# **ORIGINAL PAPER**

https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/njpr.v16i2.5



Nig. J. Pharm. Res. 20	)20, 16 (2) pp 143-151	
ISSN 0189-8434	e-ISSN 2635-3555	Available online at http://www.nigjpharmres.com

# Influence of Pregelatinized Breadfruit Starch-Alginate Blend as a Sustained Release Polymer in Theophylline Microbeads Using Box Behnken Design

# A. OKUNLOLA\*A-F; S. T. OLOYE B,C, D, F

Department of Pharmaceutics & Industrial Pharmacy, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

A – research concept and design; B – collection and/or assembly of data; C – data analysis and interpretation; D – writing the article; E – critical revision of the article; F – final approval of article.

# Abstract

**Background:** Apart from the coating property of modified starches on drugs, these natural polymers also acts as release rate retardants.

**Objectives:** To evaluate the potential of pregelatinized breadfruit (*Artocarpus altilis*) starch as a carrier in microbead formulations of theophylline using different blend combinations with sodium alginate and to determine the optimized formulation using Box-Behnken design.

**Method:** Theophylline microbeads were prepared using the ionic gelation method. The 3 factor-3 level Box-Behnken design was employed for constructing polynomial models to optimize the microbeads, involving 3 independent variables (polymer type, X<sub>1</sub>, polymer: drug ratio, X<sub>2</sub>, and concentration of calcium chloride, X<sub>3</sub>) and 2 dependent variable (entrapment efficiency and quantity of drug released in 12 h, Q<sub>12</sub>). **Results:** Entrapment efficiency was 35 - 71 % while the values of Q<sub>12</sub> was 38 - 88 %. The three variables, X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub> and X<sub>3</sub>, were positive for entrapment efficiency but negative for Q<sub>12</sub>, implying that increase from low to medium and then to high level resulted in an increase in entrapment but a decrease in Q<sub>12</sub> (sustained release), both desirable effects. Factor X<sub>1</sub> had the most significant influence on entrapment efficiency and Q<sub>12</sub> (p = 0.002; p = 0.0001, respectively). The optimized formulation with starch:polymer 2:1, polymer:drug

3:1 and 7.5% w/v calcium chloride solution gave an entrapment efficiency 65% with  $Q_{12}$  of 38.75%.

**Conclusion**: Pregelatinized breadfruit starch enhanced entrapment efficiency while retarding drug release, showing its potential as a polymer for sustained release in microbead formulations.

Keywords: Box-Behnken design; Breadfruit starch; Ionic gelation, Microbeads, Pregelatinization

# INTRODUCTION

Natural polymers are usually obtained from carbohydrates and proteins and these have been the focus of a large percentage of pharmaceutical investigations as matrices in drug delivery systems (Urhich et al, 1999; Clochard et al, 2001; Taylor and Francis, 2006). Examples of such natural polymers include gelatin, collagen, chitosan and starch (Yuryev et al, 2004). The ability of polyelectrolytes to cross link in the presence of counter ions to form hydrogel beads forms the basis of ionotropic gelation. Hydrogel microbeads are produced by dropping a drug-loaded polymeric solution into the aqueous solution of polyvalent cations. The cations diffuse into the drugloaded polymeric drops, forming a three-dimensional lattice of ionically crosslinked moiety (Patil et al, 2012). Many natural polyelectrolytes such as starch contain certain anions/cations on their chemical structure. A meshwork structure is formed by combination of these anions/cations with the counter ions; thus inducing gelation by cross linking. Apart from the coating property on the drug core, these natural polymers also acts as release rate retardant.

The undesirable properties of starch such as poor mechanical strength, high water solubility, can be improved by modification as well as by blending with other polymers. Physical modification of starch granules such as the pregelatinization method is

# METHODOLOGY

# Materials

Breadfruit was bought from a local market in Owerri, Imo State (latitude of 5.476310, and longitude of 7.025853). The materials used in this study include: theophylline (Wuhan Hezhong Bio-Chemical Manufacture Co., Ltd. China), sodium alginate (S.D. Fine Chem Mumbai, India), calcium chloride (Loba Chemie Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India) All other chemicals used were of analytical grade.

