

Effects of sesame meal on intake, digestibility, rumen characteristics, chewing activity and growth of lambs

B. Ghorbani, A. Teimouri Yansari[#] & A. Jafari Sayyadi

Department of Animal Science, College of Animal Science and Aquaculture, Sari University of Agriculture and Natural Resources University (SANRU), Iran

(Received 22 March 2016; Accepted 18 June 2017; First published online 30 November 2017)

Copyright resides with the authors in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 South African License.
See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/za>
Condition of use: The user may copy, distribute, transmit and adapt the work, but must recognize the authors and the South African Journal of Animal Science.

Abstract

Two experiments were carried out to determine ruminal degradability of sesame meal (SSM) and its effects on intake, digestibility, rumen parameters, chewing activity, and lamb performance when it replaced soybean meal (SBM). Degradability of dry matter (DM) and crude protein (CP) were determined with the nylon bag technique using three fistulated Zel ewes. The quickly and potentially degradable DM of SSM was lower, but their degradation rates of DM were similar. The quickly degradable protein in the SSM was greater, but the slowly degradable protein of SSM was lower. Potential degradable protein of SBM was greater. The degradation rate of protein was greater in the SSM. Thirty Zel lambs were assigned to five treatments, namely 1) control diet that contained SBM, and 2), 3), 4) and 5) diets that contained 25, 50, 75, and 100% DM of SSM partially or entirely replacing SBM and part of barley grain. There was no difference in the intakes of DM, CP, ether extract (EE), and non-fibre carbohydrate (NFC) among treatments, but neutral detergent fibre (NDF) intake increased when the SSM inclusion rate was increased. Digestibility of DM and EE, passage rate, and total mean retention time differed, but the digestibility of NDF, CP, and NFC, rumen liquid pH and $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$, passage rate, rumen retention time, eating time, rumination, total chewing activity, DM intake, daily gain, feed conversion ratio, carcass yield and characteristics were not different between treatments. Replacing the SBM with SSM in lamb, improved intake, digestibility, and rumen condition, without reduction in performance and carcass composition.

Keywords: Carcass characteristic, feedlotting, lamb, mean retention time, ruminal degradability, soybean meal

[#] Corresponding author: astymori@yahoo.com

Introduction

Sheep feed costs represent almost 65% to 70% of intensive production system input. Attempts have been made to reduce feed costs by using cheaper alternative sources of protein and energy. Therefore, it is essential to incorporate cheap local materials in animal feeds from agricultural and industrial processing. Sesame meal (SSM) is a relatively good protein source that can be used in ruminants without any harmful effects to improve dry matter intake (DMI) and CP, fibre and EE digestibility (Khan *et al.*, 1998; Obeidat & Gharaybeh, 2011). However, sesame seed has high amounts of oxalate and phytic acid, which reduces the bioavailability of its calcium, but dehulling reduces its oxalic acid contents (FAO, 1990). Additional advantages are that sesame seed contains about 50% oil and 20–25% CP (Obeidat *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, it can be used as a protein supplement to replace traditional sources such as SBM in feeding sheep to help reduce feed cost.

Few studies have evaluated the effect of using SSM to feed sheep (Omar, 2002; Obeidat *et al.*, 2009; Obeidat & Aloqaily, 2010; Hassan *et al.*, 2013). Omar (2002) reported that the inclusion of the SSM at 10% and 20% improved digestibility of protein and fibre, average daily gain (ADG), feed conversion ratio (FCR), and the ratio of the cost of feed to gain (kg) in growing Awassi lambs. Obeidat *et al.* (2009) found that when SBM was replaced with SSM (with 46% CP), finishing performance improved, and cost of production diminished, without detrimental effects to carcass characteristics or meat quality of Awassi lambs. Also, Obeidat & Aloqaily (2010) found that when SBM and barley grain were replaced by sesame hull at levels of

12.5% and 25%, the finishing performance of Awassi lambs was improved and the cost of production was reduced. Pollot *et al.* (1978) found that Sudan Desert male and female lambs fed on rations containing 40% sesame cake achieved growth rates of 150 and 106 g/day, respectively. In addition, Obeidat & Gharaybeh (2011) reported that replacing barley and SBM with sesame hull in finishing diets of Black goat kids improved nutrient intake and ADG, and reduced cost of gain. Hassan *et al.* (2013) found that the addition of the sesame cake up to 20% led to satisfactory feedlot performance, and improved carcass yield in comparison with groundnut cake. However, little attention has been paid to ruminal degradability and use of SSM in sheep feeding. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine ruminal degradability parameters of DM and CP of SSM, and to evaluate the effect of replacing SBM with SSM on feed intake, nutrient digestibility, ADG, carcass characteristics, and meat quality of growing Zel lambs.

