Original Article

Prevalence, Awareness, and Factors Associated with Noise-induced Hearing Loss in Occupational Motorcyclists in Southwestern Nigeria

placed them at risk of hearing loss.

Shuaib Kayode Aremu¹, Rasaq Kayode Adewoye², Alao Taiye Adeyanju³, David Sylvanus Ekpo³

¹Department of Ear, Nose and Throat, Federal Teaching Hospital Ido-Ekiti/Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria, ²Department of Community Medicine Federal Teaching Hospital, Ido Ekiti/ Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria, ³Department of Community Medicine Federal Teaching Hospital, Ido Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria

riders on the risk of noise-induced hearing loss; due to a variety of factors, they are exposed to by the nature of their job. This study, therefore, aimed to determine the prevalence, awareness, and factors associated with noise-induced hearing loss among motorcycle riders in Ido-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria. Methodology: A cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted between February and March 2019 among 420 motorcycle riders in Ido-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Southwestern Nigeria. Data analyses were performed using the SPSS software version 20. $P \le 0.05$ was considered as statistically significant. Three hundred and sixty of the total of 420 consented for pure-tone audiometry which was done to assess their hearing thresholds. Results: Majority of the respondents had at least a secondary level of education. About 14.5% of the total study population had hearing loss, among which 15% also reported an accompanying ear discharge. All of the respondents did not have any history of hearing loss before they started the occupation. More than half of the study population was aware of noise-induced hearing loss and was able to link it to their profession. None of the study participants used a hearing aid, but 17.9% reported using ear mufflers and 16.2% used earphones while riding. Conclusion: Majority of our respondents had

secondary school education and 14.5% of the total study population reported hearing loss, among which 15% also reported an accompanying ear discharge. Furthermore, more than half of the study population was aware of noise-induced hearing loss and was able to link it to their profession, whereas 50% agreed that their occupation

Background: There is generally a lack of awareness among professional motorcycle

KEYWORDS: Hearing loss, motorcyclists, noise, occupation, sensorineural

Received : 08-06-2019 **Revision** : 29-08-2019 **Accepted** : 16-09-2019 **Published** : 10-02-2020

Introduction

Hearing loss is a frequently encountered problem arising from a variety of etiological causes. A common etiology appreciated in the day-to-day settings is noise exposure, which over time can ultimately impact our ability to hear. Perpetual exposure to loud noises can result in high-frequency sensorineural hearing loss. Since the 19th century, motorcycles have been around as a mode of transport, and more recently have been associated with precarious levels of noise-induced hearing loss. [1] In Ekiti state, motorcycle riding is a major source of livelihood for many individuals who are unable to secure government jobs. This risk originates from two sources, namely the motorcycle engine and the wind rushing past a motorcycle rider's ears. In this

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:

Website: www.nigerianjsurg.com

DOI: 10.4103/njs.NJS_26_19

article, we intend to shed light on the hazard of hearing the loss in occupational motorcyclists with a focus on its mechanisms and methods to mitigate its occurrence.

METHODOLOGY

Study area

The study was conducted in Ido-Osi Local Government Area (LGAs) of Ekiti State in Nigeria, which was located in the South western part of the country. Ekiti

> Address for correspondence: Dr. Shuaib Kayode Aremu, ENT Department, Federal Teaching Hospital Ido-Ekiti/Afe Babalola University, Ado- Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria. E-mail: shuaib.aremu@gmail.com

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Aremu SK, Adewoye RK, Adeyanju AT, Ekpo DS. Prevalence, awareness, and factors associated with noise-induced hearing loss in occupational motorcyclists in Southwestern Nigeria. Niger J Surg 2020;26:53-8.

State has 16 LGAs and three senatorial districts: Ekiti South, Ekiti Central, and Ekiti North senatorial districts. Ido-Osi is one of the LGAs in Ekiti North senatorial district of the state its headquarters is in Ido town. There are 11 other communities in Ido-Osi LGA in addition to Ido town which is the headquarter of the LGA.

A cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted between February 2019 and March 2019 among 420 motorcycle riders in Ido-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Southwestern Nigeria. A sound level meter was used which read more than 80 dBA at different points in the parks as well as when selected number of the participants were riding their motorcycles. Noise levels in excess of 91 dBA were recorded for motorcycles traveling at 75 mph.

A simple random sampling technique by balloting was performed from the list of motorcycle riders in the motorcycle riders' parks in Ido-Ekiti. A pretested semi-structured self-administered questionnaire was designed to collect the data which was entered into the computer software and analyzed using the SPSS software version 20 (SPSS Version 25, IBM Corp. Released 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). $P \leq 0.05$ was considered as statistically significant. The pretest was done in Ado-Ekiti, State capital of Ekiti State to test for its viability and reliability using 10% of sample size.

A minimum sample size was calculated using the formula for cross-sectional study,

$$N = \frac{Z^2pq}{d^2}$$

The prevalence of existing noise-induced hearing loss among motorcycle riders was assumed at $50\%^{[2]}$ and a bound on error of $\pm 5\%$, precision level of 0.5, and confidence level of 95%. An upward adjustment for 10% to account for nonresponse and inappropriate entries, gave a sample size of 420 motorcycle riders for the study.

Three communities were selected of the 12 communities that formed the LGA by simple random sampling using the table of random numbers. The communities selected were Ido-Ekiti, Ifaki-Ekiti, and Usi-Ekiti.

Ido-Ekiti has 6 parks, Ifaki-Ekiti has 4 parks, and Usi-Ekiti has 1 park. The research was conducted using motorcyclists from all the parks. The selection of participants from each pack was done using random sampling technique.

Those subjects who had history of hearing loss or found to have hearing loss on examination were asked to do Pure Tone Audiometry (PTA). The PTA result was used to confirm and classified the degree of hearing loss in each one of them. 360 of 420 motorcyclists consented for PTA to assess their hearing thresholds. The PTA was done in the audiology booth in our department. The pure

tone average was calculated using the average air-bone gap at four different frequencies (500, 1000, 2000, and 4000 Hz). All had pure tone audiogram which showed the characteristic notch at 4 kHz.

The pretested semi-structured self-administered questionnaire was designed and used to collect the data which was entered into the computer software analyzed using the SPSS software version 20. Descriptive statistics were presented using frequency tables and charts. Quantitative variables such as age were summarized as a mean and standard deviation. Pearson Chi-square was used to compare two variables, and the level of significance was set at 5%.

The ethical clearance was obtained from Federal teaching hospital Ido-Ekiti (FETHI) Research Ethics Committee. The aim of the study was explained to the participants; and an informed consent of each willing participant was sought and obtained.

RESULTS

The study revealed the maximum response rate among adults between the ages of 20–34 with least response

| Table 1: Age distribution of respondents | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|--|--|
| Age group | Frequency <i>n</i> =420 | Percentage | | |
| 15-19 | 15 | 3.6 | | |
| 20-24 | 173 | 41.2 | | |
| 25-29 | 67 | 15.9 | | |
| 30-34 | 105 | 25.0 | | |
| 35-39 | 38 | 9.0 | | |
| 40-44 | 8 | 1.9 | | |
| 45-49 | 7 | 1.7 | | |
| 50 and above | 7 | 1.7 | | |

Table 2: Educational status of respondents Level of education Frequency *n*=420 Percentage Primary 23 5.5 188 44.8 Secondary 40.9 Graduate 172 None 37 8.8

| Table 3: Self-reported hearing loss <i>n</i> =420 | | | | | |
|---|-----|------|-----|------|--|
| Variable | Yes | % | No | % | |
| Presence of hearing loss | 61 | 14.5 | 359 | 85.5 | |
| Hearing loss associated with discharge <i>n</i> =61 | 15 | 24.6 | 46 | 75.4 | |

