

Research



Knowledge and views of physicians and nurses about physical activity advice in oncology care: a crosssectional study

Youness Azemmour, Saber Boutayeb, Said Nafai,
Amine Souadka, Hind Mrabti, Ibrahim Elghissassi, Abdelghafour Marfak, Hassan Errihani

Corresponding author: Youness Azemmour, Clinical Research Biostatistics and Epidemiology Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco. azemmour.kinostepm@gmail.com

Received: 01 Jun 2021 - Accepted: 10 Jan 2022 - Published: 20 Jan 2022

Keywords: Physical activity, advice, healthcare professionals, oncology care

Copyright: Youness Azemmour et al. Pan African Medical Journal (ISSN: 1937-8688). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution International 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cite this article: Youness Azemmour et al. Knowledge and views of physicians and nurses about physical activity advice in oncology care: a cross-sectional study. Pan African Medical Journal. 2022;41(57). 10.11604/pamj.2022.41.57.30121

Available online at: https://www.panafrican-med-journal.com//content/article/41/57/full

Knowledge and views of physicians and nurses about physical activity advice in oncology care: a cross-sectional study

Youness Azemmour^{1,&}, Saber Boutayeb², Said Nafai³, Amine Souadka², Hind Mrabti², Ibrahim Elghissassi², Abdelghafour Marfak⁴, Hassan Errihani²

¹Clinical Research Biostatistics and Epidemiology Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco, ²Translational Oncology Research Team, National Institute of Oncology, Ibn Sina University Hospital Center, Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco, ³Division of Occupational Therapy, School of Health Sciences, American International College, USA, ⁴National School of Public Health, Rabat, Morocco

^{*}Corresponding author

Youness Azemmour, Clinical Research Biostatistics and Epidemiology Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco

Article 👌

PanAfrican Medical

Abstract

Introduction: the benefits of physical activity have been approved in oncology care. This is why healthcare professionals must play a principle role in promoting physical activity during all cancer care pathway. The purpose of this study was to explore and compare physicians' and nurses' knowledge and views toward physical activity advice in oncology care. *Methods:* this cross-sectional study Moroccan physicians included and nurses specialized in oncology. Participants were asked to complete an anonymous questionnaire. The inferential statistics were performed to find a difference between physicians' and nurses' knowledge and views. Results: guestionnaires were returned by 154 healthcare professionals (response rate 48. 6%). The majority was informed about the physical activity benefits in oncology. The physicians seem to be more informed than nurses about physical activity benefits in oncology (Chisquared test, p=0.016). The majority thought that physical activity is beneficial in post-treatment (59.7%), while 24% only granted these benefits in the palliative care. The Participants expressed positive views about physical activity in oncology, especially nurses who seem to agree the most with implementation of a physical activity program in the hospital (Mann-Withney, p=0.04). The majority of participants stated that there are some clinical factors related to the patient that constitute a barrier of physical activity advising. Conclusion: the lack of knowledge, self-declared by the majority of participants, underlines the need to strengthen training actions about physical activity advice in health professionals, especially nurses for people with cancer.

Introduction

In the world, cancer is considered as a public health problem. In 2017, there were 24.5 million incident cancer cases, with 9.6 million cancer deaths. Also, in 195 countries from 1990 to 2017, the cancer burden has been estimated at 233.5 million years adjusted for disability, of which 97% of years of life lost and 3% of years lived with a disability [1]. Despite the progress made in oncology in saving lives for patients with cancer (PC), the oncological approach alone remains insufficient to provide a response to the cancer functional impact for the cancer survivor (CS). Therefore, it is necessary to have a global approach to health care, in which the physical activity (PA) advice must be integrated. In fact, there is strong scientific evidence to support the PA benefits on physical functions and quality of life for patients living with cancer [2]. However, the majority of CS don't maintain a sufficient level of PA to achieve these benefits [3].

Oncology healthcare professionals (HCPs) are in a good position to promote PA, given the trust they have from their patients and the multiple contacts they have with them during all cancer care pathway. As a result, they are able to give encouragement strategies for their patients [4]. Therefore, they can participate in changing patient attitudes and behaviors through brief but effective conversations [5]. HCPs seem to have favorable views about PA in oncology care. Also, they want more training opportunities in this field to feel able to advice PA for their patients [6-8]. The favorable HCPs' views on PA in oncology care has a good influence on their attitude towards the PA advice for their patients. Indeed, the high PA advice rate has been associated with the favorable HCPs' views on PA [9].

