Review Article

Eye Removal Procedures in Nigeria: A Review Article

Josephine N. Ubah, Oluyemi Fasina¹, Olufunmi A.I. Otuka², Olugbemisola Oworu³

Department of Ophthalmology, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology Teaching Hospital, Osogbo, Osun State, ¹Department of Ophthalmology, University College Hospital/University of Ibadan, Oyo State, ²Department of Ophthalmology, Abia State University Teaching Hospital, Aba, Abia State, Nigeria, ³Department of Ophthalmology, Huddersfield Royal Infirmary, United Kingdom

Abstract

Procedures for surgical removal of the eye, previously termed destructive eye surgeries, include evisceration, enucleation, and orbital exenteration. The surgical removal of an eye is a difficult but occasionally inevitable decision that ophthalmologists sometimes undertake, as these procedures result in a permanent loss of vision and disfigurement. Eye removal procedures are commonly performed in Nigeria as evidenced by the number of publications from different centers in the country. The aim of this review is to evaluate the indications for these procedures, and identify any variation over time. This is aimed at providing information to the general ophthalmologists when faced with the difficult decision on surgical removal of an eye. A review of all published reports from different parts of the country on the subject was made, and the most common indication for these procedures was retinoblastoma in children, and ocular trauma in adults. Currently, evisceration procedures are more commonly performed when compared with enucleation or exenteration in many centers in Nigeria.

Keywords: Destructive eye surgeries, enucleation, evisceration, exenteration, Nigeria, surgical eye removal

INTRODUCTION

Evisceration, enucleation, and exenteration are various forms of eye removal procedures which are usually performed for severe ophthalmic diseases when vision cannot be salvaged or in lifethreatening ocular conditions. They usually result in permanent visual loss and some disfigurement. Evisceration is the surgical removal of the contents of the eye ball, leaving the scleral coat and optic nerve intact, [1,2] and it is presently performed with the placement of an orbital implant within the scleral coat to replace the lost orbital volume.^[3] Enucleation involves the surgical removal of the entire globe, including the sclera, and is achieved by disinsertion of the extraocular muscles from the sclera and severance of the optic nerve. [2] Exenteration, in contrast, is the most radical of the three procedures and involves removal of the eyeball and all or part of the contents of the orbit. All the orbital tissues and even parts of adjacent structures such as the bony orbit, muscles, and sinuses may be removed, while the eyelids may be spared or removed depending on the extent of involvement in the disease.^[4]

In many cultures, the removal of an eye, even if blind, is not readily acceptable, and it is decided only when the eye becomes very painful or severely disfigured that removal may be accepted. The goals of these surgical procedures are to

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:

Website:

www.nigerianjournalofophthalmology.com

DOI:

10.4103/njo.njo_27_17

safely and effectively remove the diseased eye with the underlying ocular pathology, and provide reasonable longterm cosmesis. Surgical eye removal procedures, previously termed destructive eye surgeries, are commonly practiced in Nigeria as evidenced by numerous publications on the subject; however, to the best of the authors' knowledge, this is the first review article on the subject in the country. The aim of this study therefore, is to evaluate the indications for surgical eyeball removal in Nigeria and identify any variation in the pattern of these procedures from a review of available published literature. Literature search on eye removal procedures in Nigeria conducted through Index Medicus, Pubmed, AJOL, and Google search generated 21 publications. The information that were extracted included the following: the year of publication, study period and design, number of eyes reported, gender and age of the patients, indications for removal, and type of eye removal procedure performed.

Address for correspondence: Dr. Oluyemi Fasina, Department of Ophthalmology, University College Hospital/University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria.

E-mail: yemifash2000@yahoo.com

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Ubah JN, Fasina O, Otuka OA, Oworu O. Eye removal procedures in Nigeria: A review article. Niger J Ophthalmol 2018:26:8-12.

Ubah, et al.: Eye removal procedures in Nigeria

HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE

Enucleation was first reported in medical literature in 1500s, and the current technique was described in 1885 by Farrell and Bonnet. The first routine evisceration was performed in 1874 and, in 1885, Mules reported orbital volume replacement using the placement of a spherical glass orbital implant following the evisceration. Orbital exenteration was first described and performed by George Bartisch in 1583. The first publication on eye removal surgery in Nigeria was in 1973 by Olurin, and this was followed by Amoni in 1980. Subsequently, there have been several publications from different parts of the country.