| Table 4: Awareness of Noise induced Hearing loss | | | | | |
|--|-----|------|-----|------|--|
| Variable | Yes | % | No | % | |
| Are you aware of presence of noise in your park? | 210 | 50 | 210 | 50 | |
| Are you aware that noise can cause hearing loss? | 270 | 64.3 | 150 | 35.7 | |
| Are you aware that your job exposes you to | 210 | 50 | 210 | 50 | |
| hearing loss? | | | | | |
| Are you aware that a person with hearing loss | 330 | 78.6 | 90 | 21.4 | |
| is at risk of RTA? | | | | | |

| Table 5: Factors Associated with Noise induce hearing loss <i>n</i> =420 | | | | |
|--|--------|------|--------|------|
| Variable | Yes | % | No | 0/0 |
| Use of hear muffler | 75 | 17.9 | 345 | 82.1 |
| Listens to music with hear-piece while driving | 68 | 16.2 | 352 | 83.8 |
| Hearing assessment done before? | 30 | 7.1 | 390 | 92.9 |
| Is it important to have hearing assessment done? | 75 | 17.9 | 345 | 82.1 |
| Would you want to test your hearing | 45 | 10.7 | 375 | 89.3 |
| Do you use hearing aids | 0 | | 420 | 100 |
| Had RTI from your work before? | 53 | 12.6 | 367 | 87.4 |
| Are you hypertensive | 45 | 10.7 | 375 | 89.3 |
| Have you measured your BP before? | 202 | 48.1 | 218 | 51.9 |
| Ringing sensation in the ear | 60 | 14.3 | 360 | 85.7 |
| Previous injury in the ear? | 23 | 5.5 | 397 | 94.5 |
| Relative with earing problem | 53 | 12.6 | 367 | 87.4 |
| Period of exposure | <8.5 h | % | >8.5 h | % |
| Time of exposure/day | 83 | 19.8 | 337 | 80.2 |

| Variable | 1 factors associated with noise-induced hearing Hearing Loss | | | P |
|---|---|------------|----------|--------------|
| variable | Yes n (%) | No n (%) | χ^2 | P |
| Use of hear muffler | 1es n (70) | No n (%) | | |
| Yes | 19 (25.3) | 56 (74.7) | 8.594 | 0.003 |
| No | 42 (12.2) | 303 (87.8) | 0.374 | 0.003 |
| Listens to music with hear-piece | 42 (12.2) | 303 (87.8) | | |
| Yes | 17 (56.7) | 13 (43.3) | 46.220 | < 0.001 |
| No No | 44 (11.3) | 346 (88.7) | 40.220 | \0.00 |
| Hearing assessment done before | 44 (11.3) | 340 (88.7) | | |
| Yes | 5 (11.1) | 40 (88.9) | 0.791 | 0.373 |
| No | 56 (16.2) | 289 (83.8) | 0.771 | 0.575 |
| Important to have hearing assessment done | 30 (10.2) | 207 (03.0) | | |
| Yes | 9 (12.0) | 66 (88.0) | 0.469 | 0.494 |
| No | 52 (15.1) | 293 (84.9) | 0.107 | 0.171 |
| You would want to test your hearing | 32 (13.1) | 273 (01.7) | | |
| Yes | 10 (22.2) | 35 (77.8) | 2.406 | 0.121 |
| No | 51 (13.6) | 324 (86.4) | 2.100 | 0.121 |
| Had RTI from your work before | 31 (13.0) | 321 (00.1) | | |
| Yes | 22 (41.5) | 31 (58.5) | 35.579 | < 0.00 |
| No | 39 (10.6) | 328 (89.4) | 30.079 | 0.00 |
| You are hypertensive | () | - () | | |
| Yes | 18 (40.0) | 27 (60.0) | 26.350 | < 0.00 |
| No | 43 (11.5) | 332 (88.5) | | |
| You have measured your BP before | , | , | | |
| Yes | 22 (10.9) | 180 (89.1) | 4.137 | 0.042 |
| No | 39 (17.9) | 179 (82.1) | | |
| Ringing sensation in the ear | , , | , , | | |
| Yes | 26 (43.3) | 34 (56.7) | 46.800 | < 0.00 |
| No | 35 (9.7) | 325 (90.3) | | |
| Previous injury in the ear | | | | |
| Yes | 11 (47.8) | 12 (52.2) | 21.738 | < 0.00 |
| No | 50 (12.6) | 347 (87.4) | | |
| Relative with hearing problem | | | | |
| Yes | 13 (24.5) | 40 (75.5) | 4.832 | 0.027 |
| No | 48 (13.1) | 319 (86.9) | | |
| Period of exposure | | | | |
| < 8.5 h | 6 (7.2) | 77 (92.8) | 4.434 | 0.035 |
| ≥ 8.5 h | 55 (16.3) | 282 (83.7) | | |

