Effects of dietary boron on performance, egg production, egg quality and some bone parameters in layer hens

C. Mızrak[#], E. Yenice, M. Can, U. Yıldırım and Z. Atik

Poultry Research Institute, Sehit Cem Ersever Caddesi, 06042, Yenimahalle, Ankara, Turkey

Abstract

In this study dietary boron at different doses (0, 25, 50, 100 and 200 mg/kg feed) was supplemented to layers from 4 to 64 weeks of age. There was no significant difference between treatments with respect of mortality, egg production, egg weight, egg mass and cracked eggs. Significant increases were observed in body weight as age rose. Body weight was not affected by dietary boron supplementation at 16 and 40 weeks of age. At 64 weeks of age boron additions of 50, 100 and 200 mg/kg to the diet resulted in significant lower body weights than that of the control group. Egg quality parameters; albumen height and Haugh units, were improved when 25 or 50 mg boron/kg diet was supplemented above the other treatments. Shape index, shell thickness, shell breaking strength were not affected by treatments, though 25 mg boron/kg diet tended to increase shell breaking strength. Tibia bone strength and phosphorus content in the tibia and femur were not affected by boron supplementation. Boron supplementation at 25 and 50 mg/kg significantly increased femur bone strength, and ash and calcium content of the tibia and femur bones. Concentration of boron in bone increased with the increase in dietary boron.

Keywords: Calcium, phosphorus, fowls, bone strength, Haugh units, tibia

Corresponding author. E-mail: chmizrak@gmail.com, cengizhanmizrak@hotmail.com

Introduction

Micro elements and their deficiencies are of great importance in farm animal nutrition. Cereals used in poultry diets may be deficient in Cu, Mn, Zn and Se. Since the 1920's it is known that boron is an essential element for higher plants. It has also been shown that boron plays a role in the metabolism of mineral, bone, enzymes and steroid hormones (Okuyan, 1997; WHO, 1998) and would thus have an influence on animal and human nutrition.

In bone metabolism, boron interacts with Ca, vitamin D and Mg (Chapin *et al.*, 1998). In animals and plants, boron affects at least 26 enzymes involved in substrate metabolism, insulin release, oxidation and immune systems (Hunt, 1998). Furthermore, boron was shown to increase the level of some steroid hormones and prevents atherosclerosis, joint deformations and osteoporosis (Naghii & Saman, 1997a), and boron has antioxidant properties (Lee *et al.*, 1978). An increase in dietary boron content was found to increase the concentrations of blood oestrogen, testosterone hormones, serum ionized Ca and lipid levels, as well as Ca excretion, but decreases the incidence of vitamin D and Mg deficiencies (Nielsen *et al.*, 1987; Naghii & Saman, 1997b). When chemicals containing boron were fed to rats for 14 days, blood low-density lipoprotein (LDL), cholesterol and triglyceride levels decreased significantly, while high-density lipoprotein (HDL) synthesis increased in hepatocytes by lowering LDL synthesis (Hall *et al.*, 1989).

In nature boron is generally present in the form of borate. Plant tissues usually contain 30 - 50 mg boron/kg DM (Argust, 1998), while animal tissues contain 5 - 6 mg boron/kg DM (Okuyan, 1997). The highest concentrations of boron, ranging from 4.3 - 17.9 mg/kg DM, were found in human hair, bone and nails. Although a high boron dose may have lethal effects on an animal, the deficiency of this element caused insufficient growth and abnormal bone development (Naghii, 1999). Fruits, vegetables and legumes are good sources of boron (Sutherland *et al.*, 1998), while whole grains contain very little boron, though grains are widely used in poultry diets (WHO, 1998).

Although boron is not considered to be an essential micronutrient for poultry and other farm animals, 2 mg/kg of this element is recommended for poultry diets (NRC, 1994). Nielsen (1988) found that the supplementation of 0.3 - 0.4 mg boron/kg is beneficial in the mineral metabolism of chicks, and for pullets at a level of about 1 mg boron/kg diet. In other studies boron increased bone strength, prevented joint

abnormalities and increased inner and outer egg qualities. However these effects of boron are variable depending on management, genotype, productive traits, age of birds and nutrient content of diets, as well as dietary level of boron and its source. Considering all these factors, it seems necessary to investigate the effects of boron supplementation on layer hens over their lifetime. This study was conducted to establish the effects of different levels of boron in the diets of laying hens from early age to maturity, on their growth, production and the accumulation of boron and other element in bodily tissues.

