

Some physico-chemical characteristics of ground water in Rwanda

H. Nsengimana*, F. Masengesho*, D. Kalisa Nyirimbibi*

^aNational University of Rwanda, Faculty of Science, Deapartment of Chemistry, PO Box 117 Butare, Rwanda, email: hnsengimana@nur.ac.rw, nhermo@gmail.com; Tel: +250788416745

Abstract

The aim of this study was to assess the quality of groundwater from different regions in Rwanda.

*Around 40 samples from springs, boreholes and wells were analyzed from 2004 to 2009. Apart from the *in situ* analysis of temperature, pH, conductivity and TDS using multimeter, conductimeter and pH-meter; nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus compounds), fluorides and chlorides were analyzed by UV-Spectrophotometer while heavy metals (Pb, Cr, Cd, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu) were analyzed by Atomic Absorption Spectrometer. The results were compared to the World Health Organization (WHO) standard for drinking water.*

It was found that 69.4% for pH, 74.07% for conductivity, 5.5 % for the total hardness, 23.8% for TDS of the samples were above the WHO limits. The nutrients results showed that 9.09% for nitrates, 43.33% nitrites of samples exceeded the recommended. The heavy metals concentrations (Pb, Cd, Cr, Fe and Mn levels) in some of the samples were found to be above the guidelines of WHO with 8% for Fe, 39% for Cr, 69.7% for Mn, 60% for Pb, 56% for Cd and 3.3% for Cu.

These results indicated different levels of pollution in groundwater of Rwanda. A detailed study looking at different plausible pollution sources should be conducted in order to validate the results and advice on environmental protection of this precious source of drinking water.

Key words: groundwater, heavy metals, pollution, toxicity

1. Introduction

As the world is ushered into the modern era of civilization, water and its management will continue to be a major issue, which will definitely have profound impact on our lives and that of our planet Earth than ever before (Herschy, 1999). Water is indeed life and thus the most important natural

resource without which life would be nonexistent. Availability of safe and reliable source of water is an essential prerequisite for sustained development.

Water pollution is an old phenomenon, the rate of industrialization and consequently, urbanization has exacerbated its effect on the environment. Water pollution is of grave consequence because both terrestrial and aquatic life may be poisoned; it may cause disease due to the presence of some hazardous substances, distort the water quality, add odors, and significantly hinder economic activities.

Groundwater is one of the major sources of freshwater to human society and has been considered to be a readily source of water for domestic, agriculture and industrial use (Biswas 1997 In Rwanda, groundwater provides 10% of the overall drinking water that is distributed by the energy, water and sanitation corporation (EWSA).

Data on ground water and aquifers in Rwanda is incomplete. However information available estimates that the discharge for the available resource is 66m³/second and there are about 22,000 recognized sources which have a discharge of 9.0 m³/second (NBI, 2005 and Kabalisa, 2006). Rwanda's population is estimated to be about 8.2 million with an annual growth rate of about 3.8%. It is therefore expected to rise to about 13 million inhabitants by 2020 (Vision, 2020). Given its small land surface area at approximately 26,338 square kilometres, Rwanda is one of the most densely populated countries in Africa, with a population density estimated at 401.4 inhabitants per square meter. Thus, this demographic increase would put pressure on water resources.

Over 80% of the diseases that afflict Rwandans are waterborne. Thus, access to safe water is a precondition for improving environmental and personal health. There are no available water quality data on ground water in Rwanda. It is therefore pertinent that, somehow, water quality of a big number of usable springs, wells and boreholes that abound in Rwanda should be investigated. The main aim of this study therefore, is to assess the Rwandan ground waters which may serve as a baseline for further update.

2. Materials and methods

Around 40 samples from springs, boreholes and wells were analyzed from 2004 to 2009. Samples were collected in clean polyethylene bottles to avoid any contamination and brought to the laboratory and stored at 4°C. Nitric acid was added to the samples in which cations would be analyzed.

Sampling was done at the confluence of two rivers, three samples have been taken; one sample in each river and the third sample after the confluence at different distance to allow a complete mixture of the river water. The pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), salinity, conductivity and temperature were measured in-situ.

