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## Abstract

Introduction: the prevalence of Non-Communicable Diseases (NCDs) is increasing in African countries. This study aimed to estimate the prevalence and correlates of multiple NCD risk factors (NCDRF) among the adult population in Zambia Methods: nationally representative cross-sectional data from 4,302 individuals aged 18-69 years of the "2017 Zambia STEPS survey" were analysed. Results: the prevalence of insufficient fruit and vegetable consumption was 90.4\%, followed by overweight/obesity (24.4\%), Iow physical activity (19.5\%), hypertension (18.9\%), daily tobacco use (10.7\%), sedentary behaviour (8.9\%), suicidal behaviour (8.5\%), alcohol dependence (7.4\%), raised total cholesterol (7.4\%), and diabetes (6.2\%). The distribution of NCDRF was 41.5\% 0-1 NCDRF, 48.2\% 2-3, 10.4\% 4-10, and 26.7\% 3-10 NCDRF. In adjusted ordinal logistic regression analysis, compared to persons aged 18-34 years, individuals aged 50-69 years were 3.58 times (AOR: 3.58, 95\% CI: 3.95-4.49) more likely to have a higher number of NCDRF. Women were 24\% (AOR: 1.24, 95\% CI: 1.03-1.49) more likely than men to have a higher number of NCDRF. Persons living in urban locations were $71 \%$ (AOR: 1.74, $95 \%$ CI: 1.43-2.16) more likely than persons living in rural locations to have a higher number of NCDRF, and compared to individuals with lower than primary education, persons with more than primary education were 20\% (AOR: 0.80, 95\% CI: 0.65-0.98) less likely to have a higher number of NCDRF. Conclusion: more than one in four study participants had three to ten NCDRF and several associated factors were found that can aid to target interventions.

## Introduction

In Zambia 29\% of mortality was attributed to noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) in 2016 [1]. A high proportion (>80\%) of premature deaths from NCDs, such as cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, cancer, and respiratory diseases, occur in low- and middleincome countries [2]. Major behavioural NCD risk factors (NCDRF) increasing the risk of NCD death
include tobacco use, unhealthy diet, low physical activity, and hazardous and harmful alcohol use [2]. Considering the increasing trend of NCDs in Africa, it is "crucial to have a careful understanding of the local drivers of NCDs" [3]. Against this backdrop, national data on NCDRF are needed in a lowermiddle income Southern African country, Zambia. Some studies in Zambia were subnational (Lusaka urban district) and only focused on specific NCDRF, such as high cholesterol levels (15.8\%) [4], obesity (14.2\%) [5], diabetes (4.0\%) [6] and hypertension (34.8\%) [7]. In two rural districts (Kaoma and Kasama) in Zambia, the prevalence of hypertension was $25.8 \%$ and $30.3 \%$, respectively [8]. The adult prevalence of diabetes of $3.5 \%$ was found in a household survey in five of ten provinces in 2010 in Zambia [9].

In previous national STEPS surveys in three African countries and in Nepal, the prevalence of multiple NCDRF was as follows: 75.8\% 4-12 NCDRF (18-69 years in 2015) in Kenya [10], 16.5\% 3-7 NCDRF (2464 years in 2009) in Malawi [11], 17.3\% 3-5 NCDRF (18-69 years in 2014) in Uganda [12], and 27.7\% 38 NCDRF (15-69 years in 2013) in Nepal [13]. Table 1 shows the distribution of individual NCDRF in Kenya, Malawi, Uganda, and Nepal [10-17]. Regarding biological NCDRF, the prevalence of raised total cholesterol ranged from $6.7 \%$ in Uganda to $22.6 \%$ in Nepal, raised blood ranged from 1.4\% in Uganda to $5.6 \%$ in Malawi, hypertension ranged from $23.8 \%$ in Kenya to $32.0 \%$ in Malawi, and general overweight/obesity ranged from 18.1\% in Malawi to 27.9\% in Kenya. In terms of behavioural NCDRF, the prevalence of inadequate fruit and vegetable intake ranged from 87.8\% in Uganda to 98.9\% in Nepal, current tobacco smoking ranged from $9.6 \%$ in Uganda to $18.5 \%$ in Nepal, low physical activity ranged from $3.4 \%$ in Nepal to $9.5 \%$ in Malawi, and harmful alcohol use (heavy episodic drinking) ranged from $2.0 \%$ in Nepal to $16.7 \%$ in Uganda (Table 1).