Material and methods

In the first experiment, the rumen degradation of DM and protein for SBM and SSM was determined with the *in situ* method, using three fistulated Zel ewes (approximately two years old, average bodyweight (BW) 30 ± 2 kg). Each sheep had access to clean drinking water *ad libitum* and was housed in 1 m \times 1.5 m individual pens in a shed. The sheep were fed at maintenance level with diets contained 80.0, 10.0, 3.0, 2.0, 2.0, 2.0, and 0.1% of DM corn silage, ground barley, cottonseed meal, wheat bran, beet pulp, rice straw, and mineral and vitamin supplement, respectively. Four bags of 3 g of DM of test SBM or SSM were weighed in nylon bags (6 cm \times 7.5 cm, polyamide, 26% porosity $40 \pm 10\mu$ pore size), which were closed with a heat sealer, and incubated in the rumen for each of the following periods, namely 0, 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, 72, and 96 hours. However, the 0 hour bags were not incubated, but left and processed with the rest of the bags at the end of all incubations. All incubations started after feeding in the morning. Bags were attached to a plastic tube (5-mm diameter), which was fixed to the outside of the fistula with a string. The bags and the tubes had free movement inside the rumen and reticulum. On removal, bags were washed in cold water until the effluent ran clear. The bags were dried in an oven at 55 °C for 48 hours, and weighed. Following the weighing, bags were opened, and residues from the four bags for each period were homogenized and placed in tightly capped plastic bottles. Samples were analysed for DM, organic matter (OM), Kjeldahl nitrogen (AOAC, 2000), and NDF (Van Soest *et al.*, 2001). The nitrogen fractions, defined according to the Cornell net carbohydrate and protein system (CNCPS), were determined using the methods described by Licitra *et al.* (1996). All analyses were made on combined residues of the four bags. The analyses were run in duplicate and rerun separately when differences were greater than 3% and sufficient residue was available. The potentially degradable fraction was calculated as 100 minus the 0-h fraction.

Kinetics of DM, CP, and NDF disappearance *in situ* were estimated by the nonlinear regression procedure of SAS (2005). For each sample, the following model was fitted to the percentage of disappearance of DM, CP, and NDF (Ørskov & McDonald, 1979):

$$Y = a + b(1 - \exp^{-K_d T})$$

Where: a = soluble fraction (%)

b = slowly digestible fraction (%)

K_d = fractional rate of disappearance (%/h)

T , = time of incubation (h)

The equation $ED = [a + b \times K_d / (K_d + K_p)]$ was used to calculate effective degradability (ED). In this equation, K_p represents the rumen flow rate of particles, which theoretically were considered equal to 0.02 (maintenance level), 0.04 and 0.06 %/h (Table 1).

This experiment was designed as a complete randomized design with SBM and SSM as two treatments with four replications in each sheep. Data of the constants were analysed with the GLM procedure of SAS[®] (2005). Means were separated using Duncan's multiple range tests with an alpha level of 0.05.

Table 1 Ruminal dry matter degradability, and effective degradability of sesame and soybean meal after incubation in the rumen of Zel ewes with ruminal cannula using the nylon bag technique

Digestion parameters ¹	Sesame meal	Soybean meal	SEM	P-value
Dry matter				
a (%) ²	19.23 ^b	26.61 ^a	0.0118	0.0114
b (%) ³	64.14	68.35	0.0203	0.2161
(a+b) (%) ⁴	83.37 ^b	94.96 ^a	0.0271	0.0391
C (%) ⁵	16.63 ^a	5.04 ^b	0.0271	0.0391
K _d (%/h) ⁶	3.43	3.37	0.0056	0.9475
Effective degradability on different K _p (%/h) ⁷				
0.02	58.69 ^b	69.37 ^a	0.007	0.0004
0.04	47.93 ^b	57.77 ^a	0.0091	0.0016
0.06	41.84 ^b	51.13 ^a	0.0089	0.0018
Crude protein				
a (%)	13.85 ^a	10.79 ^b	0.0042	0.0065
b (%)	53.34 ^b	80.00 ^a	0.0204	0.0008
(a+b) (%)	67.19 ^b	90.79 ^a	0.0212	0.0014
C (%)	32.8 ^a	9.21 ^b	0.0014	0.0014
K _d (%/h)	3.68 ^a	3.29 ^b	0.0015	0.0346
Effective degradability on different K _p (%/h)				
0.02	48.42 ^b	60.54 ^a	0.0031	<0.0001
0.04	39.42 ^b	46.89 ^a	0	<0.0001
0.06	34.14 ^b	39.12 ^a	0.0018	0.0003

^{a,b}Row means with different superscripts differ significantly at $P < 0.05$

¹For each sample, the model $D = a + b(1 - \exp(-k_d T))$ was fitted to the percentage of disappearance of DM. Where, a, soluble fraction (%); b, slowly digestible fraction (%); k_d, fractional rate of disappearance (%/h), and T, time of incubation (0, 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, 72 and 96 h) (Ørskov & McDonald, 1979)

²Soluble fraction (%)

³Slowly digestible fraction (%)

⁴Potential extent of degradation (a + b)

⁵Undegradable fraction calculated as $C = 100 - (a + b)$ (%)

⁶Fractional rate of disappearance (%/h)

⁷The equation $ED = [a + b \times K_d / (K_d + K_p)]$ was used to calculate effective degradability (ED). In this equation, K_p represents the flow rate of particles out of the rumen that was considered equal to 0.02, 0.05, and 0.06

In the second experiment, the study was carried out at the Ruminant Research Center of Sari Agricultural and Natural Resources University (SANRU), Iran. The SANRU Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all procedures used in this study. Thirty Zel lambs were assigned randomly to 1 of 5 experimental diets, namely i) control diet, which contained 11.14% SBM as protein sources of the DM, and ii), iii), iv, and v) diets that contained 25, 50, 75, and 100% SSM to replace SBM and part of barley grain (Table 2). At the start of the experiment, lambs were weighed, ear tagged, dipped, treated against internal and external parasites, housed in individually shaded pens (0.80 m x 1.55 m), and fed twice daily at 08h00 and 20h00 for 90 days. The first week was an adaptation period to allow animals to acclimatize prior to the start of the experiment. All diets were formulated to meet the requirements for fattening male lambs according to NRC (2007) using SRNS[®] software. SSM was obtained from a local oil extraction industry company, which extracted oil mechanically.