Material and Methods

Female chickens (Barred Rock egg type strain) were kept in brooders and fed a starter diet. At four weeks of age five levels of boron were included in their diets to constitute five experimental treatments (0, 25, 50, 100 and 200 mg boron/kg diet). The pullets were moved to grower cages and each treatment consisted of six replicates of 33 birds per replicate. A total 990 birds was used. Grower cages were four floored and 70 x 110 cm in size. At 16 weeks of age the hens were transferred to individual layer cages. The layer cages were three floored and 25 x 47 cm in size, and each equipped with an automatic feeder and a manure disposer. After 16 weeks, each replication consisted of 24 pullets, totalling 720 pullets.

The first phase of the experiment was divided into three periods (1) growing (4 - 10 weeks), (2) developing (11 - 16 weeks) and (3) pre-laying (17 - 20 weeks) periods. The second phase consisted of two stages: Stage 1 (21 - 40 week of age) and Stage 2 (41 - 64 week of age). Throughout the study the birds were fed diets balanced to be isonitrogenous and isoenergetic, based on NRC (1994) standards (Table 1). The nutrient content of experimental diets was analyzed by AOAC (1984) procedures and ME was calculated (Close & Menke, 1986). Boric acid (containing 18% boron) was used in the study. Feed and water were offered *ad libitum*, while illumination was controlled according to the guidelines for layer hens.

At the beginning of the experimental period (at 4 weeks of age) all pullets per replication were weighed collectively. At weeks 16, 40 and 64 the hens were weighed individually. Mortalities, egg production, egg weight and cracked and broken eggs were recorded daily. Feed intake was determined every fortnight. Egg mass and feed conversion ratios were calculated, using the parameters, egg weight and feed intake:

Daily egg mass (g/hen) = Egg production (hen-day, %) x egg weight (g)/100; Feed conversion ratio (g feed/g egg) = Daily feed intake (g/hen)/Daily egg mass (g/hen).

For determining egg quality parameters, 36 eggs per treatment were collected once every four weeks. Egg parameters were shape index, shell thickness, shell strength, egg albumen height and Haugh unit, which were determined 24 h after collection of the eggs. Egg shape index was determined by equipment that measures the width: length ratio as a percentage. Shell thickness was determined without membrane, using a Mitutoyo digital micrometer (digital 395 series with sensitivity 0.001 mm sensitivity) by taking measurement from two ends of an egg and in the middle of egg. An arithmetic average was calculated. Breaking strength was measured, using a Futura resistant tool in Newton scale units. Albumen height was measured by Futura equipment electronically. A Haugh unit was calculated according to the formula given below (Haugh, 1937):

Haugh unit = $100 \log (\text{albumen height} + 7.57 - 1.7 \text{ egg weight}^{0.37})$

(Albumen height in mm; egg weight in g).

At the end of the study, six hens per treatment were slaughtered humanely and their tibias and femurs were dissected. The meat on the bones was removed physically and fat, using an ether solvent. The bones were then dried at 60 °C for 24 h. The bones were subjected to a breaking strength test, using the Instron Universal Machine (Shimadzu-Ag-50KNG Autograph) according to the "three point binding" method in Newton scale units. The bones were ashed overnight in a furnace at 550 °C to determine ash, Ca and P (AOAC, 1990) concentrations. Feed and bone samples were digested in closed teflon vessels using microwave heating, where-upon the boron content was determined through inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) (Kalra, 1998).

Parametric percentage data such as survival rate and egg production were ArcSin transformed, followed by One-Way ANOVA analysis of GLM (SPSS, version 10.01). Analysis of variance was performed for body weight of hens based on the repeated factorial arrangement of treatments (Gill, 1986). Regression analyses were performed in MİNİTAB (version 14). Means were separated by Duncan's test and the level of significance for all comparisons was set at P < 0.05.

Table 1 Feed ingredients and nutrient composition (g/kg) of the experimental diets during the different stages of the study

