Non-seasonal Landsat based bare area gain detection in Botswana during 2002 to 2020 Period using Maximum Likelihood Classifier (MLC).

Rejoice Tsheko

Department of Agricultural & Biosystems Engineering, Botswana University of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Botswana, rejoice.tsheko@gmail.com

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/sajg.v11i1.7

Abstract

This paper estimates bare area gain detected using cloud free Landsat 7 (ETM+) and Landsat 8 (OLI) in Botswana. From 2002 to 2020, agricultural fields shrunk by 76.4%, while built-up increased by 49.2%, and bare areas increased from 3.32% to 7.03% (or 111.7%). There is a significant seasonal change in bare area detected reaching maximum during the dry season when there is little or no ground cover. In this study, the seasonality of bare area gain was overcome by only considering a bare area pixel to contribute to bare area gain if it exists during both the winter and summer months. The probability of bare area detection was 75.0% and probability of false detection 13.3% respectively. The 13% false detection tended to be built-up areas which had similar spectral characteristics as bare areas since most built-up areas have no ground cover. The bare area gain is driven by the high population growth rate of 3.4%. From 2001 to 2017, the population of the study area has increased by 34% and now accounts for 47% of the population of Botswana.

Keywords: bare area, LULC, seasonal, population, soil erosion, ndvi, ndbi

1. Introduction

The ever-increasing human population with accompanying economic drivers such as concentration of people in urban areas and excessive natural resources extraction is exerting stress on the planet leading to changes in the land cover such as vegetation cover loss (Potapov et al., 2012; Ying et al., 2017). Change in land cover is key component of global environment change and drives a wide range of ecological, hydrological, and climatic processes such as loss of forests, urbanization, and increase in agricultural activities (animal grazing and crops production) to increase food production (Foley et al., 2005; Vitousek et al., 1997). It also has a negative impact on flora and fauna especially on sensitive landscapes (Cook et al., 2012; Ringrose et al., 1996; Vittor et al., 2006).

Landsat data had extensively been used in Land Use Land Cover (LULC) studies from deforestation, fire scars, agriculture monitoring, urban sprawl, and wetlands loss (Daldegan et al., 2019; Jensen et al., 1995; Spruce et al., 2018; Zhu & Woodcock, 2014). Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) and Sentinel-2 datasets had been used to monitor vegetation and land cover changes from 2005 and 2015 respectively (Jin & Sader, 2005; Schug et al., 2020; Xin et al.,

2012). Although non-optical techniques are also used in LULC mapping especially where clouds are frequent (Nicolau et al., 2021), optical remote sensing has long been and is promising as a systematic and cost effective way of monitoring bare ground cover and gain (Barrett et al., 2020; Dematte et al., 2009; Hansen et al., 2014; Nwilo et al., 2020). For LULC classes detection, either full pixel or subpixel scheme is used for the image classification using statistical, regression, expert classifier, artificial neural networks, and other more sophisticated algorithms (Daldegan et al., 2019; Schug et al., 2020). Although the maximum likelihood classification assumes that the statistics for each class in each band are normally distributed can still produce acceptable results even if this assumption does not hold furthermore, it is easy to implement using full pixel scheme.

Desertification is defined as the depletion or destruction of the biological capability of the land (in arid, semi-arid and dry sub-humid areas) leading to desert-like condition caused by climate variation and human activities (UNCOD, 1977; United Nations, 1993). Desertification which results from an increase in bare areas is an issue of environmental concern in semi-arid to arid parts of the world covering 40% of the global land surface and accounting for about 15% of the human population (Verón et al., 2006).

