Early surfactant therapy and nasal continuous positive airways pressure for mild respiratory distress syndrome – a pilot study
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Objectives. To determine if the administration of porcine surfactant 100 mg/kg within 24 hours after birth, to infants with respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) receiving nasal continuous positive airways pressure (NCPAP) and inspired oxygen (FiO\textsubscript{2}) 0.3 - 0.4, decreased the need for mechanical ventilation (MV) during the first week of life compared with infants in whom the required FiO\textsubscript{2} was allowed to rise above 0.4 before surfactant was administered.

Design and subjects. A study of 102 infants was planned, but terminated early due to slow recruitment, and is presented as pilot data. Twenty-seven preterm infants were randomised into either a low- or a high-threshold group. The low-threshold group received surfactant immediately and the high-threshold group received surfactant if their FiO\textsubscript{2} rose above 0.4. Infants who received surfactant were returned to NCPAP if respiratory effort was adequate.

Setting. The Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Groote Schuur Hospital, Cape Town.

Results. The mean gestational age for the entire cohort was 31±2 weeks. There were no significant differences between the groups with regard to the need for MV in the first week of life. However, the duration of any form of assisted ventilation (NCPAP and MV) was less in the low-threshold group (p=0.042), and this group had a lower mean PaCO\textsubscript{2} at 24 hours (p=0.015).

Conclusions. In this pilot study, the administration of 100 mg/kg porcine surfactant to preterm infants with RDS requiring NCPAP at a threshold FiO\textsubscript{2} of 0.3 - 0.4 improved alveolar ventilation and reduced the duration of any form of assisted ventilation compared with waiting until the FiO\textsubscript{2} was >0.4. There was no significant reduction in the need for MV.

The use of nasal continuous positive airways pressure (NCPAP) in preterm infants with respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) reduces the need for mechanical ventilation (MV) and decreases mortality.\textsuperscript{1} MV in preterm infants is further reduced by early administration of porcine surfactant (Curosurf) followed by immediate extubation to NCPAP.\textsuperscript{2} Verder et al. administered high-dose porcine surfactant (200 mg/kg) to infants of less than 30 weeks’ gestation requiring NCPAP and showed that, if administered within the first 72 hours of life, when FiO\textsubscript{2} was between 0.37 and 0.55, the need for subsequent MV during the first 7 days decreased from 63% to 21%.\textsuperscript{3}

We use the standard dose of 100 mg/kg porcine surfactant in our unit. Our anecdotal experience suggests that this dose is effective if administered at lower FiO\textsubscript{2} thresholds than those suggested by Verder et al.\textsuperscript{3} This study was designed to determine if infants with RDS respond better to standard doses of surfactant if administered earlier in the disease process. We hypothesised that if porcine surfactant 100 mg/kg is administered within 24 hours after birth, the need for MV and the duration of any form of assisted ventilation (NCPAP and MV) during the first week of life will be lower if surfactant is administered at a threshold FiO\textsubscript{2} of 0.3 - 0.4 compared with allowing the FiO\textsubscript{2} to rise above 0.4 before the surfactant is administered.

Patients and methods

The study was performed at the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Groote Schuur Hospital, from January to November 2006.

Preterm infants (age 0.5 - 24 hours, gestation 28 - 35 weeks, birth weight ≥900 g) with a diagnosis of RDS, requiring NCPAP ≥5 cm of water and FiO\textsubscript{2}, of 0.3 - 0.4, were recruited. The FiO\textsubscript{2} was adjusted to maintain oxygen saturation (SaO\textsubscript{2}) at 88 - 94%. The diagnosis of RDS was based on the combination of respiratory distress, typical X-ray findings and absence of sepsis at birth.

Infants presenting with any of the following were excluded: signs of significant fetal hypoxia (Apgar score <3 at 5 minutes) or umbilical arterial base deficit ≥2; prolonged rupture of membranes (>3 weeks); congenital sepsis; pneumothorax before recruitment; pre-existing grade 3 or 4 periventricular leukomalacia; or grade 3 or 4 intraventricular haemorrhage.\textsuperscript{5} Infants who had received surfactant before the time of...
randomisation were also excluded.

Infants were randomised into either a low- or high-threshold treatment group by blindly drawing cards out of an envelope. The low-threshold group received porcine surfactant 100 mg/kg immediately after randomisation, and the high-threshold group only received porcine surfactant 100 mg/kg if their FiO₂ requirement rose above 0.4. The surfactant was administered intra-tracheally and the infants were subsequently extubated and again received NCPAP if respiratory effort was adequate within 10 minutes.