Table 2 Ingredients, chemical compositions, intake and nutrients digestibility¹ in lambs fed experimental rations that contained various soybean meal/sesame meal ratios

Items	Treatment 1 (Control)	Treatment 2 (75:25)	Treatment 3 (50:50)	Treatment 4 (25:75)	Treatment 5 (0:100)	SEM	P-Value
Ingredients (% of DM)							
Soy bean meal	11.14	8.10	5.42	2.68	0
Barley grain	50.28	50.61	50.61	50.69	50.69
Sesame meal	0.0	2.59	5.26	7.87	10.55
Wheat bran	6.44	6.48	6.49	6.49	6.49
Beet pulp	13.27	13.36	13.36	13.38	13.38
Wheat straw	18.23	18.22	18.22	18.25	18.25
DCP	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
Salt	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24
Chemical compositions (% of DM) ¹							
DM	90.82	91.50	91.08	91.70	91.66
NDF	39.33	36.33	36.67	38.00	40.00
CP	16.50	17.23	17.23	16.10	16.62
Ash	5.17	4.00	4.67	5.33	4.75
EE	5.00	5.33	5.66	6.16	7.00
NFC	33.99	39.43	35.76	34.41	31.62
Intake ² (g/day)							
DM	967.9	981.8	996.3	1060.5	1057.8	39.498	0.3637
NDF	419.2 ^c	689.8 ^a	401.1 ^c	439.9 ^{bc}	561.6 ^{ab}	42.884	0.0035
CP	175.8	184.9	188.5	186.4	191.8	13.681	0.9376
Ash	53.29	57.19	62.0	71.30	80.78	11.210	0.4574
EE	55.11	42.92	51.08	61.70	54.82	4.820	0.1650
NFC	362.3	423.1	391.2	398.3	364.9	14.879	0.0796
Digestibility ¹ (%)							
DM	78.07 ^b	81.83 ^a	81.42 ^a	80.59 ^a	78.56 ^b	0.008	0.0156
NDF	63.90	64.72	66.39	67.71	63.83	0.014	0.4093
CP	79.35	83.43	82.24	80.79	81.05	0.012	0.1823
EE	80.42 ^b	80.44 ^b	84.64 ^a	85.19 ^a	79.54 ^b	0.011	0.0065
NFC	97.81	98.05	98.89	98.01	97.52	0.005	0.4412

^{a, b, c} Row means with different superscripts differ significantly at $P < 0.05$.

¹ DM, dry matter; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; CP, crude protein; EE, ether extract; and NFC, non fiber carbohydrate.

² Five experimental rations as treatments: 1) the basal diet with soybean meal as a protein source, 2), 3i), 4, and 5) the basal diets with 25, 50, 75, and 100 % of the soybean meal replaced with sesame meal, respectively

A total mixed ration was offered at *ad libitum* (110% of the previous day's intake) to all animals, with free access to fresh water and mineral block throughout the experiment. Lambs were weighed at the beginning of the experiment and then bi-weekly before the morning feeding throughout the study. For each lamb, individual refusals of feed were weighed daily and stored at -20 °C until analysed for DM and other nutrients to evaluate daily nutrient intake. Samples of refusals collected daily from individual lambs were pooled over the entire experimental period and sub-sampled for analysis. The feeds, rations, and orts were sampled regularly and analysed for DM, OM, CP, EE, ash at 605°C (AOAC, 2000), NDF and ADF (Van Soest *et al.*, 1991); with modifications for use in the ANKOM 2000 fibre analyser apparatus and using sodium sulfite and alpha amylase (heat stable). Non fibrous carbohydrate (NFC) was calculated by 100- (CP% + NDF% + Ash% + EE %; NRC, 2007).

On day 50 of the fattening period, two animals from each treatment were selected at random and housed individually in metabolism crates (1.05 m × 0.80 m) to evaluate nutrient digestibility. Animals were

allowed a period of seven days to adapt to the metabolism crates. In the subsequent collection period of five days, feed intake and refusals were recorded and sampled (Obeidat & Aloqaily, 2010). Daily faecal output was collected, weighed, and recorded, and then 10% was kept for subsequent analyses. Faecal samples were dried at 55 °C in a forced-air oven to reach a constant weight, air equilibrated, and then ground to pass through a 1 mm screen and kept for further analysis. Diets, refusals, and faeces were analysed for DM, OM, CP, EE, NDF, and ADF (as described).

At the end of the experiment, blood samples were taken from the jugular vein of each animal into vacutainers. The serum was separated by centrifugation at 750 × *g* for 15 min and stored at -20 °C until used. The concentrations of glucose, cholesterol, triglyceride and HDL-cholesterol were measured using appropriate commercial laboratory kits (Kit # 1050012, Parsazmun Co. Iran). The VLDL-cholesterol was estimated as one-fifth of the concentration of triglycerides.

