Incidence and outcome of ventilator-associated pneumonia in Inkosi Albert Luthuli and King Edward VIII Hospital surgical intensive care units
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Background. Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is one of the most common causes of hospital morbidity and mortality, but has been poorly studied in the South African context.

Objective. To evaluate the incidence and outcome of VAP in the intensive care units (ICUs) of two major centres in the Durban metropolitan area.

Methods. The study was conducted over a period of 6 months with all intubated and mechanically ventilated patients who were screened on admission to ICU. A questionnaire was prepared to note patients’ age, gender, date and time of intubation or reintubation. Patients were monitored from date of admission to the date of discharge from ICU or death. A diagnosis of VAP was made on a clinical pulmonary infection score (CPIS) of ≥6.

Results. Of 32 patients evaluated, eight patients (25%) were diagnosed with VAP. Median duration of ventilation in the VAP group was 249 hours v. 65.5 hours in the non-VAP group (p=0.0002). We found no statistically significant association between age or gender with the development of VAP (p=0.28 and p=0.59, respectively). The most common organism isolated was Acinetobacter baumannii, followed by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Three of the eight (37.5%) patients diagnosed with VAP died in the ICU.

Conclusion. VAP is common in critically ill patients, possibly associated with poor outcome. These results highlight the need for strict adherence to evidence-based preventive measures.

or confirmed community-acquired or nosocomial pneumonia on admission, patient age <18 years, and patients who were managed on non-invasive ventilation. Patients were monitored from the date of admission into the ICU to the date of discharge or date of death. A diagnosis of VAP was made on a CPIS of ≥6. Due to the cost and ethical issues surrounding daily radiographic and microbiological testing, a score of 0 was allocated to these parameters (chest X-ray infiltrates and microbiology) if none was available on the day of evaluation. Semi-quantitative and qualitative tracheal aspirations and blood cultures were obtained.

At the time of study, patients were managed by the attending clinician and all interventions were decided upon based on the clinician’s individual assessment of the patient, including the initiation of antimicrobial therapy according to the unit’s antimicrobial stewardship programmes.

The association between the diagnosis of VAP and duration of ventilation was statistically analysed using the Mann-Whitney test, the association between age and gender and the development of VAP was studied using the two-sample t-test and the association between gender and VAP was studied using the one-sided Fisher’s exact test. A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

The study cohort comprised 32 patients (male n=19, 59.4%) admitted for various surgical and medical pathologies. Eight of the 32 patients (male n=5, 25.0%) were diagnosed with VAP, corresponding to a rate of 9.9 per 1 000 ventilator days. There were no statistically significant associations between age or gender and the development of VAP (Table 2). Patients with VAP had a significantly longer duration of mechanical ventilation than those without VAP (Table 2). Of the patients diagnosed with VAP, three out of eight (37.5%) died in the ICU. Mortality in the non-VAP group was not recorded.

All of the VAP cases except Case 1 fell under the definition of late-onset VAP. The most common organisms associated with VAP in were Acinetobacter baumannii (37.5%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (25.0%), followed by Haemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, and Escherichia coli (12.5% each) (Table 3), with no organism isolated in one of the patients diagnosed with VAP by CPIS.

### Table 1. CPIS parameters and scoring[^3]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPIS points</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tracheal secretions</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>Abundant and purulent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest X-ray infiltrate</td>
<td>No infiltrate</td>
<td>Diffuse</td>
<td>Localised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>≥36.5 and ≥38.4</td>
<td>≥38.5 and ≤38.9</td>
<td>≤36.5 or ≥39.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocytes (mm[^i])</td>
<td>&gt;4 000 and &lt;11 000</td>
<td>&lt;4 000 and &gt;11 000</td>
<td>&lt;4 000 or &gt;11 000 and band forms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO2/FiO2 (mmHg)</td>
<td>&gt;240 or ARDS</td>
<td>≤240 and no ARDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbiology</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^i]: White blood cell count

ARDS = acute respiratory distress syndrome; PaO2/FiO2 = ratio of partial pressure of arterial oxygen to fraction of inspired oxygen.

