



## Serological Survey of Porcine *Brucella* Infection in SouthEast, Nigeria.

<sup>1</sup>ONUNKWO J.I., <sup>\*1</sup>NJOGA E.O., <sup>1</sup>NWANTA J.A., <sup>2</sup>SHOYINKA S.V.O., <sup>3</sup>ONYENWE I.W., <sup>4</sup>EZE J.I.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Veterinary Public Health and Preventive Medicine, <sup>2</sup>Department of Veterinary Pathology and Microbiology, <sup>3</sup>Department of Veterinary Parasitology and Entomology, <sup>4</sup>Department of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Nigeria, Nsukka.  
\*Correspondent e-mail: [njogaemmanuel@yahoo.com](mailto:njogaemmanuel@yahoo.com), +2348039683325, +2348055690275.

### INTRODUCTION

Porcine brucellosis, also called contagious abortion of swine is an infectious and zoonotic disease of swine caused by bacteria of the genus *Brucella* (Young, 1995). *Brucella suis* is the species found primarily in pigs. It is a zoonotic infection of domesticated and wild animals which humans (especially occupationally exposed workers), acquire by ingestion of improperly cooked contaminated pork, direct contact with infected animals or inhalation of infected aerosols (Radostiis, 1995).

*Brucella* infection in pigs is of wide spread occurrence, but the prevalence is low in most countries with the exception of South America and Southeast-Asia where the prevalence is high (Starnes *et al.*, 1999). Pig production is drastically affected by *Brucella* infection through abortion, birth of weak/unthrifty piglets, infertility and orchitis in the boar and these constitute serious economic losses (Ogundipe *et al.*, 2001). Productivity of pigs in most countries is generally low due to high piglet mortality, slow growth rate, lack of adequate feed stuffs, ectoparasitism, trypanosomosis, helminthosis, lack of government' incentives, poor housing, lack of veterinary services and poor feed conversion ratio (Rekwot, 2003).

This study was undertaken to determine the prevalence of *Brucella* infection in swine in southeast Nigeria.

**KEY WORDS:** Serology, *Brucella*, Pig, Rose-Bengal Plate Test Southeast, Nigeria.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study area

This survey was carried out in Anambra, Enugu and Ebonyi states, southeast, Nigeria. These states lie between latitudes 6.8° and 8.5° and longitudes 6.8° and 7.5° in Nigeria (Paul, 1988). The southeast is characterized by warm climatic conditions for most part of the year. The wet season extends from April to October with annual rainfall ranging from 168mm to 1700mm

while dry season spans from November to March (Oformata, 1978).

#### Study design and sampling procedure

The survey covered two out of the three senatorial zones that constitute each of the states. In each senatorial zone, two local government areas were covered and two farms from each local government area were selected and sampled. Ten per cent (10%) of the flock were sampled in each farm visited. The farms were visited on weekly basis between March and August, 2008. A total of twelve local government areas and twenty-four (24) farms were covered.

#### Blood sample collection

After pig restraint, the two ears were scrubbed clean and disinfected with methylated spirit. Sterile hypodermic needle was used for blood collection from the ear vein. Two to three milliliters of blood were collected into a sterilized glass container without anti-coagulant. The blood in the containers was allowed to clot and sera formed were harvested and stored until tested for *Brucella* antibodies.

#### Sample Analysis

A total of 351 blood samples from adult pigs above 1 year of age were collected and screened for *Brucella* antibodies. Two different antigens (*Brucella abortus* and *Brucella melitensis*) procured from New Haw, Addle Stone, Surrey KT 15-3NB, UK, were used. Rose Bengal Plate Test (RBPT) was carried out on each of the sera using both antigens but separately according to the standard procedures of Morgan *et al.* (1978).

#### Data analysis

The significance of the association between prevalence of *Brucella* infection among sexes and ages of pigs surveyed were determined using Chi-square statistics. The analysis was done using SPSS package version 16.0 for windows at 5% probability level.

## RESULTS

The result showed that of 351 sera samples; 139(39.6%) from Anambra, 183 (52%) from Enugu and 29 (8.3%) from Ebonyi states were screened for *Brucella* antibodies. Seventy six (21.7%) and 275 (78.3%) of the pigs were males and females respectively. Out of 351 sera examined for *Brucella* infection, two (0.6 %) sera from sows of about 2 years old in Emene (Enugu east LGA) were positive for *Brucella* antibodies. The positive sera showed antibodies to both *Brucella abortus* and *Brucella melitensis* organisms signifying mixed infections.

**Table I: Result of Serological testing for Porcine *Brucella* Infections in Southeast, Nigeria.**

Location	No of pigs screened	Males	Females	RBPT	Prevalence (%)
Anambra State	139	27	112	-	-
Enugu State	183	44	139	++	0.6 %*
Ebonyi State	29	6	23	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>351</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>2+ve</b>	<b>0.6%</b>