Factors associated with a higher number of biological and behavioural NCDRF include increasing age [10,13,18-20], women [10], men [13,18], currently married [13], ecological
zone [13] or region [12], lower education [13], higher education [18], geolocality [12], higher socioeconomic status [18, 20], and residing in urban areas $[18,20]$. The study aimed to assess the prevalence and correlates of NCDRF among individuals aged 18-69 years in Zambia.

## Methods

Nationally representative cross-sectional data from the "2017 Zambia STEPS Survey" were analysed [21]. A "multi-stage cluster sampling technique was used to select a nationally representative sample of adults in Zambia aged 18 to 69 years." [22]. "In the first stage of sampling, Standard Enumeration Areas (SEAs) were selected from each province using a probability proportional to size (PPS), and in the second stage, 15 households in rural SEAs and 20 households in urban SEAs were selected systematically using an appropriate sampling interval based on the number of households in that SEA." [22]. More information on the sampling strategy and the 2017 Zambia STEPS survey data can be publicly accessed; the survey response rate was 74.3\%." [22]. "The study was approved by the University of Zambia (UNZA) Research Ethics Committee (REC), and written informed consent was obtained from participants." [22].

## Measures

NCD outcome variables: biological NCDRF. Diabetes was classified as "fasting plasma glucose levels $=7.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$, and/or currently taking insulin or oral hypoglycemic drugs"; raised total cholesterol (TC) as "fasting TC $=5.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ or currently on medication for raised cholesterol"; hypertension as "systolic BP $=140 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ and/or diastolic BP $=90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ or currently on antihypertensive medication"; and measured Body Mass Index ("25-29.9 kg/m2 overweight and =30 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ obesity") [22].

Behavioural NCDRF consisted of insufficient fruit and vegetable consumption (<5 servings/day), low physical activity, and sedentary behaviour (=8
hours/day) based on the "Global Physical Activity Questionnaire", daily tobacco use, and alcohol dependence (defined as $=4$ scores on item 4-6 of the "Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test=AUDIT" [22]. Suicidal behaviour was based on three questions on suicidal ideation, plans, and/or attempts in the past year [22]. Sociodemographic information included marital status, age, sex, highest educational level, work status, ethnic affiliation, and geolocality [22].

Data analysis: statistical procedures were done with "STATA software version 15.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, Texas, USA)," taking the multistage sampling design and data weighting into account [22]. The total number of ten NCDRF were grouped into $1=0-1,2=2-3$, and $3=4-10$ NCDRF and described with bar graphs and frequency tabulations. Unadjusted and adjusted ordinal logistic regressions were utilized to assess predictors of the number of NCDRF (0-1, 2-3, and 410). Co-variates were selected based on a previous literature review [10,13,18-20]. Only complete cases were included in the analysis, and $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ was set as significant.

## Results

Characteristics of the sample and NCDRF: the study population included 4,302 individuals aged 18-69 years ( 31 years median age, IQR 23-41). Almost half of the study participants (48.7\%) were men, $59.1 \%$ were married or cohabiting, $28.7 \%$ were unemployed, $71.1 \%$ had primary or more education, $32.8 \%$ were Bemba and $48.8 \%$ resided in urban areas. The prevalence distribution of individual biological NCDRF was 7.4\% raised total cholesterol, $6.2 \%$ diabetes, $24.4 \%$ overweight/obesity, and $18.9 \%$ hypertension. The prevalence distribution of individual behavioural NCDRF was $90.4 \%$ insufficient fruit and vegetable consumption, $19.5 \%$ low physical activity, 10.7\% daily tobacco use, $8.9 \%$ sedentary behaviour, $8.5 \%$ suicidal behaviour, and $7.4 \%$ alcohol dependence. The prevalence of overweight/obesity, low physical activity, raised total cholesterol, and suicidal behaviour was significantly higher in women than

PanAfrican


Medical
Journal
in men, and the prevalence of daily tobacco use and alcohol dependence was significantly higher in men than in women (Table 1, Table 2).

