.

Figure 6: The adsorption of 1.0mg/ml mucin to untreated and calcium-treated hydroxyapatite at pH 3.0 or 7.0.
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CONCLUSION
This work has provided important information to contribute to the understanding of the mechanism of the adsorption of mucin onto HA. The Langmuir model describes the adsorption process. Sodium ions made no difference while phosphate ions decreased the amount of mucin adsorbed on HA. Under physiological conditions (pH 7.0 – 7.4), the mucin molecule binds calcium ion to its electrostatic sites. These calcium ions serve as ligands between the negatively charged mucin molecules and the HA surface (Figure 7). In the light of calcium’s abundance in the saliva and pH variation constantly occurring during and between meals, this study is very relevant to the mechanism of the binding of salivary component (mainly mucin) to HA structures in vivo.
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