The first estimates of global bare ground gain study representing 2000 to 2012 period using satellite imagery concluded that bare ground gain represent a very small fraction of the overall global land cover (93,896±9317 km²) (Ying et al., 2017; Hansen et al., 2013; Schneider et al., 2010). The huge uncertainty in this estimation (almost 10%) is because land use extent and change is difficult to quantify. Further, most of this gain occurs in the East Asia and the Pacific (45%) with China alone accounting for (78%) this gains (Ying et al., 2017). Human induced bare ground gain accounted for 95% of the total of which 39% is accounted for by commercial and residential development (builtup), but in Sub-Sahara Africa (account for 3% of global bare ground gain), the highest proportion of the bare ground is due to resources extraction followed by built-up. It has been estimated that 45,945.08 km² of vegetation cover was lost in northern Nigeria including the Lake Chad area (at a rate of 0.96% and 0.28%) between 1984 and 2016 (Nwilo et al., 2020). There is lack of accurate assessment of the status, change, and trend of desertification, this hinders developing global actions to prevent and eradicate the problem especially in one of the most seriously affected countries like China (Yang et al., 2005). Ying et al (2017) determined that human-induced bare ground gain accounted for 95% of the total and for Sub-Sahara Africa, this is made up of the following components: 1. commercial and residential development (37%), resource extraction/mining (32%), infrastructure development (4%), transitional (15%), Natural bare ground (12%) and greenhouses (0%).

The study presented here detects bare areas by aggregating bare areas detected during a wet season (good vegetation cover) and dry season (poor vegetation cover) in one year and comparing the bare areas change temporally (2002 to 2020). A true bare ground pixel is selected only if the pixel being considered is bare during both winter and summer months.

Studies have shown that accuracy assessment results from homogeneous land cover classes are generally higher than those from heterogeneous classes (Gessner et al., 2013); Latifovic & Olthof,

2004; Friedl et al., 2010). In the arid to semi-arid areas such as the Kalahari, bare area is actually 30% herbaceous and 70% bare (Gessner et al., 2013). This spectral mix causes confusion in LULC classification i) rural areas where bare areas, built-up, crop fields and grazing pasturelands classes are not distinct hence tend to generate a spectral mixture, ii) during the winter months, the agricultural fields and grazing lands are bare (no crops, leafless trees and shrubs, and no grass cover which is normally annual iii) urban built-up land use has a lot of open bare areas (unpaved); hence these tend to overestimate bare land class and also lowers the overall accuracy of LULC classification. Because of these inconsistencies in estimating bare areas and hence the rate of desertification at local scale, we examine the aggregating bare areas detected during two extreme conditions (winter/summer) in a year (2020) and compare to a previous period (2002) to estimate bare area change in around Greater Gaborone area in South Eastern Botswana.

First, the study area is described; the methodology entails radiometric correction for all four cloud free Landsat images (two images per year) followed by features extraction. The features are used in the maximum likelihood classifier for both winter and summer months during the years 2002 and 2020. Finally, accuracy assessment of images classification is assessed using confusion matrices. The accuracy of bare areas detection in a given year is determined by calculating the probability of detection (PoD) and probability of false detection (PoFD)

2. Study Area

The study area is in Botswana (Figure 1), a relatively flat landlocked country in Southern Africa of size 581, 730 km² with a population of about 2.3 million people (Statistics Botswana, 2018). The country faces reoccurring droughts and a threat of desertification resulting from communal grazing which accounts for 71% of the country's land (Darkoh, 1998). The greater Gaborone area is the area south of Botswana which comprise of the capital city Gaborone and several major towns and villages accounting for 38% of the total population of Botswana (Central Statistics Office, 2000; Statistics Botswana, 2018; Tsheko, 2006).

The annual climate ranges from months of dry temperate weather during winter (April-September) humid subtropical weather interspersed with drier periods of hot weather during summer (October-March). Annual rainfall, brought by winds from the Indian Ocean, averages 500 mm, for the study area (Jonsson, 2004). In 2006, FAO estimated that bare land/built up (bare -land covered by bare soil with less herbal vegetation, such as clearing, mining and rocky area while built up – land covered build up area such as settlement and urban, and industrial area) accounted for 1.5% of Botswana land cover (FAO, 2020).

The general vegetation of the country is savanna grassland with yellow or light brown grass cover (turning green after rains) and woody plants. The savanna ranges from acacia shrub savanna in the southwest through acacia thornbush and tree savanna "parkland" into denser woodland and eventually forest as one moves north and east (Jonsson, 2004).