The intubation and extubation procedures were as follows: reversible analgesia 1 - 2 minutes before intubation was provided with intravenous (IV) morphine 0.1 mg/kg, as used in similar studies. This dose was repeated if adequate sedation was not obtained. The additional use of IV suxamethonium 1 - 2 mg/kg and IV atropine 15 µg/kg was left to the discretion of the attending doctor. After surfactant administration and before extubation, IV naloxone 0.04 mg/kg was given to reverse the morphine, followed by intramuscular (IM) naloxone 0.06 mg/kg. An immediate effect is obtained from the IV dose and a prolonged effect is obtained with the IM dose to maintain the reversal for the duration of the half-life of morphine, which can be up to 12 hours in a preterm infant. The total cumulative dose of naloxone was 0.1mg/kg.

After instillation of exogenous surfactant, infants were ventilated with a self-inflating resuscitation bag (Laerdal, Victoria, Australia) until the return of adequate spontaneous respiratory effort, as judged by the attending clinician. If regular respiratory effort was not established within 10 minutes of surfactant instillation, or if the infant subsequently met criteria for MV, extubation did not occur at that time and MV was continued.

The criteria for continuing MV or re-intubating an infant after treatment with surfactant were any one of the following: arterial to alveolar oxygen tension ratio (a/APO2) <0.22 if an arterial line was available; FiO₂ >0.55 to maintain SaO₂ at 88 - 94%; recurrent apnoea requiring mask ventilation; respiratory acidosis with pH <7.25; or excessive work of breathing judged clinically.

All infants received routine care and NCPAP was provided using an Infant Flow Driver (Electro Medical Equipment Ltd, East Sussex, England).

The study was approved by the University of Cape Town Human Research Ethics Committee. Prior to this study, the specific FiO₂ threshold for surfactant administration in this group of infants varied between specialists - from 0.3 to 0.5. Because both interventions were within the current standard of care and mothers were frequently unavailable for consent soon after delivery, the Ethics Committee approved obtaining retrospective parental consent for the infants to continue in the study and for researchers to use the data.
Children require specialised care, specialised machines – adult-sized facilities are often, just not adequate. At the Red Cross War Memorial Children’s Hospital, all the machines, from heart-monitors, to ventilators are smaller than most, to fit the little patients perfectly. The surgeons are skilled enough to perform life-saving operations even on babies who weigh no more than a kilogram.

The Red Cross War Memorial Children’s Hospital offers all this and more – at no charge for those who can’t afford it. However, the only way that they can continue to offer the level of expert care and support that their little patients need, is with the help of generous donors – members of the public who understand the value of the work they do, who are prepared to offer support to keep the Hospital performing its life-saving work.

The Red Cross War Memorial Children’s Hospital cares for children of all ages and all walks of life from all over Africa. They’ll be there if your little one needs them – but they need your help too.

The Children’s Hospital Trust is an independent charity and the fundraising arm of the Red Cross War Memorial Children’s Hospital – any donation made to us, large or small, will go towards helping the Hospital save more little patients.

100% of all donations to the Trust go directly to Hospital projects.

Your support will help the Red Cross War Memorial Children’s Hospital save more lives.

At just 10 months old, doctors found cancerous tumours on both of Josh’s kidneys. By age 2, he’d had over 20 surgeries, suffered major organ failure and spent more than a month on life support. Only once Joshua was transferred to the Red Cross War Memorial Children’s Hospital, was his condition diagnosed as Denys Drash disease – a rare genetic disorder for which there is no cure. Doctors there did whatever it took, and his mom risked her life for his – donating her kidney to save him. It was a long, hard road, but today Josh is back home with his family where he belongs.

Mbali was only 4 years old when the shack she was living in caught fire. Suffering horrific burns to her face, hands and stomach, her parents desperately sought help for her. Despite treatment at two different hospitals, her wounds were still not healing. It was then that she was transferred to the Burns Unit at the Red Cross War Memorial Children’s Hospital – where after just 6 months of treatment she was discharged. Although she has continued to receive physiotherapy and will be returning to the Hospital for further plastic surgery, today she is well on the road to recovery.

So please, help us to keep giving sick children the medical care they need.

Visit: www.childrenshospitaltrust.org.za or call (021) 686 7860 to become a supporter.

The Children’s Hospital Trust is an independent charity and the official fundraising arm of the Red Cross War Memorial Children’s Hospital. This Trust works in partnership with the Department of Health, who owns the naming rights of the Hospital.