In Morocco, Cancer is a major concern. However, in the National Cancer Prevention and Control Plan 2020-2029, the promotion of PA is reduced to the primary prevention. It does not yet occupy the place it deserves in the all cancer care pathway. In reality, this observation could be generalized to several similar countries. Many studies around the world have explored the knowledge and views both of physicians and nurses, on the PA advice in oncology, but few of them have compared their knowledge and views. However, it has been shown that some HCPs' socio-professional characteristics are associated with their knowledge about the PA benefits in cancer care pathway, such as experience [10] and specialty in oncology [11].





Being the first study - to the authors' knowledge about this topic in Morocco, we aim to achieve a collective awareness of the PA advice in oncology care. This study aimed to explore and compare physicians' and nurses' knowledge and views toward PA advice in oncology care.

Methods

Study design: this research is a cross-sectional study which focused on the HCPs' knowledge and views about PA advice in oncology care.

Setting: the participants are made up of specialized physicians and nurses in oncology working in the National Institute of Oncology at the Ibn Sina University Hospital in Rabat. It is considered the main center of oncology care and the national reference center for the management and care of cancer in Morocco. The data collection was carried out between the months of February and November 2020. Given the restrictions linked to the COVID-19 pandemic, the questionnaire was administrated via paper and then by electronic version. A reminder was systematically sent if the questionnaire was not returned within four weeks. Completing the questionnaire takes an average of 7 to 10 minutes. Participants were asked to complete the questionnaire individually in order to avoid peer influence.

Participants: this study included HCPs clinicians (physicians and nurses) who care for PC and CS and have regular contact with them. A total of 317 participants were identified from institutional sources (61 for physicians and 256 for nurses). The exhaustive sampling was chosen as the sampling method, so all participants were asked to complete an anonymous questionnaire.

Study instrument: the questionnaire is organized in three sections: a) demographic and professional characteristics of the participants; (b) knowledge of the PA benefits in oncology; (c) views on PA advice in oncology. The last section is composed by ten items scored using Likert scale, which contain five degrees of agreement (strongly agree, agree, indifferent, disagree, strongly disagree). These items are interested in: a) the views of physicians and nurses about the PA benefits in oncology; (b) the patients' perception on PA in oncology care; (c) the patients' adherence to the PA advice given by HCPs and the role played by family and friends; (d) the need for more information in order to advice PA and the clinical factors that prevent HCPs from advicing PA for their patients; (e) the need to establish a PA program in the hospital. The questionnaire was pretested in two stages. First, it was appreciated by two researchers who are experienced with this kind of instrument, then it was administered to twenty participants who were asked to give us their feedback. However, the psychometric properties of the instrument were not measured.

Statistical analysis: the results were transcribed into an Excel spreadsheet. The questionnaire was removed from the analysis in case of missing data related to knowledge. All analyses were conducted using SPSS (v.22). Descriptive statistics were used to describe all of data. Inferential statistics were performed to find associations. The associations between knowledge of the PA benefits and different demographic and professional variables were analyzed using Chi-squared test. The differences between the views of physicians and nurses were analyzed using Mann-Withney test. Significant differences were identified using twosided P-values of<0.05.

Ethical considerations: the study was approved by the Joint Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy at Mohammed V University of Rabat, Morocco (November, 2019; CRTN: 104/19). All participants provided informed consent before participating.

Results

Among 317 questionnaires distributed, a total of 154 questionnaires were retained in the analysis (118 nurses and 36 physicians). The participation rate was 48.58% (59.0% for physicians and 46.1%



for nurses). In Figure 1, the information about the survey participation is illustrated.

Demographic and professional information: in **Table 1**, demographic and professional information are presented (gender, department, profession, duration of oncology experience and Knowledge about the PA benefits in oncology). In brief, the majority of participants are female (64.9%) and nurses (76.6%). Also, the majority of participants (80%) declared to be informed about PA benefits in oncology. The knowledge rate seems to be associated with the nature of the profession practiced, so the proportion of physicians informed about PA benefits in oncology seems to be greater than that of nurses (Chi-squared test, p = 0.016) (Table 1).

