Influence of a polyclonal antibody preparation on the *in situ* degradability of three energy sources

W.G. Otero^{1#}, C.T. Marino², F.R. Alves¹, F.A. Ferreira¹, M.B. Arrigoni² and P.H.M. Rodrigues¹

¹Departament of Nutrition and Animal Production - FMVZ/USP, Brazil ²Departament of Animal Breeding and Nutrition - FMVZ/UNESP, Brazil

Abstract

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of a polyclonal antibody preparation (PAP) against specific ruminal bacteria on the *in situ* degradability of dry-grounded maize grain (DMG), high moisture maize silage (HMMS) starch and citrus pulp (CiPu) pectin. Nine ruminally cannulated cows were used in a 3 x 3 Latin square design, replicated three times in a factorial arrangement of treatments of two rumen modifiers represented by monensin and PAP plus a control group, and the three energy sources (DMG, HMMS and CiPu). Each period had 21 days, where 16 were used for adaptation to treatment and five for data collection. The group treated with PAP showed an effect on the soluble fraction ("a") of DMG starch, decreasing it by respectively 45.3% and 45.4% compared to the CON and MON groups. No effect of PAP was observed for any *in situ* degradability parameters of starch from HMMS or pectin of CiPu. It was concluded that the polyclonal antibody preparation had limited effect on the *in situ* degradability of the tested energy sources.

Keywords: *In sacco* degradability, ionophores, polyclonal antibody preparation, ruminal digestion [#] Corresponding author. E-mail: wpotero@yahoo.com.br

Introduction

The use of ionophores for ruminal fermentation modulation has been employed with great success for better utilization of diets. However, the possible health effects of the use of these additives are a cause for concern and new methods of ruminal fermentation manipulation are beginning to be tested. The European Community, a major importer of meat from Brazil, by Regulation (EC) 1831/2003 (Europe, 2003), banned the use of antibiotics and coccidiostats as feed additives for cattle. This regulation reinforces the need of new feed additive development. The objective of this study was to evaluate the *in situ* degradation of the dry matter and starch from dry-grounded maize grain (DMG), high moisture maize silage (HMMS) and pectin from citrus pulp (CiPu), as influenced by a polyclonal antibody preparation against specific rumen bacteria in cows fed a high concentrate diet. The bacteria species are *Streptococcus bovis, Fusobacterium necrophorum, Clostridium aminophilum, Peptostreptococcus anacrobius* and *Clostridium sticklandii.*

Materials and Methods

The trial was conducted at the College of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science at the University of São Paulo (USP), Brazil. Nine ruminally cannulated Holstein x Zebu non-pregnant dry cows (690 ± 44 kg BW) were used in 3 x 3 Latin square experimental design with three periods of 21 d each. Treatments were arranged as a 3 x 3 factorial arrangement of two all-feed additives monensin ([MON] or polyclonal antibody preparation [PAP]), plus a control group and three energy sources in the diet (dry-grounded maize grain [DMG], high moisture maize silage [HMMS] and citrus pulp [CiPu]). Cows were housed in a tie-stall barn equipped with individual feed bunks, rubber-matted floors and automatic water fountains common to two animals. There were fans in the ceiling in order to relieve the high temperatures during the day. Body weight was measured at the beginning of period one (d 1) and at the end of each of the three periods (d 21) at the same time each day.

Diets were fed as total mixed rations (TMR) with a ratio of concentrate to forage of 70 : 30 (DM basis, Table 1). Diets were offered twice daily at 08:00 and 16:00 for *ad libitum* consumption (minimum of 10%