South African Journal of Geomatics, Vol. 11. No. 1, February 2022



Figure 1. Study Area (24°17'53.84" S, 25°17'50.66"E to 25°17'51.14"S, 26°12'1.72"E)

3. Methodology

Four (4) cloud free Landsat images, two (2) Landsat 8 scenes (Path 172/Row 077) acquired 31st January and 7th June 2020, and two (2) Landsat 7 scenes (Path 172/Row 077) acquired 21st January and 30th June 2002 were used in this study. Preprocessing was done with the objective to convert the digital numbers (DNs) into scaled surface reflectance values (Du et al., 2002; Ihlen & Zanter, 2019; Teillet et al., 2001). The image radiometric calibration was implemented in ENVI software Radiometric Correction Module © Exelis Visual Information Solutions using parameters from the Landsat metadata files (Chavez, 1988; Schaepman-Strub et al., 2006). The Methodology is summarized in the flow chart Figure 2 below.

South African Journal of Geomatics, Vol. 11. No. 1, February 2022



Figure 2. Summary of the Methodology

3.1. Features Extraction

Six (6) classes were defined for the imagery, Agricultural fields, trees, bare, built-up, shrubs, and water. The mean reflectance values of following nine (9) and eight (8) features were calculated and extracted for each of the four classes above for both the Landsat 8 and Landsat 7 respectively as shown in Table 1 below.

South African Journal of Geomatics, Vol. 11. No. 1, February 2022

	Band or feature	Wavelength	Index
		(nm)	
1	Band 1 (coastal/aerosol)	433-453	
2	Band 2 (blue)	452-512	
3	Band 3 (green)	533-590	
4	Band 4 (red)	636-673	
5	Band 5 (nir)	851-879	
6	Band 6 (swir1)	1566-1651	
7	Band 7 (swir2)	2107-2294	
8	Normalized difference vegetation index (ndvi)		nir – red
			$\overline{nir + red}$
9	Normalized difference bare index (ndbi)		swir1 – nir
			swir1 + nir

Table 1. Features/bands used for Landsat 8 (OLI)

3.2. Classification

3.2.1. Maximum likelihood classification

The images features were used in the classifications using the supervised Maximum Likelihood Algorithm (MLA). The MLA implementation was done using ENVI software Supervised Classification Module © Exelis Visual Information Solutions. For each image, the bare area layer was calculated. These bare areas were then normalized for each year using the year's image set (dry/wet) to get the corrected bare area for that year (2002 or 2020). This normalizing was achieved by accepting a pixel as a true bare area pixel if it was classified as a bare area in both seasons (dry/wet) in that year else it was treated as a seasonal bare area. Finally, the bare area change was calculated as the difference between the detected bare area pixels from both years (2002/2020). For multi-temporal images, \mathbf{x}_i and \mathbf{y}_j indicate the LULC code vectors of the corresponding pixels in the same location, where $\mathbf{x}_i = [\mathbf{x}_{i,1}, \mathbf{x}_{i,2}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{i,b}]\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{y}_j = [\mathbf{y}_{j,1}, \mathbf{y}_{j,2}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{j,b}]\mathbf{T}$, where, $\mathbf{x}_{i,b}$ and $\mathbf{y}_{j,b}$ are the LULC class b for years i and j (Wu et al., 2017). In this study only the bare area class was analysed. Where i=2002, j=2020 and b=bare, then $\mathbf{x}_i=\mathbf{x}_{i,b}$ and $\mathbf{y}_j=\mathbf{y}_{j,b}$. The change detection (bare areas) was calculated as Δ_{xy} = $\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}$ for i=j and $\Delta_{xy} = \mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{y}$ for i≠j.

3.2.2. Accuracy assessment

For validation, a total of 150 random pixels were selected for each of the four images used and high-resolution SPOT images, google map, Botswana Department of Surveys and Mapping orthophotos and field visits were used for ground truthing. Zhu & Woodcock (2014) noted that the high spatial resolution images at the temporal frequency as Landsat data, can be helpful in determining land cover change at longer time intervals. The field visits were important to resolve some validation points as LULC is highly dynamic especially in and around urban areas and dried water pans or ponds. To assess the accuracy of the detected bare areas from above process, twenty-five (25) random points were again selected for validation using the high-resolution data and field visit.