| | Diets | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Grower (4 - 10 wk) | Developer (11 - 16 wk) | Egg starter (17 - 20 wk) | Layer Stage 1 (21 - 40 wk) | Layer Stage 2 (41 - 64 wk) |
| Feed ingredients | | | | | |
| Yellow maize | 401 | 365 | 305 | 331 | 357 |
| Wheat | 200 | 200 | 200 | 250 | 250 |
| Barley | 93 | 100 | 100 | - | - |
| Soyabean meal | 160 | 129 | 167 | 219 | 152 |
| Full-fat soya | - | - | = | 40 | 50 |
| Sunflower meal | 100 | 100 | 100 | - | - |
| Razmol | 7.06 | 70.18 | 44.51 | 14.74 | 58.4 |
| Plant oil | - | - | 19.82 | 30 | 11.45 |
| Limestone | 10.87 | 10.72 | 36.58 | 88.3 | 98 |
| Dicalcium phosphate | 14.68 | 15.25 | 17.95 | 16.2 | 13 |
| NaCl | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| DL-Methionine | 1.98 | 0.97 | 1.14 | 2.34 | 2.15 |
| L-Lysine | 2.91 | 0.38 | <u>-</u> | 0.42 | - |
| Anticoccidial | 0.5 | 0.5 | - | - | - |
| Antioxidant | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Vitamin premix ¹ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mineral premix ² | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Salmonella inhibitor | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Nutrient content | | | | | |
| Chemical analysis | | | | | |
| Crude protein | 179 | 163 | 173 | 162 | 152 |
| Dry matter | 891 | 893 | 898 | 906 | 896 |
| Crude fibre | 50.9 | 52.3 | 52.0 | 29.4 | 30.5 |
| Crude ash | 58.0 | 51.0 | 82.0 | 130.4 | 135.3 |
| Ether extract | 24.0 | 23.0 | 42.5 | 47.5 | 33.5 |
| Total sugar | 44.2 | 44.8 | 47.6 | 49.4 | 45.7 |
| Starch | 430 | 428 | 373 | 414 | 422 |
| Boron, mg/kg | 13.49 | 11.10 | 15.32 | 26.55 | 26.98 |
| Calculated nutrient content | 13.49 | 11.10 | 13.32 | 20.33 | 20.98 |
| ME, MJ/kg | 11.78 | 11.47 | 11.39 | 11.82 | 11.57 |
| Calcium | 10.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 38.0 | 42.0 |
| | 4.0 | 4.0 | 20.0 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 |
| Available phosphorus Methionine | 4.0 4.7 | 3.5 | 4.5 3.8 | 4.0 4.8 | 3.3 4.4 |
| | | | | | |
| Methionine+Cystine | 7.7 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.8 | 7.2 |
| Lysine | 9.8 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 9.1 | 7.5 |
| Tryptophan | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.8 |

Vitamin premix, per kg diet: 15 000 IU vitamin A; 5 000 IU vitamin D₃; 50 mg vitamin E; 10 mg vitamin K₃; 4 mg vitamin B₁; 8 mg vitamin B₂; 5 mg vitamin B₆; 0.025 mg vitamin B₁₂; 50 mg niacin; 20 mg panthothenic acid; 2 mg folic acid 0.25 mg biotin; 75 mg ascorbic acid; 175 mg choline.

Results and Discussion

In the present study survival rate was not affected by boron supplementation (Table 2). Although there is no evidence in the literature regarding detrimental effects of 400 mg boron/kg diet on fowls or pigs, high doses of boron supplementation resulted in its accumulation in internal organs, tissues and bones. (Rossi *et al.*, 1993a; Wilson & Ruszler, 1998; Kurtoğlu *et al.*, 2001).

² Mineral premix, per kg diet: 56 mg Mn; 140 mg Zn; 56 mg Fe; 10.5 mg Cu; 1.05 mg I; 0.28 mg Co; 0.28 mg Se; 0.7 mg Mo.

The performance of pullets and layers is given in Tables 2, 3 and 4. At the beginning of the experiment (four weeks of age) body weights of the pullets did not differ between treatments (P > 0.05). Significant increases were observed in body weight with an increase in age (P < 0.05). Simple and multiple regression equations and P = 00 body weight of hens by weeks in 16 - 64 week of age were:

 $16 \text{ wk BW} = 837 + 0.205(40 \text{ wk BW}) + 0.0547(64 \text{ wk BW}), (R^2 = 0.20)$

16 wk BW = 857+0.251(40 wk BW), ($R^2 = 0.20$)

16 wk BW = 940+0.205(64 wk BW), ($R^2 = 0.15$)

40 wk BW = 506+0.734(64 wk BW), (R² = 0.62)

Body weight was not affected by dietary boron supplementation at 16 and 40 weeks of age (P > 0.05). At 64 weeks of age boron additions of 50, 100 and 200 mg/kg to the diet resulted in lower (P < 0.05) body weights than that of the control group.

