

ACCESS TO ESSENTIAL DRUGS IN A RURAL COMMUNITY IN BAYELSA STATE

*E.E. Ilodigwe and C. T. Idumam

Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacy Practice, Niger Delta University, Amassoma, Bayelsa State. *Correspondence: E. Ilodigwe

Abstract

Essential drugs program is invaluable in cost-effective healthcare, especially in resource limited settings. It ensures the availability of limited range of affordable, effective and rational drugs to meet basic health needs of the population. An evaluation of access to essential drugs was carried out in a rural community in Bayelsa State using descriptive statistics. The primary health care facility stocked essential [36%] and branded [30%] drugs. The availabilities of anti-malarials, antibiotics and hematinics were 33%, 30%, 33% respectively. About 60% and 51% of the respondents had been advised to buy antibiotics and antimalarials respectively from outside the primary health care facility. There was low patronage by the community due to non availability of drugs [61%], unfriendly staff attitudes [22%), inability of staff to provide healthy life-style education [30%], low drug information including compliance [40%], and inability to afford the drugs [45%]. Concerted efforts must be made by governments to ensure availability of life-saving and health-promoting essential drugs. Training and improvement of work environment and drug supply systems of the health facility will change the attitude of staff especially the line-personnel. Affordability can be improved through community participation especially in this period of low public health budgets.

Kewords: Essential drugs, access, and rural community

INTRODUCTION

In the 1970s, and 80s, the increase in the number and types of marketed drugs in Nigeria led to competition intense between manufacturers for the supply of these Consequently, products. the availability of drugs in the health system was based on response to these competitive activities rather than the actual needs of a large percentage of the population (WHO, 2000; WHO, 2002). There was a mismatch between

the number and types of available drugs and improvement in health conditions of the population (World Bank, 1994).

It became necessary, based on World Health Organization Guideline on selection of Essential Drugs, to identify common core drugs [Essential Drugs] which have universal reference and applicability and when used in accordance with appropriate therapeutic guideline, are the most cost-effective for meeting the health care needs of majority of the population (World Bank, 1994; WHO, 2000).

The selection of Essential Drugs [EDs] or medicines is usually a continuing which should take into process, changing public health account priorities and epidemiological as progress in conditions, as well pharmacology and pharmaceutical knowledge. It should be accompanied by a concomitant effort to supply information and provide education and training to health care personnel on the proper use of these drugs (WHO, 2002).

Application of ED list has proved to be invaluable in improving the quality of health care and reducing costs, yet more than two billion people lack access to the most-needed EDs (Quick et al., 1997). Although there are studies on access, acceptability and adherence to ED list in some states in Nigeria (Akande and Olonge, 2007; Benjamin et al., 2002), there is none in Bayelsa State, and the response of such community to the state of drug supply in its primary health care centre. This study was intended to close this gap. It is hoped that this study will provoke efforts to ensure that the drug supply system guarantees the availability of essential medicines.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The location of the study was a rural poor community in Bayelsa State. There was one primary health care center, no registered pharmacy, few patent medicine vendors and sometimes itinerant drug hawkers.

Research Instrument

The annual stock of drugs for the year 2008 was obtained from the Primary Health Center. This was segregated into Essential and non-Essential Drugs. Also, structured, and questionnaires pretested were distributed randomly to different categories of people not below 12 years of age in the community. Two hundred and thirty-five copies of the questionnaire were randomly given out. Respondents were encouraged to complete the questionnaires on the spot. However, allowances were made collection completed for of questionnaires not later than 24 hours. The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics.

RESULTS

Of the two-hundred and thirty five questionnaires distributed, twohundred and twenty were properly completed and retrieved giving a compliance rate of 94 %. The modal age was 17 years and 44 % of the respondents were females (Table I) The annual Essential and non-Essential Drugs stocked by the P H C were 66 % and 34 % respectively. Of the available were generics. The EDs, 36 % availabilities of anti-malarials. antibiotics, haematinics and analgesics were 33 %, 30 %, 33 % and 67 % respectively (Table II). About 60% and 51 % of the respondents have been advised at least once to buy antibiotics or anti-malarials respectively outside the health facility.

Furthermore, the level of patronage of the P H C by the community was 45 % due to non-

availability of drugs (61 %) nonaffordability (45 %), distance (13 %), unfriendly staff attitudes (22 %), inability of staff to provide drug information and counselling (40 %) including lack of relevant health education (30 %)

Table 1:Socio-demographiccharacteristics

	Frequency (n)	%
1. Age	Distribution	
12-19	81	37
20-29	54	25
30-39	27	12
40-49	23	10
50- 59	20	9
Greater than 59 15		7
2	Sex Distribution	
	Male 97	44
	Female123	56
3	Occupation	
	Student	
	88	40
	Housewife	
	47	21
	Farmer 43	20
	Civil servant	
	27	12
	Others 15	7
4	Education level	
	Never gone to school	
	23	11
	Primary62	28
	Secondary	
	86	39
	College of Education	
	36	16
	Polytechnic	
	9	4
	University	
	4	2