STUDY DESIGN

All the studies were hospital-based, non-comparative case series of patients, who had undergone eye removal surgical procedures in various centers in the country with most of the centers being tertiary institutions. All the authors retrospectively reviewed their cases except Amoni^[9] who reported a 2-year prospective study. The study period of the various publications ranged from 2 years^[9] to 29 years [Table 1].^[10]

Type of Surgery

The earliest studies^[8,9] reported enucleation as the most common surgical eye removal procedure, while later studies reported varying frequencies of the three procedures [Table 1]. This could be partly attributed to the skills and technology available at the time. Evisceration is technically easier to perform in comparison with enucleation, and ensures preservation of the scleral coat with the attached extra ocular muscles thereby maintaining the physiological dynamics of the orbit, and it is, thus, more cosmetically acceptable to the patients.^[11] The number of exenteration procedures reportedly performed in many of the publications was generally low, and this could be attributed to a referral bias in different centers based on the facilities available to manage patients with peculiar ophthalmic conditions.

INDICATIONS FOR EYE REMOVAL

The indications for eye removal procedures remain essentially the same globally, but with local variations depending on the prevailing pattern of ocular diseases in different regions. [12] Generally, the indications for surgical eye removal by evisceration include improving cosmetic appearance in cases such as staphyloma, mild phthisis bulbi, and traumatized eyeball with no potential for vision; relieving pain in patients with painful blind eyes from known non-malignant tumors; and a few cases of imminent globe rupture from conditions such as keratomalacia and descemetocele, while the indications for enucleation include cases of intraocular tumors, severe phthisis bulbi, severely traumatized eyeball, patients with painful blind eyes

with suspicion of malignancy, and, prevention of sympathetic ophthalmitis. Exenteration, in contrast, is usually indicated in malignancies of the orbit either primary, secondary spread from the globe or ocular adnexa, or metastatic. [2,13] The indications for these procedures varied in the published reports because they were dependent on the facilities available in different centers and these determined the pattern of referral of patients to the centers, and possibly, the skill and expertise of the surgeon. These indications include trauma, tumors, infections, painful blind eye, chronic uveitis, spontaneous intraocular hemorrhage, and anterior staphyloma. The most frequent indication, however, is trauma as reported in 11 of the reports. [6,14-23] followed by infections in six reports [24-29] and, tumors in three reports [Table 1]. [10,30,31] The largest trauma series was reported by Olurin, [8] accounting for 50.1% of the cases, while the least number was reported by Majekodunmi^[30] (7.9% of the cases) Trauma resulted mostly from occupational and household activities, [8,20,30] and less commonly from communal clashes, [18] assault, road traffic accidents, agricultural injuries, chemical injuries, and gunshot injuries. [9,15,16,28] Most of the cases of trauma resulting in eye removal procedures in the published reports were open globe injuries.[15,16,18,29,24]

Corneal disease was very prominent in two of the published reports, [8,9] and it was the most common indication for enucleation in a study. [9] Keratitis as a complication of measles, malnutrition, and use of traditional eye medications can result in corneal melting, scarring, decemetocele, or staphyloma. Staphyloma and phthisis bulbi are common indications for cosmetic eyeball removal in almost all the studies. Staphyloma usually resulted from corneal ulcers following measles keratitis in children, [9,30] and, trachoma [9] and use of traditional eye medications^[15,25,28] in adults. In earlier reports, ^[9,26,30] measles keratitis was noted to be a significant cause of surgical eye removal, however, it became a less common indication in later studies.^[14,18,20,26,30,31] Some studies^[32-34] in the West African sub-region reported that the most common indication for removal of the eye was infection, followed by trauma. The high rate of infection in their reports was attributed to poor socioeconomic status, use of traditional eye medications, and low level of education.[32-34]

