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#### Abstract

Background: Goal blood pressure (BP) was defined by the JNC VI and the World Health OrganizationInternational Society of Hypertension (WHO/ISH) as <140 mm Hg systolic and <90 mm Hg diastolic for the general and $<130 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ systolic and $<85 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ diastolic for special high-risk populations. It is well established that adequate BP control characterizes only a fraction of treated hypertensive patients. The importance of tight BP control has been established in preventing cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Methods: We performed cross-sectional studies on the current status of BP control among treated hypertensive in our center. One hundred consecutive patients with essential hypertension who have been attending the out patient hypertension clinic and have been on treatment for at least 6 months were recruited. The pre treatment $B P$ and $B P$ records in the previous 2 visits were noted. Patients were said to have good BP control if their BPs are < $140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ (<130/80 mmHg for high risk patients) at the time of the study and in the last visit. Results: There were 49 males and 51 female (M: F; 1:1), aged 26 to 85 (mean 52.33 +/- 12.29) years. The duration of hypertension ranged 6 months to 30 (mean 7.37 +/7.1) years. The duration of treatment in our centre was 6 months to 10 (mean 3.22 +/- 2.23) years. Blood pressure was controlled in 33 (33\%) of the patients. Pre-treatment mean blood pressure was significantly higher than the $B P$ value at the time of the study (155.87 +/- 26.02/97.81 +/11.89 mmHg versus $143.40+/-24.14 / 86.53+/-12.71$ $m m H g)(p<0.05)$. Diuretics were the commonest antihypertensive prescribed either alone or in combination (69\%), followed by a calcium antagonist (56\%) and centrally acting drugs (38\%). Twenty seven were on single antihypertensive, 43 ( $43 \%$ ) on 2, 25 (25\%) on 3 and $5(5 \%)$ on 4 classes of antihypertensive. Blood pressure control was associated with taking more than one antihypertensive medication and compliance. Conclusion: Control of BP in patients receiving antihypertensive drugs is still far from optimal in the study population in Nigeria just as in other countries. Many patients had multiple cardiovascular risk factors. Adherence to medication should be encouraged.
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## Introduction

Hypertension is a very important public health problem world wide and approximately 1 billion people are affectedworldwide ${ }^{1}$. It is the most prevalent cardiovascular (CV) risk factor and a gateway to CV risk management ${ }^{2}$.

As the population ages, the prevalence of hypertension will increase even further unless broad and effective preventive measures are implemented. Extrapolations from the non communicable disease survey (NCD) data and from other studies suggest urban prevalence rates of hypertension in Nigeria of around 20\% if the current cut-off point of $140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ is used ${ }^{3.5}$. The NCD survey also showed that Kano has the highest age adjusted hypertension prevalence rate of $23.8 \%$ in men and $17.7 \%$ in women in Nigeria ${ }^{3}$.

Extrapolations from studies in Nigeria and elsewhere indicate that $5 \%$ of deaths could be due to hypertension and that the reduction in attributable risk associated with treatment could be $2 \%$, over 10 times higher than in the United States. ${ }^{6}$

The relationship between blood pressure (BP) and risk of CVD events is continuous, consistent, and independent of other risk factors ${ }^{7}$. The higher the $B P$, the greater is the chance of heart attack, heart failure, stroke, and kidney disease. Hypertensive heart disease was responsible for $47 \%$ of all cases referred for echocardiography in our centre ${ }^{8}$. For individuals 4070 years of age, each increment of 20 mmHg in systolic BP (SBP) or 10 mmHg in diastolic BP (DBP) doubles the risk of CVD across the entire BP range from 115/75 to $185 / 115 \mathrm{mmHg}^{9}$.

In clinical trials, antihypertensive therapy has been associated with reductions in stroke incidence averaging 3540 percent; myocardial infarction, 2025 percent; and heart failure, more than 50 percent ${ }^{10}$.

Goal blood pressure (BP) was defined by the Sixth Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (JNCVI ) and the World Health Organization and International Society of Hypertension (WHO/ISH) as $<140 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ systolic and $<90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ diastolic for the general and <130 mm Hg systolic and $<85 \mathrm{~mm}$ Hg diastolic for special highrisk populations ${ }^{11}$. Various epidemiological surveys from different countries have documented the unsatisfactory control of arterial hypertension ${ }^{12}$. As part of a quality assessment for improvement; we evaluated blood pressure (BP) control in treated hypertensive patients in Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital (AKTH), Kano, a tertiary health institution in north western Nigeria.

## Patients and Methods

This is a cross - sectional study carried out at hypertension clinic of AKTH, Kano, Nigeria in October 2005, aimed at determining the current status of BP control among treated hypertensive patients.
One hundred consecutive patients with essential hypertension who have been attending the clinic and have been on treatment for at least 6 months were recruited.