# **Extraction of Starch.**

Mature breadfruits (2 kg) were washed, peeled, cut into small pieces and then soaked in distilled water (10 Litres) at room temperature ( $27\pm2^{\circ}$ C). The mixture was blended (Panasonic MX-AC400 mixer grinder, MX-AC400, India) to obtain a slurry that was strained through a muslin cloth. The filtrate was suspended in distilled water to enable settling. The supernatant was decanted at 12-hour intervals and the starch slurry resuspended in distilled water. The starch cake was collected after 72 hours and dried in a hot air oven at 50 °C for 48 hours. The dried mass was pulverized and then screened through a sieve of size 250 µm (Okunlola and Ghomorrai, 2017). relatively easy, cheap, safe and high-yielding. Furthermore, the use of pregelatinized starch in the development of microbead formulations is a good choice since they readily form paste even without heat (Majzoobi *et al*, 2011).

Theophylline, one of the most prescribed drugs for the management of bronchial asthma, is rapidly absorbed after oral administration in solutions. Theophylline has a half-life of about 8 hours. However, its immediaterelease dosage forms are associated with quite a number of side effects. This makes sustained release formulations a more desirable option to conventional tablets.

In this study, microbeads of theophylline were prepared using pregelatinized starch obtained from the indigenous food crop, breadfruit (Artocarpus altilis), family, Moraceae, in different blend combinations with sodium alginate at different concentrations and polymer: drug ratios. The Box-Behnken design was for optimization of theophylline employed microspheres and this involved 3 independent variables (polymer type, polymer:drug ratio and concentration of calcium chloride, the chelating agent) and 2 dependent variables that determine the release properties of the microspheres (entrapment efficiency and dissolution time). Box-Behnken design was chosen for this study as it generates fewer runs with independent variables (Myers and Montgomery 2002; Hao et al, 2011).

# Modification of starch by pregelatinization.

Aqueous slurry of the dry native starch powder was prepared with 100 g of starch powder dispersed in 500 ml of distilled water and then heated at 70°C with constant stirring for 30 minutes. The resulting paste was dried in hot air oven at 50°C for 48 hours and blended into powder (Okunlola and Adewusi, 2019).

# **Characterization of starches**

# Morphology

The native and modified breadfruit starches were examined using an optical microscope (Olympus Optical Co, Japan). The size and shape of starch granules were observed.

# X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis

The XRD patterns of the starches were recorded using an X-ray diffractometer (ARL X'TRA ThermoFisher Scientific, Landsmeer, The Netherlands) with coppercobalt radiation. The scanning region of the diffraction angle  $(2^{\theta})$  was from 5° to 70 ° at a scan rate of 12 °/min. Formulation of starch-alginate microbeads of theophylline using pregelatinized breadfruit starch as a copolymer

#### **Preformulation studies**

Formulation trials of theophylline microbeads containing pregelatinized breadfruit starch were carried out by varying the ratio of the pregelatinized breadfruit starch to sodium alginate, ratio of total polymer to drug, stirring speeds, amount of crosslinking agent and curing times. Final formulations selected were prepared as described below:

# Preparation of theophylline microbeads using the ionic gelation method

A 2%w/w aqueous solution of a blend of sodium alginate and pregelatinized breadfruit starch (1g each) in 100 mL distilled water was prepared and mixed thoroughly to produce a homogeneous mixture. Two grams of theophylline was added to the alginate-starch polymer blend (i.e. polymer: drug = 1:1) and stirred continuously for 20 minutes using a magnetic stirrer at to achieve homogeneity. The drug-loaded polymer solution was manually extruded into 200 ml of calcium chloride (5.0 % w/v) inside a 500-mL beaker using a syringe with needle size 21G. This was done under constant stirring (500 rpm) at room temperature  $(27\pm2^{\circ}C)$ . After 15 minutes, the formed microbeads were collected and washed with distilled water to remove the excess calcium ions and then dried overnight at 40°C. The composition of the prepared formulations was varied changing the ratio of starch: alginate (2:1, 0:2) and polymer: drug (2:1, 3:1) as well as the cross-linking agent (7.50, 10.0% w/v)

### Characterization of microbeads.

#### Microencapsulation yield

The microencapsulation yield was determined by the ratio of the dry weight of the microbeads to the total amount of solid materials used in the preparation of microbeads.