Number of Eyes Reported

A wide variation exists in the number of operated eyes from one center to another, ranging from 29 eyes^[14] to 477 eyes [Table 1].^[8] Some of the factors identified in the published reports as affecting the number of eyes operated, included, non-acceptance of the procedure by the patients or their relations, financial constraints,^[16] and non-availability of ophthalmic surgeon with required skill to perform the procedure.^[15,17] Enock *et al.*^[15] reported an increase in the number of procedures effected over the years in their series, while Nwosu^[27] reported a reduction in the number of cases in his center which he attributed to the reduction in the

Ubah, et al.: Eye removal procedures in Nigeria

Author (s) Year of pullication Study builted in design Find of pullication Study builted in design Peak age per per per per pullication Number of per	Table 1. Summissly of the minimits in 21 publications on surgical eye temoval procedures in nighting	aly of the fil	nd 1.2 III egilini	มาแนสเบเร บ	III surgicar ey	e icilioval p	JI OCEUNI ES	III NIBELIA				
1974 Retrospective 10 <10 years	Author(s)	Year of publication	Study design	Study period in years	Peak age	Mean age in years	M:F	Number of eyes	Number of eyes eviscerated	Number of eyes enucleated	Number of exenteration procedures	Main reasons for eye removal
1980 Prospective 2 3 rd decade NS 1.81 136 13 119 4 1989 Retrospective 10 <30 years	Olurin	1974	Retrospective	10	<10 years	NS	2.1:1	477	145	317	15	Trauma
1989 Retrospective 10 <30 years 14.5 2.1 101 NA 101 NA 1996 Retrospective 5 <10 years	Amoni	1980	Prospective	2	3rd decade	SN	1.8:1	136	13	119	4	Corneal disease
1996 Retrospective 5 <10 years NS 1.3:1 82 NA 31 21 2002 Retrospective 7 7th decade 40.8 1.4:1 56 41 13 8 3 2005 Retrospective 7 7th decade 29.69 3.1:1 29 18 8 3 2005 Retrospective 10 <20 years	$Majekodunmi^{\dagger}$	1989	Retrospective	10	<30 years	14.5	2:1	101	NA	101	NA	Tumors
2002 Retrospective 29 <5 years NS 1.3:1 82 NA 82 NA 2005 Retrospective 7 7th decade 40.8 1.4:1 56 41 13 2 2005 Retrospective 1 7th decade 20,69 3.1:1 29 18 8 3 2005 Retrospective 10 42 1.5:1 67 42 15 - 2007 Retrospective 13 21-30 years 31.8 2.1:1 92 18 53 10 2007 Retrospective 10 <10 years	Baiyeroju and Ajibode	1996	Retrospective	5	<10 years	NS	3:1	100	48	31	21	Infection
2005 Retrospective 7 7th decade 40.8 14:1 56 41 13 2 2005 Retrospective 4 3th decade 29.69 31:1 29 18 8 3 2005 Retrospective 10 <20 years	Ezegwui et al.*	2002	Retrospective	29	<5 years	SN	1.3:1	82	NA	82	NA	Retinoblastoma
2005 Retrospective 4 3 nd decade 29.69 3.1:1 29 18 8 3 2005 Retrospective 10 <20 years	Nwosu	2005	Retrospective	7	7 th decade	40.8	1.4:1	56	41	13	2	Infection
ola 2005 Retrospective 10 <20 years NS 2.8.1 92 79 13 - 2005 Retrospective 13 21–30 years 31.8 2.1 67 42 15 10 2007 Retrospective 13 21–30 years 31.8 2.1 67 53 21 2008 Retrospective 10 <10 years	Bodunde et al.	2005	Retrospective	4	3rd decade	29.69	3.1:1	29	18	~	3	Trauma
2005 Retrospective 5 10 years 7.7 1.5:1 67 42 15 10 2007 Retrospective 13 21-30 years 31.8 2:1 106 59 35 12 2007 Retrospective 10 <10 years	Ademola-Popoola and Owoeye**	2005	Retrospective	10	<20 years	NS	2.8:1	92	79	13	I	Trauma