AT THE RED CROSS WAR MEMORIAL CHILDREN’S HOSPITAL, FIT IS ALL IMPORTANT.

It’s why everything from heart monitors to ventilators and a hundred other machines are smaller than most – because children are. And it’s the only stand alone, specialist hospital dedicated entirely to children in the whole of southern Africa, managing over 180 000 patient visits every year.

Christmas Eve 2004. One little girl isn’t opening her presents. She’s in a critical condition...

Mosa Ntloseng was that little girl – on what should have been a night filled with happiness and laughter. Suddenly, on Christmas Eve, her kidneys stopped functioning and she went from being happy and healthy to being in a critical state in a matter of hours.

Mosa’s condition was so serious that she was immediately transferred from her local hospital in Johannesburg, South Africa to the Red Cross War Memorial Children’s Hospital in Cape Town. There she was stabilised and put onto the waiting list for an organ transplant, as she desperately needed a new liver and kidney. It was at the Red Cross War Memorial Children’s Hospital that her life-saving double transplant was performed – a 16-hour operation. It was at this extraordinary hospital where nurses, psychologists, doctors and specialists did everything they could to keep this little girl alive.

Now ask yourself – what if this had been your child? Where would you turn, if not to the Red Cross War Memorial Children’s Hospital?

The Red Cross War Memorial Children’s Hospital is the only stand alone, specialist hospital dedicated entirely to children in southern Africa. When children across the continent need special care, this is the resource to which, they, their parents and their doctors turn. The Hospital manages approximately 20 000 inpatient admissions and 160 000 outpatient visits every year and their ideal is to send these children home healthy – back to their friends, back to their school, back to their childhood.
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as median (range). Categorical data were analysed with Fisher’s exact test or the chi-square test, depending on expected values. Statistical significance was assumed at \( p < 0.05 \).

Results

The characteristics of the infants studied are shown in Table I. At recruitment, there was a higher PaCO2 \( (p=0.055) \), but a lower FiO2 requirement \( (p=0.072) \) in the high-threshold group. The mean birth weight for the entire cohort was 1 350±306 g and the mean gestational age was 31±2 weeks.

The outcomes during the first week of life are shown in Table II. Twice as many infants required MV in the high-threshold group, but only 6 infants (22%) in the entire cohort needed MV. The individual durations of MV and NCPAP were less in the low-threshold group, but the difference was not significant. However, there was a significant reduction in assisted ventilation (combined duration of NCPAP and MV) during the first week of life in the low-threshold group \( (p=0.042) \). Fig. 1 shows how the difference between groups varied with time, and by age 7 days the difference was no longer significant \( (p=0.069) \). The low-threshold group also had a significantly lower PaCO2 at 24 hours \( (p=0.015) \).

Outcomes during the entire hospital stay are shown in Table III.

### Table I. Characteristics of Infants Studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>High threshold ( (N=14) )</th>
<th>Low threshold ( (N=13) )</th>
<th>Statistical test</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (g) (SD)</td>
<td>1 270 (233)</td>
<td>1 435 (359)</td>
<td>2TT</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (w) (SD)</td>
<td>31 (2)</td>
<td>32 (2)</td>
<td>2TT</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender (%)</td>
<td>8/14 (57)</td>
<td>7/13 (54)</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apgar score at 5 min (range)</td>
<td>9 (6 - 9)</td>
<td>9 (6 - 9)</td>
<td>WRS</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal GPH (%)</td>
<td>8/14 (57)</td>
<td>9/13 (69)</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic preterm labour (%)</td>
<td>4/14 (29)</td>
<td>3/13 (23)</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-labour rupture of membranes (%)</td>
<td>2/14 (14)</td>
<td>0/13 (0)</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antepartum haemorrhage (%)</td>
<td>2/14 (14)</td>
<td>1/13 (8)</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesarean delivery (%)</td>
<td>10/14 (71)</td>
<td>11/13 (85)</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal HT+ (%)</td>
<td>1/14 (8)</td>
<td>3/13 (23)</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two doses antenatal steroids (%)</td>
<td>7/14 (50)</td>
<td>9/13 (69)</td>
<td>Chi2</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at recruitment (h) (range)</td>
<td>6.5 (1 - 24)</td>
<td>4 (1.5 - 18)</td>
<td>WRS</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO2 at recruitment (range)</td>
<td>7.3 (4.75 - 7.5)</td>
<td>5.86 (3.83 - 8.2)</td>
<td>WRS</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FiO2 at recruitment (SD)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.36 (0.04)</td>
<td>2TT</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FiO2 before surfactant (SD)</td>
<td>0.45 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.36 (0.04)</td>
<td>2TT</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FE = Fisher’s exact test; GPH = gestational proteinuric hypertension; 2TT = Two-tailed Student’s t-test; WRS = Wilcoxon rank sum test.

