Capripoxvirus antibodies detection: Relationship between the two methods alpha and beta of virus neutralisation test
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Abstract

Virus neutralisation test (VNT) of capripoxvirus (CaPVs) was studied to assess the post-vaccination (vaccine effectiveness) or post-infection antibodies level using two methods: alpha-VNT and beta-VNT which are generally carried out to measure the Neutralising Index (N.I.) and the serum Antibody titre (T_{\text{Ab}}) respectively. The authors have demonstrated that a positive correlation exists between N.I. and T_{\text{Ab}} values, this study aimed to add more evidence to this correlation by establishing a graph and its mathematical equations. We found that: N.I. = (1.489 \log T_{\text{Ab}}) + 1.331; this serves as a base to calculate N.I. using T_{\text{Ab}} values measured by beta-VNT without going through alpha-VNT and vice versa. At the end of this study, we evaluated the equation accuracy by two parameters; the deviation (d) and the error percentage, which were d = 0.2 and error (%) = 8%, respectively.
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Introduction

Sheep pox (SP), goat pox (GP), together with lumpy skin disease (LSD) of cattle are notifiable highly contagious viral diseases caused by viruses that are belonging to Poxviridae family, Chordopoxvirinae subfamily, and Capripoxvirus (CaPVs) genus (Buller et al., 2005). These are large (170-260 nm by 300-450 nm), double stranded DNA and enveloped viruses (Tulman, et al., 2002), they affect sheep and goat, LSD virus also affects cattle. These diseases are responsible for a significant economic loss in the endemic regions (Bowen et al., 2008; OIE, 2017a,b). Symptoms of CaPVs infections are clinically manifested by hyperthermia, papular eruptions or nodules that can become vesicles (rarely), and secondarily affect the mucous membranes causing internal lesions (Fassi-Fehri et al., 1983; Bhanuprakash et al., 2006). CaPVs infections morbidity and mortality rates may be as low as 1% and go up to 100% in some outbreaks (Batta et al., 1999; Woldemeskel and Ashenafi, 2003).

Sheep pox virus (SPV) and goat pox virus (GPV) transmission is considered to occur primarily via respiratory aerosols (Kitching and Taylor, 1985) and by direct contact with infected animals (OIE, 2017a,b) or contaminated objects, feed and wool (Bhanuprakash, et al., 2006). Human infection with CaPVs has never been reported (Bhanuprakash et al., 2006; Haller et al., 2013; OIE, 2017a). The diagnosis of CaPVs diseases can be achieved by identifying the specific clinical signs/symptoms and then confirmed in the laboratory by standard virological and/or serological methods. One of the major problems encountered in the CaPVs diagnosis is poor seroconversion, for this raison, the confirmation of the disease is generally based on the detection of capripox virions or antigens through electron microscopy, virus isolation and/or Real-time PCR (OIE, 2017a,b).

Despite the fact that immune response against CaPVs is predominantly cell-mediated, the humoral immunity also plays a role (Kitching and Mellor, 1986), so that, the serum antibodies titration can reflect the protection level of the individual animal, based on that, virus neutralisation test (VNT) is considered as reference and the unique validated serological test available that has been used to evaluate immune status in individual animals or in post-vaccinated populations, it has a strong specificity that can reach 100 % but less sensitivity between 70 % and 96 % for CaPVs, using a standard viral strain and pre-vaccination antibodies control promote the test sensitivity (Bhanuprakash et al., 2006).

On the other hand VNT has been assessed as suitable method to farther uses like; prevalence of infection and surveillance, detection of population and individual freedom from infection prior to movement, confirmation of clinical cases, contribute to eradication policies (OIE, 2017a). All strains of CaPVs so far examined whether derived from cattle, sheep or goats, are antigenically similar (Tulman et al., 2001, 2002) and share a major antigen P32 for neutralising antibodies (Chand et al., 1994) so
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they are indistinguishable from each other using serology and will cross protect regardless their origin (Kitching and Taylor, 1985; Kitching et al., 1987; OIE, 2017a,b). Based on this similarity, several strains derived from sheep and goats were used to produce effective vaccines against LSD (Capstick, 1961; Davies, 1991).

The previous serological evidences indicate that CaPVs strains cross-react immunologically (Davies and Otema, 1981; Kitching and Taylor, 1985; Kitching and Mellor, 1986); hence, the ex-vivo serological tests can reveals the level of animal protection regardless the CaPVs strain. A Neutralising Index of ≥1.5 means the animal is protected but under that doesn’t mean the animal is not (Kitching et al., 1986; OIE, 2017a,b).

