APPLICATION OF 2-DIMENSIONAL TOMOGRAPHY TO INVESTIGATE SUBSURFACE LITHOLOGY IN AUCHI POLYTECHNIC, AUCHI, EDO STATE, NIGERIA

C. O. Aigbogun1, D. A. Babaiwa2,* and B. J. O. Mujakperuo3

1, 3 DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF BENIN, BENIN CITY, EDO STATE, NIGERIA
2 DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE LABORATORY TECHNOLOGY, AUCHI POLYTECHNIC, AUCHI, EDO STATE, NIGERIA

Email addresses: 1 caigbogun@uniben.edu, 2 akinbabaiwa@gmail.com, 3 bennykool12@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The Wenner – Schlumberger array was used for Constant Separation Traversing (CST) to investigate subsurface lithology in Auchi Polytechnic, Auchi. All the traverses were carried out with electrode spacing of 5 m with a spread of 200 m. The data was obtained using Pasi terrameter (16GL) and processed with the Dipro software. The subsurface resistivity values ranged from 207 Ωm – 8357 Ωm. The results obtained from this survey classified the subsurface lithology into topsoil, clayey sand, sandy clay and sand. The maximum depth penetrated was 50 m.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Water they say, is life. If water is life, all attempts to develop, manage and sustain it should be worth the while. It is worrisome that existing boreholes are not functioning at their maximum yield in the study area. It is for this reason, that this study was carried out with the aim of using the information obtained from the subsurface lithology for aquifer characterization and to act as a guide in the event of drilling boreholes. A more accurate model of the subsurface is a two-dimensional (2-D) model where the resistivity changes in the vertical direction, as well as in the horizontal direction along the survey line. In this case, it is assumed that resistivity does not change in the direction that is perpendicular to the survey line. In many situations, particularly for surveys over elongated geological bodies, this is a reasonable assumption [1]. However, at the present time, 2-D surveys are the most practical economic compromise between obtaining very accurate results and keeping the survey costs down [2].

The resistivity of the 2D model is assumed to vary both vertically and laterally along the survey line but constant in the direction perpendicular to the survey line. The observed apparent resistivity values are commonly presented in pictorial form using pseudosection contouring (Figure 3 - 6) which gives an approximate picture of the subsurface resistivity distribution. The shape of the contours depends on the type of array used in the investigation as well as the distribution of the true subsurface resistivity. The pseudosection plot serves as a useful guide for a detailed quantitative interpretation. Poor apparent resistivity measurements can easily be identified from the pseudosection plot. The pseudo-depth values are based on the sensitivity values or the Frechet derivatives for a homogenous half-space [3]. Two-dimensional (2D) geoelectrical resistivity imaging has been extensively employed to map areas with moderately complex geology [4-9].

Loke [1] stated that the major limitation of the 2D geoelectrical resistivity imaging is that measurements made with large electrode spacing are often affected by the deeper sections of the subsurface as well as structures at a larger horizontal distance from the survey line. It is most distinct when the survey line is placed near a steep contact with the line parallel to the contact.

The aim of this study therefore, is to determine the resistivity distribution and delineate the subsurface lithology using 2-D imaging.
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2. MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

2.1 Location of the study area.
The geophysical survey was carried out in Auchi Polytechnic, Auchi. The first location being Auchi Poly staff primary school, and has the following coordinates: Latitude 07° 03’ 42.2” and Longitude 006° 16’ 14.7”. These coordinates were obtained using Garmin 12 Global positioning system (GPS). Actual site observation and information from existing geological maps classify surface sand of the study area and its environs as members of the Ajali formation [10].

2.2 Theory of experiment

This is an integration of the Wenner and Schlumberger arrays employed in electrical imaging surveys /2D. Two-dimensional (2D) geoelectrical resistivity imaging can be achieved by integrating the techniques of vertical electrical sounding with that of electrical profiling. It involves apparent resistivity measurements from electrodes placed along a line using a range of different electrode separations and midpoints. The procedure is repeated for as many combinations of current and potential electrode positions as defined by the survey configuration. 2D resistivity imaging can be seen as continuous vertical electrical sounding (CVES) in which a number of VES conducted in a grid are merged together or as a combination of successive profiles with increasing electrode spacing.

Two-dimensional (2D) resistivity surveys are usually carried out using large numbers of electrodes connected to multi-core cables. For a system with limited number of electrodes, the area covered by the survey can be extended along the survey line using the roll-along technique [12].

![Geophysical map of Auchi](image1)

**Figure 1:** Geophysical map of Auchi [1]

![Wenner-Schlumberger configuration](image2)

**Figure 2:** Wenner-Schlumberger configuration

\[ K = \pi n(n+1)a \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where \( k = \) geometric factor

\( \Pi = \) constant

\( a = \) dipole length

\( C_1 \) and \( C_2 = \) current electrodes

\( P_1 \) and \( P_2 = \) potential electrodes

\( n = \) dipole separation factor
This can be achieved by moving the cables past one end of the line by several units of electrode spacing, after completing a sequence of measurements.

Four (4) different locations were surveyed in the study area using the 2D electrical resistivity tomography. The Wenner–Schlumberger configuration was adopted in preference to the other configurations because of its good vertical resolution and wider horizontal data coverage with the use of Pasi terrameter (16-GL). The electrodes were arranged with a constant spacing of 5 m. The “n” factor is the ratio of the distance between the C1 – P1 (or P2 – C2) electrodes to the spacing between the P1 – P2 potential pair [1].