Sources of knowledge on PA in oncology care: Figure 2 shows that the source of knowledge on PA in oncology care most mentioned by participants is reading scientific articles, while only a minority of them retained the institutional continuing education and other sources (educational and audiovisual content, websites). Among the majority of physicians, participation in congresses (61.1%) represents a main source of their knowledge, but it seems to be less important for nurses (15%) (Figure 2).

Stages of cancer care pathway where PA is beneficial: Figure 3 shows that the majority of participants thought that PA is beneficial in post-treatment and as a measure to be maintained in order to improve survival. The majority did not find it beneficial in the palliative care. About half of the study participants found PA to be beneficial before and during treatment. Physicians would seem to be more favorable (72%) than nurses (45%) with the improvement of survival by increasing PA (Figure 3).

Views about PA in oncology care: Table 2 shows that the majority of HCPs views seem to strongly agree or agree with the all statements. The participants seem to be convinced of the positive perception of their collaborators (physicians and

nurses) on the improvement of the quality of life and the survival of the CS by PA. Participants thought patients think they should stay physically active, and they affirmed that their patients ask for permission to exercise. Also, participants would appear to be confident that the PA advice will be well received by patients, and therefore it will be carried out by them. According to the participants, family and friends encourage patients to follow the PA advice. Nonetheless, clinicians have recognized that some clinical factors prevent them from recommending PA for their patients. Except one item, no statistically significant difference was shown between the views of physicians and nurses. However, nurses would seem to be more favorable with establishment of PA program at the hospital (Mann-Withney test, p = 0.04) (Table 2).

Discussion

Healthcare professionals' knowledge about PA benefits in oncology: in our study, while the nature of the profession practiced seems to be associated with knowledge about the PA benefits for PC (physicians seem to be more informed than nurses), other socio-professional characteristics does not. In previous studies, some HCPs' characteristics have been related to PA' knowledge such as experience [10] and specialty in oncology [11]. In fact, the PA has several benefits for the patient in the all cancer care pathway. The majority of participants recognized these benefits in post-treatment, and about the half recognized them before and during treatment. Several with systematic reviews meta-analysis demonstrated that PA improves the quality of life, when it is programmed after treatment [12,13] and during treatment [14-16]. When introduced before treatment, the PA prevents postoperative complications and improves physical functions [17-19]. However, few participants agreed to the PA benefits during the palliative care. However, the PA appears to improve physical functions and quality of life for PC in this stage [20-22]. The majority of participants recognized the PA benefits on survivorship,



especially physicians. A meta-analysis has shown that regular PA can prevent cancer relapse and improve survival [23]. However, according to international guidelines, there is still a lack of scientific evidence that PA improve the survival [2].

Healthcare professionals' views about PA safety in oncology: the safety of the PC was accused as a barrier to the PA advice by the majority of participants. This barrier has also been exposed in several surveys [11,24-26]. Nevertheless, the safety of the PA intervention was supported by sufficient scientific evidence [2]. It remains safe even in advanced cancers [27]. The majority of participants considered certain cancer side effects - wrongly - as a barrier to the PA advice. However, these side effects may be decreased by PA, such as fatigue [28] and nausea [29]; or without increasing like secondary lymphedema [30]. From another perspective, the distrust of participants is not unjustified. Indeed, many RCTs on PA in advanced cancers have shown a poor safety report [27]. However, the risk of PA adverse events remains low [31]. In addition, the HCPs find the lack of empirical data that can serve as a reference in PA advancing [32]. Uncertainty of HCPs about clinical factors may impact their PA promoting behavior, current finding underscore the need for further clinical and empirical research [11].

