GENERAL SURGERY

Faecal Impaction Presenting as Acute Appendicitis: A Report of 2 Cases

D. O. Irabor

Department of Surgery, University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria.

Reprint requests to: Dr. D. O. Irabor, Department of Surgery, University College Hospital, P. M.B. 5116, Ibadan, Nigeria. E-mail: uchmed@skannet.com.ng

ABSTRAC'

This paper Schlights different manner in which faecal impaction presents i.e. with acute severe right lower quadrant abdominal pain. Two illustrative cases of young adult males are presented, they had clinical features congestive of acute appendicitis, which turned out to be due to faecal impaction. Digital rectal examination and plain abdominal x-rays were helpful in the diagnosis of faecal impaction. The simple treatment of soap and water enema with oral liquid paraffin ensured that unnecessary appendicectomies were avoided. Interestingly one of the patients developed an acute appendicitis 5 months after his initial presentation when he had recurrent faecal impaction. He had an appendicectomy performed. A cause and effect relationship between faecal impaction and acute appendicitis is also discussed briefly.

KEY WORDS: Right Lower Quadrant Pain, Appendicitis, Faecal Impaction

Introduction

Faccal impaction i. ' arrest and accumulation of facces in the rectum or colon. I inis entity is commonly encountered incapacitated or institutionalised elderly people, however it can anv group. occur in age may Impaction give rise symptoms in many organ systems but the typical symptoms have consistently been anorexia, parasea, vomiting and abdominal pain, 2 symptoms that are also seen in acute appendicitis. The commonest cause of acute right lower quadrant pain in young adults is acute appendicitis. 3 This is a report of another cause of acute right lower quadrant pain in young adults.

The Nigerian Journal of Surgical Research Volume 3 Number 2 June 2001

Case Reports

. Case 1: A 21 year-old man presented with a 4-hour history of colicky periumbilical pain. There was no fever, no anorexia, nausea or vomiting. His last bowel motion was 3 days prior to presentation. There was no history of a similar episode in the Past medical history revealed two previous operations; bilateral varicocelectomies symptomatic varicoceles 2 years previously and bilateral orchidopexies for torsion of the left testis 4 years previously. Physical examination showed no fever (36.8°C). pallor dehydration. Pulse rate was 76/minute. and blood pressure 110/80mmHg. Chest examination was normal. The abdomen was slightly distended and moved with respiration. Healed varicocelectomy scars were noted bilaterally in the inguinal regions. abdomen was soft, there was periumbilical tenderness, worse over Mcburneys point but no rebound tenderness. No masses were felt and bowel sounds were Rectal examination normal. revealed а normal anal sphincteric tone but the rectum was loaded with hard craggy There was tenderness in faeces. the rectovesical pouch but no bogginess: formed brown stool stained the gloved finger. Management consisted of nil by nasogastric mouth. decompression, intravenous fluids, intravenous ampiclox and

metronidagole. Haema tocrit was sodium 42 meg/l. potassium 4.1 meg/l, chlorid 100 meg/l, bicarbonate 22 = 4/1 urea 28 mg%. abdominal x-rays showed dilated large bowel loops with heavy faecal mottling extending from the rectum to the hepatic flexure of the ascending colon (Figure 1). This prompted the administration of a warm enema saponis. patient passed a large quantity of firm faeces and abdominal pain Abdominal examinsubsided. ation one hour after this showed areas of tenderness. Antibiotics were discontinued after the initial dose. He was commenced on graded oral fluids and was discharged 2 days after admission on liquid paraffin and dulcolax tablets to be taken for 1 week.

He was symptom-free for about 5 months when he represented with features similar to his earlier presentation. main findings at this time were vague, firm, ender and mobile indentable masses palpal e in the parai mbilical right and eft Bo vel sounds were regions. R∈ tal ex: mination normal. showed perianal saming with brown faeces and the rectum was laden with hard and soft faeces. The diagnosis was recurrent fecal impaction. Plain abdominal xshowed heavy fecal rays shadowing from ascending colon There was rectum. hesitation in ordering a warm saponis which was enema

effective: abdominal pain subsided and he was comfortable, however there was persistent right iliac fossa tenderness maximal over Mcburney's point and Rovsing's sign was positive. diagnosis of appendicitis could be noi excluded. An emergency appendicectomy was done and operative findings were a turgid inflamed appendix with palpable faecoliths within ihe lumen. Histopathological report of the appendix specimen confirmed appendicitis. Post-operative course was uneventful and be was discharged home. He has remained symptom free 9 years later.