3.2.3. Error Matrices

Once classification of the data sets was achieved, the following matrices (overall accuracy OA, Kappa Coefficient KC, Producer Accuracy PA, and User Accuracy UA) were calculated for all the tests. For the bare areas detection an error matrix was used to estimate classification accuracy and further, probability of detection (PoD) and probability of false detection (PoFD) were also calculated. PoD is calculated as hits divided by hits and misses while PoFD is false negatives divided by correct negatives plus false alarms.

4. Results and Discussion

There is complexity of the built-up spectral signature which is a spectral mixture (rural built-up, built-up, bare, industrial built-up), this resulted in built-up being confused with agriculture fields and bare land. The built-up producer accuracy was 86.7% while the user's accuracy was only 44.8% respectively. Both the producer accuracy and user's accuracy for the bare areas land class was 77.8% respectively.

The classified images for 2002 show significant influence of seasonal changes on all the LCLU classes including the water bodies (shrunk surface area due to usage and evaporation – since there is no rain fall during the dry season). One of the significant changes is the increase in bare areas especially around built-up areas and areas of agricultural activity (due to barren fields after harvesting and livestock overgrazing since livestock is 100% fed on pasture with minimal feedlots) as shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4. These LULC percentages are quantified in Figure 5 below.



Figure 3. LULC summer 2002 season



Figure 4. LULC winter 2002 season



Figure 5. Percentage LULC

The same observations as above were also true for the 2020 as shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7 below, there has been a significant reduction in (agriculture fields and trees) and a significant increase in built-up and bare land from 2002 to 2020 which illustrates human pressure on land resources as population keeps on increasing and turning agricultural land into built-up. From 2001 to 2017, the population of the study area has increased by 34% and now accounts for 47% of the population of

Botswana (Central Statistics Office, 2005, 2011). These bare areas increase significantly during the dry months and the built-up signature also increases due to the dry conditions and human activities in settlements (agricultural actives, firewood collection and forest fires).



Figure 6 LULC summer 2020 season





Figure 10 shows that from 2002 to 2020, water bodies shrunk by 14.5%, agricultural fields shrunk by 76.4%, bare areas increased by 111.7%, shrubs shrunk by 1.6%, built-up increased by 49.2% and trees shrunk by 13.9%. As population increased in the greater Gaborone area, agricultural fields are converted into residential and industrial areas, it is estimated that the population growth for the study area ranges from 2.4% to 3.4% (Central Statistics Office, 2005, 2011). Bare areas have significantly increased due to land cleared for built-up, firewood collection, fallow and unused agriculture fields and overgrazing by livestock. Although the shrubs land has shrunk slightly since 2002, the natural shrub land has largely been replaced by acacia thornbush (Jonsson, 2004). The classification overall accuracy and kappa coefficient was 82.3% and 0.79 for 2002 and 81.6% and 0.78 for 2020 as shown in Table 2 and Table 3. In both cases the water LULC had a user and producer accuracies of 100% with the built-up having the lowest classification accuracy, although the bare land was satisfactorily classified, the built-up was mostly misclassified as bare land and field visits confirmed that indeed most built-up especially in rural areas had a large component of bare area pixels hence the spectral signature was closer to that of bare area. The bare area user accuracy was 87.0% and 77.8% for 2002 and 2020, respectively.



Figure 8 LULC changes 2002 to 2020

	Reference Data								
LULC_class	water	agricultural_fields	bare	shrubs	built_up	trees	Totals	Commision error	User's Accuracy
water	27	0	0	0	0	0	27	0,0	100,0
agricultural_fields	0	19	0	4	1	0	24	20,8	79,2
bare	0	0	20	2	0	1	23	13,0	87,0
shrubs	0	0	0	19	3	1	23	17,4	82,6
built_up	0	0	9	2	11	0	22	50,0	50,0
trees	0	0	2	0	0	20	22	9,1	90,9
Totals	27	19	31	27	15	22	141		
Ommision error	0,0	0,0	35,5	29,6	26,7	9,1			
Procucer's Accuracy	100,0	100,0	64,5	70,4	73,3	90,9			
Overall Accuracy	82,3								