Lack of information and other barriers to PA advice: while the majority of participants said they learned about the PA benefits, they thought they need more information in order to recommend PA for their patients. This statement could be justified by the lack of information on one hand [9,33,34], and on the other hand to the lack of training opportunities [6]. The institutional continuing education and participation in congresses needs to be further developed as training opportunities about PA advice, especially among nurses. This lack of knowledge did not prevent participants from having favorable views about PA in oncology. In the same way, HCPs have shown positive views on the PA benefits in oncology [6,8,35-37]. Several studies have examined the practice of PA advice by HCPs in oncology. A study found that although HCPs

recognized the PA benefits, only few of them actually advice it for PC [10]. In another study, the majority of nurses mostly recommended PA during treatment, compared with half of them who recommended it in all cancer care pathway [36]. Consequently, the lack of information related to the PC security cannot alone explain the lack of the practice of PA advice. Actually, there are several barriers to the PA advising: barriers related to HCPs such as lack of information, barriers related to perception of patients and institutional or structural barriers [33]. The lack of PA program at the hospitals of the participants could be considered as a structural barrier, based on the views of the participants, especially oncologist nurses. In the same way, the most of RCTs who have shown the PA benefits in post-treatment have used supervised interventions that were specific in their frequencies, intensities and durations [12].

Healthcare professionals views about Patient adherence to the PA advice and the role of the family: the majority of participants thought that patient follow the PA advice given by HCPs. Nonetheless, the opposite has been revealed [38]. Also, while some studies have shown that family and friends advised PC to rest and avoid PA [37,39]; but study participants thought the opposite. Correspondingly, the Moroccan context revealed that the family plays an important role in the psychological support of the PC [40]. In view of the foregoing, standardization with PA advice in oncology in the Moroccan context requires the dismantling of above mentioned barriers. In this way, starting with the continuing education of both physicians and nurses about PA advice would be an appropriate measure to plan. In addition, it is important to adopt a holistic model and familycentered approach to oncology care.

Limitations: this study has several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, given the crosssectional design of the study limits the establishment of causal associations between the participants' characteristics, and their knowledge/views on PA in oncology. Second, the self-assessment using the survey systematically





constitutes a bias related to the recall. Third, the results should be taken with moderation because the psychometric properties of the questionnaire were not measured. Fourth, to provide socially accepted answers would have led the participants to show their agreement with some statements, that is why the results should be interpreted with caution. In addition, the results of this survey carried out at National Institute of Oncology cannot be generalized across or outside the country, because each establishment has its own characteristics in skills and professional practices.

Conclusion

The present study remains - to the authors' knowledge - the first study which explored the knowledge and views of HCPs on the PA advice in oncology in Morocco. The results showed that the majority of participants recognized the benefits of PA, and they expressed positive views on the PA advice. Physicians seem to be more informed than nurses about the PA benefits in oncology care, while nurses seem to agree more with the establishment of a PA program in hospitals. The majority of participants thought PA is not beneficial to PC in the palliative care. In addition, they believed that some clinical factors related to PC constitute a barrier to the PA advice. However, patients' participants stay confident about adherence to the PA advice and in the encouragement to follow these recommendations by the family and friends. We suggest to scientific societies and decision-makers to strengthen training actions in the PA advice in order to meet the needs of HCPs in oncology.

What is known about this topic

- There is strong scientific evidence to support the benefits of physical activity on physical functions and quality of life for patient;
- Some socio-professional characteristics have been associated with knowledge of healthcare professionals about benefits of physical activity, such as experience and specialty in oncology;

• There are several barriers to the physical activity advising: barriers related to healthcare professionals such as lack of information, barriers related to perception of patients and institutional or structural barriers.

What this study adds

- A difference in the knowledge rate about benefits of physical activity in oncology between oncologist physicians and nurses. Physicians seem to be more informed than nurses;
- Nurses seem to agree more than physicians with the establishment of a specific physical activity program in hospitals;
- Some clinical factors related to the patient constitute a barrier of physical activity advising for our participants including palliative care.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Youness Azemmour, Saber Boutayeb and Hassan Errihani participated in the design of the study. The original manuscript was drafted by Youness Azemmour and reviewed and commented by all authors. Abdelghafour Marfak conducted the statistical analyses. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully thank all study participants, nurses and physicians for taking part in this study. We would like to express our gratitude for the responsible executives of National Institute of Oncology «Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah» of Rabat. Also, we gratefully thank Translational Oncology Research Team for their support.