### Table II. Outcomes in First Week of Life

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>High threshold ( (N=14) )</th>
<th>Low threshold ( (N=13) )</th>
<th>Statistical test</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MV (%)</td>
<td>4/14 (29)</td>
<td>2/13 (15)</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration MV (h) (median, mean, range)</td>
<td>16.5; 0.0 - 89</td>
<td>3.5; 0.0 - 6</td>
<td>WRS</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of NCPAP (h) (SD)</td>
<td>74.1 (43.3)</td>
<td>53.3 (44.4)</td>
<td>1TT</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of any form of assisted ventilation (h) (SD)</td>
<td>91 (50)</td>
<td>57 (47)</td>
<td>1TT</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO2 in kPa at 24 h (range)</td>
<td>7.31 (4.96 - 7.37)</td>
<td>5.5 (3.68 - 7.35)</td>
<td>2TT</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumothorax after recruitment (%)</td>
<td>1/14 (7)</td>
<td>0/13 (0)</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant patent ductus arteriosus (%)</td>
<td>8/14 (57)</td>
<td>5/13 (38)</td>
<td>Chi2</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of infants requiring a second dose</td>
<td>1/14 (7)</td>
<td>1/13 (8)</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of infants requiring any surfactant</td>
<td>8/14 (57)</td>
<td>13/13 (100)</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doses surfactant per infant (range)</td>
<td>1 (0 - 2)</td>
<td>1 (1 - 2)</td>
<td>WRS</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FE = Fisher’s exact test; ITT = One-tailed Student’s t-test; 2TT = Two-tailed Student’s t-test; WRS = Wilcoxon rank sum test.

### Table III. Outcomes During Hospital Stay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>High threshold ( (N=14) )</th>
<th>Low threshold ( (N=13) )</th>
<th>Statistical test</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrotising enterocolitis (%)</td>
<td>1/14 (7)</td>
<td>3/13 (23)</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis suspected (%)</td>
<td>5/14 (36)</td>
<td>5/13 (38)</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe IVH or PVL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen-dependent at 28 days</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay (d) (SD)</td>
<td>33 (4)</td>
<td>31 (5)</td>
<td>2TT</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FE = Fisher’s exact test; IVH = intraventricular haemorrhage; PVL = periventricular leukomalacia; 2TT = Two-tailed Student’s t-test.
Fig. 1. Proportions of infants requiring any form of assisted ventilation (MV and/or NCPAP) during the first week of life.

Table III. All 13 infants in the low-threshold group received surfactant empirically, but only 8 of the 14 infants in the high-threshold group reached the intervention threshold and received surfactant. One infant from each group required repeat dosing. Fewer infants had a significant PDA in the low-threshold group and there were no pneumothoraces in this group, but these findings were not statistically significant. There were no significant differences in any of the other outcomes. Four infants (16.6%) in the entire study sample developed NEC. However, 3 of the 4 infants only had grade 2a NEC, and only 1 infant had severe disease. Suspected sepsis was diagnosed in 10 infants (37%) in the entire study sample, but blood culture-proven sepsis was diagnosed in only 3 infants. All of the enrolled infants survived and none developed bronchopulmonary dysplasia.

Discussion

The administration of 100 mg/kg porcine surfactant to preterm infants with RDS requiring NCPAP at a threshold FiO2 of ≤0.4 reduced the duration of any form of assisted ventilation compared with waiting until the FiO2 was above 0.4 before administering surfactant. The short duration of assisted ventilation in both groups shows the efficacy of both the low- and high-threshold approach and by age 7 days the difference was no longer significant. The sample size was too small to detect significant differences in the duration of either NCPAP or MV alone. The 22% MV rate in the whole cohort was similar to our previous estimate of 25%, but the differences between the groups were not as large as we had expected. However, the lower PaCO2 at 24 hours in the low-threshold group suggests significantly improved alveolar ventilation after surfactant administration in this group. The higher PaCO2 in the high-threshold group at recruitment may suggest relatively more compromised alveolar ventilation, but the lower FiO2 at the time is consistent with less severe RDS than is indicated by the PaCO2. It suggests that at recruitment there was no significant difference in severity of RDS between the groups. The low number of infants exposed to complete courses of antenatal steroids reflects the acute nature with which many mothers delivering in our setting present, and the high incidence of maternal gestational proteinuric hypertension is typical for our unit.