| Ingradiants (%) | Experimental Diets | | | |
|--|--------------------|------|------|--|
| | DMG | HMMS | CiPu | |
| Sugaraana frash and ahannad | 20.4 | 20.2 | 20.4 | |
| Sugarcane fresh and chopped | 30.4 | 30.2 | 30.4 | |
| Dry-grounded maize grain (DMG) | 64.2 | 15.7 | 11.2 | |
| High moisture maize silage (HMMS) | - | 48.1 | - | |
| Citrus pulp (CiPu) | - | - | 50.0 | |
| Soyabean meal | 3.1 | 3.7 | 6.7 | |
| Urea | 0.74 | 0.65 | 0.65 | |
| Vitamin and mineral premix ¹ | 0.74 | 0.75 | 0.56 | |
| Limestone | 0.83 | 0.84 | - | |
| Dicalcium phosphate | - | - | 0.47 | |
| Chemical composition | | | | |
| Dry matter (%) | 59.0 | 53.5 | 61.1 | |
| Ash (g/kg DM) | 27.7 | 29.1 | 53.9 | |
| Crude protein (g/kg DM) | 117 | 108 | 108 | |
| Degradable protein in rumen (% CP) ² | 73.0 | 73.0 | 71.0 | |
| Non degradable protein in rumen (% CP) ² | 27.0 | 27.0 | 29.0 | |
| Ether extract (g/kg DM) | 28.4 | 30.0 | 20.9 | |
| Neutral detergent fibre (g/kg DM) | 249 | 232 | 213 | |
| Acid detergent fibre (g/kg DM) | 163 | 143 | 213 | |
| Non-structural carbohydrate (g/kg DM) | 576 | 601 | 503 | |
| Starch (g/kg DM) | 498 | 467 | 122 | |
| Pectin (% DM) | 3.3 | 3.4 | 112 | |
| Total digestible nutrients $(g/kg DM)^2$ | 780 | 790 | 730 | |
| Calcium (g/kg DM) | 4.9 | 5.7 | 14.1 | |
| Phosphorus (g/kg DM) | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.5 | |

Table 1 Ingredients proportions and chemical composition of experimental diets (dry matter basis)

¹Composition of vitamin and mineral premix per kg of product: 230 g calcium; 90 g phosphorus; 15 g sulphur;
20 g magnesium; 48 g sodium; 100 mg cobalt; 700 mg copper; 2.000 mg iron; 80 mg iodine; 1.250 mg manganese;
20 mg selenium; 2.700 mg zinc; 900 mg fluorine (maximum); 200.000 IU vitamin A; 60.000 IU vitamin D3;
60 IU vitamin E. ²Value estimated by CNCPS programme, version 5.0.40.

feed refusal). The forage source was fresh sugarcane chopped to a theoretical average particle size of 1.18 cm; measurement taken by the Penn State Particle Size Separator (Lammers *et al.*, 1996). MON and PAP were offered directly through the rumen cannula twice daily, just before the meals. MON (Rumensin, Elanco Animal Health, Indianapolis, I.N., USA) at 300 mg/animal/day was administered in absorbent tissue paper and PAP (CAMAS Inc., Le Centre, MN) at 10 mL/animal/day using a 10 mL syringe. The latter product contained antibodies against *Streptococcus bovis*, *Fusobacterium necrophorum* and some strains of proteolytic bacteria (*Peptostreptococcus* sp., *Clostridium aminophilum* and *Clostridium sticklandii*).

Each period had 21 days, where 16 days were used for adaptation to treatments and five days for data collection. The *in situ* degradability of DM and starch or pectin from the energy sources was measured by the nylon bag technique (Mehrez & Ørskov, 1977). Dry matter was determined according to AOAC (1990). Starch concentration was determined by the method described by Pereira & Rossi (1995) after extraction of soluble carbohydrates (Hendrix, 1993). Pectin was determined by the method described by the method described by Van Soest *et al.* (1991).

For degradation, parameters were estimated using the model proposed by Ørskov & McDonald (1979): p = a + b (1-e^{-ct}), where p is the degradation at each time; "a" is the soluble fraction; "b", the potentially degradable fraction of the insoluble fraction that is degraded at a rate "c"; "c" is the rate of degradation of fraction "b"; and "t" is the incubation period in hours. The parameters "a", "b" and "c" from exponential equation were used to calculate the potential degradability (Pd = a + b), which represents the quantity of feed that can be solubilized or degraded in the rumen if time is not a limiting factor. The effective ruminal degradability (Ed) was calculated according to the mathematical model proposed by Ørskov &

McDonald (1979): Ed = $a + [(b \times c)/(c + K)]$, where K is the passage rate of solids from the rumen, accepted here as either 0.02, 0.05 or 0.08 %/h.