Tables and figures

Table 1: description of participants (N=154) andassociationsbetweendemographicandprofessionalcharacteristicsandtheirknowledgeaboutthe physical activitybenefits in oncologyTable 2:viewsofTable 2:viewsofphysiciansandnursesaboutphysicalactivityadvice in oncologycareFigure 1:diagram ofsurveyparticipation

Figure 2: sources of knowledge on physical activity in oncology care

Figure 3: stages of cancer care pathway where physical activity is beneficial

References

- Fitzmaurice C, Abate D, Abbasi N, Abbastabar H, Abd-Allah F, Abdel-Rahman O *et al.* Global, regional, and national cancer incidence, mortality, years of life lost, years lived with disability, and disability-Adjusted life-years for 29 cancer groups, 1990 to 2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study. JAMA Oncol. 2019;5(12): 1749-68. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Segal R, Zwaal C, Green E, Tomasone JR, Loblaw A, Petrella T. Exercise for people with cancer: a clinical practice guideline. curr oncol. 2017;24(1): 40-6. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Loprinzi PD, Lee H. Rationale for promoting physical activity among cancer survivors: literature review and epidemiologic examination. Oncol Nurs Forum. 2014;41(2): 117-25. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Jones LW, Courneya KS, Fairey AS, Mackey JR. Effects of an oncologist's recommendation to exercise on self-reported exercise behavior in newly diagnosed breast cancer survivors: a single-blind, randomized controlled trial. Ann Behav Med. 2004;28(2): 105-13. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Fisher A, Williams K, Beeken R, Wardle J. Recall of physical activity advice was associated with higher levels of physical activity in colorectal cancer patients. BMJ. 2015 Apr 28;5(4): e006853. PubMed | Google Scholar

- Douglas F, Torrance N, Van Teijlingen E, Meloni S, Kerr A. Primary care staff's views and experiences related to routinely advising patients about physical activity; a questionnaire survey. BMC Public Health. 2006 May 23;6: 138. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Sheill G, Guinan E, O Neill L, Hevey D, Hussey J. Physical activity and advanced cancer: the views of chartered physiotherapists in Ireland. Physiother Theory Pract. 2018;34(7): 534-41.
 PubMed | Google Scholar
- Hardcastle SJ, Kane R, Chivers P, Hince D, Dean A, Higgs D *et al*. Knowledge, attitudes, and practice of oncologists and oncology health care providers in promoting physical activity to cancer survivors: an international survey. Support Care Cancer. 2018;26(11): 3711-9.
 PubMed | Google Scholar
- Haussmann A, Ungar N, Gabrian M, Tsiouris A, Sieverding M, Wiskemann J et al. Are healthcare professionals being left in the lurch? The role of structural barriers and information resources to promote physical activity to cancer patients. Support Care Cancer. 2018;26(12): 4087-96. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Spellman C, Craike M, Livingston P. Knowledge, attitudes and practices of clinicians in promoting physical activity to prostate cancer survivors. Health Educ J. 2014;73(5): 566-75. Google Scholar
- Tsiouris A, Ungar N, Haussmann A, Sieverding M, Steindorf K, Wiskemann J. Health care professionals' perception of contraindications for physical activity during cancer treatment. Front Oncol. 2018;8: 1-8. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Fong DYT, Ho JWC, Hui BPH, Lee AM, Macfarlane DJ, Leung SSK *et al*. Physical activity for cancer survivors: meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. BMJ. 2012 Jan 30;344: e70. PubMed | Google Scholar

Article 👌



- Buffart LM, Kalter J, Sweegers MG, Courneya KS, Newton U, Aaronson NK *et al*. Effects and moderators of exercise on quality of life and physical function in patients with cancer: an individual patient data meta-analysis of 34 RCTs. Cancer Treat Rev. 2017 Jan;52: 91-104.
 PubMed| Google Scholar
- Furmaniak AC, Menig M, Markes MH. Exercise for women receiving adjuvant therapy for breast cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016 Sep 21;9(9): CD005001. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Baumann FT, Zopf EM, Bloch W. Clinical exercise interventions in prostate cancer patients-a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Support Care Cancer. 2012;20(2): 221-33. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Gardner JR, Livingston PM, Fraser SF. Effects of exercise on treatment-related adverse effects for patients with prostate cancer receiving androgen-deprivation therapy: a systematic review. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32(4): 335-46.
 PubMed | Google Scholar
- Cavalheri V, Granger C. Preoperative exercise training for patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev Rev. 2017 Jun 7;6(6): CD012020. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Chang JI, Lam V, Patel MI. Preoperative pelvic floor muscle exercise and postprostatectomy incontinence: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Eur Urol. 2016;69(3): 460-7. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Hijazi Y, Gondal U, Aziz O. A systematic review of prehabilitation programs in abdominal cancer surgery. Int J Surg. 2017;39: 156-62.
 PubMed | Google Scholar
- Dittus KL, Gramling RE, Ades PA. Exercise interventions for individuals with advanced cancer: a systematic review. Prev Med (Baltim). 2017;104: 124-32. PubMed| Google Scholar