# Morphology

The morphology and surface characteristics of the microbeads were observed using a scanning electron microscope (Hitachi Model S-2460N Taichung, Taiwan) at an accelerating voltage of 25 kV. All samples were super coated with Au/Pd prior to examination.

### Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR) analysis

The drug, pregelatinized starch, alginate and drugloaded starch-alginate microbeads were analyzed by FT-IR (FT-IR Spectrum BX II MA, USA) in transmission mode. Pellets of the samples were prepared by grinding the sample with KBr under a hydraulic pressure of 600 dynes/m<sup>2</sup>. The spectra were scanned between 4000 to 400 cm<sup>-1</sup> (32 scans with resolution of 8 cm<sup>-1</sup>).

# **Entrapment efficiency**

Drug - loaded microspheres (50 mg) were accurately weighed, crushed and suspended in 100 ml of phosphate buffer pH 6.8. After 24 hours, the solution was filtered and the filtrate was appropriately diluted with the buffer and analyzed using UV/VIS spectrophotometer (Spectrum lab 752s UV-VIS spectrophotometer China) at 270 nm. The drug entrapment efficiency (E) was calculated as the percentage of actual drug content in microbeads sample to the theoretical content of drug in microbeads sample.

#### Drug release study

Drug - loaded microspheres (containing 100 mg of theophylline) were accurately weighed and suspended into 900 mL of phosphate buffer pH 6.8. The *in vitro* dissolution studies were carried out using the paddle method (USP XXXVI), rotated at 50 rpm in 900 mL of phosphate buffer pH 6.8, maintained at  $37 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C. Ten milliliter-samples were withdrawn at time intervals and replaced with equal amounts of fresh medium. The amount of theophylline released at each time interval was determined at wavelength of 270 nm, using a UV Spectrophotometer (Spectrumlab 752s UV-VIS spectrophotometer, China).

# **Experimental design**

Box-Behnken design was employed for constructing polynomial models for optimization of theophylline microbeads using the Minitab Statistical Software package (MINITAB 16, USA). The design involved 3 independent and 2 dependent variables (Hao et al, 2011; Yasir and Sara, 2013 ). The effects of the processing variables (polymer type, X<sub>1</sub>, polymer: drug ratio,  $X_2$  concentration of calcium chloride,  $X_3$ ) on the properties of the microbeads (entrapment efficiency and dissolution time, t<sub>50</sub>) were evaluated using a 3 factor-3-level design. In addition to these, triplicate mid-level of variables, known as center points, were included in the study to improve the statistical significance The factorial Box-Behnken design constituted 15 of the experiments in this study. The software was also used to construct mathematical models for making response predictions for further experiments. The polynomial equation generated by the experimental design is as follows:

$$\begin{split} Y &= b_0 + b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 + b_3 X_3 + b_{12} X_1 X_2 + b_{13} X_1 X_3 \\ &+ b_{23} X_2 X_3 + b_{11} X_1^2 + b_{22} X_2^2 + b_{33} X_3^2 \text{ where } Y \text{ is} \end{split}$$

the dependent variable;  $b_0$  is the intercept;  $b_1$  to  $b_{33}$  are the regression coefficients; and  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  and  $X_3$  are the independent variable that was selected from the preliminary experiments.

A checkpoint analysis was performed to confirm the role of the derived polynomial equations and contour plots in predicting the responses. Values of independent variables were taken at 3 points, one from each contour plot, and the theoretical values of

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Characterization of starches**

The yield of pregelatinized starch was 91.25%. The native starch had oval-shaped granules with mean size of  $8.44 \pm 4.00 \ \mu\text{m}$ . Modification by pregelatinization produced larger, irregular-shaped starch granules with mean size of  $34.45 \pm 6.70 \ \mu\text{m}$  (Okunlola & Adewusi, 2019).

The XRD spectra of the starches are shown in Fig. 1. In the spectra of XRD, the crystalline parts of native breadfruit starch showed sharp peaks, whereas the amorphous parts had dispersive peaks (Gernat *et al*, 1990). The main diffraction peaks were observed to be between 5 and  $23^{\circ}$  ( $2\theta$ ) angle. The XRD spectrum of the pregelatinized starch confirmed the disruption of the internal order of the native starch granules, resulting in a more amorphous polymer (Okunlola and Adewusi, 2019).