The data obtained were transferred to the computer for processing and inversion using DIPROfWin Software 4.01. The software inverts the data using the smoothness-constrained least-squares inversion algorithm to achieve stable results. The program uses the active constraint balancing (ACB) method which accounts for the use of variable Lagrangian multiplier at each of the parameterized blocks of the model during the inversion process to enhance both resolution and stability [13].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results are presented in a colour coded format consisting of the inverted 2D resistivity tomography. The horizontal scale on the image is the lateral distance while the vertical scale is the depth and both are in metres. A maximum spread of 190 m with the corresponding depth of 50 m was investigated and modeled on the profiles as shown in Figure 3.

3.1 Location one

The 2D resistivity image in location one is presented in Figure 3. Three (3) distinct resistivity images indicating sandy clay topsoil, clayey sand and sand are revealed. The sandy clay topsoil is fairly continuous with the thickness varying from 0 to 5 m and resistivity values ranging from $207 \text{–} 4814 \Omega \text{m}$. The clayey sand thickness varies from 5 to 15 m and resistivity values which vary from $999 \text{–} 2183 \Omega \text{m}$. The basal sand thickness varies from 30 to 35 m and the resistivity values vary from $3394 \text{–} 4817 \Omega \text{m}$. From the lithologs and geoelectric sections generated from the vertical electrical sounding (VES) done on the same site by [14], there appears to be some correlation. The VES resistivity distribution varies from $147.3 \text{–} 1756 \Omega \text{m}$ with a thickness of $3.5 \text{–} 7.0 \text{m}$. It reveals topsoil that is clayey sand, underlain by sandy clay and sand. This correlation provides a better subsurface understanding of the lithological sequence of the study area. Generally, across the study area, the high resistivity values across each resistivity image are due to the geologic age of the formations underlying the study area. These formations belong to the Maastrichtian age with likely high indurations [15].

Figure 3: Resistivity image in location one.
3.2 Location two
A total spread of 200 m was surveyed and a depth of 50 m was investigated with resistivity values ranging from 409 to 8357 Ωm as shown in Figure 4. Three resistivity images which signified sandy clay topsoil, clay and sand are revealed on the 2D image. The resistivity values of the topsoil (sandy clay) vary from 889 to 1849 Ωm and the thickness varies from 0 to 5 m. The topsoil is fairly continuous with sandy resistivity image being visible between 125 and 170 m horizontal distance along the traverse. The clayey structure exists in three isolated pockets across the traverse at a depth range of 3 to 10 m and at horizontal distances of 55 to 65 m, 80 to 85 m and 150 to 175 m. The resistivity and thickness values of the clay vary from 409 to 572 Ωm and 3 to 35 m in the subsurface. The sand varies in resistivity and thickness values from 3931 to 8357 Ωm and 5 to 40 m respectively. The resistivity distribution from the VES results according to [14] varies from 1891 – 9404.0 Ωm and the thickness from 21.1 - 107.2 m; showing topsoil that is clayey sand, followed by sandy clay, sand and dry sandstone. The high range of resistivity values is due to reasons given in location one.

3.3 Location three
A total spread of 200 m was surveyed and a depth of 50 m was investigated with resistivity values ranging from 634 to 4750 Ωm as shown in Figure 5. Four distinct resistivity images which denote sandy clay/sandy topsoil, clay, clayey sand, and sand are shown on the 2D image. The sandy clay/sandy topsoil is fairly continuous with the thickness varying from 0 to 5 m and resistivity values which vary from 1049 to 3798 Ωm. The thickness of the clay varies from 15 to 40 m and resistivity values which vary from 634 to 793 Ωm. The clay structure exists in three isolated zones in the subsurface along 30 to 60 m; 105 to 125 m and 170 to 200 m lateral distances and at a depth range of 7 to 10 m in the subsurface. The clayey sand with resistivity values and thickness ranging from 1049 to 2871 Ωm and 3 to 40 m respectively, are well distributed across this traverse, thus indicating a high degree of heterogeneity of the subsurface configuration. The resistivity of the sand varies from 3037 to 4780 Ωm and the thickness varies from 10 to 40 m in different isolated zones in the subsurface. The resistivity distribution from the VES results ranges from 198.3 – 451.9 Ωm with thickness varying from 0.5 -0.7 m; subsurface lithology corresponds to clay/clayey sand, sandy clay and sand.

Figure 4: Resistivity image in location two
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3.4 Location four

A total spread of 200 m was surveyed and a depth of 50 m was probed with resistivity values ranging from 232 to 5910 Ωm as shown in Figure 6. Four (4) resistivity images which are representative of topsoil (clayey/sandy clay), clay, clayey sand, and sand are shown on the 2D image. The clay/sandy clay topsoil is fairly continuous with resistivity and the thickness ranging from 232 to 522 Ωm and 3 to 10 m respectively. The clay varies in resistivity from 233 to 333 Ωm and in thickness from 3 to 40 m in the two localized zones along the traverse. The clayey sand resistivity image varies in resistivity and thickness values from 684 to 1403 Ωm and 5 to 20 m respectively. The resistivity values of the sand vary from 2632 to 5910 Ωm and the thickness varies from 20 to 45 m. The resistivity distribution from the VES results according to [14] varies from 823.0 – 4412.5 Ωm and the thickness from 6.9 – 41.8 m; showing topsoil that is clayey sand, followed by sandy clay and sand.
4. CONCLUSION
In this study, the 2D resistivity tomography has succeeded in revealing the lateral and vertical subsurface information with resistivity values for the topsoil ranging from 233 to 3798 Ωm with a thickness of 0 - 1 m. The second layer signifying clayey sand has resistivity values ranging from 207 to 8357 Ωm with a thickness of 10 m. The third layer with resistivity values ranging from 233 to 5910 Ωm represents sandy clay with a thickness of 40 m. The fourth horizon represents sand with resistivity values ranging from 1891 to 9404 Ωm with a thickness of 50 m.
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