- Jensen W, Baumann FT, Stein A, Bloch W, Bokemeyer C, De Wit M *et al*. Exercise training in patients with advanced gastrointestinal cancer undergoing palliative chemotherapy: a pilot study. Support Care Cancer. 2014;22(7): 1797-806. **PubMed | Google Scholar**
- Ligibel JA, Giobbie-Hurder A, Shockro L, Campbell N, Partridge AH, Tolaney SM *et al.* Randomized trial of a physical activity intervention in women with metastatic breast cancer. Cancer. 2016;122(8): 1169-77.
 PubMed | Google Scholar
- Cormie P, Zopf EM, Zhang X, Schmitz KH. The impact of exercise on cancer mortality, recurrence, and treatment-related adverse effects. Epidemiol Rev. 2017 Jan 1;39(1): 71-92.
 PubMed | Google Scholar
- Park JH, Oh M, Yoon YJ, Lee CW, Jones LW, Kim S II *et al.* Characteristics of attitude and recommendation of oncologists toward exercise in South Korea: a cross sectional survey study. BMC Cancer. 2015 Apr 10;15: 249. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Karvinen KH, Mcgourty S, Parent T, Walker PR. Physical activity promotion among oncology nurses. Cancer Nurs. 2012;35(3): 41-8.
 PubMed | Google Scholar
- 26. Haussmann A, Ungar N, Tsiouris A, Sieverding M, Wiskemann J, Steindorf K. The influence of cancer patient characteristics on the recommendation of physical activity by healthcare professionals. Int J Behav Med. 2020;27(1): 65-78. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Nadler MB, Desnoyers A, Langelier DM, Amir E. The effect of exercise on quality of life, fatigue, physical function and safety in advanced solid tumor cancers: a meta-analysis of randomized control trials. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2019;58(5): 899-908.e7. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Kessels E, Husson O, Der CM Van. The effect of exercise on cancer-related fatigue in cancer survivors: a systematic review and. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2018 Feb 9;14: 479-494. PubMed | Google Scholar



- Uster A, Ruehlin M, Mey S, Gisi D, Knols R, Imoberdorf R *et al.* Effects of nutrition and physical exercise intervention in palliative cancer patients: a randomized controlled trial. Clin Nutr. 2018 Aug;37(4): 1202-1209.
 PubMed | Google Scholar
- Singh B, Disipio T, Peake J, Hayes SC. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the effects of exercise for those with cancer-related lymphedema. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2016;97(2): 302-315.e13. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Schmitz KH, Courneya KS, Matthews C, Galva DA, Pinto BM, Irwin ML *et al*. American college of sports medicine roundtable on exercise guidelines for cancer survivors. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 2010 Jul;42(7): 1409-26. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Wolin KY, Schwartz AL, Matthews CE, Courneya KS, Schmitz KH. Implementing the Exercise Guidelines for Cancer Survivors. J Support Oncol. 2012;10(5): 171-7. PubMed| Google Scholar
- 33. Smith-Turchyn J, Richardson J, Tozer R, McNeely M, Thabane L. Physical activity and breast cancer: a qualitative study on the barriers to and facilitators of exercise promotion from the perspective of health care professionals. Physiother Canada. 2016;68(4): 383-90. PubMed | Google Scholar
- 34. Nadler M, Bainbridge D, Tomasone J, Cheifetz O, Juergens RA, Sussman J et al. Oncology care provider perspectives on exercise promotion in people with cancer: an examination of knowledge, practices, barriers, and facilitators. Support Care Cancer. 2017 Jul;25(7): 2297-2304. PubMed | Google Scholar