^{*}Emboldened P are significant at 0.05 level

Table 7: Frequency table showing average hearing threshold (dB) of 360 Subjects screened at higher frequencies

| ii equeneres | | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------|----------|-------|--|--|--|
| Hearing threshold | Audiometer n (%) | χ^2 | P | | | |
| < 25 | 117 (32.5) | 0.026 | 0.987 | | | |
| 25-40 | 107 (29.7) | | | | | |
| > 40 | 136 (37.8) | | | | | |
| Total | 360 (100.0) | | | | | |

 χ^2 : Chi square test

rates observed in extremes of ages, i.e., both young and the elderly [Table 1]. The major bulk of respondents were those who had at least a secondary level of education [Table 2]. About 14.5% of the total study population reported hearing loss, among which 15% also reported an accompanying ear discharge [Table 3]. An encouraging observation noted was that more than half of the study population was aware of noise-induced hearing loss and was able to link it to their profession [Table 4]. While 50% agreed that their occupation placed them at risk of hearing loss, 78.6% also reported being aware of the risk of road traffic accident (RTA) associated with hearing loss [Table 4]. While 17.9% agreed to the importance of having a hearing assessment done, only 7.1% had one done prior which were all normal. None of the study participants used a hearing aid, but 17.9% reported using ear mufflers and 16.2% used earphones while driving [Table 5]. Although 48.1% of the participants had their blood pressure recorded before only 10.7% were diagnosed hypertensive. Among the study participants, 14.3% reported tinnitus, 5.5% had prior ear injury (hearing was not affected), and 12.6% had relatives with hearing impairments. An alarming 80.2% of the study population used motorcycles for >8.5 h/day.

Among the various factors associated with the prevalence of noise-induced hearing loss, a few were found to be significantly linked. About 56.7% of those who listened to music with earpieces reported the hearing loss (P < 0.001), whereas 25.3% of those who used ear mufflers had a hearing impairment (P = 0.003) [Table 6]. 41.5% of the study group who had hearing loss reported road traffic injury (RTI) (P < 0.001). 40% of respondents who had hearing loss were hypertensive (P < 0.001). whereas only 10.9% had their blood pressure measured before (P = 0.042). The proportion of the study population that had hearing loss had significantly associated tinnitus in 43.3% (P < 0.001), previous injury to ear in 47.8 (P < 0.001), and 24.5% had a relative with hearing difficulty (P = 0.027) [Table 6]. Noise levels in excess of 91 dBA were recorded for motorcycles travelling at 75 mph. About 16.3% of the respondents that had an occupational exposure to motorcycle associated noise for >8.5 h reported hearing loss as

compared to 7.2% in people with <8.5 h of exposure to motorcycle associated noise (P=0.035). 32.5% (117) of the participants had normal hearing, whereas 37.8% (136) had >40db hearing threshold [Table 7].

DISCUSSION

Noise-induced hearing loss continues to be recognized as one of the leading causes of hearing loss.^[1] The World Health Organization enlists that as many as 250 million people globally have impaired hearing of moderate to high severity.^[1] Among these, the top causes of hearing loss are noise, age and ear infection.^[2] A short blast of loud noise is known to induce not only notable sensorineural hearing loss but can also lead to significant pain, tinnitus, and hyperacusis. This usually requires exposure to a noise level > 120–155 dB.^[3] In addition to the hearing damage, exposure to loud noise can cause other adverse, including an impact on metabolism, cognition, sleep, blood pressure, cardiovascular system, mental health, and overall quality of life.^[4-6]

Occupational hearing loss is a well-recognized hazard in many industries. Motorcyclists, who drive motorcycles as an essential component of their job, are referred to as occupational motorcyclists. Hearing handicap in occupational motorcyclists is a problem most eminently seeming to affect professional riders, [7] in particular, racing riders [8] and police officers. [9,10] Exposure to high noise levels may not always be overt and appreciated in all occupations such as airport ground crew.