Frequency distribution of NCDRF: the prevalence of having no NCDRF was $3.0 \%$, one $38.5 \%$, two $31.9 \%$, three $16.3 \%$, four $7.6 \%$, five $2.0 \%$, six $0.7 \%$, seven $0.1 \%$ and $8-10$ risk factors zero percent (Figure 1). Overall, $41.5 \%$ of the participants had 01 NCD risk factor, $48.2 \%$ 2-3 risk factors, $10.4 \% 4-$ 10 , and $26.7 \%$ three or more NCDRF. A higher number of NCDRF increased with age, urban residence, and female sex, and among men who were never married, separated, divorced, or widowed (Table 3).

Associations with the number of NCDRF: Table 4 shows the univariate associations with NCDRF counts. In the adjusted ordinal logistic regression analysis, compared to persons aged 18-34 years, individuals aged 50-69 years were 3.58 times (AOR: 3.58, $95 \%$ CI: 3.95-4.49) more likely to have a higher number of NCDRF. Women were 24\% (AOR: 1.24, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 1.03-1.49$ ) more likely than men to have a higher number of NCDRF. Persons living in urban locations were $71 \%$ (AOR: $1.74,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ : 1.43-2.16) more likely than persons living in rural locations to have a higher number of NCDRF, and compared to individuals with lower than primary education, persons with more than primary education were 20\% (AOR: 0.80, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 0.65-0.98$ ) less likely to have a higher number of NCDRF (Table 5).

## Discussion

The nationally representative 2017 Zambia STEPS survey among individuals aged 18-69 years found a high prevalence of 3-10 NCDRF (26.7\%), which was similar to Nepal (27.7\% 3-8 NCDRF [13], lower than in Kenya ( $75.8 \%$ 4-12 NCDRF [10], but higher than in Malawi (16.5\% 3-7 NCDRF) [11], and Uganda ( $17.3 \%$ 3-5 NCDRF) [12]. The high clustering of NCDRF in this survey increases the risk of NCDs in the adult population in Zambia. Consistent with previous research [10,13-20], this survey found that increasing age, female sex, lower education, and urban residence were associated with a higher
number of NCDRF. The promotion of early screening for NCDRF, targeting women, urban residents, and those with lower education, may help the prevention of the development of NCDs in Zambia. Unlike some previous research [13,20], this study did not find any significant association between marital status, employment status, ethnic group, and multiple NCDRF.

The four most prevalent individual NCDRF in this study were insufficient fruit and vegetable consumption (90.4\%), overweight/obesity (24.4\%), low physical activity (19.5\%), and hypertension (18.9\%). Similar proportions of individual NCD risk behaviours were found in the STEPS surveys in 2014 in Kenya [10] and in 2013 in Nepal [13]. The prevalence of hypertension (18.9\%) in this study was lower than in previous local surveys in Zambia, $34.8 \%$ in the urban Lusaka district [7], and 25.5\%$30.3 \%$ in two rural districts in Zambia [8]. The prevalence of overweight/obesity (24.4\%) in this study was higher than in the urban Lusaka district study ( $14.2 \%$ ) [5], and the prevalence of insufficient fruit/vegetable consumption (90.4\%) was higher than in the 2003 Zambia World Health Survey (77.7\%) [23], and the prevalence of low physical activity ( $19.5 \%$ ) in this study was similar to data from the 2003 Zambia World Health Survey (23.3\%) [23].

The prevalence of daily tobacco use (10.7\%) and alcohol dependence (7.4\%) in this survey was similar to the 2009 Malawi STEPS survey ( $14.1 \%$ smokers, and $7.7 \%$ excessive drinkers) [11,15], and the 2014 Kenya STEPS survey ( $10.1 \%$ smokers, and $12.7 \%$ harmful alcohol users) [10,14]. The proportions of daily tobacco use and alcohol dependence were similar to results from the 2003 Zambia World Health Survey (14.1\% current smoking and $7.4 \%$ heavy episodic drinking) [23]. The increase of exercise taxes and prices on tobacco products and alcoholic beverages has been recommended in Zambia [22]. The prevalence of diabetes (6.2\%) and raised total cholesterol (7.4\%) in this study was similar to the 2009 Malawi STEPS survey (5.6\% diabetes and 8.7\% raised cholesterol) [11,15], but lower than in the 2014

Kenya STEPS survey in terms of high total cholesterol (10.1\%), and higher in terms of diabetes (1.9\%) [10,14]. Compared to the prevalence of raised total cholesterol (15.8\%) in the Lusaka urban district STEPS survey [4], the prevalence of raised total cholesterol was lower in this study (7.4\%), and the prevalence of diabetes (6.2\%) was higher in this study than in the Lusaka urban district study (4.0\%) [6], and the large community-based study in 2010 in Zambia (3.5\%) [9].