Chromium-mordanted alfalfa NDF was used as a single dose marker to determine solid passage rate and rumen retention time (Uden *et al.*, 1980). On day 50, markers were fed to all sheep at the morning feeding time. Faecal grab samples were taken at 0, 12, 18, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72, 96, 120, and 144 hours after dosing to determine the passage rate, rumen and total mean retention time, and time delay of the marker (Table 4). Samples were dry-ashed, and faecal chromium (Cr) concentrations were determined by direct current plasma emission spectroscopy (AOAC, 2000). Faecal Cr excretion curves were fitted to the double compartment model represented by two exponential constants and a time delay (Grovmum & Williams, 1973):

$$Y = Ae^{-k_1(t-TT)} - Ae^{-k_2(t-TT)}, k_1 = k_2 \text{ for } t < T, \\ Y = 0 \text{ for } t = TT,$$

Where: Y = marker concentration (ppm)

A = scale parameter

k_1 = rumen rate of passage (% / h)

k_2 = lower digestive tract rate of passage (% / h)

t = sampling time post dosing (h)

TT = transit time or time delay of the marker (h)

The total mean retention time was calculated as the sum of rumen mean retention time ($1/k_1$) and, in the lower digestive tract, mean retention time ($1/k_2$) plus the transit time (TT). Data were analysed by NLIN regression using the PROC NLIN (iterative Marquardt method) procedure of SAS[®] (2005, Table 4). The estimated parameters were analysed according to the above experimental design.

Eating and ruminating activities were monitored for 24 hours using a regular 5-min interval observation technique by one person for all sheep in the treatments for two days from days 88 to 90 (Table 5). Total time spent chewing was calculated as the total time spent eating and ruminating (Table 5). The average DMI in the experimental period was used to estimate the time spent eating, ruminating, and total chewing activity per daily intake of DM, NDF, NFC, and BW.

Carcass yield and carcass characteristics were determined (Yardımcı *et al.*, 2008). Feed was withheld 12 hours before slaughter, but water was provided. The slaughtering process of all lambs entails the severing of the jugular vein and the carotid arteries and removal of non-carcass organs. After slaughter, the carcasses were stored overnight at 4 °C for 24 hours and then weighed. The carcasses were split longitudinally into two equal halves. The weights of both sides were recorded. The left side was divided into six cuts (leg, shoulder, brisket, neck, back and loin), which were dissected into muscle, bone and fat (subcutaneous and intermuscular) tissue and were weighed separately (Yardımcı *et al.*, 2008).

The experimental design consisted of a completely randomized design. Analysis of variance was conducted using the SAS[®] GLM procedure (SAS, 2005) by the model:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + e_{ij}$$

Where: Y_{ij} is the dependent variable

μ is the overall mean

α_i is the random effect of the experimental diets ($i = 1$ and 5)

e_{ij} is random error on animal j in treatment i

Means were separated using the Duncan multiple range test with an alpha level of 0.05.

Results and discussion

The SSM and SBM had 94.17 ± 0.24 , 87.88 ± 0.08 , 32.20 ± 0.77 , 48.43 ± 0.96 , 3.46 ± 0.17 and 3.78 ± 1.29 ; 91.00 ± 0.56 , 91.70 ± 0.18 , 44.01 ± 0.44 , 21.7 ± 0.34 , 5.80 ± 0.22 and 20.19 ± 0.96 (% \pm SD) of DM, OM, CP, NDF, EE and NFC, respectively. The SSM had lower CP ($P < 0.0001$) and NFC ($P < 0.0001$) content, but higher NDF ($P < 0.0001$) than SBM. The ammonia nitrogen content was 6.76 and 5.54 % of CP in SBM and SSM, respectively. Sesame meal, cake and hull are by-products of the oil extraction industry and their chemical compositions vary depending on the varieties, seed processing method, harvesting time and extraction methods. The DM content of SSM ranges from 83 to 96%, while CP, ash, ether extract, NFE, and crude fibre are 23–46, 7.5–17, 1.4–27, 25–32, and 5–12%, respectively (FAO, 1990). Obeidat & Gharaybeh (2011) reported that the CP and metabolizable energy content of sesame hulls were 25.8 % and 3.92 kcal/kg, respectively. Şehu *et al.* (2010) found that DM, ash, CF, EE, and CP of the SSM were 94.3, 4.80, 16.0, 51.2, and 17.3 %, respectively. Marghazani *et al.* (2013) reported that SSM had 7.81 ± 0.37 , 37.84 ± 0.53 , 7.35 ± 0.34 , and 3.97 ± 0.30 (% \pm SD) ash, CP, EE, and CF, respectively. The lower level CP of SSM in comparison with SBM could be attributed to its higher level of NDF and lower content of NFC. The chemical composition of sesame oil cake varies depending on the method of processing the seed, using mechanical or solvent extraction (FAO, 1990). Recently, Wang *et al.* (2016) reported that the concentrations of NDF, ADF, and EE were 15.94% and 30.31%, 6.97% and 13.97%, and 1.77% and 7.55% for SBM and SSM, respectively. The neutral detergent insoluble crude protein (NDICP) values of SBM and SSM were 0.72 and 2.21%, respectively. The acid detergent insoluble crude protein (ADICP) values of these sources were 0.59 % and 0.86 %, respectively. Wang *et al.* (2016) found that the NDICP contents of SBM and SSM were 0.67 and 2.56%, and the ADICP contents of these sources were 0.46% and 1.10%, respectively. However, as a protein source, SSM has a CP content of 32.20 ± 0.77 , which is higher than the CP contents of 30.93% reported by Solomon (1992), but lower than the 37.5% reported by Njie (1995) and the 39.92% by Fitwi & Tadesse (2013). This may be because of the difference in efficiency of the extraction method of screw pressing (Solomon, 1992; Njie, 1995).