Degradability data were calculated by the difference in weight of nylon bags before and after rumen incubation and adjusted according to the equation of Ørskov & McDonald (1979). Results were analyzed by the Statistical Analysis System software (SAS, 2001). Firstly, the residue normality was verified by the Shapiro-Wilk test (PROC UNIVARIATE). Data (dependent variable) that did not meet this assumption were submitted to logarithmic transformation [Log (X+1)] or square root adjustment [RQ (X+1/2)]. Original or transformed data, when this last procedure was necessary, were submitted to analysis of variance by PROC GLM (General Linear Models) procedure. The model accounted for the effect of feed additive, energy source, the interaction of feed additive x energy source, period and animal. The effects of the main factors (feed additive and energy source) were separated by Duncan test. Effects were declared significant at $P \le 0.05$.

Results and Discussion

The results of the influence of polyclonal antibody on the *in situ* degradability parameters of starch from DMG and HMMS and pectin from CiPu are presented on Tables 2, 3 and 4, respectively.

The group treated with PAP showed an effect (P = 0.037) in the soluble fraction "a" of DMG starch, decreasing it by 45.3% and 45.4% compared to the CON and MON groups, respectively (Table 2).

It was observed that the treatment with MON decreased the value of the potentially degradable fraction "b" of DM of HMMS by 16.1% compared to the CON group, but there was no difference when PAP was administered (Table 3). No effect of rumen modifier was observed for any of the *in situ* degradability parameters of starch of HMMS. In general, irrespective of treatments, *in situ* degradability values of HMMS were higher than the ones described by Jobim *et al.* (1999). These authors mentioned that high moisture maize silages had higher soluble fractions "a" in comparison to whole plant or ear of maize silages (grain +

| Dry matter — | Rumen modifier ¹ | | | Maan | a a m ² | Duch 3 |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------|--------------|--------------------|--------|
| | CON | MON | PAP | Mean | s.e.m. | Prod. |
| | | | | | | |
| Fraction a | 12.84 | 13.39 | 12.64 | 12.96 | 0.620 | 0.8531 |
| Fraction b | 85.56 | 84.33 | 86.95 | 85.61 | 1.362 | 0.8541 |
| Rate c | 0.0501 | 0.0433 | 0.0483 | 0.047 | 0.004 | 0.8608 |
| $\mathrm{Ed}^{4}2\%$ | 73.33 | 70.86 | 72.27 | 72.15 | 1.246 | 0.8842 |
| Ed 5% | 55.15 | 52.33 | 53.68 | 53.72 | 1.603 | 0.8899 |
| Ed 8% | 45.43 | 42.84 | 44.07 | 44.12 | 1.560 | 0.8986 |
| Pd^5 | 98.40 | 97.72 | 99.59 | 98.57 | 1.316 | 0.8699 |
| | Rumen modifier ¹ | | | | | |
| Starch - | CON | MON | PAP | Mean | s.e.m. | Prob. |
| | | | | | | |
| Fraction a | 4.64 ^a | 4.65 ^a | 2.54^{b} | 3.95 | 0.596 | 0.0374 |
| Fraction b | 97.25 | 97.66 | 100.71 | 98.54 | 0.694 | 0.1699 |
| Rate c | 0.0592 | 0.0505 | 0.0564 | 0.0554 | 0.006 | 0.8573 |
| Ed 2% | 76.65 | 74.38 | 74.53 | 75.18 | 1.576 | 0.8210 |
| Ed 5% | 56.75 | 53.55 | 53.69 | 54.66 | 2.128 | 0.8246 |
| Ed 8% | 15 55 | 12 22 | 12 11 | 13 11 | 2 084 | 0 8272 |
| Lu 0 /0 | 45.55 | 42.32 | 42.44 | TJ.TT | 2.00- | 0.0272 |

Table 2 Effect of rumen modifier on the *in situ* degradability of dry matter and starch of dry- grounded maize grain

^{a,b,c} Means without common superscripts differ, P ≤0.05 (Duncan).

¹Rumen modifier: CON – control; MON – monensin; PAP – polyclonal antibody preparation.