Specific NCDRF differed by sex in this study, with substance being higher in men, and overweight/obesity, low physical activity, raised total cholesterol, and suicidal behaviour being higher in women. Similar sex differences in the prevalence of substance use, obesity, and raised total cholesterol were also found in the 2009 Malawi and 2014 Kenya STEPS surveys [10,11]. It is important to take these sex differences into account when designing NCD health promotion activities [11].

Study limitations include the cross-sectional design of the study, which prevents from causative inferences, and the questionnaire interview relying on self-report of the data. Some study variables, such as household income, could not be included in the analysis due to too many missing values.

## Conclusion

In this national community-based 2017 STEPS survey among adults in Zambia, more than one in four study participants had three to ten NCDRF. Several factors associated with NCDRF counts were identified, including increasing age, female sex, residing in urban areas, and lower education that can be targeted in interventions to address multiple NCDRF in the Zambian population. Taking the clustering nature of NCDRF into account, interventions should be targeting multiple, in particular modifiable, NCDRF.

## What is known about this topic

- Some subregional studies in Zambia report the prevalence of individual NCD risk factors;
- Some African countries report on the national prevalence of individual NCD risk factors;
- Some African countries, such as Kenya, Malawi, and Uganda, report on the national prevalence of multiple NCD risk factors.


## What this study adds

- More than one in four persons in Zambia had three or more NCD risk factors;
- Older age, female sex, rural residence, and lower education increased the odds for an increasing number of NCD risk factors in the Zambian population;
- Taking the clustering nature of NCD risk factors into account, interventions should be targeting multiple, in particular modifiable, NCD risk factors.
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Table 1: distribution of individual non-communicable diseases (NCDs) risk factors in four national STEPS surveys

| NCD risk factors | STEPS survey country |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Kenya, 2014 \% | Malawi, 2009 \% | Uganda, 2014 \% | Nepal, 2013 \% |
| Raised total cholesterol | 10.1 | 8.7 | 6.7 | 22.6 |
| Raised blood glucose | 1.9 | 5.6 | 1.4 | 3.6 |
| Hypertension | 23.8 | 32.9 | 24.3 | 25.7 |
| General overweight or obesity | 27.9 | 18.1 | 23.7 | 21.4 |
| Inadequate fruit and vegetable intake | 94.0 | 97.5 | 87.8 | 98.9 |
| Current tobacco smokers | 10.1 | 14.1 | 9.6 | 18.5 |
| Low physical activity | 6.5 | 9.5 | 4.3 | 3.4 |
| Harmful alcohol use (Heavy episodic <br> drinking in the past month) | 12.7 | 7.7 | 16.7 | 2.0 |

Table 2: sample characteristics of survey participants aged 18-69 years in Zambia, 2017 ( $\mathrm{N}=4302$ )