Table II:Availability of EDsand the level of patronage of thePHC

F	requency (n)	%		
1. Availability of Essential Medicines				
Essential drugs (Generics/brands)				
Lobendar arag	72	66		
Nonessential drugs				
i tonessentiai t	37	34		
	51	54		
Generics	39	36		
Brands	32	30		
Anti-malarial		33		
	3/10	30		
Haematinics		33		
Analgesics	2/3	55 67		
Analgesics	2/3	07		
2 Level	of natronaga of	f tha DUC		
2 Level	of patronage of 99	45		
	99	43		
3 Causes	s of level of pa	tronage		
Irregular availability of EDs				
	74	61		
Drug costs	55	45		
Distance	16	13		
Unfriendly attitude of pharmacy staff				
e minenary act	27	22		
Non-provision	of drug inforr			
Counselling	48	40		
Non-provision of relevant health				
Education	36	30		
	• •			
4 Respondents advised at least once to buy drugs outside the facility				
once to buy di	ugs outside the	eraciiity		
Anti-malarials	: 112	51		
	132	60		
Others	66	30		
Outers	00	30		
DISCUSSION				
	1 1 100			

The National 1991 Primary Health Care Essential Drugs list was based on generics. Generic drugs are generally cheaper than the brand equivalents and provide direct low-cost response to many diseases (Quick et al., 1997). In this study, generics represented 36 % of the EDs available against 100 % availability recommended by the World Health Organization. Also, the result differs from 50% reported by the International Network for Rational Use of Drugs (INRUD) for Nigeria (WHO, 1999). The difference could be because the present study involved a rural poor community and the INRUD figure is a average. Also national the methodologies employed are different.

The availability of drugs is one of the most visible symbols of quality health care to consumers (Ndymugyen *et al.*, 1998). There was poor and irregular availability of most-needed EDs (anti-malarials-33%, antibiotics-30%, haematinics-33% and analgesics-67%) and the level of patronage was 45%. A World Bank Study in Nigeria reported that patient visits in Nigeria drop by 50-70% when health facilities run out of commonly used drugs.

Securing access to EDs depends on sustainable financing, affordable drug prices and reliable drug supply system WHO, 1999). Additionally, drugs must be rationally selected, appropriately used and of assured quality; and staff should be educated and trained on drug information, counselling and how to effectively interact with health care consumers (WHO, 2000). In this study, lack of access to EDs was due to nonavailability (61%), non-affordability (45%), staff unable or unwilling to give the relevant drug information and counselling (40%) and unfriendly staff attitudes (22%). The figure for nonavailability of EDs is corroborated by 25% and 15% from studies by Akande and Olonge (2007), and Benjamin et al., (2002) respectively. Also, Quick et al reported that price influenced the decision of 57% of respondents to purchase drugs. Cost recovery accompanied by a fair supply of EDs, better motivated staff and strengthened supervision and control improved the efficiency of health systems (Audibert and Mathonnat, 2008).

Essential Drugs provide cost effective care especially for the rural and urban They should therefore poor. be available at all times in adequate amounts and in the appropriate dosage forms and at prices that individuals and community can afford. The the of sustainable problem finance. affordability and reliable supply could be addressed on a sustainable basis if the community is involved especially in this period of low public health budgets and increased competing sectoral demands.

CONCLUSION

The access of this community to EDs appeared poor. Consequently, there was lack of trust and confidence in the capacity of the health facility to provide health care; people travel long distances to obtain genuine drugs and patronize patent medicine some vendors and drug hawkers. Community participation may be needed in the management of this facility to guaranteed access to EDs.

REFERENCES

- Akande, T. M and Olonge, M. O. (2007). Prescription pattern at a secondary health care facility in Ilorin, Nigeria. Nigeria J . H .M. p186-189.
- Audibert, M; Mathonnat, J. (2008). Cost recovery in muritania: initial lessons. Health policy and planning Vol.6, p. 496-504.
- Benjamin, S. C; Obinna E. O; and Cyril, O. (2002). Effects of the Bamako Initiative on ED Availability in primary Health care centers. Nigeria J H M .p 42-46

http://www.who/int/infts/ en/fact198.html. 2008. June, 15. Available at <u>http://www.who/int/inf-pr-</u>1998/en/pr98-74.html.

- Ndymugyen, R, Neema, S; and Magrussen, P. (1998). The use of formal and informal services to antenatal care and malaria treatment in rural Uganda. Health policy and planning, Vol. 13 p. 94-102.
- Quick, J. D; Rankin, J. R; Laing, R. O; O' connor, R. W; Hogerzeil, H. V; Ducks, M. N. G; and Garnett, A; eds (1997). Managing Drug supply, Connecticut; Kumarian Press. P122-123
- WHO (2000). Essential Medicines. Cited 2008 June, 15. Available at
- WHO (2000). The use of Essential Drugs.

Ninth report of the WHO Expert Committee. WHO Technical Report series, 895. Geneva.

- WHO (2002). Essential Medicines and Medication Guidelines. Cited 2008
- WHO (Word Health Organization) (2002). Essential Drugs and medicines policy; supporting countries to close the access gap. Geneva.
- World Bank (1994). The importance of pharmaceuticals and essential drug programmes. In: Better Health in Africa: Experience and Lessons. Washington D.C.
- World Health Organization (1999). How to investigate drug use in health facilities. WHO /DAP/93.1.