² s.e.m. – standard error of the mean; ³ Prob. – statistical probabilities for rumen modifier effect;

⁴Ed – effective degradability; ⁵Pd – potential degradability.

| Dry matter – | Rumen modifier ¹ | | | Maan | $a a m^2$ | Duch 3 | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| | CON | MON | PAP | Mean | s.e.m. | P100. | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Fraction a | 58.66 | 61.14 | 59.36 | 59.72 | 0.480 | 0.3248 | |
| Fraction b | 35.13 ^a | 29.46 ^b | 32.78^{ab} | 32.45 | 1.028 | 0.0383 | |
| Rate c | 0.1350^{b} | 0.2203^{a} | 0.1371 ^b | 0.164 | 0.020 | 0.0169 | |
| $\mathrm{Ed}^{4}2\%$ | 88.85 | 88.01 | 87.90 | 88.25 | 0.508 | 0.7728 | |
| Ed 5% | 83.69 | 84.92 | 83.27 | 83.96 | 0.632 | 0.6979 | |
| Ed 8% | 80.08 | 82.49 | 79.95 | 80.84 | 0.782 | 0.5032 | |
| Pd^5 | 93.79 ^a | 90.60 ^b | 92.14 ^{ab} | 92.18 | 0.714 | 0.0810 | |
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| Starch – | ŀ | lumon modifior | 1 | - Mean sem | | Proh | |
| | | Cumen mounter | | Mean | sem | Proh | |
| Staren | CON | MON | PAP | Mean | s.e.m. | Prob. | |
| Fraction a | CON 75.36 | MON 75.77 | PAP 74.52 | Mean 75.21 | s.e.m. | Prob. 0.7730 | |
| Fraction a Fraction b | CON 75.36 23.99 | MON 75.77 23.31 | PAP 74.52 25.23 | Mean 75.21 24.17 | s.e.m. 0.502 0.629 | Prob. 0.7730 0.6715 | |
| Fraction a Fraction b Rate c | CON 75.36 23.99 0.2633 | MON 75.77 23.31 0.0573 | PAP 74.52 25.23 0.1995 | Mean 75.21 24.17 0.3234 | s.e.m. 0.502 0.629 0.504 | Prob. 0.7730 0.6715 0.1579 | |
| Fraction a Fraction b Rate c Ed 2% | CON 75.36 23.99 0.2633 97.49 | MON 75.77 23.31 0.0573 98.18 | PAP 74.52 25.23 0.1995 97.11 | Mean 75.21 24.17 0.3234 97.60 | s.e.m. 0.502 0.629 0.504 0.244 | Prob. 0.7730 0.6715 0.1579 0.3947 | |
| Fraction a Fraction b Rate c Ed 2% Ed 5% | CON 75.36 23.99 0.2633 97.49 95.21 | MON 75.77 23.31 0.0573 98.18 96.97 | PAP 74.52 25.23 0.1995 97.11 94.12 | Mean 75.21 24.17 0.3234 97.60 95.43 | s.e.m. 0.502 0.629 0.504 0.244 0.583 | Prob. 0.7730 0.6715 0.1579 0.3947 0.3583 | |
| Fraction a Fraction b Rate c Ed 2% Ed 5% Ed 8% | CON 75.36 23.99 0.2633 97.49 95.21 93.38 | MON 75.77 23.31 0.0573 98.18 96.97 95.87 | PAP 74.52 25.23 0.1995 97.11 94.12 91.87 | Mean 75.21 24.17 0.3234 97.60 95.43 93.71 | s.e.m. 0.502 0.629 0.504 0.244 0.583 0.805 | Prob. 0.7730 0.6715 0.1579 0.3947 0.3583 0.3485 | |

Table 3 Effect of rumen modifier on the in situ degradability of dry matter and starch of high moisture maize grain

^{a,b,c} Means without common superscripts differ, P ≤0.05 (Duncan).

¹Rumen modifier: CON – control; MON – monensin; PAP – polyclonal antibody preparation.

² s.e.m. - standard error of the mean; ³ Prob. - statistical probabilities for rumen modifier effect; ⁴ Ed - effective degradability; ⁵ Pd - potential degradability.