2007 Retrospective 13 21–30 years 31.8 2:1 106 59 35 12 2007 Retrospective 10 <10 years	Mpyet et al.**	2005	Retrospective	5	10 years	7.7	1.5:1	29	42	15	10	Trauma
2008 Retrospective 10 <10 years NS 1:1 42 34 83 21 2008 Retrospective 10 8 th decade NS 1:1 42 34 8 - *** 2008 Retrospective 11 <28 years	Eze et al.	2007	Retrospective	13	21-30 years	31.8	2:1	106	59	35	12	Trauma
2008 Retrospective cive 10 8th decade NS 1:1 42 34 8 - *** 2008 Retrospective cive 11 <28 years	Adeoye and Onakpoya	2007	Retrospective	10	<10 years	NS	2.1:1	92	18	53	21	Trauma
io 2008 Retrospective 11 <28 years 36.7 47:182.6:1 82 63 15 4 2010 Retrospective 5 25 years 40.2 3:1 103 91 8 4 2010 Retrospective 10 0-10 years 29.5 2:1 67 55 11 59 2011 Retrospective 1 1-10 years 29.5 2:1 67 59 11 1 2013 Retrospective 10 6th decade 47.6 11:1 26 194 27 5 2013 Retrospective 10 1st decade 47.6 11:1 37 30 4 3 2013 Retrospective 10 1st decade 31.5 1.6:1 137 87 41 9 2013 Retrospective 10 <10 years	Enock et al.	2008	Retrospective	10	8 th decade	SN	1:1	42	34	∞	ı	Trauma
*** 2008 Retrospective city 5 25 years 40.2 3:1 103 91 8 4 2010 Retrospective city 10 0-10 years 29.5 2:1 67 55 11 59 2011 Retrospective 7 1-10 years 29.5 2:1 67 55 11 1 2013 Retrospective 10 6th decade 47.6 1.1:1 226 194 27 5 2013 Retrospective 10 1st decade 31.5 1.6:1 37 41 9 2013 Retrospective 10 <10 years	Etebu and Adio	2008	Retrospective	11	<28 years	36.7	47:182.6:1	82	63	15	4	Trauma
2010 Retrospective cutve 10 0-10 years NS 1.6:1 278 152 67 59 2011 Retrospective 7 1-10 years 29.5 2:1 67 55 11 59 2013 Retrospective 10 6 th decade 47.6 1.1:1 226 194 27 5 2013 Retrospective 10 1st decade 31.5 1.6:1 137 87 41 9 2013 Retrospective 10 <10 years	Mpyet et al.***	2008	Retrospective	5	25 years	40.2	3:1	103	91	8	4	Tranma
2011 Retrospective 7 1-10 years 29.5 2:1 67 55 11 1 2013 Retrospective 10 6th decade 47.6 1.1:1 226 194 27 8 2013 Retrospective 10 1st decade 31.5 1.6:1 137 87 41 9 2013 Retrospective 10 <10 years	Chinda et al.	2010	Retrospective	10	0-10 years	NS	1.6:1	278	152	29	59	Tumors
ii 2013 Retrospective 8 >560 years 45.6 1.7:1 64 38 18 8 ii 2013 Retrospective 10 6 th decade 47.6 1.1:1 226 194 27 5 il. 2013 Retrospective 8 <10 years 35.51 2.1:1 37 30 4 3 2013 Retrospective 10 <10 years 30.1 2:1 186 102 55 29	Olatunji et al.	2011	Retrospective	7	1-10 years	29.5	2:1	19	55	11	1	Trauma
2013 Retrospective 10 6th decade 47.6 1.1:1 226 194 27 5 II. 2013 Retrospective 8 <10 years 35.51 2.1:1 37 30 4 3 2013 Retrospective 10 1st decade 31.5 1.6:1 137 87 41 9 2016 Retrospective 10 <10 years 30.1 2:1 186 102 55 29	Otulana and Majekodunmi	2013	Retrospective	∞	>60 years	45.6	1.7:1	2	38	18	8	Infection
nl. 2013 Retrospective 8 <10 years 35.51 2.1:1 37 30 4 3 2013 Retrospective 10 1st decade 31.5 1.6:1 137 87 41 9 2016 Retrospective 10 <10 years	Okoye et al.	2013	Retrospective	10	6 th decade	47.6	1.1:1	226	194	27	S	Infection
2013 Retrospective 10 1st decade 31.5 1.6:1 137 87 41 9 2016 Retrospective 10 <10 years 30.1 2:1 186 102 55 29	Monsudi et al.	2013	Retrospective	8	<10 years	35.51	2.1:1	37	30	4	3	Infection
2016 Retrospective 10 <10 years 30.1 2:1 186 102 55 29	Ibanga et al.	2013	Retrospective	10	1st decade	31.5	1.6:1	137	87	41	6	Infection
	Musa et al.	2016	Retrospective	10	<10 years	30.1	2:1	186	102	55	29	Tranma