Figure 1: Plain Abdominal Radio graph of Case 1, Showing Dilated Large Bowel and Faecal Opening.



Figure 2: Plain Abdominal Radiograph Of Case 2, Showing Calcified Faeces



Case A 22 year-old man presented with a 2 day history of severe who ky abdominal pain at the permorphical region. It was non radiating and associated with vomiting after eating. His last bowel amuso was 2 days prior to presentation, and was liquid brown and he had a similar cossort 4 months earlier, which was managed at a peripheral hospital forcids of the treatment water indemoval) On examination he low on all, was not pale, not jaundiced madrae rougue was dry and coaled comperature was 37-C. puter rate /8/estrute and blood pressure 100/70 mmHg. The abdomen was full, moved with respiration, was soft and there was generalised tenderness worse in the right iliac fossa. Their was guarding and equivocal rebound tendencess. There were

palpable masses. Bowel sounds were hypoactive. Rectal examination revealed a rectum loaded with hard faeces with tenderness to the right side of the rectovesical pouch. Initial management was nil by mouth, nasogastric tube decompression and intravenous fluids. abdominal x-rays showed heavy fecal mottling with areas calcification from rectum caecum (Figure 2). The diagnosis of fecal impaction was made. He was placed on oral liquid paraffin, 30 mls statim, then 4 hourly for 24 hours and a warm enema saponis was given. This resulted in evacuation of a large amount of hard faeces with resolution of abdominal pain and tenderness. A post-evacuation plain abdominal x-ray showed disappe-arance of the fecal opacities with normal bowel gas appearance. patient was started on graded oral fluids and discharged 3 days later on oral liquid paraffin. He has remained symptom-free 9 years after.

Discussion

Fecal impaction, which is usually seen in the elderly and bedridden, is a known cause of chronic intestinal obstruction with abdominal constipation, distension with or without colicky abdominal pain and vomiting. 4 However, impactions can occur in age group. ² The any common presenting symptoms are paradoxical diarrhoea and incontinence which are also known as spurious diarrhoea and overflow incontinence respectively .1.2.4.5 This incontinence is often explained by the large faecal mass causing reflex relaxation and/or stretching of the anal sphincters thus allowing liquid stool to pass around the impaction 4.5 or a reflex reduction in internal sphincter tone bvrectal distension. 5 Impaired anorectal sensation is an additional cause. prevents conscious contraction of the external sphincter when internal the sphincter is relaxed. 5

The more common causes impaction include lack mobility, as in the elderly and bedridden, depression psychosis, neglecting the urge to defecate as in the demented elderly, habitual postponement of defecation in children, painful anorectal lesions such as anal fissures. haemorrhoids fistulae and in patients with spinal cord injuries. 2 Idiopathic megacolon (lazy colon), which may be found in children and young adults 4,6, could be caused by postponement of defecation for any reason. Although symptoms of impaction such as anorexia, nausea, vomiting and abdominal pain may be present, other symptoms in a number of organ systems have been reported including massive rectal bleeding ulceration, from stercoral hydronephrosis 8 and mimicking colonic tumours as faecaloma.9

Thus, awareness of this condition is important in order to initiate prompt treatment and prevent the complications, which may follow prolonged unrelieved impaction.

Α digital rectal examination is critical and a plain abdominal x-ray will confirm the diagnosis by revealing the masses of faeces with their typical bubbly or speckled appearance. 4 Faccal impaction may mimic appendicitis as in the present report. Indeed, one of the earliest papers written on fecal impaction had alluded to the presentation of abdominal pain, constinution. vomiting without with OΓ diarrhoea as being similar to appendicitis or acute urinary obstruction prompt in that treatment should be arranged to 10 Both prevent complications. patients in the present report had colicky abdominal pain, which was worse in the right lower quadrant of the abdomen. This is explained by the fact that wherever the site of an obstruction is in the large intestine, the caecum bears the brunt by virtue of its distensibility. Also the presence of inspissated faeces within the appendiceal lumen could be responsible for the pain and tenderness. 12 Indeed fecal impaction to may lead the development of acute appendicitis. 14 - 17 The first patent represented with a recurrent fecal impaction 5 months later with acute appendicitis. Increased intracolonic pressures, obstruction of the appendiceal lumen and increased bacterial count on the appendix may lead to injury and consequent inflammation of the appendix if sustained for 6 – 18 hours. 14-17

Investigative modalities for with disordered patients defecation should include anorectal manometry, evacuation proctography (cinedefaecography), electromyography and colonic transit studies using radioopaque markers. 5,18,19 These are usually performed after the conventional evaluation with barium enema or colonoscopy. Barium enema was available in our centre but could not be done in the patients.