The alkalinity, acidity, total hardness, calcium hardness and chlorides were determined by volumetric analysis (Alexeev.V1980).

Nitrites, sulfates, phosphates, fluorides, ammonia, copper and manganese are measured by colorimetric method using Hach Spectrophotometer model DR 2000. To assess the levels of the heavy metals, filtered sample were analysed using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) model AA 10 PLUS.

3. Results and discussion

Table 1 shows physico-chemical characteristics of the analysed samples. The temperature of majority of springs, boreholes and wells fell in range of 15.2 to 24.7°C.

Turbidity revealed that approximately 25% of these waters were above the World Health Organization (WHO) drinking water standard which is 5 NTU. 20% of the samples were up to the maximum admissible concentration of total dissolved solids as stipulated by WHO. The conductivity varies between 87.3 and 1160.33µS/cm whereas 74.07% of the entire samples were above the WHO limits for drinking water (500µS/cm). The pH revealed that 30% of the samples were in range of guideline limit for waters requiring simple physical treatment and disinfection that is (6.5 - 8.5) and 70% fell out of the range.

The total hardness was varying between 9.01 and 652.6mg/l , and 61.1% of the entire samples were below the WHO limits,33.3% fell in 100-300mg/l range stipulated by WHO while 5.5% were above the WHO limits. The nitrites and nitrates have been assessed in twenty five samples only; the nitrates had 9.09% of samples exceeding the recommended standard of 30mg/l and the nitrites had 43.33% of samples exceeding the recommended standard of 0.015mg/l. The phosphates and sulfates have been respectively assessed in 22 and 21samples; 72.7% of them exceed the WHO limits in Phosphates while all samples respect the WHO limits in sulfates.

Heavy metals assessment (table 1) showed that copper has been assessed in thirty samples and not detected in eight samples. Copper levels did not show major effect on the environment. 69.7% of the entire batch of samples was above the manganese WHO standards of 0.05mg/l. The presence of

Manganese in groundwater has been linked to the geology of the area (Mena et al., 1967). Chromium has been assessed in 23 samples where 39% were found exceeding the WHO standard of 0.05mg/l, indeed Rwakibirizi, Mpenge and Kigombe springs are highly contaminated by chromium. This may be linked to the volcanic rocks as these springs are situated in the volcanic region (Q'Flaherty, 1995). Lead has been assessed in 25 samples and the results in table1 showed that 60% of them were above the WHO (1998) limits of 0.01mg/l for drinking water. Due to high toxicity of lead, it is imperative to monitor this pollutant in the affected springs. Cadmium assessment in 25 samples showed that 56% of them had excess Cd above WHO limits. The well of Gahanga had a very high concentration in cadmium which is probably related to contamination from the landfill site situated in its vicinity. 18% of samples showed high level exceeding the WHO limits.