There are several mechanisms by which excessive noise induces hearing loss mainly divided into direct mechanical damage of inner ear cochlear structures and metabolic causes. Metabolic causes may include overstimulation of inner ear structures by potentially hazardous substances such as nitric oxide damaging hair cells, oxygen-free radicals destroying membranes, and low magnesium concentrations that weaken hair cells.^[11,12]

An important source of noise is "wind noise" produced by wind rushing past a rider's ears. Studies reveal an excessive wind noise around the helmet of a motorcyclist, amounting up to 90 dB (A) at a speed of 60 km/h and increasing linearly to as high as 110 dB (A) at a speed of 160 km/h. Our own study recorded Noise levels in excess of 91 dBA for motorcycles traveling at 75 mph.

On an average, the noise levels increase by 2 dB (A) for every 10 km/h increase in speed for a roadster motorcycle and 2.5 dB (A) for the faired motorcycle. The wind noise results for a faired motorcycle are on an average 1.5 dB (A) higher than the equivalent roadster motorcycle. [14]

An interesting fact is that although helmets are deemed inevitable for ensuring the protection and safety of a

motorcycle rider, they tend to enhance the noise to which a rider is exposed. A study conducted by Jordan *et al.* on nine different types and qualities of motorcycle helmets revealed the most important source of noise to be the base of a helmet between the chin bar and the neck of the rider. In addition, the study revealed different extents of noise exposure with different helmet designs. While full face helmets exposed a rider to an average noise level of 103.6 dB (A) at 120 km/h, open face helmets exposed the rider to a lower noise level of 98.5 dB (A) at the same speed. [15]

A self-administered, self-assisted questionnaire was used to collect data from 420 motorcycle riders in Ido-Ekiti to study the prevalence, awareness, and factors associated with noise-induced hearing loss. The results revealed a maximum response rate among adults between the age group of 20 and 34 with least response rates observed in extremes of ages, i.e., both young and the elderly [Table 1]. A study conducted by Toppila *et al.* on the relationship of age with noise-induced hearing loss revealed a causal relation of age with noise induced hearing loss with elderly participants being more susceptible than younger ones.^[16,17]

The major bulk of respondents in the study were those who had at least a secondary level of education [Table 2]. Although the level of education has no direct link with hearing loss, it is awareness of this potential issue that leads to reporting among the educated ones, especially in occupational settings that highlight this concern and encourage employees to report. About 14.5% of the total study population reported hearing loss, among which 15% also reported an accompanying ear discharge [Table 3]. Although no proper validation exists between self-reported and measured hearing loss in the clinical setting, a large-scale epidemiological study conducted by Sindhusake et al. revealed that both a question about hearing and the use of Hearing Handicap Inventory for Elderly-Screening appeared sufficiently sensitive and specific to indicate an estimate for the prevalence of hearing loss.^[18]

An encouraging observation noted was that more than half of the study population was aware of noise-induced hearing loss and was able to link it to their profession. While 50% agreed that their occupation placed them at risk of hearing loss, 78.6% also reported being aware of the risk of RTA associated with hearing loss [Table 4]. This is similar to the finding the study by Jordan *et al.*^[19] Among the various factors associated with the prevalence of noise-induced hearing loss, a few were found to be significantly linked. Although 48.1% of the subjects had their blood pressure recorded before only 10.7% were diagnosed hypertensive [Table 4]. About 40% of respondents who had hearing loss were hypertensive (P < 0.001), whereas only 10.9% had their

blood pressure measured before (P = 0.042) [Table 5]. Although various population-based longitudinal cohort studies have established no association between the risk of hearing loss and blood pressure or hypertension, [20] other studies revealed a link between hypertension and the progression of hearing loss. One such study was conducted by Kiely *et al.* in 2012 to show a speedier decline in hearing function in hypertensive patients. [21] Another study conducted by Brant *et al.*, 1996 in Baltimore, US linked higher systolic blood pressure with hearing loss in the generally healthier participants. [22]

Our data showed that although 17.9% agreed to the importance of having a hearing assessment done, only 7.1% had one done prior [Table 4]. Although hearing assessments are universally recommended for neonates and elderly, no set guidelines have been established for regular screening in various occupational settings; although they are encouraged by many employers and health committees.