The principle of this study is to prepare nine references sera (SR1 to SR9) by double fold dilutions from one reference serum (antiseraum-LSDV) then determine their antibody titres ($T_{Ab}$) by beta-VNT and measure their Neutralisation Index (N.I.) by alpha-VNT. Among these two methods, beta method is commonly used and is more accurate whereas alpha method requires a large amount of serum sample.

The main objective of this study was to establish a linear trendline graph by Excel 2007; using the found values of $T_{Ab}$ and their corresponding N.I. of the nine sera, therefore, determine their mathematical equations, based on these equations we can deduce the values of N.I. by $T_{Ab}$ values previously determined without performing the alpha-VNT, by the same we can conclude the values of $T_{Ab}$ via N.I values previously determined without performing the beta-VNT. Furthermore, we aimed to estimate the minimum threshold of serum $T_{Ab}$ that theoretically protects the immunized individual animal.

To assess the accuracy of the found graph and the mathematical equations on real conditions, ten sera samples from immunized sheep were used in order to find the deviation values and the error percentage between the theoretical (by using the found equations) and the experimental results.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell cultures**

The Algerian law prohibits the slaughter of pregnant females including species of sheep, cattle, goat, horse and camel, except in some strict conditions under the decision of the veterinarian duly authorized by the concerned authority, more details are in the Executive Decree N°. 91-514 of 22 December 1991 on animals prohibited for slaughter (Décret exécutif n°91-514 relatif aux animaux interdits à l’abattage, 1991).

In this case, slaughtered ewes matrises were carried out randomly from the slaughterhouse (in Algiers) to laboratory to check out the presence of embryonic lambs which serve for the isolation and expansion of primary embryonic lamb kidney cells (ELK cells).

The Primary ELK cells were grown from trypsinized fetal lamb kidney cortical tissue according to the method described in FAO, Animal Production and Health paper 118 (1994) with slight modifications (Fassi-Fehri et al., 1983; Rweyemamu et al., 1994; Mirakabadi and Moradhasi, 2013).

The ELK cells were cryopreserved for further uses following the method described in ATCC (ANIMAL CELL CULTURE GUIDE) (Ian Freshney, 2016; ATCC®, 2017). All the experiments of this study were carried out by ELK cells between the 1st and the 3rd subcultures (Fig. 1.a).

**Virus strain and Antiserum**

During this study, we have used the attenuated vaccine strain of sheepoxvirus RM65 (Ramyar and Hessami, 1968), previously supplied by the reference laboratory FAO for Africa of Senegalese Institute for Agricultural Research (I.S.R.A.) to Pasteur Institute of Algeria in 1995.

The Master Seed Lots inoculums (MSL) were prepared on ELK cells subcultures and stored at -70°C for further uses (Fig. 1.b, c, d, e and f). The inoculums were titrated using Spearman-Karber’s method (Karber, 1931) as described by Villegas and Purchase (1989).

We used the reference positive antiserum-LSDV raised experimentally on infected cattle at the Institute for Animal Health (IAH), Pirbright Laboratory, UK, in 2011, the CaPVs Reference Laboratory of IAH provided the laboratory of production and development of viral veterinary vaccines at the Pasteur Institute of Algeria by this antiserum named: LSD+ cattle serum 2006, VN84, 37 DPI.

In order to compare the performance of positive antiserum-LSDV, a beta-VNT was embarked on an inter-laboratory exercise, it was performed at the IAH and compared with that of another reference laboratory ARC-OVI (Agricultural Research Council’s-Onderstepoort Veterinary Institute), South Africa, the comparison was performed by their producers for quality control purposes (OIE, 2011).

Our results are quoted below in the results section in order to compare them with the two reference laboratories results.

**Virus Neutralisation Tests (VNTs)**

**Beta-VNT**

The beta-VNT (varying-serum/constant-virus) was performed in order to determine the $T_{Ab}$ of the reference antiserum-LSDV, this $T_{Ab}$ value was compared to those found by ARC-OVI and IAH (OIE, 2011) and also allows us to prepare nine sera RS1 to RS9 by two-fold dilutions of the reference antiserum-LSDV, ranging from 1/2 to 1/512 respectively, so we could calculate each serum $T_{Ab}$ of the nine prepared sera ($\log T_{Ab\ RS2} = \log T_{Ab\ RS1} - 0.3$).

To realize the beta-VNT, the reference serum (antiseraum-LSDV) was heat inactivated at 56°C for 30
min, then two-fold serial serum dilutions were prepared (1/2, 1/4, 1/8, 1/16, 1/32, 1/64, 1/128, 1/256 and 1/512), the antiserum-LSDV was tested in duplicate wells (50 μl/well).