Table 1: Some physico-chemical parameters of ground water in Rwanda

| Spring/Borehole/Well | District | T(°C) | PH | COND (mg/l) | TURBIDITY (mg/l) | D.O. (mg/l) | TDS (mg/l) | ALKALINITY (mg/l) |
|----------------------|--------------|-------|-------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|
| RWAMUHINDA | HUYE | 21,8 | 6,85 | 87,3 | | 4,57 | | 4,33 |
| KANYINYA | HUYE | 21,7 | 6,44 | 72 | | 5,27 | | 2,67 |
| KABASENGO | HUYE | 21,7 | 6,12 | 120 | | 2,93 | | 4 |
| KANEKE | HUYE | 22,7 | 6 | 146,3 | | 4,07 | | 4,33 |
| RWAMUHIRE | HUYE | 22 | 5,49 | 174,3 | | 2,93 | | 4,67 |
| NYAMUKO | HUYE | 21,8 | 6,15 | 83,3 | | 3,13 | | 5 |
| KAMIRAHUYE | HUYE | 24 | 6,02 | 160,7 | ND | | 421 | 24 |
| RWEZAMENYO | HUYE | 24 | 6,03 | 247,8 | | 24 | 212 | 36 |
| NANGAMATIKU | HUYE | 24 | 6,08 | 415,2 | ND | | 301 | 36 |
| NTAKANANIRA | HUYE | | 5,46 | | | | | |
| KAGURU | HUYE | | 4,835 | | | | | |
| BUSHENYI | HUYE | | 5,085 | | | | | |
| GIKONDO I | KICUKIRO | 20 | 7 | 848,3 | | 6,5 | 6,2 | 562,6 |
| II | | 21,3 | 5,5 | 453 | | 4 | 3,8 | 288 |
| III | | 19,16 | 7,16 | 769,3 | | 7,6 | 6,4 | 510,6 |
| GITICYINYONI | NYARURUGENGE | 23,26 | 5,08 | 331 | | | 2,87 | 218,33 |
| GATSATA | NYARURUGENGE | 23,33 | 5,33 | 233,6 | | | 3,2 | 233 |
| GAHANGA | KICUKIRO | 22,3 | 6,6 | 256 | | 13 | | 114,8 |
| UMUSHUMBA | GASABO | 22,5 | 6,2 | 1062 | ND | | | 541 |
| KAVURE | | 22,4 | 6,2 | 478 | ND | | | 248 |
| RWABIDOMO | NYARURUGENGE | 22,5 | 6,5 | 450 | ND | | | 226 |
| KANYINYA | NYARURUGENGE | 21,6 | 6,2 | 329 | ND | | | 164,7 |
| GITICYINYONI | NYARURUGENGE | 21,7 | 6 | 308 | ND | | | 151,4 |
| BOREHOLE | | | | | | | | |
| NYAKARIBA | NYARURUGENGE | 21,6 | 6,1 | 438 | ND | | | 190 |
| KABAKENE | KICUKIRO | 21,5 | 5,8 | 420 | ND | | | 210 |
| KANENGWA | KICUKIRO | 21,8 | 6,2 | 518 | ND | | | 257 |
| CHEZ CARLOS | KICUKIRO | 23,6 | 6,2 | 764 | ND | | | 386 |
| UNILAK | GASABO | 20,5 | 6,4 | 840 | ND | | | 328 |
| BOREHOLE | | | | | | | | |
| MARUMBA | NYAMAGABE | 18,1 | 4,8 | 26,4 | | 0,5 | | 5 |
| KIGOMBE | MUSANZE | 22,36 | 7,66 | 1160,33 | | 3,33 | 5,33 | 812,23 |
| MPENGE | MUSANZE | 22,23 | 7,16 | 855,33 | | 1,66 | 6,06 | 601,06 |
| MUKABERE | NYABIHU | 15,2 | 6,89 | 228 | | 6 | 111,7 | 40 |
| HESHA | NYABIHU | 15,8 | 7,2 | 329 | | 4 | 162,6 | 42 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|------|------|-------|-------|--|-------|----|
| MUKAMIRA | NYABIHU | 16,3 | 6,92 | 195 | 10 | | 95,6 | 24 |
| NYAKAGEZI | GISAGARA | 24,7 | 6,6 | 110,8 | 1,405 | | 52,55 | 22 |
| RWAKIBIRIZI | BUGESERA | 22,4 | 5,43 | 112,4 | 1,09 | | 52,7 | ND |

Table 1: Some physico-chemical parameters of ground water in Rwanda (continuation)