During the egg laying period, boron supplementation did not affect feed intake, egg production, egg weight, egg mass, feed efficiency and incidence of broken and cracked eggs (P >0.05). It was previously reported that 250 mg/kg of dietary boron did not have any detrimental effect on body weight and feed intake of laying hens while 400 mg boron/kg decreased body weight gain and feed intake (Rossi *et al.*, 1993a; Wilson & Ruszler, 1996; 1998; Kurtoğlu *et al.*, 2002; Eren *et al.*, 2004).

Table 2 Survival rate of hens during the study and body weight of pullets at four weeks of age (mean \pm s.e.)

| Boron, mg/kg | | val rate % | Body weight* g/pullet |
|--------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| | 4 - 21 week | 21 - 64 week | Week 4 |
| 0 | 99.8 ± 0.17 | 99.7 ± 0.21 | 209 ± 2.4 |
| 25 | 99.8 ± 0.17 | 99.8 ± 0.17 | 213 ± 3.5 |
| 50 | 99.7 ± 0.21 | 99.7 ± 0.21 | 212 ± 2.1 |
| 100 | 99.8 ± 0.17 | 100.0 ± 0.00 | 204 ± 2.8 |
| 200 | 99.7 ± 0.21 | 99.7 ± 0.21 | 215 ± 2.7 |
| P values | 0.912 | 0.606 | 0.071 |

^{*} Pen weight divided by number of birds.

Table 3 Individual body weights of hens at 16, 40 and 64 weeks of age (mean \pm s.e.)

| Dagar 1112/112 | Body weight, g per hen | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Boron, mg/kg - | Week 16 | Week 40 | Week 64 | | |
| 0 | $1370^{cA} \pm 10.1$ | $2036^{bA} \pm 18.0$ | $2134^{aA} \pm 19.0$ | | |
| 25 | $1384^{cA} \pm 10.0$ | $1990^{\text{bA}} \pm 17.9$ | $2083^{aAB} \pm 19.0$ | | |
| 50 | $1357^{\text{bA}} \pm 10.2$ | $2043^{aA} \pm 18.2$ | $2047^{aBC} \pm 19.2$ | | |
| 100 | $1324^{bA} \pm 10.0$ | $2009^{aA} \pm 17.8$ | $2011^{aC} \pm 18.8$ | | |
| 200 | $1384^{bA} \pm 10.1$ | $2034^{aA} \pm 18.0$ | $2051^{aBC} \pm 19.0$ | | |

^{*}The difference between the treatments indicated through capitals in the same column and the difference between the weeks indicated by lower case letters in the same row are significant (P < 0.05).

Boron did not affect egg production (Table 4). This agrees with reported results by Rossi *et al.* (1993a), Wilson & Ruszler (1996; 1998), Kurtoğlu *et al.* (2002) and Eren *et al.* (2004), that boron supplementation between 5 mg/kg and 250 mg/kg did not affect egg production significantly. Although boron supplementation did not affect egg weight and consequently egg mass in the present study, additions of 100 and 200 mg boron/kg tended to decrease egg weight (P = 0.092) and mass (P = 0.30). Dietary supplementation of 200, 250 and 400 mg/kg did not affect egg weight in some studies (Rossi *et al.*, 1993a)

but decreased it in others (Wilson & Ruszler, 1998; Eren *et al.*, 2004). The present levels of boron supplementation (0, 50, 100 and 250 mg/kg) did not affect feed efficiency, in agreement with results reported by Kurtoğlu *et al.* (2002). Eren *et al.* (2004) recorded that at 200 and 400 mg boron/kg the incidence of broken and cracked egg ratios increased.

Egg quality characteristics are given in Table 5. In the present study, boron supplementations did not affect (P > 0.05) the outer egg quality parameters such as shape index, shell thickness and shell strength. Shell thickness was not affected by dietary boron supplementation, which agrees with the report by Rossi *et al.* (1993a). However, the inner egg quality parameters such as albumen height and Haugh units were increased (P < 0.05) by 25 and 50 mg boron/kg supplementation. The Haugh unit is a known indicator of egg freshness and is related to shelf life. The improvement in these two parameters may indicate that boron supplementation can improve egg quality by increasing its shelf life.