| Variable | Sample | All | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unweighted number | Weighted \% (95\% $\mathrm{Cl})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weighted \% } \\ & \text { (95\% CI) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weighted \% } \\ & \text { (95\% CI) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Socio-demographics |  |  |  |  |
| Age in years |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | 2182 | 59.6 (57.7, 61.4) | 60.1 (56.7, 63.3) | $59.1(56.7,61.5)$ |
| 35-49 | 1288 | $28.0(26.3,29.7)$ | $28.4(25.4,31.5)$ | 27.6 (25.8, 29.6) |
| 50-69 | 832 | 12.4 (11.3, 13.6) | 11.6 (9.9, 13.5) | 13.2 (11.8, 14.9) |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1614 | 48.7 (47.0, 50.4) | --- | --- |
| Female | 2688 | 51.3 (49.6, 53.0) |  |  |
| Highest level of education |  |  |  |  |
| <Primary | 1546 | 28.8 (26.5, 31.2) | 22.6 (20.0, 25.3) | 34.8 (31.8, 37.8) |
| Primary | 1036 | 23.0 (21.2, 25.0) | 22.3 (19.6, 25.3) | 23.7 (21.7, 25.8) |
| >Primary | 1717 | 48.1 (45.2, 51.1) | 55.1 (51.4, 58.7) | 41.5 (38.1, 45.0) |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |
| Married/cohabiting | 2627 | 59.1 (57.0, 61.2) | 60.8 (57.8, 63,7) | 57.5 (55.0, 60.0) |
| Widowed/divorced/separated/nev er married | 1665 | 40.9 (38.8, 43.0) | 39.2 (36.3, 42.2) | 42.5 (40.0, 45.0) |
| Employment status |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2147 | 50.4 (47.9, 52.9) | 60.1 (56.7, 63.5) | 41.1 (38.4, 43.9) |
| Nonpaid | 852 | 20.9 (18.9, 23.0) | 16.0 (13.5, 18.9) | 25.5 (23.0, 28.2) |
| Unemployed | 1296 | 28.7 (26.0, 31.6) | 23.8 (20.7, 27.3) | 33.4 (30.2, 36.7) |
| Geolocality |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 2660 | $51.2(48.3,54.2)$ | 53.8 (50.0, 57.6) | 48.8 (45.7, 51.9) |
| Urban | 1642 | 48.8 (45.8, 51.7) | 46.2 (42.4, 50.0) | 51.1 (48.1, 54.3) |
| Ethnic group |  |  |  |  |
| Bemba | 1260 | 32.8 (29.0, 36.8) | 33.6 (29.0, 38.5) | 32.0 (28.2, 36.0) |
| Tonga | 1230 | 33.7 (30.2, 37.3) | 33.5 (29.3, 38.0) | 33.9 (30.3, 37.7) |
| Other | 1478 | 33.5 (30.0, 37.3) | 32.9 (28.6, 37.4) | 34.2 (30.3, 38.2) |
| Non-communicable diseases risk factors |  |  |  |  |
| Fruit/vegetable consumption (<5 servings/day) | 3604 | 90.4 (88.4, 92.1) | 90.0 (87.5, 92.0) | $90.8(88.6,92.7)$ |
| Low physical activity | 869 | 19.5 (17.5, 21.7) | 12.5 (10.5, 14.9) | 26.2 (23.4, 29.2) |
| Sedentary behaviour ( $\geq 8$ hours/day) | 408 | 8.9 (7.6, 10.5) | 8.0 (6.4, 9.9) | 9.9 (8.1, 12.0) |
| Daily tobacco use | 454 | 10.7 (9.5, 12.0) | 17.7 (15.6, 20.0) | 4.0 (3.2, 5.0) |
| Alcohol dependence | 242 | $7.4(6.3,8.6)$ | 12.0 (10.1, 14.3) | 3.0 (2.2, 4.1) |
| Diabetes | 266 | 6.2 (5.2, 7.3) | 6.0 (4.5, 7.8) | $6.4(5.3,7.8)$ |
| Hypertension | 852 | 18.9 (17.4, 20.5) | 20.5 (18.2, 23.1) | $17.4(15.7,19.3)$ |
| Raised total cholesterol | 344 | 7.4 (6.4, 8.5) | 5.0 (3.8, 6.5) | 9.7 (8.2, 11.4) |
| General overweight/obesity | 1020 | $24.4(22.5,26.3)$ | 16.2 (14.0, 18.7) | 32.6 (30.2, 35.1) |
| Suicidal behaviour | 368 | 8.5 (7.5, 9.7) | 5.8 (4.6, 7.4) | 11.1 (9.6, 12.8) |

$\mathrm{Cl}=$ Confidence Interval

Table 3: distribution of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) risk factor counts among individuals aged 18-69 years in Zambia, 2017