| Spring/Borehole/Well | DISTRICT | COLOUR | S.M | T.H | Tca | TMg | NH ₄ OH | Cl(mg/l) | F'(mg/l) |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------|----------|----------|
| | | (PtCo) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | | |
| RWAMUHINDA | HUYE | | | 19,69 | 8,007 | 11,683 | 0,0467 | 11,36 | |
| KANYINYA | HUYE | | | 15,35 | 6,01 | 9,34 | 0,0233 | 15,62 | |
| KABASENGO | HUYE | | | 40,7 | 18,683 | 12,017 | 0,0233 | 21,3 | |
| KANEKE | HUYE | | | 29,697 | 14,341 | 11,17 | ND | 15,62 | |
| RWAMUHIRE | HUYE | | | 9,01 | 5,673 | 3,337 | ND | 13,287 | |
| NYAMUKO | HUYE | | | 18,027 | 10,677 | 7,35 | 0,0233 | 1,42 | |
| KAMIRAHUYE | HUYE | 4 | | 80 | 64 | 16 | ND | 2,6 | 0,48 |
| RWEZAMENYO | HUYE | 16 | | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0,17 | 1,14 | 0,16 |
| NANGAMATIKU | HUYE | 19 | | 84 | 68 | 16 | ND | 3,2 | 0,002 |
| NTAKANANIRA | HUYE | | | 33 | | | 0,144 | | |
| KAGURU | HUYE | | | 48 | | | 0,148 | | |
| BUSHENYI | HUYE | | | 54 | | | 0,212 | | |
| GIKONDO I | KICUKIRO | 33,5 | 6,4 | 250,6 | 239,3 | 11,3 | 0,3741 | 94,16 | 0,12 |
| II | | 24,5 | 2,6 | 100 | 48,6 | 11,4 | 0,1032 | 49,16 | 0,076 |
| III | | 35,6 | 5,8 | 105,6 | 195,6 | 10 | 0,4472 | 102,6 | 0,29 |
| GITICYINYONI | NYARUGENGE | 0,66 | 1,66 | 82,66 | 58,66 | 24 | | 16,26 | 0,05 |
| GATSATA | NYARUGENGE | ND | 1 | 84 | 57,33 | 26,67 | | 33,9 | 0,053 |
| GAHANGA | KICUKIRO | | | 71 | 16,03 | | | | |
| UMUSHUMBA MWIZA | KICUKIRO | | | 272 | 109,1 | | | | |
| KAVURE | NYARUGENGE | | | 145 | 33,04 | | | | |
| RWABIDOMO | NYARUGENGE | | | 101,8 | 31,26 | | | | |
| KANYINYA | NYARUGENGE | | | 92 | 21,24 | | | | |
| GITICYINYONI BOREHOLE | NYARUGENGE | | | 51 | 27,65 | | | | |
| NYAKARIBA | NYARUGENGE | | | 134 | 39,27 | | | | |
| KABAKENE | KICUKIRO | | | 80 | 9,21 | | | | |
| KANENGWA | KICUKIRO | | | 126 | 20,04 | | | | |
| CHEZ CARLOS | KICUKIRO | | | 198 | 20,84 | | | | |
| UNILAK BOREHOLE | GASABO | | | 224 | 116,2 | | | | |
| MARUMBA | NYAMAGABE | | | 18 | 2,5 | 2,3 | | 0,8 | 0,5 |
| KIGOMBE | MUSANZE | 23,66 | 5,3 | 652,6 | 383,6 | 269,3 | | 0,23 | 0,47 |
| MPENGE | MUSANZE | 11 | 2 | 466,6 | 297,6 | 169 | | 0,3 | 0,37 |
| MUKABERE | NYABIHU | 2 | | 103 | 34 | 31,7 | | 1,9 | 0,39 |
| HESHA | NYABIHU | 12 | | 147 | 60 | 26 | | 2,2 | 0,49 |
| MUKAMIRA | NYABIHU | 38 | | 80 | 30 | 21 | | 1,5 | 0,42 |
| NYAKAGEZI | GISAGARA | 6,5 | | 72 | 46 | 26 | 0,0967 | 8,2 | 0,005 |
| RWAKIBIRIZI | BUGESERA | | | 32 | 9,6 | 2 | | 1,1 | 0,08 |