The *in situ* DM and CP disappearances values of SSM and SBM are given in Table 1. The quickly and potential degradable DM of SBM was greater than SSM. However, the slowly digestible fraction and fractional rate of disappearance of the two meals was similar ($P = 0.9475$). In addition, SSM had a higher undegradable fraction of DM in comparison with SBM. The quickly degradable, indigestible fraction and fractional rate of disappearance of CP in the SSM were greater than the SBM. The SBM had a higher potential digestible fraction than SSM. Şehu *et al.* (2010) found that 82.65 and 86.50 % of DM and CP were degraded after 24 hours of ruminal incubation for sesame seed, respectively. The values of *a*, *b* and *c* fraction for the DM and CP of sesame seed were 27.64 (%), 60.09 (%), and 0.1014 (%/h); 25.83 (%), 66.51 (%), and 0.1001(%/h), respectively (Şehu *et al.*, 2010). In the present experiment, the DM and CP degradability values of the SSM reached 80.22 and 65.32 % at 48 hours incubation in the rumen. However, these values of SBM reached 92.31 and 85.72 % at 48 hours incubation in the rumen.

There was no significant difference in intake of DM, CP, EE and NFC among treatments, but NDF intake ($P = 0.0035$) increased with increasing SSM ratio (Table 3). This might be due to the desire by sheep in diets to meet their energy and nutrient requirement through an increase of intake of DM relative to control treatment (Fitwi & Tadesse, 2013). Tesfay (2007) and Fitwi & Tadesse (2013) reported that the intake of crude fibre and ADF were not significantly affected by supplementation of SSM concentrate. However, Omar (2002) reported that the intake of DM and protein reduced by 4% and 2% for lambs consuming 10% and 20% sesame cake, respectively. The CP intake among the treatments was similar, but there was an increasing trend in CP intake as the level of sesame increased, hence the highest and lowest intakes were observed in Treatment 5 and control, respectively. The increased CP intake with the higher SSM ratios is due to the increased total DMI and higher CP content of the SSM in comparison with the basal diet. Obeidat *et al.* (2009) found that Awassi lambs on diets containing 25% sesame hull had improved feed intake, except for CP, and that this improvement might be attributed in part to higher palatability and lower dustiness in the diet as a result of higher EE, which trapped dust particles. Similar effects on intake were reported when Awassi lambs were fed with 8% of DM of SSM in sheep diet (Obeidat *et al.*, 2009). Consistent with the results reported in the current study, Khan *et al.* (1998) observed that using SSM containing CP of 32.06% in feeding growing bull calves increased their intake. On the contrary, Omar (2002) reported that DMI decreased, though not significantly, when 10% and 20% of SSM were incorporated into Awassi lamb diets, but fat intake increased. However, Omar *et al.* (2002) reported that 10% and 20% of the SSM in lamb diets improved digestibility of CP and fibre, ADG, FCR, and reduced the cost of feed per gain in growing Awassi lambs.

Table 3 Dry matter intake, daily gain, and feed conversion in lambs fed experimental rations that contained different soybean meal/sesame meal ratios

Items	Treatment 1 (Control)	Treatment 2 (25:75)	Treatment 3 (50:50)	Treatment 4 (25:75)	Treatment 5 (0:100)	SEM	P-value
Dry matter intake (g/day)							
1–15	1222.5	1180.8	1196.7	1204.2	1214.2	33.96	0.3748
16–30	1187.5	1213.33	1283.2	1306.0	1337.5	56.71	0.3354
31–45	1308.5	1389.2	1318.3	1380.0	1417.5	73.22	0.7949
46–60	1302.2	1363.3	1293.3	1457.5	1425.0	89.54	0.6287
61–75	1474.0	1291.7	1470.8	1597.5	1530.0	101.26	0.3291
76–90	1474.0	1276.7	1516.7	1620.8	1505.0	99.27	0.2251
Total period	1065.8	1073.1	1093.9	1157.5	1154.0	55.25	0.6445
Daily gain of lambs (g/day)							
1 to 15	205.0	183.3	293.3	291.7	241.7	40.508	0.2494
16–30	178.3	166.7	173.3	191.7	175.0	31.153	0.9849
31–45	161.7	190.0	177.5	239.2	167.5	22.674	0.0604
46–60	171.7	163.3	233.3	250.0	233.3	27.227	0.1265
61–75	176.7	148.3	183.3	203.3	190.0	18.150	0.3263
76–90	180.0	17.00	182.5	215.8	181.7	19.622	0.6060
Total period	178.9	171.1	207.2	231.9	198.2	16.453	0.1252
Feed conversion							
1–15	5.55	7.17	4.96	4.84	5.04	1.092	0.5530
16–30	6.74	8.29	9.07	7.77	8.11	1.666	0.9004
31–45	8.33	7.88	7.98	5.85	8.49	0.964	0.3442
46–60	8.02	8.58	5.84	5.98	6.63	1.010	0.2562
61–75	8.39	9.19	8.07	8.06	8.22	0.818	0.8576
76–90	9.18	7.53	8.49	7.52	8.33	1.172	0.8299
Total period	6.05	6.32	5.59	5.00	5.87	0.463	0.3712

[†] Five experimental rations as treatments: 1) the basal diet with soybean meal as a protein source, 2), 3), 4) and 5) the basal diets with 25, 50, 75, and 100 % of the soybean meal replaced with sesame meal, respectively.