None of the study participants used a hearing aid, but 17.9% reported using ear mufflers and 16.2% used earphones while driving [Table 4]. 56.7% of those who listened to music with earpieces reported hearing loss (P < 0.001), while 25.3% of those who used ear mufflers had a hearing impairment (P = 0.003) [Table 5]. Using headsets >70%-80% of the maximum volume settings has been established to be linked to hearing impairment in the long run, [23] and although not directly causal, it may serve as a compounding factor in the long run especially for motorcyclists that employ it for effective communications. A study conducted by Abel and Spencer on various types of noise attenuation means for the prevention of hearing loss revealed earplugs to be an effective method for noise reduction with ear foam plugs providing the highest level of attenuation and ear hi-fi plug yielding the lowest level of attenuation.^[24]

Among the study participats, 14.3% reported tinnitus, 5.5% had prior ear injury, and 12.6% had relatives with hearing impairments [Table 4]. The proportion of the study population that had hearing loss had significantly associated tinnitus in 43.3% (P < 0.001), previous injury to ear in 47.8 (P < 0.001) and 24.5% had a relative with hearing difficulty (P = 0.027) [Table 5]. An alarming 80.2% of the study population reported using motorcycles for more than 8.5 h/day [Table 4]. 16.3% of the respondents that had an occupational exposure to motorcycle associated noise for >8.5 h reported hearing loss compared to 7.2% in people with <8.5 h of exposure to motorcycle associated noise (P = 0.035) [Table 5]. The recognized tendency of noise to cause hearing loss has prompted various legislative assemblies to limit the number of hours of noise exposure. A study conducted by Metidieri et al. demonstrated that hearing loss began and predominated at frequencies of 3,

4, and 6 kHz and eventually progressed to 8, 2, 1, 0.5, and 0.25 kHz. The study also pointed that regulatory standards limit the exposure to continuous noise of 90 dB to no more than 4 h and a maximum level of 85 dB for a full 8-h working period. [25] In accordance with this 80.2% of our subjects who reported exposure to motorcycle associated loud noise for >8.5 h were well above the recommended time and 16.3% of these already depicted signs of hearing impairment [Tables 4 and 5]. Table 6 of our study comprehensively shows the relationships between factors associated with noise-induced hearing loss and the prevalence.

Our study also revealed that 32.5% (117) of the participants had normal hearing, while 37.8% (136) had >40 dB hearing threshold [Table 7]. Hearing loss is more than 40 dB in adults is defined as disabling hearing loss according to the WHO.

CONCLUSION

Majority of our respondents had secondary school education and 14.5% of the total study population reported hearing loss, among which 15% also reported an accompanying discharge. Furthermore, more than half of the study population was aware of noise-induced hearing loss and was able to link it to their profession, while 50% agreed that their occupation placed them at risk of hearing loss. None of the study participants used a hearing aid, but 17.9% reported using ear mufflers and 16.2% used earphones while driving which prone them to RTI.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank all the Staffs of Federal Teaching Hospital Ido-Ekiti that contributed to the development of this research.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- World Health Organization. Facts about Deafness. Available from: http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/facts/en/. [Last accessed on 2007 Nov 15].
- Ries PW. Prevalence and characteristics of persons with hearing trouble: United States, 1990 91. Vital Health Stat 10 1994;(188):1-75.
- Eichwald J, Scinicariello F, Telfer JL, Carroll YI. Use of personal hearing protection devices at loud athletic or entertainment events among adults – United States, 2018. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2018;67:1151-5.
- Hammer MS, Swinburn TK, Neitzel RL. Environmental noise pollution in the United States: Developing an effective public health response. Environ Health Perspect 2014;122:115-9.
- 5. Jarosińska D, Héroux MÈ, Wilkhu P, Creswick J, Verbeek J,