NS = not stated, NA = not applicable. †Enucleation procedures reported. †Evisceration and enucleation procedures reported. *Children aged 15 years or less reported. *Children (18 years or less) reported. **Adults patients (>18 years) reported.

frequency of gunshot injuries in the locality. Eye removal surgery constituted as high as 15.8% of all the ophthalmic surgical procedures in a study^[8] and as low as 4.9% in another study.^[25] Tahri *et al.*^[35] noted a general decline in the number of surgical eye removal procedures, and opined that this could be due to the improvement in diagnostic and treatment modalities of ocular tumors through radiation and laser treatment, cryotherapy, and chemotherapy.

GENDER

There was a higher male preponderance in almost all the studies [Table 1]. This could be attributed to males being engaged in occupations and activities which expose them to the risk of ocular injuries, [8,16,18,19,22] and secondly, the cultural practice in some areas in the country that restrict females from freely accessing health care services. [9]

AGE

Two of the studies involved participants aged 15 years or less^[10] and 18 years or less, ^[23] while one study^[19] was conducted among adult patients only. The peak age for the surgical eye removal procedures in the other studies was in children less than 10 years of age in eight studies, ^[8,17,18,22,25,26,29,31] while four studies ^[15,24,27,28] reported a peak age for the procedure in those aged 50 years and above [Table 1]. The high prevalence reported among those who are less than 10 years was attributed to retinoblastoma, the most common primary intraocular malignancy in children, while another peak reported among patients in the third decade of life was attributed to the higher frequency of trauma in this age group. ^[14,16,19]

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, surgical eye removal procedures are commonly performed in Nigeria. The most common indication is trauma followed by infection, and more males are affected. Measles keratitis is presently a less common indication for surgical eye removal. Retinoblastoma remains the most common indication of eye removal in children. Evisceration is currently more often performed than enucleation or exenteration.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Migliori ME. Enucleation versus evisceration. Curr Opin Ophthalmol 2002;13:298-302.
- Moshfeghi DM, Moshfeghi AA, Finger PT. Enucleation. Surv Ophthalmol 2000;44:277-301.
- Phan LT, Hwang TN, McCullery TJ. Evisceration in the modern age. Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol 2012;19:24-33.