Signs of RDS increase significantly when the a/APO ratio decreases below 0.36 (or FiO2 increases above 0.37). However, when Verder et al. administered porcine surfactant 200 mg/kg to infants at that threshold, the subsequent requirement for MV was reduced but the duration of NCPAP was not affected significantly. Since those data were collected, evidence has accumulated that supports earlier extubation to NCPAP. It shows the increasing preferred use of NCPAP to MV. Because many infants who receive MV may be adequately ventilated on NCPAP, we combined these two categories into one, termed assisted ventilation. The resultant increased hours of assisted ventilation in each group probably explains why we found a greater treatment effect in this combined category compared with MV or NCPAP alone.

The FiO2 threshold of 0.3 for surfactant administration has been used in other studies on infants receiving NCPAP. Dani et al. electively administered porcine surfactant 200 mg/kg to infants <30 weeks’ gestation who required FiO2 ≥0.3 in the first 6 hours of life and randomised the infants to immediate extubation to NCPAP or conventional slow weaning on MV. In Dani et al.’s study, the group that was extubated immediately required significantly less MV and NCPAP. Only 2 of the 13 infants in the NCPAP group required MV in the first week. This is lower than the 21% incidence described by Verder et al. and is the same proportion as in our low-threshold group, despite the use of a lower surfactant dose of 100 mg/kg. Reininger et al. randomised infants of 29 - 35 weeks’ gestation to a low-threshold group receiving bovine surfactant (Survanta) 100 mg/kg at FiO2 ≥0.3, followed by immediate extubation to NCPAP, or a control group who only received surfactant if they required MV for respiratory failure or apnoea. The threshold for surfactant administration in the low-threshold group in that study was subsequently lowered to 0.21 because of very slow recruitment. The incidence of subsequent MV was less in the low-threshold group than in the control group, but was relatively high in both groups at 50% and 70%, respectively.

The early elective administration of bovine surfactant 100 mg/kg to infants receiving NCPAP was also studied by the Texas Neonatal Research Group. Infants with a birth weight ≥1 250 g, ≤36 weeks’ gestation and FiO2 of ≥0.4 were randomised to elective surfactant administration and expedited extubation or expectant management in the control group. The study found no significant advantage to early administration of bovine surfactant and the elective surfactant group had a significantly longer duration of assisted ventilation. However, it is difficult to compare this group with our study group as the mean birth weight in the two groups (2 068 g and 2 040 g, respectively) was significantly higher than that in our group, and 34% of the infants were not receiving oxygen via NCPAP at the time of randomisation.

Although a higher threshold for surfactant administration results in some infants not requiring surfactant at all, it is also associated with a longer duration of any form of assisted ventilation. However, the low rates of MV and reduction in duration of any form of assisted ventilation shown by Dani et al. and ourselves probably have more benefit to the neonatal intensive care community of infants than to the individual infant. A reductus in duration of assisted ventilation of only 1 - 2 days per infant may result in a cumulative saving of 1 - 2 months of infant high care (or intensive care) days per year. The reduced time in high care may offset the cost of the additional doses of surfactant and may allow the bed space to be used for other infants. This issue is particularly relevant in a situation with perpetual shortages of beds, as in our own.

A Cochrane review, which included the studies of Dani et al. and Reininger et al., found that elective surfactant administration to infants at FiO2 thresholds of ≤0.45 was
associated with less MV, a lower incidence of broncho-
pulmonary dysplasia, fewer air-leak syndromes and a lower
incidence of PDA than that associated with higher thresholds.
In our small sample, we were unable to demonstrate significant
reductions in major morbidity, mortality or hospital stay in the
low FiO₂ threshold group compared with the higher threshold
group. However, the infants in our entire study sample
achieved MV rates similar to or lower than those reported in
other studies using a higher surfactant dose, and none of those
in our study developed bronchopulmonary dysplasia.

The short hospital stays, the lack of oxygen dependence at 28
days, and the absence of severe cerebral morbidity suggest that
administration of 100 mg/kg porcine surfactant to preterm
infants with RDS receiving NCPAP is safe and beneficial.
There was further limited benefit when an administration
threshold of FiO₂ >0.3 was used rather than waiting until the
FiO₂ rises above 0.4, but a larger study is required to confirm
these findings and establish an accurate cost-benefit ratio.
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