The pre treatment BP , current BP and BP records in the previous 2 visits were noted.
Patients were said to have good BP control iftheir BPs are $<140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ (<130/80 mmHg for high risk patients) at the time of the study and in the last visit.
Those with established cause of hypertension were excluded. Eligible patients were consecutively recruited.
Demographic data and clinical history were obtained. The patients' knowledge and practice of lifestyle modification as well as compliance to antihypertensive medication were documented. Other variables obtained included history of cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption as well as the class and number of antihypertensive drug the patient is taking.
Height and weight were measured to get the body mass index (BMI) recorded as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared (kg/m2). Peripheral pulses were palpated. BP measurements were taken on the right arm using a standard Sphygmomanometer (ACCOSON) with appropriate adult cuff size. BP recordings were done twice and the mean was taken.

The systolic BP was recorded at phase I Korotkoff sounds while the diastolic blood pressure was recorded at phase V Korotkoff sounds or at phase IV Korotkoff sounds when difference between phase IV and phase V is more than 10 mmHg . Evidence of cardiac decompensation was sought.

Blood samples of all the patients were taken for determination of serum urea, electrolytes and creatinine, fasting blood sugar, lipid profile and uric acid. The urine sample was also taken for chemical analysis Statistical analysis was done with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 10. Means with standard deviations of continuous variables were generated. The analysis of continuous variables was carried out using the procedures of descriptive statistics and later, to identify any differences we used Student's "t"test. Categorical variables were compared using the Chi-square test. Statistical significance was setat the conventional $p<0.05$.

## Results

A total of 320 hypertensive patients were seen in AKTH hypertension clinic in October 2005. One hundred consecutive patients with essential hypertension who satisfy the inclusion criteria were recruited. There were 49 males and 51 females (ratio 1:1); and their ages ranged from 2685 (mean $52.33 \pm 12.29$ ) years. Figure 1 showed the age and sex distribution of the study subject.


That the higher the salt intake, the higher the blood pressure, particularly the diastolic BP, in hypertensive patients ${ }^{22}$. Reduced salt intake might, therefore, be beneficial in black hypertensive patients, in the setting of common presentation at the stage of cardiac decompensation.

Adherence to drug in take is shown to be an important factor for BP control in this study. Control of BP was achieved in $45 \%$ of those who were considered to be regular with their drug intake, similar to $43 \%$ in patients with high adherence found in Lake City, USA ${ }^{23}$. It was seen in $1 \%$ and none of those who were considered to be erratic or those who take their drugs when symptomatic respectively. Patient's perception and

Table I - Clinical/Laboratory Parameters of the Patients

| Parameter | Range | Mean • SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age(years) | 26-85 | 52.33 •12.29 |
| Duration of BP (years) | 0.5-30 | 7.37 • 7.1 |
| Duration of treatment (years) | 0.5-10 | 3.22 - 2.23 |
| BMI ( $\mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 19.0-42.4 | 27.74 • 5.12 |
| Total Cholesterol ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | $3.8-9.0$ | 5.49 • 1.17 |
| LDLc (mmol/l) | 2.96-6.09 | $3.59 \bullet 1.09$ |
| Triglycerides ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | 0.5-3.5 | $1.28 \cdot 0.6$ |
| HDLc ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | 0.7-2.4 | $1.30 \cdot 0.46$ |
| Uric acid (umol/L) | 210-729 | 404.9 - 146.1 |
| Heart rate | 56-104 | 81.5 - 17.7 |
| Current SBP (mmHg) | 110-220 | 143.41 • 24.14 |
| Current DBP (mmHg) | 68-130 | 86.53 • 12.72 |
| Pre treatment SBP ( mmHg ) | 140-230 | 155.87 - 16.02 |
| Pre treatment DBP (mmHg) | 88-130 | $97.81 \cdot 11.89$ |

BP; blood pressure, BMI; body mass index, LDLc; low density lipoprotein cholesterol, HDLc; high density lipoprotein cholesterol, SBP; systolic blood pressure, DBP; diastolic blood pressure
Table II. Blood Pressure control by number of antihypertensive drugs

| Compliance | Regular <br> with <br> Drugs | Miss <br> afew <br> doses | Erratic <br> with <br> drugs | Take drugs <br> when <br> symptomatic | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| category | 25 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 33 |
| BP Controlled | 25 | 8 | 9 | 19 | 67 |
| BP not controlled | 31 | 15 | 10 | 19 | 100 |
| Total | 56 |  |  |  |  |

$B P$;blood pressure. $\quad X^{2}=20.97$, degree of freedom (df) $=3, P<0.05$
Table III. Blood Pressure control by number of antihypertensive drugs

| Number of <br> antihypertensive <br> used | One <br> Drug | Two <br> Drugs | Three or More <br> Drugs |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BP Controlled | 3 | 12 | 18 | 33 |
| BP not controlled | 24 | 31 | 12 | 67 |
| Total | 27 | 43 | 30 | 100 |

$B P ;$ blood pressure. $X^{2}=16.2$, degree of freedom (df) $=2, \mathrm{P}<0.05$
Blood pressure was controlled in $33(33 \%)$ of the patients (20F:13M). The duration of hypertension ranged 6 months to 30 years (mean $7.37+/-7.1$ years). The duration of treatment in our centre was 6 months to 10 years (mean $3.22+/-2.23$ years). Pre-treatment mean blood pressure was significantly higher than the BP value at the time of the study $(155.87+/-26.02 / 97.81+/-11.89$ mmHg versus 143.40 +/- $24.14 / 86.53+/-12.71 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) ( $p<0.05$ ). Table 1 showed clinical and laboratory parameters of the study subjects