- Wothge J, *et al.* Development of the WHO environmental noise guidelines for the European region: An introduction. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2018;15. pii: E813.
- Basner M, Babisch W, Davis A, Brink M, Clark C, Janssen S, et al. Auditory and non-auditory effects of noise on health. Lancet 2014;383:1325-32.
- Jordan CG, Hetherington O, Woodside A, Harvey H. Noise Induced hearing loss in occupational motorcyclists. J Environ Health Res 2004;3:70-7.
- McCombe AW, Binnington J. Hearing loss in grand prix motorcyclists: Occupational hazard or sports injury? Br J Sports Med 1994;28:35-7.
- Lower MC, Hurst DW, Thomas A. Noise levels and noise reduction under motorcycle helmets. Proc Inst Acoust 1996;18:979-82.
- Lower MC. Sources and levels of noise under motorcyclist's helmets. Proc Inst Acoust 1994;16:319-26.
- Prasher D. New strategies for prevention and treatment of noise-induced hearing loss. Lancet 1998;352:1240-2.
- Balatsouras DG, Tsimpiris N, Korres S, Karapantzos I, Papadimitriou N, Danielidis V. The effect of impulse noise on distortion product otoacoustic emissions. Int J Audiol 2005;44:540-9.
- McCombe AW. Hearing loss in motorcyclists: Occupational and medicolegal aspects. J R Soc Med 2003;96:7-9.
- Available from: http://www.icben.org/2003/pdf/13_t1b.pdf.
 [Last accessed on 2019 May 25].
- Jordan CG, Hetherington O, Woodside A, Harvey H. Noise induced hearing loss in occupational motorcyclists (Doctoral dissertation, University of Ulster). J Environ Health 2004;2:70-7.
- Toppila E, Pyykkö I, Starck J. Age and noise-induced hearing loss. Scand Audiol 2001;30:236-44.
- Niskar AS, Kieszak SM, Holmes AE, Esteban E, Rubin C, Brody DJ. Estimated prevalence of noise-induced hearing threshold shifts among children 6 to 19 years of age: The third national health and nutrition examination survey, 1988-1994, United States. Pediatrics 2001;108:40-3.
- Sindhusake D, Mitchell P, Smith W, Golding M, Newall P, Hartley D, et al. Validation of self-reported hearing loss. The blue mountains hearing study. Int J Epidemiol 2001;30:1371-8.
- Jordan C, Hetherington O, Woodside A, Harvey H. Noise induced hearing loss in occupational motorcyclists. J Environ Health Res 2004;3:70-7.
- Cruickshanks KJ, Nondahl DM, Dalton DS, Fischer ME, Klein BE, Klein R, et al. Smoking, central adiposity, and poor glycemic control increase risk of hearing impairment. J Am Geriatr Soc 2015;63:918-24.
- Kiely KM, Gopinath B, Mitchell P, Luszcz M, Anstey KJ. Cognitive, health, and sociodemographic predictors of longitudinal decline in hearing acuity among older adults. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2012;67:997-1003.
- Brant LJ, Gordon-Salant S, Pearson JD, Klein LL, Morrell CH, Metter EJ, et al. Risk factors related to age-associated hearing loss in the speech frequencies. J Am Acad Audiol 1996;7:152-60.
- Fligor BJ. Risk for noise-induced hearing loss from use of portable media players: A summary of evidence through 2008. Perspect Audiol 2009;5:10-20.
- Abel SM, Spencer DL. Active noise reduction versus conventional hearing protection. Relative benefits for normal-hearing and impaired listeners. Scand Audiol 1997;26:155-67.
- Metidieri MM, Rodrigues HF, Filho FJ, Ferraz DP, Neto AF, Torres S. Noise-induced hearing loss: Literature review with a focus on occupational medicine. Int Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2013;17:208-12.