Table 2 Accuracy	assessment 2002
------------------	-----------------

Table 3 Accuracy assessment 2020

	Reference Data								
LULC_class	water	agricultural_fields	bare	shrubs	built_up	trees	Totals	Commision error	User's Accuracy
water	26	0	0	0	0	0	26	0,0	100,0
agricultural_fields	0	25	1	1	1	0	28	10,7	89,3
bare	0	0	21	6	0	0	27	22,2	77,8
shrubs	0	0	0	22	0	0	22	0,0	100,0
built_up	0	8	5	2	13	1	29	55,2	44,8
trees	0	0	0	1	1	13	15	13,3	86,7
Totals	26	33	27	32	15	14	147		
Ommision error	0,0	24,2	22,2	31,3	13,3	7,1			
Procucer's Accuracy	100,0	75,8	77,8	68,8	86,7	92,9			
Overall Accuracy	81,6								
Карра	0,78								

Figure 9 and Figure 10 show that in all the six agricultural districts, bare area has significantly increased during the period 2002 to 2020. The accuracy of detected areas has an overall classification accuracy of 82.6% with a kappa coefficient of 0.72. The probability of detection was 75.0% and probability of false detection was 13.3% respectively, this means that a detected bare area has a 75% chance of being an actual bare area and there is a 13% chance that a detected bare area is not an actual bare area on the ground. This 13% tended to be built-up areas which have a lot of bare pixels. There is a lot of seasonal changes in bare areas due to the long and dry season during which there is very little or no ground cover. In this study, this effect was normalized by only considering a bare pixel as a true bare area if the pixel being considered is bare during both winter and summer months. For other studies such as soil erosion, it might be advisable to consider bare ground during the winter months since most soil is lost during the first rains after the long dry winter.







Figure 10 Bare areas detected in 2020

Figure 11 below shows the percentage bare land from 2002 to 2020, these are contributions of bare ground subdivided into where this bare ground is found. Thamaga agricultural district shows the largest decrease while Lobatse agricultural district shows the largest increase. These changes were driven by changes in land use, in Thamaga for example, agricultural fields were converted to built-up while in Lobatse, shrub land was cleared for commercial agriculture (Mosesidi Commercial Farmers).



Figure 11 Contributions of bare ground found in a district in 2002 and 2020

5. Conclusions

Agricultural fields shrunk by 76.4%, while built-up increased by 49.2%, and bare areas increased from 3.32% to 7.03% (111.7%) from 2002 to 2020. From 2001 to 2017, the population of the study area increased by 34% and now accounts for 47% of the population of Botswana. There seasonal changes in bare areas reaching maximum during the long and dry season when there is very little or no ground cover. The effect of bare area seasonality was normalized by only considering a bare ground pixel as being true only if the pixel is bare area during both winter and summer months. Detecting bare area during the dry winter months represents temporary or seasonal bare area which tends to overestimate bare ground gain. The bare area increase is attributed to the pressures in land use due to the population growth rate of 3.4% in the study area. Bare ground gain should be detected using both dry and wet months data to avoid overestimation of the gain. Bare ground detected in the dry winter months should be used in soil erosion studies since most soil is lost during the first rains after the long and dry winter.

6. Recommendations

To avoid the misclassification of bare areas with bare pixels in built-up areas, it suggested that for further research work be carried out using sub-pixel classification methods.

7. Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to USGS for Landsat images, Botswana Department of Surveys and Mapping for the orthophotos, Botswana Ministry of Agricultural Developments and Food Security of the agricultural districts shape file, Google Maps and the University of Agriculture and Natural Resources for the field work resources. The author is grateful to the anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions.

8. References

Barrett, A. B., Duivenvoorden, S., Salakpi, E. E., Muthoka, J. M., Mwangi, J., Oliver, S., & Rowhani, P. (2020). Forecasting vegetation condition for drought early warning systems in pastoral communities in Kenya. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *May*, 111886. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.111886

Central Statistics Office. (2000). Botswana Environmental Statistics.