A volume of 50μl/well of the standard virus strain RM65 at a titre of 100 TCID₅₀ was maintained similar in the well plate, then incubated at 37°C for 90 min to promote virus-antibody adsorption, after that 100μl of cell suspension (10⁴ cells/well) was added to all the 96-well plate (OIE, 2017a).

**Alpha-VNT**

We performed the alpha-VNT (constant-serum/varying-virus) in order to calculate the Neutralisation Index (N.I.) for the nine references sera RS1 to RS9 prepared previously (with known Tₐb values) according to the method described by the Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals (OIE, 2017a).

To realize the alpha-VNT, a constant dilution 1/5th (without FBS) was done for each serum RS1 to RS9, and also for a negative control serum that serves for comparison to calculate N.I., a 50 μl of each test serum was added to the wells of columns 1 to 9 covering the rows A to H in the 96-well plate, the inoculum of RM65 virus strain was used to prepare a serial ten-fold dilution from 10⁰ (the stock inoculum) to 10⁻⁴ TCID₅₀/ml, 50 μl of each dilution was added to columns 1 to 9 respectively, eight repetitions for each virus dilution (rows A to H), the wells of columns 10, 11 and 12 represented negative cell control, FSB toxicity control and test serum toxicity control respectively, the plate was incubated at 37°C for 90 min to enhance the virus-antibody adsorption, and then 100μl of cell suspension of 10⁴ cells/well was added to all the 96-well plate.

The final reading of both VNT methods was under microscopic examination for Cytopathic Effect (CPE) detection according to Spearman-Karber’s calculating method (Karber, 1931) after the 9th day of incubation at 37°C, 5% CO₂ and 90% of humidity.

For alpha-VNT, The logarithm antibody titre (Log Tₐb) represents the reciprocal value of logarithm serum dilution that protects 50% of the repetitions (N.D.,5₀%), (Log₁₀ Tₐb = - Log dilution).

For beta-VNT, the N.I. represents the Log₁₀ titre difference between the titre of the virus in the negative control serum and in the test serum [N.I. =Log₁₀ (negative control serum viral titre) -Log₁₀ (test serum viral titre)] (OIE, 2017a). The virus titre was determined by the endpoint dilution that presents CPE at 50% of repetitions according to Karber method (Karber, 1931).

The N.I. and Log Tₐb values obtained by alpha-VNT and beta-VNT of all references sera from RS1 to RS9 were used to establish the linear graphic and find the linear equation:

N.I. = (p Log Tₐb) + b (Where p is the slope and b is a constant).

To assess the equation accuracy, ten sera samples from immunized sheep were used in this study to determine the deviation and the error percentage of the resulting equation.

**Results**

The beta-VNT performed on the antiserum-LSDV on ELK cells gave an average Tₐb value of 2.1 N.D.,5₀% (equivalent of the endpoint dilution 1/128).

Consequently, the Tₐb values of the nine sera RS1 to RS9 prepared from the antiserum-LSDV by two-fold dilution and their corresponding N.I. are shown on Table 1.

The linear positive correlation between N.I. and Tₐb (Table 1) is displayed on the graph (Fig. 2.), this graph has a linear equation Y = a X + b hence IN = (p Log Tₐb) + b and Log Tₐb = (IN - b) / p (where p is the slope and b is a constant).

After drawing the graph by Excel 2007 the equation values were calculated automatically giving N.I. = (1.489 Log Tₐb) + 1.331 while the coefficient of determination R² = 0.987, thereby Log Tₐb = (N.I. – 1.331)/1.489, based on this equation we calculated the minimum threshold of serum Tₐb that theoretically protects the immunized individual animal against CaPVs diseases.

Furthermore, we can derive its value directly on the graph (Fig. 2) by projecting the point of N.I. taking into consideration that the animal is protected when the N.I. ≥1.5 (OIE, 2017a), so that, at N.I. = 1.5, Log Tₐb = 0.11 N.D.,5₀% which corresponds approximately to the endpoint dilution (1/1).

We assessed the equation accuracy by two parameters; the deviation (d) and the error percentage, through the Tₐb results using beta-VNT performed on ten immun sera samples from immunized sheep, the values obtained for antibody titres were used on the equation (N.I. = (1.489 Log Tₐb) + 1.331) as a tool to calculate the N.I. which represents the theoretical calculated N.I. (N.I.cal), these values were compared with the experimental N.I. values (N.I.exp) obtained with alpha-VNT.