Fig. 1: XRD of native and pregelatinized breadfruit starches.

entrapment efficiency and  $Q_{12}$  were calculated by substituting the values in the polynomial equation (Elsay *et al*, 2011).

Microbeads of theophylline were prepared experimentally at 3 checkpoints, and evaluated for the responses. After developing the polynomial equations for the responses, formulations were optimized to obtain microbeads that would yield a maximum value of entrapment efficiency with minimum value of  $Q_{12}$ .

# Characterization of theophylline microbeads containing pregelatinized breadfruit starch

Pregelatinized breadfruit starch was used as a carrier for the preparation of microbeads of theophylline, using the ionic gelation method. This ionic gelation technique was chosen because it is simple, reproducible and avoids the use of harmful organic solvents and high shear during the preparation of the microbeads (Rodrigues et al, 2012). Fig. 2a shows SEM images of the microbeads containing a blend of starch and alginate while Fig. 2b shows the SEM of microbeads containing alginate alone. The SEM images of the microbeads containing a blend of starch and alginate revealed a porous surface of the microbeads. Comparison of the morphology of the microbeads containing sodium alginate alone revealed that the surface of the carrier particles appeared to be more uniform and smoother. Most of the microbeads were observed to be mostly spherical, with a few being elongated. The size ranged from 0.96  $\pm$ 0.09 to 1.37  $\pm$ 0.32 mm.

FT-IR studies were carried out to investigate the interaction between drug and the polymers and these are shown in Fig. 3. The FT-IR spectrum of theophylline when compared to that of the microbeads revealed that characteristic peak observed for pure drug remained unchanged, and no significant shift or reduction in the intensity of peak of theophylline was observed. From the results, it was concluded that there was no interaction with the polymer.



Fig. 2: SEM of Theophylline microbeads containing: a, pregelatinized breadfruit starch- alginate blend as polymer and b, sodium alginate alone



Fig. 3: FTIR spectra of Pregelatinized breadfruit starch, sodium alginate, theophylline and starch-alginate based microbeads of theophylline

# Data analysis

The Box-Behnken experimental design was used in the study and the variables and their levels are presented in Table 1. The observed and predicted values with the residuals and percent error of responses are presented in Table 2.

#### Table 1: The variables and levels in Box-Behnken Design

Independent variables	Levels			
	Low	Medium	High	
$X_1$ = polymer type (starch: alginate)	0:2	1:1	2:1	
$X_2 = polymer : drug ratio$	1:1	2:1	3:1	
$X_3$ = concentration of calcium chloride % w/v	5.0	7.5	10.0	
Transformed values	-1	0	+1	
Dependent values:				
$Y_1$ = entrapment efficiency				
$Y_2$ = quantity of drug released in 12 h (Q <sub>12</sub> )				

Table 2: Box-Behnken Experimental Design with measured responses

Batch	$X_1$	$X_2$	<b>X</b> <sub>3</sub>	Y <sub>1</sub>				Y <sub>2</sub>			
			-	Observed	Predicted	Residuals	%	Observed	Predicted	Residuals	%
				entrapment	entrapment		Error	Q <sub>12</sub>	Q <sub>12</sub>		Error
				efficiency	efficiency						
				%	%			%	%		
1.	-1	0	-1	38.00	33.12	4.88	12.84	73.94	74.24	-0.30	-0.41
2.	0	0	0	55.00	56.00	-1.00	-1.82	43.30	43.33	-0.03	-0.07
3.	0	1	-1	48.00	52.63	-4.63	-9.65	48.00	42.93	5.07	10.56
4.	0	0	0	57.00	56.00	1.00	1.75	44.00	43.43	0.57	1.30
5.	0	-1	-1	52.00	55.37	-3.37	-6.48	60.00	62.99	-2.99	-4.98
6.	-1	-1	0	35.00	36.50	-1.50	-4.29	88.00	84.71	3.29	3.74
7.	0	0	0	56.00	56.00	0.00	0.00	43.00	43.43	-0.43	-1.00
8.	-1	1	0	40.00	40.25	-0.25	-0.63	60.00	64.77	-4.77	-7.95
9.	1	1	0	65.00	63.50	1.50	2.31	38.75	42.03	-3.28	-8.46
10.	1	-1	0	60.00	59.75	0.25	0.42	50.00	45.23	4.77	9.54
11.	0	1	1	71.00	67.63	3.37	4.75	49.00	46.03	2.97	6.06
12.	0	-1	1	62.00	57.38	4.62	7.45	44.00	49.07	-5.07	-11.52
13.	-1	0	1	45.00	48.13	-3.13	-6.96	69.25	67.47	1.78	2.57
14.	1	0	-1	66.00	62.88	3.12	4.73	40.00	41.78	-1.78	-4.45
15.	1	0	1	60.00	64.88	-4.88	-8.13	38.00	37.70	0.30	0.79