- Kaur A, Khattri M, Jaiswal V. Pattern of orbital exenteration at a tertiary eye care centre in North India. Nepal J Ophthalmol 2012;4: 64-7
- Vittorino M, Serrano F, Suárez F. Enucleation and evisceration: 370
 cases review. Results and complications. Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol
 2007;82:495-500.
- Mules PH. Evisceration of the eye and its relations to the bacterial theory of origin of sympathetic disease. Trans Ophthalmol Soc UK 1885:5:200.
- Arruga A. La mutilación deliberada de los ojos. Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol 2003;78:339-40.
- Olurin O. Causes of enucleation in Nigeria. Am J Ophthalmol 1973;76:987-91.
- Amoni SS. Causes of enucleation in Kaduna, Nigeria. Ann Ophthalmol 1980;12:343-9.
- Ezegwui IR, Ezepue UF, Umeh RE, Onuigbo WI. Indications for enucleation of eyes of children in Eastern Nigeria. Niger J Ophthalmol 2002:1:21-3.
- Kesting MR, Koerdt S, Rommel N, Mucke T, Wolff K, Nobis CP, et al. Classification of orbital exenteration and reconstruction. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2017;45:467-73.
- Pandey PR. A profile of destructive surgery in Nepal eye hospital. Kathmandu Univ Med J 2006;13:65-9.
- Rahman I, Cook AE, Leatherbarrow B. Orbital exenteration: A 13 year Manchester experience. Br J Ophthalmol 2005;89:1335-40.
- Bodunde OT, Ajibode HA, Awodein OG. Destructive eye surgeries in Sagamu. Niger Med Pract 2005;48:47-9.
- Enock ME, Omoti AE, Fuh UC, Alikah AA. Indications for surgical removal of the eye in Irrua, Nigeria. Niger J Ophthalmol 2008;16:
- Eze BI, Maduka-Okafor FC, Okoye OI, Okoye O. Surgical indications for eye removal in Enugu, south eastern Nigeria. Niger J Ophthalmol 2007;15:44-8.
- Olatunji FO, Ibrahim FU, Ayanniyi AA, Azonobi RI, Takur RB, Maji DA. Indications for surgical removal of eyes in a Tertiary Institution in North Eastern Nigeria. Ann Afr Surg 2011;7:20-4.
- Adeoye AO, Onakpoya OH. Indications for eye removal in Ile Ife, Nigeria. Afr J Med Med Sci 2007;36:371-5.
- Mpyet C, Wade P, Ramyil A. Indications for surgical removal of the eye in adults: A five-year review. Niger J Med 2008;17:107-9.
- Etebu EN, Adio AO. Indications for removal of the eyes at a tertiary hospital in South Southern Nigeria. J Ophthalmol East Cent S Afr 2008;14:19-22.
- Ademola-Popoola DS, Owoeye JF. Indications for evisceration and enucleation of eyes at Ilorin, Nigeria: A ten year. Trop J Health Sci 2005;12:12-4.
- Musa KO, Aribaba OT, Onakoya AO, Rotimi-Samuel A, Akinsola FB. Indications for destructive eye surgeries at a Nigerian tertiary eye care centre: A ten-year review. Niger Postgrad Med J 2016:23:12-6.
- Mpyet C, Ramyil AV. Indications for removing the eyes of children: A five-year review. Afr J Paediatr Surg 2005;2:27-9.
- Otulana TO, Majekodunmi O. Indications for destructive ocular surgery at the Olabisi Onabanjo University Teaching Hospital, Sagamu. Niger J Ophthalmol 2013;21:22-6.
- Monsudi KF, Ayanniyi AA, Balarabe AH. Indications for ocular destructive surgeries in Nigeria. Nepal J Ophthalmol 2013;5: 24.7
- Baiyeroju-Agbeja AM, Ajibode HA. Causes of removal of eye in Ibadan. Niger J Surg 1996;3:38-40.
- Nwosu SN. Destructive ophthalmic surgical procedures in Onitsha, Nigeria. Niger Postgrad Med J 2005;12:53-6.
- Okoye O, Chuka-Okosa CM, Magulike NO. Ten-year rural experience of surgical eye removal in a primary care center south-eastern Nigeria. Remote Health Res 2013;13:2303.
- Ibanga A, Asana U, Nkanga D, Duke R, Etim B, Oworu O. Indications for eye removal in Southern Nigeria. Int Ophthalmol 2013;33: 355-60.

Ubah, et al.: Eye removal procedures in Nigeria

- Majekodunmi S. Causes of enucleation of the eye at the Lagos University Teaching Hospital: A study of 101 eyes. West Afr J Med 1989;8:289-91.
- Chinda D, Abah ER, Rafindadi AL, Samaila E. Changing trend in the causes of destructive eye surgery at Guinness Ophthalmic Unit, Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital, Kaduna, Nigeria. Ann Niger Med 2010;4:62-5.
- Dawodu OA, Hannah FB. Enucleation and evisceration in the Gambia. Niger J Ophthalmol 2000;8:29-33.
- Gyasi ME, Amoaku WM, Adjuike MA. Causes and incidence of destructive eye procedures in north eastern Ghana. Ghana Med J 2009;43:122-6.
- Limbu B, Saiju R, Ruit S. A retrospective study on causes of evisceration at Tilanga Eye Centre. Kathmandu Univ Med J 2009;7:115-9.
- Tahri H, Benatya AD, Chefchaouni CM, El Bakkali M, Berraho A. Enucleations: Epidemiologic investigation in Morocco. Presentation of 183 cases. Bull Soc Belge Ophtalmol 2004;292:31-4.