The intake and nutrient digestibility in animals that were selected randomly and housed individually in metabolism crates on days 50–57 of the fattening period. There were no significant differences among treatments on DMI, ADG, and FCR at 1–15, 16–30, 31–45, 46–60, 61–79, 76–90, and for the total period of feedlotting (Table 3). The results were similar to that of Suliman & Babiker (2007) and Hassan *et al.* (2013) who found no significant differences on initial and final BW, total weight gain, daily feed intake, ADG and FCR among the treatments that contained 15 and 20 % SSM and 15 % groundnut.

The digestibility of DM ($P = 0.0156$) and EE ($P = 0.0065$) were different among treatments, but the digestibility of NDF, CP, and NFC were similar (Table 2). The digestibility of DM and EE increased in treatments that had 50 : 50 and 25 : 75 SBM to SSM. However, the lowest digestibility of DM and EE were observed when SBM or SSM was used individually (Treatments 1 and 5). This would suggest complementarity of SBM and SSM at ratios of 50/50 and 25/75 in terms of digestibility of DM and EE. Khanal & Olson (2004) reported that in general there was an increment in DM digestibility for oil cake supplementations. However, Shirzadegan & Jafari (2014) reported that the digestibilities of DM and OM were reduced by the inclusion of 15% SSM in diets of lactating dairy cows. Omar *et al.* (2002) reported that the digestibilities of CP and CF were greater in lambs fed diets containing SSM, whereas DM digestibility was not affected. Fitwi % Tadesse (2013) reported no difference in DM, OM, and CP digestibility as the level of the SSM increased in sheep rations. Nevertheless, SSM was found to contain more NDF and had lower rumen degradability than the SBM in the present study. This might be because increased CP intake improved the rumen digestibility of DM and increased retention time of particulate matter in the lower compartment of

digestive tract (Table 4). Similar to Fitwi & Tadesse (2013), there was no difference in the digestibility of CP among the treatments in the current experiment. The lower DM and EE digestibility resulting from Treatments 1 and 5 compared with Treatments 2, 3 and 4 could be due to the relatively lower CP in Treatment 1 and higher NDF contents of Treatment 5. The SSM content of NDF was 48.43% of DM, and replacing SBM with SSM increased the NDF content of rations. The components of NDF, including cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin, are slowly digested in the rumen. A key factor influencing digestibility is the nature of the feed carbohydrates, because they form the most important source of energy for rumen microbes and thus, ultimately, for the host animal. This can affect microbial growth and fermentation in the rumen of sheep because of limitations in fermentable nitrogen and energy, respectively.

The rumen characteristics and digestion kinetics for the sheep that were fed with experimental ration are showed in Table 4. The rumen liquid pH and the rumen liquid ammoniacal nitrogen (NH₃-N) values at the initial and at the end of the experiment were similar among treatments. Ruminal particulate passage rate and rumen mean retention time were similar, but the lower compartment's passage rate ($P = 0.001$), its mean retention time ($P = 0.001$), and ultimately total mean retention time ($P < 0.0001$) were significantly different among treatments.

Table 4 Rumen characteristics and digestion kinetics in lambs fed experimental rations that contained different soybean meal / sesame meal ratios

Items	Treatment 1 (Control)	Treatment 2 (25:75)	Treatment 3 (50:50)	Treatment 4 (25:75)	Treatment 5 (0:100)	SEM	P-Value
Ruminal characteristics							
pH at start of experiment	6.36	6.13	6.34	6.62	6.34	0.170	0.4104
pH at end of experiment	6.02	6.09	5.81	5.90	5.97	0.158	0.7490
NH ₃ -N at start of experiment (mg/dL)	6.44	6.16	6.86	6.02	6.02	0.348	0.4153
NH ₃ -N at end of experiment (mg/dL)	6.44	6.86	6.30	6.60	6.26	0.464	0.0736
Digestion kinetics							
Rumen particulate passage rate (%/h)	9.95	9.90	10.00	9.85	10.05	0.0008	0.5577
Rumen retention time (h)	10.05	10.10	10.00	10.15	9.95	0.0886	0.5603
Lower compartments passage rate (%/h)	6.99 ^{ab}	7.00 ^a	7.00 ^a	6.99 ^b	6.97 ^c	0.0002	0.0010
Mean retention time in lower compartments (h)	14.29 ^{bc}	14.28 ^c	14.28 ^c	14.30 ^b	14.34 ^a	0.0045	0.0010
Total retention time (h)	40.34 ^c	40.38 ^c	40.36 ^c	42.53 ^b	43.29 ^a	0.0631	<0.0001
Time delay (h)	16.00 ^c	16.00 ^c	16.08 ^c	18.07 ^b	18.99 ^a	0.0702	<0.0001

^{a, b, c} Row means with different superscripts differ significantly at $P < 0.05$

¹ Five experimental rations as treatments: 1) the basal diet with soybean meal as a protein source, 2), 3), 4) and 5) the basal diets with 25, 50, 75, and 100 % of the soy bean meal replaced with sesame meal, respectively

Eating times, rumination time, and total chewing activity are presented in (Table 5). The rumination process is important as a means of reducing the size of feed particles and volume in the rumen, allowing more feed to be consumed. By reducing particle size and increasing surface area, the likelihood of degradation during fermentation and digestion is enhanced. However, the distribution of particle size of forages and rations had no significant difference in the current experiment (data not shown); therefore, it was expected that eating times, rumination time, and total chewing activity would have been similar among treatments.