 $Q_{12} = quantity of drug released in 12 h$ 

Fifteen batches of theophylline microbeads were formulated and these were evaluated for entrapment efficiency and quantity released in 12 h. High entrapment efficiency values ( $\geq$  50%) were found in Batches 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14 and 15. Low values of quantity of drug released within 12 h (Q<sub>12</sub>  $\leq$  50%), implying prolonged dissolution, were observed in Batches 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14 and 15.

The dependent variables that were obtained at 3 levels of the independent variables were subjected to multiple regression to obtain second order polynomial equations (Zidan *et al*, 2007).:

 ratio of starch: alginate, X1, had the most significant influence on entrapment efficiency (p = 0.002). The entrapment efficiency obtained when the starch: alginate ratio was at low level was less than the value at high level. This is because higher amount of starch increased viscosity of the polymer-drug blend which in turn delayed the drug diffusion within the polymer droplets (Bodmeier and McGinity, 1988, Dhakar et al, 2010). Furthermore, when highly concentrated, the polymer precipitated faster on the surface of the dispersed phase and prevented drug diffusion across the boundary of the microbeads (Rafati et al, 1997). On the other hand, the values of the coefficients for interactive effects of the variables were negative for  $X_1X_2$  and  $X_1X_3$  but positive for  $X_2X_3$ . This implies that as the levels changed from low to high, the interaction between  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  as well as between X<sub>1</sub> and X<sub>3</sub> produced a decrease in the entrapment while interaction between X2 and X3 resulted in an increase. The ranking of the coefficients for the interactive effects was  $X_1X_3 = X_2X_3 > X_1X_2$  with p values > 0.05, suggesting that the interaction between these variables were insignificant in predicting entrapment efficiency.

The quantity of drug released in 12 h ( $Q_{12}$ ) from the theophylline microspheres was found to be in the range

of 38 to 88 %. The negative value of variables  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  and  $X_3$  implies that increase from low to high level results in a decrease in  $Q_{12}$ , showing sustained release, a favorable effect.  $X_1$  had the most significant influence (p = 0.0001). Increase in starch: alginate ratio enhanced the viscosity of the polymer solution which resulted in decrease in rate of drug release from the microbeads. On the other hand, the positive values of the coefficients of interaction for all the variables imply that increase from low to high level resulted in an increase in  $Q_{12}$ .

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied and the results are presented in Table 3. The values of p > F < 0.05 indicate that the model terms were significant. The relationship between the dependent and independent variables was further established by constructing contour and response surface plots which are presented in Fig. 4. Fig. 4 a, b and c show the effects of X<sub>1</sub> and X<sub>2</sub>, X<sub>1</sub> and X<sub>3</sub>, X<sub>2</sub> and X<sub>3</sub>, respectively on entrapment efficiency while Fig. 4 d, e and f show the effect of X<sub>1</sub> and X<sub>2</sub>, X<sub>1</sub> and X<sub>3</sub> and X<sub>2</sub> and X<sub>3</sub>, respectively on Q<sub>12</sub>. From the plots it was observed that high levels of X<sub>1</sub> and X<sub>2</sub> and medium level of level of X<sub>3</sub> favored high entrapment and low Q<sub>12</sub>, both desirable effects.