### Table 2. Selected patient characteristics and outcomes in those with and without development of VAP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VAP group (n=8)</th>
<th>Non-VAP group (n=24)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), median (IQR)</td>
<td>53 (27 - 63)</td>
<td>50 (27 - 59)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender, mean (%)</td>
<td>5.0 (62.5)</td>
<td>14.0 (58.3)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of mechanical ventilation (hours), median (IQR)</td>
<td>249.0 (130.5 - 333.5)</td>
<td>65.5 (56.0 - 87.0)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

The primary objective of this study was to assess the incidence, aetiology and outcome of VAP in a heterogenous patient population admitted to our ICUs with both medical and surgical pathologies. The incidence of VAP in our setting was 25%, which is in keeping with rates of 15.5 - 27.5% quoted in other studies using similar methodology.[^10] However, a recent surveillance study by Kollef et al.[^8] found the global incidence of VAP to be lower, at 15.6%, with a regional incidence of 13.5% in the USA, 19.4% in Europe, 13.8% in Latin America and 16.0% in Asia Pacific.

Despite the clinical popularity of the CPIS, debate continues regarding its diagnostic validity. Its apparent straightforward calculation is beneficial; however, the inter-observer variability in CPIS calculation remains substantial, jeopardising its routine use in clinical trials.[^10] The lack of an international gold standard for the diagnosis of VAP makes comparison of different studies difficult and inaccurate. Unfortunately, we as clinicians are nowhere near achieving this goal due to the overlapping of clinical presentations with other causes of sepsis or ARDS, resulting in a high sensitivity but low specificity using clinical diagnostic criteria. It is hoped that new developments in the isolation of specific biomarkers would provide a solution to this diagnostic conundrum.

Age and gender were not found to be statistically significant contributors to the development of VAP, but duration of mechanical ventilation was found to be highly significantly associated with the development of VAP. However, we are unable to determine cause and effect on the basis of this study. The association between duration of mechanical ventilation...
and the development of VAP has been reported previously, for example Gadani et al.,[26] in a study of 100 patients, concluded that the incidence of VAP was directly proportional to the duration of mechanical ventilation. This highlights the need to avoid intubation and mechanical ventilation if at all possible. The rate of VAP in non-invasive positive pressure-ventilated patients is lower and should be the ventilation modality utilised if proven to be equal if not superior to invasive means of ventilation for the disease process.[11] Both units in our study had VAP bundles in place, including head-up position, hand-washing protocols and early weaning protocols incorporating sedation holds.

We found that Gram-negative organisms were the most common associated pathogens, with A. baumannii and P. aeruginosa being the most common organisms isolated in the patients with VAP. Although these organisms are commonly associated with VAP in different settings, patient profile and nature of the ICU can contribute to a higher prevalence of other organisms.[14] The majority of the VAP cases were of the late-onset subtype in which multidrug-resistant bacteria are known to be the most prevalent.[11] Aetiological data collection and interpretation provides vital information on most likely causative organisms and resistance patterns. This assists clinicians in directing their choice of empirical treatment if VAP is suspected. Therefore, it is suggested that surveillance studies be adopted in all ICU settings.

The question of the effect of VAP on mortality of critically ill patients is certainly a pertinent one to the clinician. Of the patients who developed VAP, 37.5% died in the ICU. A recent meta-analysis on the attributable mortality of VAP conducted by Melser et al.[22] showed an overall attributable mortality of VAP to be 13%. Due to the heterogenous nature of our study population, with differing comorbidities and admission diagnoses as well as limited outcome data collection in those who did not develop VAP, we are unable to comment on VAP being an independent risk factor for mortality. However, the mortality rate in our study does warrant further investigation.

Study limitations

The major limitation is the small sample and the lack of patient stratification prior to investigation, preventing multivariate analysis. Our sample was based on other similarly designed studies, with populations of between 51 and 100 patients.[14] Furthermore, we did not look at medical and surgical admissions separately but instead looked at the patients in both ICUs as a single population. Patients’ baseline function, comorbidities, injury severity score and management confounders such as dialysis and patient transfer complications need to be documented and evaluated as possible contributing factors for the development of VAP. Possible areas of study for this unit include adherence to VAP bundle elements and the utilisation of other prevention studies such as feeding protocols, monitoring of endotracheal tube cuff pressures, minimising transfers out of ICU, etc., with subsequent similar surveillance studies to ascertain if these interventions affect the development of VAP. Larger, multicentred studies are recommended to address and help minimise the effect of this disease process on a national level.

Conclusion

VAP is a common pathology in critically ill patients, possibly associated with poor outcome. We found a significant association between duration of ventilation and development of VAP, which highlights the essential need for implementation of VAP preventive bundles, weaning protocols and strict adherence to infection control policies.
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