The best treatment of fecal impaction is prevention; adequate dietary fibre, increased exercise, increased fluid intake, changes in environment or medication and making bathroom facilities conducive to defaecation. Established impaction is treated with enemas, laxatives, and stool softeners. If these do not move the mass, manual fragmentation and evacuation of the faecal mass is done, usually under liberal lubrication with xylocaine gel. 12,18 When an impaction is beyond the reach of the finger, a lavage directed sigmoidoscopic bv visualisation can be effective. 2 Whole-gut irrigation with 2 litres per day of an isoosmotic solution of nonabsorbable polyethylene glycol (Golytely) has been successful in non-emergency cases. 2,18 Surgery is indicated in selected cases, in refractory cases

or when there is a life-threatening complication such as bleeding. 2.18.19

The first patient in this report was a university student, without accommodation and had to postpone defaecation due to unattractive toilet facilities. A study in a western Nigerian university showed that 17% of students occasionally postpone defaecation due to dirtiness of toilets, absent toilet facilities or being too busy at the time. ^{20 = the} second patient was an apprentice printer and may have had the same set of factors playing a role.

Faecal impaction may give rise to symptoms similar to acute appendicitis. Awareness and a high index of suspicion are necessary to make a diagnosis. While managing faecal impaction nonoperatively, arrangements for emergency appendicectomy must be in place as acute appendicitis may be a complication.

Acknowledgement

I am grateful to Professor O.G. Ajao for reading through the manuscript and offering constructive criticisms.

References

 Storer EH, Goldberg SM, Nivatvongs S. Colon, rectum and anus. In: Schwartz SL (ed). Principles of surgery. Mcgraw-Hill, 1984: 1167-1244

- Warren K. Faecal impaction.
 N Engl J Med 1989; 321: 658

 662.
- 3. Condon RE. Appendicitis. In: Sabiston DC (ed). Textbook of surgery. Saunders, Philadelphia, 1986: 967 982.
- 4. Thomson JPS. Colon and rectum. In: Sabiston DC (ed). Textbook of surgery. Saunders, Philadelphia, 1986: 1035-1052.
- 5. Read NW, Abouzekry L. Why do patients with faecal impaction have faecal incontinence? Gut 1986; 27: 283 287.
- 6 Sudhakar KMM. Faecaloma of the rectum: a case report. Med J Malaysia 1984; 39: 320-322.
- 7. Sutton R, Blake JRS. Massive rectal bleeding following faecal impaction. Br J Surg 1984; 71:631.
- Nelson RP, Brugh R. Bilateral ureteral obstruction secondary to massive faecal impaction. Urology 1980; 16: 403-406.
- Chester JF. Sigmoid faecalomas. Br J Clin Pract 1985;
 39: 365 366.
- Dresen KA, Kratzer GL. Faecal impaction in modern practice. JAMA 1959; 170: 664 – 667.
- 11. Intestinal obstruction. In: Mann CV, Russell RCG, Williams NS (eds). Bailey and Loves' textbook of surgery. Chapman and Hall, London, 1995: 810 – 827.
- 12. Schisgall RM. Appendiceal colic in childhood. The role of

- inspissated casts of stool within the appendix. Ann Surg 1980; 192: 687 693.
- 13. Okoro IO. The bacteriology of appendicitis and its septic complications in Zaria. Trop Geo Med 1990: 42: 13 16.
- 14. Ambjornsson E. Acute appendicitis related to faecal stasis. Ann Chirurg Gynaecol 1985: 74: 90-93.
- 15. Brumer M. Appendicitis. Seasonal incidence and postoperative wound infection. Br J Surg 1970, 57: 93 99.
- 16. Burkitt DP. The aetiology of appendicitis. Br J Surg 1971; 58: 695 699.
- 17. Jones BA, Demetrios D, Segal I, Burkitt DP. The prevalence

- of appendiceal faecoliths in patients with and without appendicitis. Ann Surg 1985; 202: 80 82.
- Berman IR, Manning DH, Harris MS. Streamlining the management of defaecation disorders. Dis Colon Rectum 1990; 33: 778 – 785.
- 19. Piccirillo MF, Reissman P, Wexner SD. Colectomy as treatment for constipation in selected patients. Br J Surg 1995; 82: 898 901.
- 20. Olubuyide IO, Olawuyi F, Fasanmade AA. Frequency of defaecation and stool consistency in Nigerian students. J Trop Med Hyg 1995: 98: 228-232.