The absolute deviation (d) was determined by d = [N.I.exp - N.I.cal] and the error percentages: error (%) = d / N.I.cal (Table 2).

By contemplating the N.I.exp and N.I.cal illustrated on Table 2, we can see that the maximum absolute deviation achieved was 0.37 with a maximum error percentage of 14.85%, the mathematical equation was estimated with an average absolute deviation d = 0.2, in addition, the average error percentage was equal to 8%.

So that, the new mathematical equation: N.I. = p Log Tₐb + b ± d (where p is the slope, b is a constant and d is the average deviation).
Fig. 1. Microscopic observation of uninfected and infected ELK Cells monolayer with the RM65 vaccine sheep pox virus strain. (a) Uninfected ELK Cells culture (100x). (b) Two to three days post-infected ELK Cells showing the start of cytopathic effect by cytoplasm granulation (100x). (c and d) Three to four days post-infected ELK Cells, the cytopathogenic effects (CPE) appeared as small islands of cell lysis (thin arrows) (100x). (e and f) Four to six days post-infected ELK Cells the CPE propagate gradually and cells lysis generalized on the entire cellular layer (50x).

Fig. 2. The linear trendline of the Neutralisation Index (N.I.) and Log Ab Titre ($T_{Ab}$). N.I. = (1.489 Log $T_{Ab}$) + 1.331: is the linear equation of the graph. $R^2 = 0.992$: is the determination coefficient of the equation. (•) Point of the reference sera used to draw up the linear trendline and to establish the equation. (X) Point of the immunsera used for the equation accuracy determination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference sera (RS)</th>
<th>Log $T_{Ab}$</th>
<th>Endpoint dilution</th>
<th>N.I.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antiserum-LSDV</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1/128</td>
<td>lack of RS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1/64</td>
<td>4.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1/32</td>
<td>3.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>3.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.4125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ab, as despite the fact that beta and the consequent difficulty of ensuring the use of 100 TCID<sub>50</sub> make the Neutralisation Index (alpha VNT) the preferred method, even though, it does require a larger volume of test sera. The variable sensitivity of tissue culture to CaPVs and the consequent difficulty of ensuring the use of 100 TCID<sub>50</sub> make the Neutralisation Index (alpha VNT) the preferred method, even though, it does require a larger volume of test sera (OIE, 2017a). We noticed in table 1 (SR 7, 8 and 9) that the dilution at IAH was 1/5, while that at OVI was 1/4 (OIE, 2011) but we started in this study at 1/2 dilution, we also noticed that the used cell type did not affect the results of T<sub>Ab</sub> (OIE, 2011).

We noticed in table 1 (SR 7, 8 and 9) that the dilution at IAH was 1/5, while that at OVI was 1/4 (OIE, 2011) but we started in this study at 1/2 dilution, we also noticed that the used cell type did not affect the results of T<sub>Ab</sub> (OIE, 2011).

We noticed in table 1 (SR 7, 8 and 9) that the dilution at IAH was 1/5, while that at OVI was 1/4 (OIE, 2011) but we started in this study at 1/2 dilution, we also noticed that the used cell type did not affect the results of T<sub>Ab</sub> (OIE, 2011).

We noticed in table 1 (SR 7, 8 and 9) that the dilution at IAH was 1/5, while that at OVI was 1/4 (OIE, 2011) but we started in this study at 1/2 dilution, we also noticed that the used cell type did not affect the results of T<sub>Ab</sub> (OIE, 2011).

We noticed in table 1 (SR 7, 8 and 9) that the dilution at IAH was 1/5, while that at OVI was 1/4 (OIE, 2011) but we started in this study at 1/2 dilution, we also noticed that the used cell type did not affect the results of T<sub>Ab</sub> (OIE, 2011).

We noticed in table 1 (SR 7, 8 and 9) that the dilution at IAH was 1/5, while that at OVI was 1/4 (OIE, 2011) but we started in this study at 1/2 dilution, we also noticed that the used cell type did not affect the results of T<sub>Ab</sub> (OIE, 2011).