| Dry matter | Rumen modifier ¹ | | | Moon | $a a m^2$ | Droh ³ | |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|-------------------|--|
| | CON | MON | PAP | Mean | s.e.m. | PIOD. | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Fraction a | 14.26 | 15.54 | 16.62 | 15.47 | 0.601 | 0.2118 | |
| Fraction b | 85.09 | 85.46 | 87.10 | 85.88 | 0.950 | 0.7207 | |
| Rate c | 0.0386 | 0.0411 | 0.0287 | 0.036 | 0.005 | 0.3223 | |
| $\mathrm{Ed}^42\%$ | 67.74 | 72.54 | 67.88 | 69.39 | 2.181 | 0.3023 | |
| Ed 5% | 49.54 | 53.75 | 48.35 | 50.55 | 2.209 | 0.2883 | |
| Ed 8% | 40.79 | 44.31 | 39.70 | 41.57 | 1.917 | 0.2925 | |
| Pd^5 | 99.34 | 101.00 | 103.72 | 101.35 | 0.952 | 0.2703 | |
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| Starch – | Rumen modifier ¹ | | | Mean | sem | Proh | |
| | CON | MON | PAP | Wiean | 5.0.111. | 1100. | |
| Fraction a | 14.88 | 14.20 | 16.30 | 15.13 | 1.450 | 0.9026 | |
| Fraction b | 84.93 | 88.23 | 79.55 | 84.24 | 2.870 | 0.3610 | |
| Rate c | 0.0462 | 0.0452 | 0.0596 | 0.050 | 0.007 | 0.6048 | |
| Ed 2% | 72.45 | 74.56 | 72.94 | 73.32 | 2.138 | 0.8787 | |
| Ed 5% | 54.46 | 55.44 | 56.56 | 55.49 | 2.51 | 0.9305 | |
| Ed 8% | 45.20 | 45.60 | 47.75 | 46.18 | 2.522 | 0.8884 | |
| Pd | 99.81 | 102.43 | 95.85 | 99.36 | 1.708 | 0.1313 | |

| Table 4 Effect of rumen modifier on the <i>in situ</i> degradability of | f dry matter and pectin of citrus pulp |) |
|--|--|---|
|--|--|---|

^{a,b,c} Means without common superscripts differ, $P \leq 0.05$ (Duncan).

¹Rumen modifier: CON – control; MON – monensin; PAP – polyclonal antibody preparation;

² s.e.m. - standard error of the mean; ³Prob. - statistical probabilities for rumen modifier effect;

⁴Ed. - effective degradability; ⁵Pd - potential degradability.

maize cob), which was attributed to lower cell wall fractions in grain silages. A high fraction "a" in association with a high potentially degradable fraction "b" of the feed supports rapid disappearance of grain silages from the rumen.

Moreover, there was no observed effect of rumen modifier on any *in situ* degradability parameters of the DM or pectin of CiPu (Table 4).

Conclusion

The polyclonal antibody preparation showed limited effect on the *in situ* degradability of energy sources. On the other hand, monensin affected dry matter *in situ* degradability of some energy sources, but not in others.

Acknowledgement

We thank the Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa de São Paulo (FAPESP, Brazil) and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq, Brazil) for providing financial support.

References

- AOAC, 1990. Official methods of analysis (15th ed.). Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Inc., Washington, D.C., USA.
- EUROPA, 2003. Regulation EC N° 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and Council of 22 September 2003 on additives for use in animal nutrition. Disponível em : http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/site/en/oj/2003/1_268/1_26820031018en00290043.pdf. Accessed in Feb. 05/2006.
- Hendrix, D.L., 1993. Rapid extraction and analyses of nonstructural carbohydrates in plant tissues. Crop Sci. 33, 1306-1311.
- Jobim, C.C., Reis, R.A., Martins, E.N., Alcalde, C.R. & Rosa, B., 1999. *In situ* degradability of dry matter and crude protein of whole plant of corn, high moisture corn and ear of corn without modified leaf. Acta Scientiarum. 21, 665-670. (in Portuguese).
- Lammers, B.P., Buckmaster, D.R. & Heinrichs, A.J., 1996. A simple method for the analysis of particle sizes of forage and total mixed rations. J. Dairy Sci. 79, 922-928.
- Mehrez, A.Z. & Ørskov, E.R., 1977. A study of the fiber bag technique for determining the digestibility of feeds in the rumen. J. Agric. Sci. 88, 645-650.
- Ørskov, E.R. & McDonald, I., 1979. The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from incubation measurements weighted according to rate of passage. J. Agric. Sci. 92, 499-503.
- Pereira, J.R.A. & Rossi Jr., P., 1995. Practical Manual of Nutritional Evaluation of Feedstuffs. 1. ed. FEALQ, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil. (in Portuguese).
- SAS, 2001. Statistical Analysis Systems user's guide (8th ed.). SAS Institute Inc., Raleigh, North Carolina, USA.
- Van Soest, P.J., Robertson, J.B. & Lewis, B.A., 1991. Carbohydrate methodology: Metabolism, and nutrition implications in dairy cattle. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. J. Dairy Sci. 74, 3583-3597.