Table 1: Some physico-chemical parameters of ground water in Rwanda (continuation)

| Spring/Borehole/Well | | NO ₂ ⁻ | NO ₃ ⁻ | SO ₄ ²⁻ | PO ₄ ³⁻ | Cu | Mn |
|-----------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) |
| RWAMUHINDA | HUYE | 0 | 6,5179 | 1 | 0,00619 | 0,02 | 0,06 |
| KANYINYA | HUYE | 0,013 | 4,2783 | 1 | 0,00155 | 0,02 | 0,08 |
| KABASENGO | HUYE | 0,0066 | 6,2245 | 1 | 0,00039 | 0,02 | 0,16 |
| KANEKE | HUYE | 0,0077 | 10,5277 | 1,33 | 0,00696 | ND | 0,14 |
| RWAMUHIRE | HUYE | 0,019 | 10,5397 | 2,67 | 0,00696 | ND | 0,13 |
| NYAMUKO | HUYE | 0,0077 | 4,052 | 2 | 0,00541 | ND | 0,09 |
| KAMIRAHUYE | HUYE | 0,001 | 11,5 | 6 | 0,48 | | |
| RWEZAMENYO | HUYE | 0,003 | 2,6 | 13 | 0,14 | | |
| NANGAMATIKU | HUYE | 0,201 | 13,7 | 4 | 0,16 | | |
| NTAKANANIRA | HUYE | 0,077 | 18,65 | | | | 0,02 |
| KAGURU | HUYE | 0,076 | 56,15 | | | | 0,048 |
| BUSHENYI | HUYE | 0,069 | 57,9 | | | | 0,275 |
| GIKONDO I | KICUKIRO | 0,0198 | 20,68 | 36,3 | 0,61 | ND | 0,136 |
| II | | 0,0243 | 9,53 | 16,6 | 0,54 | ND | 0,504 |
| III | | 0,0777 | 7,53 | 30,6 | 0,35 | ND | 1,32 |
| GITICYINYONI | NYARUGENGE | 0,0152 | 56,16 | | 0,18 | 0,06 | 0,064 |
| GATSATA | NYARUGENGE | 0,022 | 23,9 | | 0,383 | 0,01 | 0,603 |
| GAHANGA | KICUKIRO | | | | | ND | 2,135 |
| UMUSHUMBA MWIZA | KICUKIRO | | | | | 0,01 | 0,025 |
| KAVURE | NYARUGENGE | | | | | 0,02 | 0,45 |
| RWABIDOMO | NYARUGENGE | | | | | 0,01 | 0,034 |
| KANYINYA | NYARUGENGE | | | | | 0,05 | 0,138 |
| GITICYINYONI BOREHOLE | NYARUGENGE | | | | | 0,02 | 0,052 |
| NYAKARIBA | NYARUGENGE | | | | | 0,04 | 0,027 |
| KABAKENE | KICUKIRO | | | | | 0,03 | 0,383 |
| KANENGWA | KICUKIRO | | | | | 0,01 | 0,008 |
| CHEZ CARLOS | KICUKIRO | | | | | 0,01 | 0,228 |
| UNILAK BOREHOLE | GASABO | | | | | ND | 0,661 |
| MARUMBA | NYAMAGABE | 0,004 | 5 | 0,6 | 0,16 | 0,02 | 0,95 |
| KIGOMBE | MUSANZE | 0,263 | 35,05 | 6 | 0,58 | <0.01 | 0,081 |
| MPENGE | MUSANZE | 0,017 | 17,06 | 3 | 0,6 | <0.01 | 0,059 |
| MUKABERE | NYABIHU | 0,007 | 0,4 | 11 | 0,91 | <0.04 | 0,027 |
| HESHA | NYABIHU | 0,006 | 0,3 | 17 | 0,71 | 0,01 | 0,01 |
| MUKAMIRA | NYABIHU | 0,04 | 0,3 | 11 | 0,75 | 0,01 | 0,04 |
| NYAKAGEZI | GISAGARA | 0,0049 | 14,96 | 9 | 0,135 | 0,01 | 0,053 |
| RWAKIBIRIZI | BUGESERA | ND | 1,3 | 4 | 0,31 | 0,01 | ND |