The coefficient of determination R<sup>2</sup> = 0.987 of the found equation N.I. = (1.489 Log T<sub>Ab</sub>) + 1.331 show that N.I. and T<sub>Ab</sub> results demonstrated on Table 1 have a strong positive correlation. N.I. ≥1.5 are considered positive which means the animal is protected (OIE, 2017a,b). Based on the resulting minimum threshold (T<sub>Ab</sub> = 0.11 N.D.50%, equivalent of endpoint dilution 1/1), we can consider any raw serum sample that protects half of repetitions using the previously described VNT methods as serum sample of a protected animal, but under these values particularly following vaccination in which the response is necessarily mild, does not imply that the animal is not protected (Kitching et al., 1987; OIE, 2017a). On the other hand, no data was found regarding the T<sub>Ab</sub> threshold that reflects the animal protection for CaPVs, but two previous studies indicated that sera for a protected animal have an endpoint dilution of 1/16 (Log T<sub>Ab</sub> = 1.2 N.D.50%) (Barmana et al., 2010) and an endpoint dilution of 1/10 (Log T<sub>Ab</sub> = 1 N.D.50%) (Boshra et al., 2015), but these studies did not include if sera T<sub>Ab</sub> values below 1.2 and 1 N.D.50% indicate that the animals are not protected and did not determine the minimum threshold of protective sera T<sub>Ab</sub>. The deviation d = 0.2 does not significantly change the minimum threshold of serum T<sub>Ab</sub> that theoretically protects the immunized animal, so it does not affect the equation accuracy.

Using alpha-VNT allows to determine the N.I. but not the T<sub>Ab</sub>, this method based on fixed serum dilution and variable virus dilutions is more labor-intensive, time-consuming and needs more sera volume than the beta-VNT (OIE, 2017a), despite the fact that beta-VNT seems to be advantageous, it gives only the T<sub>Ab</sub> without revealing the protection level. This study showed that both alpha and beta VNT are reliable for determining antibody responses since one of them can be used to calculate the result of the other using the established equation.

**Discussion**

The T<sub>Ab</sub> result of the antiserum-LSDV found in this study (T<sub>Ab</sub> = 2.1 N.D.50%, equivalent of endpoint dilution 1/128) using ELK cells is in good agreement, almost in similar levels of T<sub>Ab</sub> that were detected by both institutions IAH (Log T<sub>Ab</sub> = 2.2 N.D.50%, equivalent of endpoint dilution 1/160) on lamb testis or bovine dermis cells and OVI (Log T<sub>Ab</sub> = 2.1 N.D.50%, equivalent of endpoint dilution 1/128) on Madin Darby Bovine Kidney cell lines. In addition, the first serum dilution at IAH was 1/5, while that at OVI was 1/4 (OIE, 2011) but we started in this study at 1/2 dilution, we also noticed that the used cell type did not affect the results of T<sub>Ab</sub> (OIE, 2011).

We noticed in table 1 (SR 7, 8 and 9) that the Neutralisation Index method (alpha-VNT) is more sensitive because it has detected neutralising antibodies while the antibody titre method (beta-VNT) did not. Also The variable sensitivity of tissue culture to CaPVs and the consequent difficulty of ensuring the use of 100 TCID<sub>50</sub> make the Neutralisation Index (alpha-VNT) the preferred method, even though, it does require a larger volume of test sera (OIE, 2017a).

The VNTs are ex-vivo methods, doing more studies on this subject could help to strengthen the use of these tests as alternative to the in-vivo challenge test that assess the individual protection against the CaPVs diseases and reduce the use of animals for this purpose.

**Conclusion**

This study has demonstrated that one of the two methods of VNT can therefore be used to calculate the values sought by the second method since the deviation was not significant. On the other side, this study can help to resolve the problems linked with the lack of samples volumes and even exploit again some results of old studies where just one method of VNT was used in order to assess the protection level of post-vaccinated individual animals (Kali, 2014) and vaccine effectiveness studies which would certainly improve the fight against CaPVs diseases and help the eradication policy. This study can also expand data for internal references sera preparation that would be useful for further applications.

The VNTs are ex-vivo methods, doing more studies on this subject could help to strengthen the use of these tests as alternative to the in-vivo challenge test that assess the individual protection against the CaPVs diseases and reduce the use of animals for this purpose.
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**Table 2.** The absolute deviation (d) and the error percentage values (error (%)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sera</th>
<th>Log T&lt;sub&gt;Ab&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>N.I.exp</th>
<th>N.Lcal</th>
<th>d = [N.I.exp - N.Lcal]</th>
<th>error(%) = d/N.Lcal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.687</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>3.682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.125</td>
<td>2.299</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>7.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.707</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>1.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>3.115</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>11.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.875</td>
<td>2.707</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>6.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.875</td>
<td>2.707</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>6.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>2.875</td>
<td>2.605</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>10.357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.503</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>9.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>2.625</td>
<td>2.911</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>9.823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.875</td>
<td>2.503</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>14.850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average deviation 0.2

The average error percentage 8 %
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