## DISCUSSION

The need to serologically survey porcine *Brucella* infection was based on reports of abortion and infertility problems by the farmers in the study area as well as its public health and economic implications. The seriousness attached to cases of brucellosis by the farms visited was informed by the zoonotic nature of the disease. Secondly, the disease causes abortion and still birth in sows, orchitis and hygroma in boars and these constitute huge economic losses. The prevalence of 0.6% of swine *Brucella* infection in southeast is rather low, when compared with the prevalence in other animal species like cattle and goats. Olayinka and Ogunidipe (2001), reported a prevalence of 6.28% in trade cattle at Ibadan. Onunkwo et al. (2007), reported a prevalence of 1.6% in trade goats in Nsukka, Enugu state while Junaidu et al. (2010), recorded a seroprevalence of 22.93% in goats in Sokoto, North west Nigeria. However, the 0.6% seroprevalence recorded in this study is high when compared with 0% prevalence in pigs as reported by Cadmus et al. (2006), in Ibadan South west Nigeria. The 0.6% prevalence is not unexpected because most of the farmers in the study area usually select replacement stock from their own farms or reputable farms that have no history of abortion and infertility problems and usually adopt good control measures against the

infection. All the pig farmers visited practiced intensive system of husbandry. This shows that there is little or no contact between the pigs in the pens and other animals in the same farm. However, in Enugu east local government area (Emene), where the two positive sera were obtained, pigs were intensively managed, but were provided with drinking water from a nearby stagnant lake which also serve as source of drinking water for trade cattle and goats grazing in the area. The water and pasture may have been contaminated by infected cattle and goats drinking and grazing in the same area. This may have resulted in the mixed infections of *Brucella abortus* (cattle) and *Brucella melitensis* (goats) revealed in this study. Ocholi et al. (2004), suggested that herding together various animal species like cattle, goats and sheep for grazing and watering in the same field helps to spread diseases within the population.

The low prevalence rate recorded in this survey suggests that there is increasing awareness among the farmers in the study area on the importance of good farm management in form of improved sanitation, good feeding and biosecurity measures as control strategies against diseases. The epidemiological importance of this survey is that, the risk of acquiring human *Brucella* infection through exposure to pigs is less when compared to that of other animals as reported by Onunkwo et al. (2007) in the same study area. *Brucella* infection may be a minor problem in pig production in southeast, Nigeria but should not be ignored in view of the public health importance of the disease. Therefore the affected farm should be depopulated, disinfected and re-stocked with pigs free from the disease. Water sources to the farm must be treated to reduce the chances of *Brucella* infection and other infections inimical to pig production.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful to the Senate research grant of the University of Nigeria, Nsukka for financing this work (Grant no. 07/21). We also acknowledge the contributions of the following colleagues: Dr. Webster Okonkwo, Dr E.C Akpa and MR I.I. Akubue for their assistance during farm visits and sample collections.

REFERENCES

- CADMUS S.I.B., IJAGBONE I.F., OPUTA H.E., ADESOKAN H.K. and STACK J.A. (2006): Serological Survey of Brucellosis in Livestocks and Workers in Ibadan, Nigeria. *African Journal of Biomedical Research*. **9**: 163-168.
- JUNAIDU, A.U., DENEJI, A.I., SALIHU, M.D., MAGAJI, A.A., TUMBUWAL, F.M. ABUBAKAR M.B. and NAWAWI H. (2010): Sero Prevalence of Brucellosis in Goat in Sokoto, Nigeria. *Current Research Journal of Biological Sciences* **2**(4):275-277.
- MORGAN, W.J.B., MACKINNON, D.J., GILL, K.P.W., GOVER, S.G.M. and NORRIS, P.W. (1978): Standard Laboratory Techniques for the Diagnosis of Brucellosis, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, MAFF, Central Veterinary Laboratory way bridge, England.
- OCHOLI, R.A., KWAGA, J.K., AJOGI, I., BALE, J. and BERTU, W.J. (2004): Epidemiology, Problems and Prospects for Control of Brucellosis in Nigeria. *Vom Journal of Veterinary Science, No 1*: 78-86.
- OFORMATA, G.E.K. (1978 ed): The Nsukka Environment, Enugu; Forth Dimension 9-12.
- OGUNDIPE, G.A.T., HASSAN, J.O. and OLAYINKA, O.I. (2001): A Comparative study of sero-prevalence of *Brucella* antibodies in settled herds and trade cattle slaughtered in South Western Nigeria. *Tropical Veterinarian* **19**:52-58.
- OLAYINKA, O.I. and OGUNDIPE, G.A.T. (2001): Sero-prevalence of brucellosis in trade cattle slaughtered in Ibadan. *Nig. Trop. Vet.* **19**(2): 17-20.
- ONUNKWO, J.I., ABIADE, C.U., OBOEGBULEM, S.I., NWANTA, J.A. and ONYENWE, I.W. (2004): Serological Survey of Caprine *Brucella* infections in slaughtered Goats at Nsukka Municipal slaughter House, Enugu State. *The Proceedings of the 44<sup>th</sup> Annual congress of the Nigerian Veterinary Medical Association, Delta. State* 225-228.
- PAUL, A.F. (1988): Some Aspects of Sheep and Goat Management in Southeast Nigeria. U.C.A Bulletin. 20-25.
- RADOSTITS, O.M., BLOOD, D.C. and GRAY C.C. (1995): Veterinary Medicine: A Text book of the disease of cattle, pigs, sheep, goats and horses. Bailliere Tindall, London.
- REKWOT, P.I., ABUBAKAR, Y.U. and JEGEDE, J.O. (2003): Swine Production characteristics and management systems of small holder piggeries in Kaduna and Benue State, North Central Nigeria. *Nig. Vet Jou.* **24** (2)34-40.
- STANES, C.T., TALWANI, R., HORVATH, J.A., DUFFAS, W.A. and BRYAN, C.S. (2004): Brucellosis in two hunt club members in South Carolina. *JSC Med Ass.* **100**, 113-115.
- YOUNG, E.J. (1995): An overview of Human Brucellosis: *Clinical infectious Disease.* **21**:283-290.