- 35. Sheill G, Guinan E, Neill LO, Hevey D, Hussey J. Physical activity and advanced cancer: the views of oncology and palliative care physicians in Ireland. Ir J Med Sci. 2018;187(2): 337-42. PubMed | Google Scholar
- Van Veen MR, Hoedjes M, Versteegen JJ, Van De Meulengraaf-Wilhelm N, Kampman E, Beijer S. Improving oncology nurses' knowledge about nutrition and physical activity for cancer survivors. Oncol Nurs Forum. 2017;44(4): 488-96. PubMed | Google Scholar
- O'Hanlon E, Kennedy N. Exercise in cancer care in Ireland: a survey of oncology nurses and physiotherapists. Eur J Cancer Care (Engl). 2014;23(5): 630-9. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Ottenbacher A, Yu M, Moser RP, Phillips SM, Alfano C, Perna FM. Population estimates of meeting strength training and aerobic guidelines, by gender and cancer survivorship status: Findings from the health information national trends survey (HINTS). J Phys Act Heal. 2015;12(5): 675-9. PubMed| Google Scholar
- Donnelly CM, Lowe-strong A, Rankin JP, Campbell A, Allen JM, Gracey JH. Physiotherapy management of cancer-related fatigue: a survey of UK current practice. 2010 Jul;18(7): 817-25. PubMed| Google Scholar
- 40. Errihani H, Mrabti H, Sbitti Y, Kaikani W, El Ghissassi I, Afqir S *et al.* Psycho-social and religious impact of cancer diagnosis on Maroccans patients: Experience from the national oncology center of Rabat. Bull Cancer. 2010;97(4): 461-8. **PubMed** | **Google Scholar**

>10 years

*Chi-squared test

Total



-

-

5 (16.7)

30 (19.5)

Table 1: description of participants (N=154) and associations between demographic and professional										
characteristics and their Knowledge about the physical activity benefits in oncology										
Characteristics	Frequency n (%)	Knowledge abo	p-value*							
		benefits in Oncology								
		res n (%)	NO N (%)							
Gender				0.825						
Male	54 (35.1)	44 (81.5)	10 (18.5)	-						
Female	100 (64.9)	80 (0.8)	20 (0.2)	-						
Total	154	124 (80.5)	30 (19.5)	-						
Department				0.283						
Visiting	23 (15.1)	19 (82.6)	4 (17.4)	-						
Hospitalization	70 (46.1)	56 (80.0)	14 (20.0)	-						
Gynecology	22 (14.5)	21 (95.5)	1 (4.5)	-						
Other	37 (24.3)	28 (75.7)	9 (24.3)	-						
Total	152	124 (81.6)	28 (18.4)	-						
Profession				0.016						
Physician	36 (23.4)	34 (94.4)	2 (5.6)	-						
Nurse	118 (76.6)	90 (76.3)	28 (23.7)	-						
Total	154	124 (80.5)	30 (19.5)	-						
Duration of experience				0.723						
<5 years	57 (37.0)	47 (82.5)	10 (17.5)	-						
5-10 years	67 (43.5)	52 (77.6)	15 (22.4)	-						

25 (83.3)

124 (80.5)

30 (19.5)