Table 3: Results of ANOVA for entra	pment efficiency and Q <sub>12</sub>
-------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Source	DF	Seq SS	Adj SS	Adj MS	F	Р
Regression	9	1498.75	1498.75	166.53	5.98	0.032
Linear	3	1253.75	1253.75	417.92	15.01	0.006
X1	1	1081.13	1081.13	1081.13	38.82	0.002
X2	1	28.13	28.13	28.13	1.01	0.361
X3	1	144.50	144.50	144.50	5.19	0.072
Square	3	160.50	160.50	53.50	1.92	0.244
X1*X1	1	141.70	132.92	132.92	4.77	0.081
X2*X2	1	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.000
X3*X3	1	18.69	18.69	18.69	0.67	0.450
Interaction	3	84.50	84.50	28.17	1.01	0.461
X1*X2	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.000
X1*X3	1	42.25	42.25	42.25	1.52	0.273
X2*X3	1	42.25	42.25	42.25	1.52	0.273
Residual Error	5	139.25	139.25	27.85		
Lack-of-fit	3	137.25	137.25	45.75	45.75	0.021
Pure Error	2	2.00	2.00	1.00		
Total	14	1638.00				
Regression	9	2882.91	2882.91	320.32	11.16	0.008
Linear	3	2261.85	2261.85	753.92	26.26	0.002
X1	1	1935.66	1935.66	1935.66	67.41	0.000
X2	1	267.38	267.38	267.38	9.31	0.028
X3	1	58.81	58.81	58.81	2.05	0.212
Square	3	476.86	476.86	158.95	5.54	0.048
X1*X1	1	366.93	399.42	399.42	13.91	0.014
X2*X2	1	102.02	105.81	105.81	3.68	0.113
X3*X3	1	7.91	7.91	7.91	0.28	0.622
Interaction	3	144.20	144.20	48.07	1.67	0.286
X1*X2	1	70.14	70.14	70.14	2.44	0.`176
X1*X3	1	1.81	1.81	1.81	0.06	0.812
X2*X3	1	72.25	72.25	72.25	2.52	0.174
Residual Error	5	143.58	143.58	28.72		
Lack-of-fit	3	143.05	143.05	47.68	181.68	0.005
Pure Error	2	0.53	0.53	0.26		
Total	14	3026.49				



Fig. 4: Response and contour plots showing entrapment efficiency vs X1X2 (ai, aii), X2X3 (bi,bii),X1X3 (ci, cii) and Q12 vs, X1X2 (di,dii), X2X3 (ei,eii) and X2X3 (fi, fii)

The optimum formulation was that which gave a high value of entrapment efficiency but a low value of  $Q_{12}$ . Hence, the high level of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  with the medium level of  $X_3$  (Formulation 9) were selected as optimum. This formulation gave the desired properties of a good entrapment efficiency of 65% with sustained release of 38.75% in 12 hours. Three checkpoint batches were prepared and evaluated for entrapment efficiency and  $Q_{12}$  (Table 4). The differences between the observed and predicted values were generally insignificant, thereby confirming the validity of the mathematical models for predicting the entrapment efficiency and  $Q_{12}$ .

<b>Table 4: Checkpoint</b>	t batches with their	predicted and observed	values of entra	pment efficiency	and Q	12
----------------------------	----------------------	------------------------	-----------------	------------------	-------	----

Batch code	$\mathbf{X}_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$	Entrapment efficiency Q <sub>12</sub>	
				Observed Predicted Observed Predicted	
$C_1$	1	1	0	64.00 63.50 40.75 42.03	
$C_2$	1	0	1	65.00 64.88 38.00 37.70	
C <sub>3</sub>	0	1	1	68.00 67.63 45.50 46.03	

# CONCLUSION

Microbead formulations of theophylline were successfully prepared using the ionic gelation method. Increase in pregelatinized breadfruit starch content of the polymer blend enhanced entrapment efficiency while reducing quantity of drug released. The Box-Behnken design was effective in predicting the values of independent variables that had significant influence on preparation of optimized formulations of theophylline microbeads with desired properties.

Pregelatinized starch was found to be a suitable polymer in the formulation of microbeads.