Bone strength and the chemical composition of the femur and tibia are presented in Table 6. Dietary boron supplementation at 25 and 50 mg/kg increased (P < 0.05) femur strength compared to the other treatments. The strength of the tibia was not affected by boron supplementation (P > 0.05). Dietary

Table 4 Egg production (mean \pm s.e) of laying hens during production period (22 - 64 weeks)

| Boron, mg/kg | Egg production, hen-day, % | Egg weight g | Daily egg mass g/hen | Daily feed intake g/hen | FCR (g feed/g egg) | Broken & cracked egg, |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 0 | 75.4 ± 0.6 | 58.1 ± 0.29 | 43.8 ± 0.46 | 112.3 ± 0.7 | 2.56 ± 0.04 | 0.36 ± 0.06 |
| 25 | 75.3 ± 0.6 | 58.0 ± 0.23 | 43.6 ± 0.22 | 112.5 ± 0.7 111.6 ± 0.6 | 2.56 ± 0.02 | 0.28 ± 0.04 |
| 50 | 75.2 ± 0.7 | 58.5 ± 0.35 | 43.9 ± 0.39 | 114.4 ± 1.1 | 2.61 ± 0.05 | 0.32 ± 0.03 |
| 100 | 75.1 ± 0.6 | 57.5 ± 0.47 | 43.2 ± 0.36 | 111.5 ± 0.3 | 2.58 ± 0.03 | 0.43 ± 0.05 |
| 200 | 75.0 ± 0.7 | 57.3 ± 0.13 | 42.9 ± 0.40 | 113.0 ± 0.7 | 2.63 ± 0.04 | 0.47 ± 0.09 |
| P values | 0.99 | 0.092 | 0.300 | 0.066 | 0.534 | 0.235 |

FCR – feed conversion ratio.

Table 5 Egg quality (mean \pm s.e.) of hens supplemented with different doses of boron

| Boron, mg/kg | Shape index | Shell thickness 10 ⁻² mm | Shell strength N | Albumen height, mm | Haugh units | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Egg quality parame | Egg quality parameters in laying Stage I (22 - 40 weeks) | | | | | | |
| 0 | 78.3 ± 0.4 | 31.48 ± 0.40 | 37.24 ± 0.90 | 6.38 ± 0.12 | $76.6^{b} \pm 1.3$ | | |
| 25 | 78.0 ± 0.3 | 31.65 ± 0.33 | 39.04 ± 0.66 | 6.76 ± 0.13 | $81.9^{a} \pm 1.0$ | | |
| 50 | 77.5 ± 0.3 | 31.82 ± 0.33 | 37.03 ± 0.60 | 6.71 ± 0.15 | $79.5^{ab} \pm 1.3$ | | |
| 100 | 77.8 ± 0.3 | 31.60 ± 0.45 | 37.88 ± 0.73 | 6.52 ± 0.12 | $78.2^{ab} \pm 1.1$ | | |
| 200 | 77.8 ± 0.3 | 31.02 ± 0.31 | 37.14 ± 0.63 | 6.67 ± 0.11 | $80.6^{ab} \pm 0.9$ | | |
| P values | 0.428 | 0.61 | 0.241 | 0.187 | 0.011 | | |
| Egg quality paramet | Egg quality parameters in laying Stage II (41 - 64 weeks) | | | | | | |
| 0 | 77.3 ± 0.2 | 29.04 ± 0.21 | 32.13 ± 0.49 | $4.44^{b} \pm 0.12$ | $62.8^{b} \pm 1.1$ | | |
| 25 | 77.5 ± 0.2 | 29.35 ± 0.18 | 33.27 ± 0.45 | $4.66^{ab} \pm 0.09$ | $64.4^{ab} \pm 1.0$ | | |
| 50 | 77.0 ± 0.2 | 29.20 ± 0.26 | 32.02 ± 0.52 | $4.94^{a} \pm 0.08$ | $67.1^{a} \pm 0.7$ | | |
| 100 | 77.4 ± 0.2 | 29.21 ± 0.15 | 32.11 ± 0.50 | $4.71^{ab} \pm 0.09$ | $64.9^{ab} \pm 0.9$ | | |
| 200 | 77.3 ± 0.2 | 28.98 ± 0.20 | 32.02 ± 0.44 | $4.82^{ab} \pm 0.11$ | $65.6^{ab} \pm 1.0$ | | |
| P values | 0.334 | 0.718 | 0.296 | 0.008 | 0.025 | | |

^{a, b} Means within columns with different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05.