Table 1: Some physico-chemical parameters of ground water in Rwanda (continuation)

| Spring/Borehole/Well | DISTRICT | Cr(mg/l) | Pb(mg/l) | Cd(mg/l) | Zn(mg/l) | Fe (mg/l) |
|--------------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| RWAMUHINDA | HUYE | 0,62 | ND | ND | | 0,42 |
| KANYINYA | HUYE | 0,55 | ND | ND | | 0,95 |
| KABASENGO | HUYE | 0,72 | ND | ND | | 0,65 |
| KANEKE | HUYE | 0,67 | ND | ND | | 0,47 |
| RWAMUHIRE | HUYE | 0,82 | ND | ND | | 0,52 |
| NYAMUKO | HUYE | 0,7 | ND | ND | | 0,65 |
| KAMIRAHUYE | HUYE | | | | | |
| RWEZAMENYO | HUYE | | | | | |
| NANGAMATIKU | HUYE | | | | | |
| NTAKANANIRA | HUYE | | | | | 0,305 |
| KAGURU | HUYE | | | | | 0,24 |
| BUSHENYI | HUYE | | | | | 0,21 |
| GIKONDOR I | | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0,03 |
| II | | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0,05 |
| III | | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0,12 |
| GITYICINYONI | NYARUGENGE | | 0,006 | 0,02 | 0,076 | 0,593 |
| GATSATA | NYARUGENGE | | 0,04 | ND | 0,08 | 0,603 |
| GAHANGA | KICUKIRO | 0,012 | 0,055 | 39 | ND | 0,18 |
| UMUSHUMBA MWIZA | KICUKIRO | 0,043 | 0,24 | 0,03 | 0,026 | 0,02 |
| KAVURE | NYARUGENGE | 0,033 | 0,131 | 0,049 | 0,05 | 0,02 |
| RWABIDOMO | NYARUGENGE | 0,05 | 0,511 | 0,023 | 0,12 | ND |
| KANYINYA | NYARUGENGE | 0,039 | 0,039 | 0,029 | 0,7 | 0,02 |
| GITYICINYONI BOREHOLE | NYARUGENGE | 0,05 | 0,186 | 0,236 | 0,16 | 0,01 |
| NYAKARIBA | NYARUGENGE | 0,001 | 0,208 | 0,034 | 0,24 | 0,02 |
| KABAKENE | KICUKIRO | ND | 0,175 | 0,042 | 0,03 | 0,31 |
| KANENGWA | KICUKIRO | ND | 0,15 | 0,046 | 0,05 | ND |
| CHEZ CARLOS | KICUKIRO | 0,028 | 0,193 | 0,049 | 0,03 | 0,2 |
| UNILAK BOREHOLE | GASABO | ND | 0,28 | 0,055 | 0,01 | ND |
| MARUMBA | NYAMAGABE | | | | 0,2 | 0,13 |
| KIGOMBE | MUSANZE | 5,4 | <0.5 | <0.1 | <0.01 | 0,18 |
| MPENGE | MUSANZE | 6,37 | <0.5 | <0.1 | <0.01 | 0,04 |
| MUKABERE | NYABIHU | | | | 0.01-2 | ND |
| HESHA | NYABIHU | | | | 0.01-2 | 0,06 |
| MUKAMIRA | NYABIHU | | | | 0.01-2 | 0,28 |
| NYAKAGEZI | GISAGARA | | | | ND | 0,035 |
| RWAKIBIRIZI | BUGESERA | 2,06 | 0,24 | 0,01 | 0,13 | 0,05 |

4. Conclusion

Groundwater from different region in Rwanda was assessed using around 40 water samples from spring, wells and boreholes. It was found that 69.4% for pH, 74.07% for conductivity, 5.5 % for the total hardness, and 23.8% for TDS of the samples were above the WHO drinking water limits. The nutrients results showed that 9.09% for nitrates, 43.33% nitrites of samples exceeded the recommended standards. The heavy metals (Pb, Cd, Cr, Fe and Mn levels) indicated in some of the samples concentrations above the guidelines of WHO with 8% for Fe, 39% for Cr, 69.7% for Mn, 60% for Pb, 56% for Cd and 3.3% for Cu.