#### REFERENCES

- Clochard, M., Dinand, E., Rankin, S., Simic, S., Brocchini, S., 2001. New strategies for polymer development in pharmaceutical sciencea short review. J Pharm Pharmacol, 53(9), 1175-1184.
- Dhakar, R.C., Maurya, S.D., Sagar, B.P., Jain, C.P., 2010. Variables Influencing the Drug Entrapment Efficiency of Microspheres: A Pharmaceutical Review. J. Der Pharmacia Lettre, 2(5), 102 106.
- Elsay, K.M., Refaly, T.A., Samy, A.M., Badawi, A.A., 2011. Box-behnken design for optimization of formulation variables to improve the flowability and compressibility of bezafibrate. Az. J. Pharm. Sci, 43, 40 57.
- Hao, X., Fang, Y., 2011. Development and optimization of solid lipid nanoparticle formulation for ophthalmic delivery of chloramphenicol using a Box-Behnken design. Int. J. Nanomed, 6, 683–692.
- Majzoobi, M., Radi, M., Farahnaky, A., Jamalian, J., Karrila, T. T., Mesbahi, G., 2011. Physicochemical Properties of Pre-gelatinized Wheat Starch Produced by a Twin Drum Drier. J. Agric. Sci and Techn, 13(2), 193-200.
- Myers, R.H., Montgomery, D.C., 2002. Response surface methodology process and product optimization using designed experiments. 2nd ed. John Wiley and Sons pp. 17-85, 203-303. Wiley- Interscience Publication, Canada.
- Nair, L.S., Laurencin, C.T., 2006. Polymers as Biomaterials for Tissue Engineering and Controlled Drug Delivery. In: Tissue Engineering I, Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, pp. 203-210.
- Okunlola, A., Adewusi, S.A., 2019. Development of theophylline microbeads using pregelatinized breadfruit (Artocarpus altilis) as a novel co-polymer for controlled release. Adv. Pharm. Bull, 9(1), 93 101.
- Okunlola, A., Ghomorai, T., 2017. Development of ibuprofen microspheres using acetylated plantain starches as for sustained release polymer. J. Pharm inv., 48(5), 551-564.
- Patil, P., Daksha, C., Milind, W., 2012. A review on ionotropic gelation method, novel approach for controlled gastroretentive gelispheres. Int. J.Pharm. and Pharm. Sci., 4(4), 27-32
- Rafati, H., Coombes, A.G.A., Adler, J., Holland, J., Davis, S.S., 1997. Protein loaded for oral administration: Formulation, structural and release characteristics, J. Control. Rel, 43, 89–102.
- Rodrigues, S., Rosa da Costa, A.M., Grenha, A., 2012. Chitosan/carragenan nanoparticles: effect of cross-linking with tripolyphosphate and charge ratios. Carbohydr Polym., 89, 282-289.
- Solanki, A.B., Parikh, J.R., Parikh, R.H., 2007. Formulation and Optimization of Piroxicam Proniosomes by 3-Factor, 3-Level Box-Behnken Design. AAPS PharmSciTech, 8 (4), 1-7.
- Taylor and Francis, 2006. Polymers in Drug Delivery System, 1-236.
- Uhrich, K.E., Cannizzaro, S.M., Langer, R.S., 1999. Polymeric Systems for Controlled Drug Release. Chem. Rev, 99, 3181-3198.
- Yasir, M., Sara, U.V.S., 2013. Preparation and optimization of haloperidol loaded solid lipid nanoparticles by Box-Behnken design. J. Pharm. Res, 7(6), 551 – 558.
- Yuryev, V.P., Krivandin, A., Kiseleva, V.I., Wasserman, L.A., Genkina, N.K., Fornal, J., Blaszczak, W., Schiraldi, A., 2004. Structural parameters of amylopectin clusters and semi-crystalline growth rings in wheat starches with different amylose content, Carbohydr. Res, 339(16), 2683-2691.
- Zidan, A.S., Sammour, O.A., Hammad, M.A., Megrab, N.A., Habib, M.J., Khan, M.A., 2007. Quality by design: understanding the formulation variables of a cyclosporine. A self-emulsified drug delivery systems by Box-Behnken design and desirability function Int. J. Pharm, 332, 55 – 63.

*Address for correspondence: Adenike Okunlola	Conflict of Interest: None declared
Department of Pharmaceutics and Industrial Pharmacy,	Received: July 22, 2020
Faculty of Pharmacy,	•
University of Ibadan,	Accepted: August 25, 2020
Nigeria	
Telephone: +234 8023351064	
E-mails: adenikeokunlola@gmail.com	