- Central Statistics Office. (2005). 2001 Population Census Atlas : Botswana (Issue 267).
- Central Statistics Office. (2011). Population of Towns, Villages and Associated Locatlities. In 2011 Population and Housing Census. http://ecastats.uneca.org/aicmd/Portals/0/Census 2011 Preliminary Brief Sept 29 2011.pdf
- Chavez, P. S. (1988). An improved dark-object subtraction technique for atmospheric scattering correction of multispectral data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 24(3), 459–479. https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(88)90019-3
- Cook, B., Zeng, N., & Yoon, J.-H. (2012). Will Amazonia Dry Out? Magnitude and Causes of Change from IPCC Climate Model Projections. *Earth Interactions*, *16*(3), 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1175/2011EI398.1
- Daldegan, G. A., Roberts, D. A., & Ribeiro, F. de F. (2019). Spectral mixture analysis in Google Earth Engine to model and delineate fire scars over a large extent and a long time-series in a rainforest-savanna transition zone. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 232(July), 111340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111340
- Darkoh, M. B. K. (1998). The nature, causes and consequences of desertification in the drylands of Africa. *Land Degradation Development*, *1*, 1–20.
- Dematte, J. A. M., Huete, A. R., Ferreira Jr., L. G., Nanni, M. R., Alves, M. C., & Fiorio, P. R. (2009). Methodology for Bare Soil Detection and Discrimination by Landsat TM Image. *The Open Remote Sensing Journal*, 2(1), 24–35. https://doi.org/10.2174/1875413900902010024
- Du, Y., Teillet, P. M., & Cihlar, J. (2002). Radiometric normalization of multitemporal high-resolution satellite images with quality control for land cover change detection. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 82(1), 123– 134. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00029-9
- FAO. (2020). Botswana Global Forest Resources Assessment Report.
- Foley, J. A., DeFries, R., Asner, G. P., Barford, C., Bonan, G., Carpenter, S. R., Chapin, F. S., Coe, M. T., Daily, G. C., Gibbs, H. K., Helkowski, J. H., Holloway, T., Howard, E. A., Kucharik, C. J., Monfreda, C., Patz, J. A., Prentice, I. C., Ramankutty, N., & Snyder, P. K. (2005). Global consequences of land use. *Science*, 309(5734), 570–574. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1111772.
- Gessner, U., Machwitz, M., Conrad, C., & Dech, S. (2013). Estimating the fractional cover of growth forms and bare surface in savannas. A multi-resolution approach based on regression tree ensembles. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *129*, 90–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.10.026
- Hansen, M. C., Egorov, A., Potapov, P. V., Stehman, S. V., Tyukavina, A., Turubanova, S. A., Roy, D. P., Goetz, S. J., Loveland, T. R., Ju, J., Kommareddy, A., Kovalskyy, V., & Forsyth, C., Bents, T. (2014). Monitoring conterminous United States (CONUS) land cover change with Web-Enabled Landsat Data (WELD). *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 140, 466–484. https://doi.org/http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2013.08.014.