| Variable | All |  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NCD risk factors |  |  | NCD risk factors |  |  | NCD risk factors |  |  |
|  | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n |
|  | 0-1 | 2-3 | 4-10 | 0-1 | 2-3 | 4-10 | 0-1 | 2-3 | 4-10 |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| All | 41.5 | 48.2 | 10.4 | 44.2 | 46.1 | 9.8 | 38.6 | 50.4 | 11.0 |
| Age in years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | 48.9 | 44.7 | 6.3 | 52.4 | 41.7 | 5.9 | 45.1 | 48.0 | 6.8 |
| 35-49 | 32.9 | 54.5 | 12.6 | 32.7 | 53.4 | 13.9 | 33.0 | 55.9 | 11.1 |
| 50-69 | 24.3 | 50.3 | 25.4 | 28.2 | 50.8 | 21.0 | 21.1 | 49.9 | 29.0 |
| p-value | <0.001 |  |  | <0.001 |  |  | <0.001 |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 44.2 | 46.1 | 9.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 38.6 |  | 11.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| p-value | <0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Highest level of education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <Primary | 38.5 | 49.2 | 12.3 | 38.1 | 50.0 | 11.9 | 38.9 | 48.6 | 12.5 |
| Primary | 45.8 | 44.6 | 9.6 | 49.1 | 41.1 | 10.0 | 42.5 | 48.2 | 9.2 |
| >Primary | 41.3 | 49.1 | 9.6 | 44.9 | 46.3 | 8.8 | 36.3 | 52.9 | 10.7 |
| p-value | 0.343 |  |  | 0.260 |  |  | 0.433 |  |  |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married/cohabiting | 39.2 | 50.0 | 10.8 | 38.5 | 50.0 | 11.5 | 40.1 | 50.0 | 9.9 |
| Widowed/divorced/separated/never married | 44.4 | 45.8 | 9.8 | 52.6 | 40.2 | 7.2 | 36.6 | 51.1 | 12.2 |
| p-value | 0.454 |  |  | 0.008 |  |  | 0.178 |  |  |
| Work status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 36.9 | 51.8 | 11.2 | 38.1 | 51.1 | 10.8 | 35.1 | 53.1 | 11.9 |
| Nonpaid | 47.8 | 42.9 | 9.3 | 53.9 | 39.7 | 6.4 | 43.8 | 45.0 | 11.2 |
| Unemployed | 44.7 | 45.7 | 9.6 | 53.2 | 37.3 | 9.5 | 38.2 | 52.1 | 9.7 |
| p-value | 0.093 |  |  | 0.050 |  |  | 0.349 |  |  |
| Geolocality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 44.9 | 47.2 | 7.9 | 45.0 | 46.5 | 8.5 | 44.8 | 48.1 | 7.1 |
| Urban | 37.9 | 49.2 | 13.0 | 43.1 | 45.5 | 11.4 | 33.3 | 52.4 | 14.3 |
| p-value | <0.001 |  |  | <0.001 |  |  | <0.001 |  |  |
| Ethnic group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bemba | 40.9 | 48.7 | 10.4 | 45.3 | 46.9 | 7.8 | 36.2 | 50.6 | 13.2 |
| Tonga | 39.9 | 49.7 | 10.3 | 40.3 | 49.1 | 10.6 | 39.5 | 50.5 | 10.0 |
| Other | 45.0 | 45.0 | 9.9 | 47.4 | 43.2 | 9.4 | 42.6 | 46.9 | 10.5 |
| p-value | 0.151 |  |  | 0.148 |  |  | 0.608 |  |  |

Table 4: univariate ordinal logistic regression with non-communicable diseases risk factor counts among individuals aged 18-69 years in Zambia, 2017