A detailed monitoring study looking at different plausible pollution sources should be conducted in order to construct a solid database on groundwater quality in Rwanda.

References

1. Dhoeller H., 1962. Les eaux souterraines, Masson&Cie, Paris,
2. Gore A., 1993. Earth in the balance. Ecology and the human spirit. Penguin Books, New York.
3. Goyer RA., 1993. Lead toxicity: Current concerns. Environ Health Perspec, 100: 177–187.
4. Herschy RW., 1999. Hydrometry Principles and practices. (2nd edition), John Wiley & Sons, Chichester.
5. Hodgson E, Levi PE., 1987. A textbook of modern toxicology. Elsevier, London.
6. Iyakare J.D., 2007. Etude comparée des paramètres physico-chimiques et bactériologiques des cours d'eau issues des sources pérennes dans le district de Musanze (cas de Mpene et Kigombe), mémoire, NUR
7. Kura-Manzi, JC., 2005. Etude de quelques paramètres de qualité des eaux de boisson dans la ville de Butare. Mémoire NUR
8. Mena I, Meurin O, Feunzobda S, Cotzias GC., 1967. Chronic manganese poisoning: clinical picture and manganese turnover. Neurology, 17 (1967), pp. 128–136.
9. Mukarunyana, B., 2005. Etude comparative des paramètres physico-chimiques et bactériologiques des eaux des sources dans la mairie de la ville de Kigali cas de Giticyinyinyoni et Gatsata. Mémoire NUR
10. Munyabuhoro S., 2005. Contribution à l'étude bactériologique et physico-chimiques de l'eau de source destinée à la consommation : cas de sources de Cyarwa et de Tumba en ville de Butare. Memoire NUR
11. Murenzi R., 2006. Controle de la qualité des sources d'eau situées au parc industriel de Gikondo. Mémoire NUR.

12. Mushokambere J.D and Havugabaramye C., 2009. Contribution a l'étude des paramètres physico-chimiques de la source de Rwakibilizi, district de Bugesera. Mémoire NUR.
13. Nash L (1993). Water Quality and health. In: Gleick, O., (ed). Water in Crisis: A guide to the world's fresh water resources. Oxford University Press, New York.
14. Ndamyumugabe. A and Nshimiye Mungu JC., 2009. Ground water vulnerability in Kigali city contribution to the physico-chemical and microbiological study. Memoire NUR.
15. Ngayubwiko J.B., 2007. Etude des paramètres physico-chimiques et bactériologiques ainsi que leur impact sur l'eau de consommation (cas du centre de Mukamira et ses environs). Mémoire NUR
16. Nyahorendore, E., 2009. Contribution à l'étude physico-chimique et bactériologique des eaux de consommation de la source Marumba. Mémoire NUR.
17. Nziruturande E., 2007. Analyse physico-chimique et bactériologique des eaux non traitées des sources destinées à la consommation : cas de Kamirahuye, Nangamatiku et de Rwezamenyo du secteur Huye. Mémoire NUR.
18. Olshansky S, Carnes B, Rogers R, Smith L (1997). Infectious diseases - New and ancient threat to world health. Population bull. 52, pp 1-52
19. Robins N.S., (1998). Groundwater pollution, aquifer recharge and vulnerability, Geology society special publication, no 130.
20. Tuyiringire N., 2007. Etude des paramètres physico-chimiques et bactériologiques de l'eau de consommation de la source (cas de Nyakagezi) située dans le centre de Kansi. Mémoire NUR
21. United Nations Commission on Sustainable Development. (UNCSD), 2000. Comprehensive assessment of the Freshwater Resources of the World. UN, New York.
22. United States Agency for International Development (USAID), 1990. Strategies for linking water and sanitation programs to child survival. USAID, Washington, D.C.,
23. Warner D., 1998. Drinking water supply and environmental sanitation for health. Presented at the International Conference of Water and Sustainable Development, Paris.
24. World Health Organization., 1997. Health and Environment in Sustainable Development. Five years after the earth summit. (WHO) Geneva, (WHO/EHG/97.8).