154





Table 2: views of physicians and nurses about physical activity advice in oncology care										
Items	HCPs	1 n(%)	2 n(%)	3 n(%)	4 n(%)	5 n(%)	p-value*			
Physicians believe that PA improves	Physicians	24 (23.8)	4 (19.0)	5 (26.3)	2 (40.0)	1 (14.3)	0.99			
the quality of life and survival	Nurses	77 (76.2)	17	14 (73.7)	3 (60.0)	6 (85.7)				
			(81.0)							
	Total	101 (66.0)	21	19 (12.4)	5 (3.3)	7 (4.6)				
			(13.7)							
Nurses believe that PA improves	Physicians	31 (22.5)	3 (42.9)	1 (20.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (50.0)	0.45			
quality of life and survival	Nurses	107 (77.5)	4 (57.1)	4 (80.0)	2 (100.0)	1 (50.0)	-			
	Total	138 (89.6)	7 (4.5)	5 (3.2)	2 (1.3)	2 (1.3)				
Patients believe they should stay	Physicians	21 (27.3)	5 (20.8)	3 (13.6)	3 (13.6)	4 (50.0)	0.44			
physically active	Nurses	56 (72.7)	19	19 (86.4)	19 (86.4)	4 (50.0)				
			(79.2)				-			
	Total	77 (50.3)	24	22 (14.4)	22 (14.4)	8 (5.2)				
			(15.7)							
Patients ask for medical advice in	Physicians	18 (20.0)	8 (38.1)	4 (16.0)	2 (66.7)	4 (28.6)	0.30			
order to exercise	Nurses	72 (80.0)	13	21 (84.0)	1 (33.3)	10 (71.4)				
			(61.9)	25 (4 6 2)	2 (1 0)	11(0,1)	-			
	Total	90 (58.8)	21	25 (16.3)	3 (1.9)	14 (9.1)				
			(13.7)		0 (0 0)	a (40 a)	0.00			
Providing PA advice is generally well	Physicians	22 (24.4)	7 (23.3)	5 (25.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (40.0)	0.88			
received by patients	Nurses	68 (75.6)	23	15 (75.0)	5 (100.0)	3 (60.0)				
	Tatal	00 (60 0)	(76.7)	20 (12 2)	F (2, 2)	F (2, 2)	-			
	Total	90 (60.0)	30	20 (13.3)	5 (3.3)	5 (3.3)				
Dationts follow the DA advise siven by	Dhusisians	10 (28 4)	(20.0)	1 (5 0)	4 (22.2)	0 (0 0)	0.00			
Patients follow the PA advice given by	Physicians	19 (28.4)	12	1 (5.0)	4 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	0.09			
	Nurses	18 (71.6)	20.7	19 (95 0)	8 (66 7)	9 (100 0)	-			
	Nulses	40 (7 1.0)	(73 3)	15 (55.0)	0 (00.7)	5 (100.0)				
	Total	67 (43.8)	45	20 (13 1)	12 (7 5)	9 (5 9)	-			
	Total	07 (43.0)	(29.4)	20 (10.1)	12 (7.5)	5 (5.5)				
Families and friends of patients	Physicians	20 (29.9)	5 (17.2)	7 (28.0)	3 (18.8)	1 (6.7)	0.09			
encourage PA	Nurses	47(70.1)	24	18 (72.0)	13 (81.3)	14 (93.3)				
			(82.8)	(/	()	_ (()))				
	Total	67 (44.1)	29	25 (16.4)	16 (10.5)	15 (9.9)	-			
		, ,	(19.1)	, ,						
I need more information to advice PA	Physicians	27 (23.7)	2 (18.2)	2 (22.2)	2 (28.6)	3 (27.3)	0.93			
for patients	Nurses	87 (76.3)	9 (81.8)	7 (77.8)	5 (71.4)	8 (72.7)				
	Total	114 (75.0)	11 (7.2)	9 (5.9)	7 (4.6)	11 (7.2)				
Some patient factors that prevent me	Physicians	24 (21.8)	7 (26.9)	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	4 (66.7)	0.31			
from advising PA: fatigue ()	Nurses	86 (78.2)	19	6 (100.0)	3 (75.0)	2 (33.3)				
			(73.1)							
	Total	110 (72.4)	26	6 (3.9)	4 (2.6)	6 (3.9)				
			(17.1)							
It is necessary to have PA program at	Physicians	27 (20.9)	2 (20.0)	1 (14.3)	1 (100.0)	5 (83.3)	0.04			
the hospital	Nurses	102 (79.1)	8 (80.0)	6 (85.7)	0 (0.0)	1 (16.7)				
	Total	129 (84.3)	10 (6.5)	7 (4.6)	1 (0.6)	6 (3.9)				
1) Strongly agree; 2) agree; 3): neither agree or disagree; 4): disagree; 5): strongly disagree; (*): Mann-Withney test; () Other										
patient factors proposed for participants: advanced cancer, nausea, lymphedema, leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, cachexia										







Figure 1: diagram of survey participation



■Physicians ■Nurses

Figure 2: sources of knowledge on physical activity in oncology care





Figure 3: stages of cancer care pathway where physical activity is beneficial