| Variable | All | Men | Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-1 vs. 2-3 \& 4-10 <br> risk factors | 0-1 vs. 2-3 \& 4-10 <br> risk factors | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0-1 \text { vs. 2-3 \& 4-10 } \\ \text { risk factors } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | COR (95\% CI) | COR (95\% CI) | COR (95\% CI) |
| Age in years |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) |
| 35-49 | 1.96 (1.58, 2.44)*** | $\begin{aligned} & 2.30(1.65, \\ & 3.21)^{* * *} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.65(1.27, \\ & 2.14)^{* * *} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 50-69 | 3.72 (2.80, 4.94)*** | $\begin{aligned} & 3.22(2.10, \\ & 4.94)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.12(2.82, \\ & 6.02)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 1 (Reference) | --- | --- |
| Female | 1.23 (1.01, 1.51)* |  |  |
| Highest level of education |  |  |  |
| <Primary | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) |
| Primary | 0.74 (0.57, 0.96)* | 0.66 (0.44, 0.99)* | 0.82 (0.59, 1.15) |
| >Primary | 0.86 (0.69, 1.08) | 0.75 (0.53, 1.04) | 1.04 (0.77, 1.41) |
| Marital status |  |  |  |
| Married/cohabiting | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) |
| Widowed/divorced/separated/never married | 0.91 (0.77, 1.07) | 0.67 (0.51, 0.87)** | 1.19 (0.96, 1.47) |
| Work status |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) |
| Nonpaid | 0.78 (0.62, 0.99)* | 0.64 (0.43, 0.96)* | 0.83 (0.65, 1.05) |
| Unemployed | 0.90 (0.74, 1.09) | 0.75 (0.56, 1.01) | 0.96 (0.76, 1.22) |
| Geolocality |  |  |  |
| Rural | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) |
| Urban | 1.50 (1.26, 1.78)*** | 1.24 (0.95, 1.63) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.76(1.43, \\ & 2.18)^{* * *} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Ethnic group |  |  |  |
| Bemba | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) |
| Tonga | 1.03 (0.79, 1.34) | 1.25 (0.84, 1.86) | 0.84 (0.60, 1.16) |
| Other | 0.86 (0.68, 1.09) | 0.96 (0.68, 1.37) | 0.76 (0.56, 1.04) |
| ${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$; ${ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$; ${ }^{\text {P }<0.05 ; ~ C O R=C r u d e ~ O d d s ~ R a t i o ; ~ C I=C o n f i d e n c e ~ I n t e r v a l ~}$ |  |  |  |

Table 5: multivariable ordinal logistic regression with non-communicable diseases risk factor counts among individuals aged 18-69 years in Zambia, 2017

| Variable | All | Men | Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0-1 \text { vs. 2-3 \& 4-10 } \\ & \text { risk factors } \end{aligned}$ | $0-1 \text { vs. 2-3 \& 4-10 }$ risk factors | $\begin{aligned} & 0-1 \text { vs. 2-3 \& 4-10 } \\ & \text { risk factors } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | AOR (95\% CI) | AOR (95\% CI) | AOR (95\% CI) |
| Age in years |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) |
| 35-49 | 1.72 (1.43, 2.08)*** | 1.53 (1.12, 2.09)** | $\begin{aligned} & 1.97(1.59, \\ & 2.46)^{* * *} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 50-69 | 3.58 (2.85, 4.49)*** | $\begin{aligned} & 2.86(1.99, \\ & 4.11)^{* * *} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.46(3.29, \\ & 6.05)^{* * *} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 1 (Reference) | -- | --- |
| Female | 1.24 (1.03, 1.49)* |  |  |
| Highest level of education |  |  |  |
| <Primary | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) |
| Primary | 0.82 (0.67, 1.02)* | 0.65 (0.46, 0.91)* | 0.99 (0.75, 1.30) |
| >Primary | 0.80 (0.65, 0.98)* | 0.66 (0.49, 0.88)** | 0.94 (0.71, 1.24) |
| Marital status |  |  |  |
| Married/cohabiting | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) |
| Widowed/divorced/separated/never married | 1.03 (0.86, 1.22) | 0.91 (0.65, 1.26) | 1.08 (0.87, 1.34) |
| Work status |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) |
| Nonpaid | 0.85 (0.66, 1.08) | 0.88 (0.56, 1.40) | 0.87 (0.67, 1.11) |
| Unemployed | 0.98 (0.79, 1.20) | 0.85 (0.62, 1.18) | 1.12 (0.87, 1.45) |
| Geolocality |  |  |  |
| Rural | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) |
| Urban | 1.76 (1.43, 2.16)*** | 1.49 (1.10, 2.02)** | $\begin{aligned} & 2.13(1.64, \\ & 2.77)^{* * *} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Ethnic group |  |  |  |
| Bemba | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) |
| Tonga | 1.19 (0.98, 1.44) | 1.33 (0.98, 1.81) | 1.04 (0.79, 1.37) |
| Other | 0.97 (0.78, 1.20) | 0.97 (0.70, 1.35) | 0.96 (0.74, 1.25) |
| ${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$; ${ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$; ${ }^{\text {P }}<0.05$; AOR=Adjusted Odds Ratio; Cl=Confidence Interval |  |  |  |



Figure 1: frequency of non-communicable diseases risk factors among adults in Zambia
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