| Boron, | Breaking strength, | Ash | Calcium | Phosphorus | Boron |
|----------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| mg/kg | N | % | % | % | mg/kg |
| Tibia | | | | | |
| 0 | 41.4 ± 5.4 | $48.9^{b} \pm 0.57$ | $17.75^{\rm b} \pm 0.25$ | 7.95 ± 0.05 | $4.17^{e} \pm 0.17$ |
| 25 | 51.3 ± 1.8 | $50.0^{b} \pm 0.29$ | $18.75^{a} \pm 0.01$ | 7.91 ± 0.05 | $8.83^{d} \pm 0.33$ |
| 50 | 47.4 ± 3.9 | $53.7^{a} \pm 0.54$ | $18.25^{ab} \pm 0.25$ | 7.94 ± 0.07 | $12.00^{\circ} \pm 0.58$ |
| 100 | 51.3 ± 4.1 | $50.3^{\rm b} \pm 0.45$ | $17.75^{\rm b} \pm 0.25$ | 8.03 ± 0.02 | $16.17^{\rm b} \pm 0.60$ |
| 200 | 47.9 ± 7.4 | $49.6^{\rm b} \pm 0.82$ | $16.75^{\circ} \pm 0.25$ | 8.05 ± 0.01 | $24.33^{a} \pm 0.17$ |
| P values | 0.621 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.218 | 0.0001 |
| Femur | | | | | |
| 0 | $35.6^{b} \pm 6.54$ | $47.5^{\rm b} \pm 0.58$ | $14.0^{\rm b} \pm 0.25$ | 7.94 ± 0.08 | $2.67^{e} \pm 0.44$ |
| 25 | $74.4^{a} \pm 9.38$ | $53.7^{a} \pm 1.73$ | $16.0^{a} \pm 0.50$ | 8.11 ± 0.10 | $7.17^{\rm d} \pm 0.60$ |
| 50 | $76.7^{a} \pm 11.0$ | $54.3^{a} \pm 2.65$ | $16.0^{a} \pm 0.50$ | 8.09 ± 0.06 | $12.0^{\circ} \pm 0.58$ |
| 100 | $42.2^{ab} \pm 5.43$ | $49.4^{ab} \pm 0.09$ | $16.0^{a} \pm 0.50$ | 8.07 ± 0.05 | $19.7^{\rm b} \pm 0.60$ |
| 200 | $47.7^{ab} \pm 7.02$ | $49.7^{ab} \pm 1.32$ | $15.0^{ab} \pm 0.01$ | 8.01 ± 0.66 | $27.0^{a} \pm 0.50$ |
| P values | 0.010 | 0.044 | 0.019 | 0.506 | 0.0001 |

Table 6 Breaking strength and chemical concentration of the tibia and femur bones in hens supplemented with dietary boron

supplementation of 50 mg boron/kg increased the ash content of the bones, while 25 mg boron/kg increased the Ca concentration and 200 mg boron/kg the boron concentrations of the tibia and femur (P < 0.05) without affecting the P content of these bones (P > 0.05).

In this study dietary boron supplementations at 25 and 50 mg/kg increased the strength of the femur significantly, while the tibia tended to be stronger, though this was not statistically significant. These results are in line with the study of Wilson & Ruszler (1997) who reported that 50 and 100 mg boron/kg in pullet diets increased bone breaking strength. Wilson & Ruszler (1998) found that 200 mg boron/kg diet increased the breaking strength of the tibia and radius bones of layer hens. However, their previous studies (Wilson & Ruszler, 1995; 1996) showed no effect of boron supplementation on bone breaking strength.

Dietary boron supplementation (25 mg/kg) increased the tibia Ca concentration while 25, 50 and 100 mg boron/kg supplementation resulted in an increased (P < 0.05) femur Ca content, though 200 mg boron/kg did not affect the Ca concentration of the bones. A similar pattern was observed for bone ash content (P < 0.05). On the other hand, dietary boron supplementation did not affect P concentrations in the tibia and femur. The increased bone ash content of the hens corresponds with that of some studies (Oin & Klandorf, 1991; Wilson & Ruszler, 1997; Rossi et al., 1993b; Kurtoğlu et al., 2005), but not in others (Wilson & Ruszler 1998; Fassani et al., 2004). Wilson & Ruszlar (1998) observed that the addition of 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg boron/kg to layer diets decreased bone Ca and P concentrations while Fassani et al. (2005) observed no response in bone Ca concentration when 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 mg boron/kg were added to a broiler diet. Similarly, the addition of 5 and 15 mg boron/kg to a piglet diet did not affect bone Ca concentration but increased P concentration linearly. Armstrong & Spears (2001) reported that dietary boron supplementation did not affect Ca and P absorption and accumulation in the bones of pigs. In a study by Kurtoğlu et al. (2005) broiler chicks were fed diets containing 5 and 25 mg boron/kg. They observed that the bone Ca concentration increased and Zn concentration decreased with an increase in boron supplementation, while bone Fe and Cu concentrations did not change. These differences in the literature might be attributed to animal species, productive type, age, experimental period, dietary content and other minerals in the diet. In the present study boron supplementation seemed to have enhanced bone Ca and P metabolism by cancelling out the negative consequences of egg shell formation on bone formation, and consequently increased bone strength.