Table 5 Eating time, rumination, and total chewing activity in lambs fed experimental rations that contained various soybean meal/sesame meal ratios

Items	Treatment 1 (Control)	Treatment 2 (25:75)	Treatment 3 (50:50)	Treatment 4 (25:75)	Treatment 5 (0:100)	SEM	P-Value
Eating time (min/d)	170.00	165.00	131.25	118.75	171.25	22.400	0.3536
Rumination (min/d)	440.00	407.50	358.75	376.25	427.50	48.259	0.7362
Total chewing activity (min/d)	610.00	572.50	490.00	495.00	598.75	61.413	0.5070

¹ Five experimental rations as treatments: 1) the basal diet with soybean meal as a protein source, 2), 3), 4) and 5) the basal diets with 25, 50, 75, and 100 % of the soy bean meal replaced with sesame meal, respectively

The carcass yield and carcass characteristics such as slaughter weight, empty BW, hot and cold carcass weight, dressing percentage on live weight and on empty BW, total muscles, bone, fat and connective tissue (% of carcass) were not different among experimental lambs fed various levels of SSM (Table 6). This is in agreement with Beshir (1996), Suliman & Babiker (2007), and Hassan *et al.* (2013). The wholesale cuts (shoulder, legs, loin, rack, breast, neck and tail) of the experimental lambs as percentage of carcass weight showed no significant differences among the treatments. These results agreed with those reported by Beshir (1996) and Hassan *et al.* (2013). In addition, non-carcass components or carcass by-products, such as the weights of skin, head, feet, liver, lungs and trachea, mesenteric fat, empty rumen and reticulum, spleen, pancreas, small intestine, large intestine, abomasums, omasum and sex organs of lambs, were not significantly different among the treatments (data not shown). These findings are in accordance with Suliman & Babiker (2007), Beshir (1996), Hassan *et al.* (2013). The results of the current experiment showed that replacing SBM with SSM in fattening sheep improves intake, digestibility, and rumen condition, without reducing animal performance and carcass composition. Using SSM as an alternative protein sources in lamb feedlot diets reduced the cost while no adverse effect on intake, digestibility, performance, and carcass characteristics were observed. In addition, the ration containing 50/50 SSM/SBM had the superior effects to other treatments. Additionally, Obeidat & Aloqaily (2010) reported that the use of sesame hull in Awassi lambs fattening diets had limited or no effect on carcass characteristics and meat quality.

Table 6 Carcass composition in lambs fed experimental rations that contained different soybean meal / sesame meal ratios

Items	Treatment 1 (Control)	Treatment 2 (25:75)	Treatment 3 (50:50)	Treatment 4 (25:75)	Treatment 5 (0:100)	SEM	P-value
Slaughter weight (Kg)	40.400	39.250	46.100	44.400	43.500	1.759	0.1595
Hot carcass weight (kg)	19.802	19.405	23.200	21.650	21.650	1.229	0.3080
Cold carcass weight (kg)	19.340	18.853	22.830	20.715	21.133	1.230	0.2967
Dressing on live weight (%)	50.99	50.56	49.67	51.24	50.23	2.113	0.4391
Dressing on carcass (%)	2.32	2.84	1.59	4.32	2.39	0.876	0.3217
Dressing on empty BW (%)	52.13	51.97	50.48	53.34	51.42	2.432	0.7641
Total muscles (%)	58.32	59.12	59.33	58.98	58.72	2.432	0.5476
Total bone (%)	18.32	18.43	18.02	18.54	18.76	0.832	0.3987
Total fat (%)	19.34	19.93	20.32	19.89	19.56	0.439	0.0987
Total connective tissue (%)	5.76	5.56	5.67	5.73	5.76	0.430	0.3298

¹ Five experimental rations as treatments: 1) the basal diet with soybean meal as a protein source, 2), 3), 4) and 5) the basal diets with 25, 50, 75, and 100 % of the soy bean meal replaced with sesame meal, respectively

Conclusion

The results showed that the soluble and potential degradable DM and soluble protein were lower in SSM than SBM. In addition, the slowly degradable protein of the SSM was lower than the SBM. The

coefficient of the degradation of protein was higher in SSM than SBM. Also, replacing the SSM with SBM in fattening lambs improves intake, digestibility, and rumen condition, without reduction in animal performance, chewing activity, and carcass composition.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Sari Agricultural and Natural Resources Science (SANRU) for financial support for this project. Also, the authors thank the members of the NOOR scientific cycle of Basij Asatid of SANRU for assistance in conducting this experiment.