- Ihlen, V., & Zanter, K. (2019). Landsat 8 (L8)Data Users Handbook. In U.S. Geological Survey: Vol. LSDS-1574 (Issue November). https://landsat.usgs.gov/documents/Landsat8DataUsersHandbook.pdf
- Jensen, J. R., Rutchey, K., Koch, M. ., & Narumalani. (1995). Inland wetland change detection in the everglades water conservation area 2A using a time series of normalized remotely sensed data. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, *61*(2), 199–209.
- Jin, S., & Sader, S. A. (2005). quantification of patch size effects MODIS time-series imagery for forest disturbance detection and quantification of patch size effects. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 99, 462– 470. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2005.09.017
- Jonsson, P. E. R. (2004). Vegetation as an urban climate control in the subtropical city of Gaborone, Botswana. *International Journal of Climatology*, 24, 1307–1322. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1064
- Nicolau, A. P., Flores-Anderson, A., Griffin, R., Herndon, K., & Meyer, F. J. (2021). Assessing SAR C-band data to effectively distinguish modified land uses in a heavily disturbed Amazon forest. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 94, 102214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2020.102214
- Nwilo, P. C., Olayinka, D. N., Okolie, C. J., Emmanuel, E. I., Orji, M. J., & Daramola, O. E. (2020). Impacts of land cover changes on deserti fi cation in northern Nigeria and implications on the Lake Chad Basin. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 181(March), 104190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2020.104190
- Potapov, P. V, Turubanova, S. A., Hansen, M. C., Adusei, B., Broich, M., Altstatt, A., Mane, L., & Justice, C. O. (2012). Quantifying forest cover loss in Democratic Republic of the Congo, 2000 2010, with Landsat ETM + data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 122, 106–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2011.08.027
- Ringrose, S., Vanderpost, C., & Matheson, W. (1996). The use of integrated remotely sensed and GIS data to determine causes of vegetation cover change in southern Botswana. *Applied Geography*, *16*(3), 225–242.
- Schaepman-Strub, G., Schaepman, M. E., Painter, T. H., Dangel, S., & Martonchik, J. V. (2006). Reflectance quantities in optical remote sensing—definitions and case studies. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 103(1), 27–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSE.2006.03.002
- Schug, F., Frantz, D., Okujeni, A., Linden, S. Van Der, & Hostert, P. (2020). Mapping urban-rural gradients of settlements and vegetation at national scale using Sentinel-2 spectral-temporal metrics and regressionbased unmixing with synthetic training data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 246(February), 111810. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.111810
- Spruce, J., Bolten, J., Srinivasan, R., & Lakshmi, V. (2018). Developing land use land cover maps for the lower mekong basin to aid hydrologic modeling and basin planning. *Remote Sensing*, 10(12). https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10121910
- Statistics Botswana. (2018). *Botswna Demographic Survey Report 2017*. http://www.statsbots.org.bw/sites/default/files/publications/Botswana Demographic Survey Report 2017.pdf
- Teillet, P. M., Barker, J. L., Markham, B. L., Irish, R. R., Fedosejevs, G., & Storey, J. C. (2001). Radiometric cross-calibration of the Landsat-7 ETM+ and Landsat-5 TM sensors based on tandem data sets. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 78(1–2), 39–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(01)00248-6
- Tsheko, R. (2006). Comparison between the United States Soil Conservation Service (SCS) and the two models commonly used for estimating rainfall-runoff in south-eastern Botswana. *Water SA*, *32*(1), 29–36. https://doi.org/10.4314/wsa.v32i1.5236
- UNCOD. (1978). Round-up, Plan of Action and Resolutions. United Nations Conference on Desertification.
 29 August- 9 September, 1977. New York: United Nations. Retrieved from http://www.ciesin.org/docs/002-478/002-478.html
- United Nations. (1993). *Report of the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development*. Agenda 21: 3-14 June 1992, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- Verón, S. R., Paruelo, J. M., & Oesterheld, M. (2006). Assessing desertification. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 66(4), 751–762.

- Vitousek, P. M., Mooney, H. A., Lubchenco, J., & Melillo, J. M. (1997). Human domination of earth's ecosystems. *Science*, 277, 494–499. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.277. 5325.494
- Vittor, A. Y., Gilman, R. H., Tielsch, J., Glass, G., Shields, T., Lozano, W. S., Pinedo-Cancino, V., & Patz, J. A. (2006). The effect of deforestation on the human-biting rate of Anopheles darlingi, the primary vector of falciparum malaria in the Peruvian Amazon. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 74(1), 494–499. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2006.74.3
- Wu, C., Du, B., Cui, X., & Zhang, L. (2017). A post-classification change detection method based on iterative slow feature analysis and Bayesian soft fusion. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 199, 241–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSE.2017.07.009
- Xin, Q., Olofsson, P., Zhu, Z., Tan, B., & Woodcock, C. E. (2012). Towards near real-time monitoring of forest disturbance by fusion of MODIS and Landsat data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 135, 234–247.
- Yang, X., Zhang, K., Jia, B., & Ci, L. (2005). Desertification assessment in China: An overview. Journal of Arid Environments, 63(63), 517–531.
- Ying, Q., Hansen, M. C., Potapov, P. V, Tyukavina, A., Wang, L., Stehman, S. V, Moore, R., & Hancher, M. (2017). Global bare ground gain from 2000 to 2012 using Landsat imagery. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 194, 161–176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.03.022
- Zhu, Z., & Woodcock, C. E. (2014). Continuous change detection and classification of land cover using all available Landsat data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 144, 152–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSE.2014.01.011