Increased concentrations of boron in bone recorded in the present study are in agreement with previous observation on the accumulation of boron in soft and bone tissues (Rossi *et al.*, 1993b; Wilson & Ruszler, 1995; 1996; 1998; Lu Lin & Yuan Ying 2003; Kurtoğlu *et al.*, 2005). Supplementation of boron up to 200 mg/kg increased boron accumulation six fold in the tibia and ten fold in the femur. Wilson & Ruszlar (1998) reported similar results in which the supplementation of 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg boron/kg increased

^{a-e} Means within columns with different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05.

the boron accumulation in bones eight fold, while Kurtoğlu *et al.* (2005) reported an 1.5 to two fold increase when boron content of the diet was increased from 5 and 25 mg/kg. Lu Lin & Yuan Ying (2003) added 0, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100 and 120 mg boron/kg to a broiler diet and found an increase in boron concentration in the blood, liver, breast meat and tibia with an increase in boron intake.

In the present study, boron accumulations in bones did not cause any health problems or a reduction in productive performance of the layers. These results are in line with previous studies (Rossi *et al.*, 1993b, Wilson & Ruszler 1995; 1996; 1998; Lin & Ying 2003; Kurtoğlu *et al.*, 2005).

Conclusion

In this study, the supplementation of 25 - 200 mg boron/kg to hens during a period of 4 - 64 weeks of age did not affect the health status and productive performance of the layers. However, inner egg quality parameters, albumen height and Haugh units were improved by the supplementation of 25 and 50 mg boron/kg. In practice, chickens in layer cages develop decreased Ca utilization when getting older and often develop leg problems (osteoporosis, etc.) due to their high level of egg production, leading to economic losses. In the current study, 25 and 50 mg boron/kg resulted in the accumulation of Ca in bones, supported Ca-P metabolism and improved egg shell quality.

In conclusion, boron supplementation at 25 and 50 mg/kg improved egg quality. Further studies on the inclusion of boron in layer diets are suggested which could include different levels of Ca and P and anatomical and histological investigations. It is suggested that up to 50 mg boron/kg could be included in the diets of egg producing hens.

Acknowledgement

This study was funded by the National Boron Institute (BOREN) in Turkey.

References

- AOAC, 1984. Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, D.C., USA.
- AOAC, 1990. Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists. The William Byrd. Press, Inc., Richmond, Virginia, USA.
- Argust, P., 1998. Distribution of boron in the environment, Biol. Tr. Elem. Res. 66, 131-143.
- Armstrong, T.A. & Spears, J.W., 2001. Effect of dietary boron on growth performance, calcium and phosphorus metabolism, and bone mechanical properties in growing barrows. J. Anim. Sci. 79, 3120-3127.
- Chapin, R.E., Ku, W.W., Kenney, M.A. & McCoy, H., 1998. The effects of dietary boric acid on bone strength in rats. Biol. Tr. Elem. Res. 66, 395-399.
- Close, S.W. & Menke, K.H., 1986. Selected Topics in Animal Nutrition. 170+A 85. Wielinger Straße 52, D-8133, Feldafins.
- Eren, M., Uyanik, F. & Küçükersan, S., 2004. The influence of dietary boron supplementation on egg quality and serum calcium, inorganic phosphorus, magnesium levels and alkaline phosphate activity in laying hens. Res. Vet. Sci. 76, 203-210.
- Fassani, E.J, Bertechini, A.G, Brito, J.A.G., Kato, R.K., Fialho, E.T. & Geraldo, A., 2004. Boron supplementation in broiler diets. Braz. J. Poult. Sci. 4 (4), 213-217.
- Gill, J.L., 1986. Repeated measurement: Sensitive tests for experiments with few animals. J. Anim. Sci. 63, 943-954.
- Hall, I.H., Spielvogal, B.F. & Griffin, T.S., 1989. The effects of boron hyperlipidemic agents on LDL and HDL receptor binding and related enzyme activates of rat hepatocytes, aorta cells and human fibroblasts. Res. Comm. Chem. Pathol. Pharmocol. 65, 297-317.
- Haugh, R.R., 1937. The Haugh Unit for measuring egg quality. United States Egg Poultry Magazine 43, 522-573.
- Hunt, C.D., 1998. One possible role of dietary boron in higher animals and humans. Biol. Tr. Elem. Res. 66, 205-225.
- Kalra, Y.P., 1998. Handbook of Reference Methods for Plant Analysis. Soil and Plant Analysis Council. Salem, MA 01970 USA.