Authors' Contribution

All authors have seen and approved the manuscript being submitted. We guarantee that the article is the authors' original work and has not received prior publication and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- AOAC, 2000. Official methods of analysis. (17th ed.). Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Inc., Arlington, Virginia, USA.
- Beshir, A.A., 1996. Use of Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*) seeds for lambs feeding. MSc. thesis. Anim. Prod., University of Khartoum, Sudan.
- FAO, 1990. Production Year Book. Guide to Food and Agriculture Organization. Rome, Italy. pp 52.
- Fitwi, M., & Tadesse, G., 2013. Effect of sesame cake supplementation on feed intake, body weight gain, feed conversion efficiency and carcass parameters in the ration of sheep fed on wheat bran and teff (*Eragrostis tef*) straw. *Momona Ethiopian J. Sci.* 5, 89-106.
- Grovum, W., & Williams, V.J., 1973. Rate of passage of digesta in sheep. 4. Passage of markers through the alimentary tract and the biological relevance of rate-constants derived from changes in concentration of markers in faeces. *Br. J. Nutr.* 30, 231-242.
- Hassan, H.E., Elamin, K.M., Elhashmi, Y.H.A., Tameem Eldar, A.A., Elbushra, M.E., & Mohammed, M.D., 2013. Effects of feeding different levels of sesame oil cake (*Sesamum indicum* L.) on performance and carcass characteristics of Sudan desert sheep. *J. Anim. Sci.* 3, 91-96.
- Khan, M.J., Shah Jalal, M., & Rashid M.M., 1998. Effect of replacing Til oil cake by poultry excreta on growth and nutrient utilization in growing bull calves. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 11, 385-390.
- Khanal, R.C., & Olson, K.C., 2004. Factors affecting conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) content in milk, meat, and egg: A review. *Pakistan J. Nutr.* 3, 82-98.
- Licitra, G., Hernandez, T.M., & Van Soest, P.J., 1996. Standardization of procedures for nitrogen fractionation of ruminant feeds. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 57, 347-358.
- Marghazani, I.B., Jabbar, M.A., Pasha, T.N., & Abdullah, M., 2013. Ruminant degradability characteristics in vegetable protein sources of Pakistan. *J. Anim. & Plant Sci.* 23, 1578-1582.
- Njie, M., 1995. Economics assessment of feeding strategies for fattening ram lambs using sesame cake in the Gambia. In: *Proceeding on agricultural science for biodiversity and sustainability in developing countries*, Tune Landboskole, Denmark, pp.192-198.
- NRC. 2007. Nutrient requirements of small ruminants: Sheep, goats, cervids, and New World camelids. Board on Agriculture and Natural Resources; Division on Earth and Life Studies. Pp 384.
- Obeidat, B.S., & Aloqaily, B.H., 2010. Using sesame hulls in Awassi lambs diets: Its effect on growth performance and carcass characteristics and meat quality. *Small Rumin. Res.* 91, 225-230.
- Obeidat, B.S., & Gharaybeh, F.F., 2011. Effect of feeding sesame hull on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, and carcass characteristics of black goat kids. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 24, 206-213.
- Obeidat, B.S., Abdullah, A.Y., Mahmoud, K.Z., Awawdeh, M.S., AL-Beitawi, N.Z., & AL-Lataifeh, F.A., 2009. Effects of feeding sesame meal on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, and carcass characteristics of Awassi lambs. *Small Rumin. Res.* 82, 13-17.
- Omar, A.J.M., 2002. Effect of feeding different levels of sesame oil cake on performance and digestibility of Awassi lambs. *Small Rumin. Res.* 46, 187-190.
- Ørskov, E.R., & McDonald, Y., 1979. The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from determining the digestibility of feeds in the rumen. *J. Agric. Sci.* 92, 499-503.
- Pollot, G.E., Ahmed, F.A., & Mohammed, O.M., 1978. The effect of weaning age on the growth of Watish (Sudan desert type) lambs under feedlot condition. *Um Banein livestock research Bulletin.* No. 2.
- SAS, 2005. Statistical Analysis Systems user's guide (edition 9.1). SAS Institute Inc., Raleigh, North Carolina, USA.
- Şehu, A., Çakir, S., & Şahin, T., 2010. Determination of rumen degradability of some oilseeds and meals using nylon bag technique. *Ankara Üniv. Vet.Fak. Derg.* 57, 173-178.
- Shirzadegan, K., & Jafari, M.A., 2014. The effect of different levels of sesame wastes on performance, milk composition and blood metabolites in Holstein lactating dairy cows. *Inter. J. of Adv. Biol. and Biomed. Res.* 2, 1296-1303.
- Solomon, M., 1992. The effect of processing method of oil seed cake in Ethiopia on the nutritive value of: In-vitro n-metabolism in growing sheep feed a basal diet maize Stover, PhD. thesis, University of Bonn, Germany.

- Suliman, G.M., & Babiker, S.A., 2007. Effect of diet-protein source on lamb fattening. *Res. J. of Agric. and Biol. Sci.* 3, 403-408.
- Tesfay, H., 2007. Supplementation of Afar rams with graded levels of mixtures of protein and energy sources: effects on feed intake, digestibility, live weight and carcass parameters. MSc Thesis, Alemaya University of Agriculture, Ethiopia.
- Uden, P., Colucci, E., Van Soest, P.J., 1980. Investigation of chromium, cerium, and cobalt as markers in digesta rate of passage studies. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 31, 625-632.
- Van Soest, J.P., Robertson, J.B., & Lewis, B.A., 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition *J. Dairy Sci.* 74, 3583-3597.
- Wang, Y., Jin, L., Wen, Q.N., Kopparapu, N.K., Liu, J., Liu, X.L. & Zhang, Y.G., 2016. Rumen degradability and small intestinal digestibility of the amino acids in four protein supplements. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 29, 241-249.
- Yardımcı, M., Hesna Şahin, E., Çetingül, İ.S., Bayram, İ., Altunbaş, K., & Şengör, E., 2008. Estimation of carcass composition and fat depots by means of subcutaneous adipocyte area and body and tail measurements in fat-tailed Akkaraman lambs. *S. Afri. J. Anim. Sci.* 38, 282-289.