There were 9 patients ( $6 \mathrm{~F}, 3 \mathrm{M}$ ) with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM), 4 of whom had good BP control. These are
already on treatment for the DM. Thirty seven (37\%) of the patients are overweight (BMI $25-29.9 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ ) while $31(31 \%)$ are obese (BMI > $30 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ ). Forty five ( $45 \%$ ) had family history of hypertension in first degree relations, 12(12\%) had history of cigarette smoking and $7(7 \%)$ had history of alcohol consumptionKnowledge of lifestyle modification was found in 59 ( $59 \%$ ) to a varying degree. Forty one (41\%) practice dietary modification in terms of reduced salt intake and 13 (13\%) perform regular physical exercise

Table Il showed blood pressure control by adherence to antihypertensive medication. Compliance was defined arbitrarily into 4 categories as shown in the table. Fifty six percent claimed to take their hypertensive medications regularly, of whom only 25 ( $45 \%$ ) are controlled. BP was controlled in none and $1 \%$ of those who were considered to be erratic or those who take their drugs when symptomatic respectively.

Diuretics were the commonest antihypertensive prescribed either alone or in combination (69\%), followed by a calcium antagonist (56\%), centrally acting drugs (38\%), ACEI/ARBs (31\%), Beta blockers 16\% and direct vasodilator (9\%).

Twenty seven were on single antihypertensive, 43 ( $43 \%$ ) on 2, $25(25 \%$ ) on 3 and $5(5 \%)$ on 4 classes of antihypertensive. Table 3 showed blood pressure control by number of antihypertensive medication. The commonest double combination is a diuretic and calcium antagonist, seen in 24/43 (56\%) and the commonest triple combination is a diuretic, calcium antagonist and Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI)/ Angiotensin 2 receptor blocker (ARB) seen in 12/25(48\%).
The laboratory parameters revealed that 36 (36\%) had raised low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDLC) ( $>3.38 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{I}$ ), $23 \%$ had raised total cholesterol ( $>6.2 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ), $15 \%$ had low high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDLc) (<1mmol/l). Hyperuricaemia was present in $42 \%$ of the patients.

## Discussion

Controlling BP in patients with hypertension can reduce complications such as nephropathy, cerebrovascular disease, and cardiovascular disease. Blood pressure control rates vary between and even within countries. This study revealed that only $33 \%$ of the patients achieved BP control as at the time of the study. Elsewhere in Nigeria, differentworkers reported varying rates. A control rate of $31.4 \%$ was found in Osogbo, south western Nigeria ${ }^{13}$, $19 \%$ in Ilorin, north central
beliefs on hypertension and benefit of treatment also affects their adherence to medications ${ }^{24}$. Similarly, the asymptomatic nature of hypertension and the unrecognized benefits of treatment contribute to the low adherence to antihypertensive medication.

It has also been shown that competing problems such as poverty and/or more immediately threatening diseases such as diabetes interfere with adherence to hypertension treatment ${ }^{25}$. In a study on misconception of hypertension among Nigerians, it was found that $10 \%$ were anxious about the heavy financial burden imposed by hypertension management, $65 \%$ feel that they will require no more medication once they achieve control of their blood pressure and $21 \%$ are of the opinion that they will achieve a permanent cure only from alternative medical practitioners and will consider using alternative medicine in future ${ }^{26}$.

Diuretics were the commonest antihypertensive prescribed either alone or in combination ( $69 \%$ ), followed by a calcium antagonist ( $56 \%$ ), centrally acting drugs (38\%), ACEI/ARBs (31\%), Beta blockers 16\% and direct vasodilator (9\%). This pattern is similar to that found in other parts of the country with slight variation ${ }^{14,27,28}$. Whereas in Nigeria, like in many developing countries, the major determinant of drug prescribed is the cost, the situation is different in the developed world where prescription is based on compelling indications ${ }^{29}$.

Monotherapy was found in $27 \%$ of the patients while $43 \%$, $25 \%$ and $5 \%$ where on 2,3 and 4 antihypertensive drugs respectively. The control rate increased with increase in the number of drugs taken. Of the $27 \%$ of the patient who take a single antihypertensive, only 3 (11\%) were controlled. On the contrary, 15 out of the 25 patients ( $60 \%$ ) on 3 drugs were controlled. Control of blood pressure has been shown to be better with a combination therapy compared to monotherapy ${ }^{12,29}$.
In general, poor BP control among hypertensives is considered to be due to multiple factors. While white coat effect has been shown to play a role, it has not been looked at in this study. In one study, a significant difference ( $>40 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) was found between clinic blood pressure and day time ambulatory blood pressure in $78 \%$ of the patients ${ }^{30}$. Another factor is to do with the health care
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