- Kurtoğlu, V., Kurtoğlu, F. & Coşkun, B., 2001. Effects of boron supplementation of adequate and inadequate vitamin D₃-containing diet on performance and serum biochemical characters of broiler chickens. Res. Vet. Sci. 71, 183-187.
- Kurtoğlu, V., Kurtoğlu, F., Coskun, B., Seker, E., Balevi, T. & Cetingul, I.S., 2002. Effects of boron supplementation on performance and some serum biochemical parameters in laying hens. Revve de Medicine Veterinaire 153 (12), 823-828.
- Kurtoğlu, F., Kurtoğlu, V., Celik, I., Kececi, T. & Nizamlioglu, M., 2005. Effects of dietary boron supplementation on some biochemical parameters, peripheral blood lymphocytes, splenic plasma cells and bone characteristics of broiler chicks given diets with adequate or inadequate cholecalciferol (vitamin D3) content. Br. Poult. Sci. 46, 87-96.
- Lee, I.P., Sherins, R.J. & Dixon, R.L., 1978. Evidence for induction of germinal aplasia in male rats by environmental exposure to boron. Tox. Appl. Pharmacol. 45, 577-590.
- Lu, Lin & Yuan, Y., 2003. Effect of boron on the performance and deposition of boron in tissues and organs of broilers. Acta Zoonutrimenta Sinica 15 (1), 49-53.
- Naghii, M.R. & Samman, S., 1997a. The effect of boron supplementation on its urinary excretion and selected cardiovascular risk factors in healthy male subjects. Biol. Tr. Elem. Res. 56, 273-286.
- Naghii, M.R. & Samman, S., 1997b. The effect of boron plasma testosterone and plasma lipids in rats. Nutr. Res. 17, 523-531.
- Naghii, M.R., 1999. The significance of dietary boron, with particular reference to athletes. Nutr. Health 13, 31-37.
- Nielsen, F.H., Hunt, C.D., Mullen, L.M. & Hunt, J.R.. 1987. Effect of dietary boron on mineral, estrogen, and testosterone metabolism in postmenopausal women. FASEB. J. 87, 394-397.
- Nielsen, F.H., 1988. Boron an overlooked element of potential nutritional importance. Nutr. Today (Jan/Feb), 4-7.
- NRC, 1984. National Research Council, Nutrients requirements of poultry, 8th ed. Washington, D.C.: National Academic Pres, USA. 71 pp.
- NRC, 1994. National Research Council. Nutrients requirements of poultry, 9th ed. Washington, D.C.: National Academic Press, USA. 155 pp.
- Okuyan, M.R., 1997. Biochemistry of Animal Nutrition. Ankara Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Yayınları, Yayın no: 1491, Ders kitabı: 450, Ankara. pp. 350 (in Turkish).
- Qin, X. & Klandorf, H., 1991. Effect of dietary boron supplementation on egg production, shell quality, and calcium metabolism in aged broiler breeder hens. Poult. Sci. 70, 2131-2138.
- Rossi, A.F., Miles, R.D., Bootwalla, S.M., Wilson, H.R. & Eldred, A.R., 1993a. The effect of feeding two sources of boron on broiler breeder performance. Poult. Sci. 72, 1931-1934.
- Rossi, A.F, Miles, R.D., Damron, B.I. & Flunker, L.K., 1993b. Effects of dietary boron supplementation on broilers. Poult. Sci. 72, 2124-2130.
- Sutherland, B., Strong, P. & King, J.C., 1998. Determining human dietary requirements for boron. Biol. Tr. Elem. Res. 66, 193-204.
- WHO, 1998. International Programme on Chemical Safety, Environmental Health Criteria 204, Boron, Ohio, USA. pp. 1-20.
- Wilson, J.H. & Ruszler, P.L., 1995. Effects of dietary boron on poultry bone strength. Transactions of the ASAE, 38, 167-170.
- Wilson, J.H. & Ruszler, P.L., 1996. Effects of dietary boron supplementation on laying hens. Br. Poult. Sci. 37, 723-729.
- Wilson, J.H. & Ruszler, P.L., 1997. Effects of boron on growing pullets. Biol. Tr. Elem. Res. 56 (3), 287-294.
- Wilson, J.H. & Ruszler, P.L., 1998. Long term effect of boron layer bone strength and production parameters. Br. Poult. Sci. 39, 11-15.