Impact of antiretroviral therapy on pregnancy outcomes

C D Aniji,1  FCOG (SA); O A Towobola,1 PhD; M E Hoque,1 MSc; T J Mashamba,1 MB ChB; S Monokoane,1 FCOG (SA)

1 Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Limpopo, Medunsa Campus, Pretoria, South Africa

Corresponding author: M E Hoque (muhammad.ohsanul@gmail.com)

Background. The majority of HIV-positive women in South Africa are of reproductive age, and pregnancies among women using antiretroviral therapy (ART) are common. However, there are mixed data regarding the impact of ART on pregnancy outcomes.

Objective. To examine the impact of ART on pregnancy outcome according to the timing of initiation of treatment.

Methods. A retrospective cohort study was conducted among women delivering at a tertiary hospital from 1 October 2008 to 31 March 2009.

Results. A total of 245 mothers were receiving ART: 76 mothers (31%) started ART pre-conception and 169 mothers (69%) started ART after the first trimester. No significant differences were observed in the rates of preterm delivery and low birth weight (LBW) between the pre- and post-conception groups (21% v. 24% and 21% v. 25%, respectively).

Conclusion. In this cohort of women receiving ART in pregnancy, timing of ART initiation did not have any adverse effect on the measured pregnancy outcomes such as preterm delivery and LBW.
this population, it is pertinent to investigate any effects that ART may have on pregnancy outcomes.

The aim of this study was to investigate the impact of ART on pregnancy outcome, according to the timing of initiation of treatment, in a cohort of HIV-positive pregnant women at Dr George Mukhari Hospital (DGMH) in Ga-Rankuwa, SA.

Methods

We performed a retrospective medical record review of HIV-positive pregnant women who delivered at DGMH from 1 October 2008 to 31 March 2009. DGMH has a large academic obstetric unit with approximately 8 500 deliveries per annum. The study included HIV-positive pregnant women who booked at the antenatal clinic at DGMH and had either been receiving ART before pregnancy (pre-conception ART group) or started ART after the first trimester of pregnancy (post-conception ART group). Women with multiple pregnancies and those who defaulted ART and/or antenatal clinic visits were excluded from the analysis.

Data on demographic and obstetric characteristics and ART use were collected from the labour ward delivery register, patients’ antenatal cards and/or hospital records. Data were analysed using SPSS (version 17.0). Term delivery was defined as birth at a gestational age of ≥37 weeks, where ultrasound was used to date the pregnancy before 20 weeks’ gestation; or a birth weight of ≥2 500g in cases where pregnancy was not dated with ultrasound before 20 weeks’ gestation. All statistical tests were performed using two-sided tests at the p≤0.05 level of significance.

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Medunsa Research and Ethics Committee of the University of Limpopo.

Results

A total of 245 mothers were receiving ART during the study period; 76 (31%) were receiving ART pre-conception and 169 mothers (69%) started ART post conception after the first trimester. The average age of the participants was 31 and 29 years in the pre- and post-conception groups, respectively (p=0.003). Significantly more women in the post-conception group were primigravidae than in the pre-conception group (18% v. 5%, respectively; p=0.003). The rate of caesarean sections was similar in the pre- and post-conception groups (Table 1).

In the pre-conception group, 73 women were on a regimen of two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) with a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI), while 3 women were on PI-containing regimens. The duration of treatment prior to conception ranged from 4 to 60 months. All the women in this group were in relatively good health, with sustained viral suppression prior to conception. In the post-conception group, 161 women were using two NRTIs with an NNRTI, while 8 women were on PI-containing regimens. All women in this group had CD4+ counts <200 cells/µl per the national ART guidelines at the time of the study. The duration of treatment before delivery ranged from 2 to 22 weeks.

Table 2 compares pregnancy outcomes between the two groups. Results indicated that preterm delivery rates were similar between the pre- and post-conception groups (p=0.348). With regards to LBW, the rate was slightly lower (21%) in the pre-conception group than in the post-conception group (24%), but the difference was not significant (p=0.945).

Discussion

We investigated the effect of ART on pregnancy outcomes according to the timing of initiation of treatment. Accordingly, we did not find any
negative impact of this therapy on pregnancy outcomes, regardless of the timing of treatment initiation.

Those women who were receiving ART prior to conception, irrespective of the duration of treatment, had a similar rate of preterm delivery compared with those who commenced ART after the first trimester of pregnancy. A study conducted in the USA[8] also reported a non-significant difference in the rate of preterm delivery between pre- and post-conception ART groups. It would seem that in this study population, the use of antiretrovirals did not have any effect, whether positive or negative, on the rate of preterm deliveries. It is important to point out that the use of illicit drugs, alcohol abuse and cigarette smoking – all important causes of preterm labour – were negligible in this setting.

However, our results are in contrast to the findings of some other studies conducted in Europe, Cote d’Ivore and Nigeria, which concluded that patients who were receiving ART prior to conception had an increased risk of preterm delivery compared with those who commenced ART post conception.[9,12-14]

With regards to LBW in our study, the rates were similar: 17.1% and 20.7% in the pre- and post-conception groups, respectively. This result is in line with other studies conducted in Brazil and the USA,[11,13] both of which did not find any association between birth weight and the use of ART. However, contrasting results from Europe, Cote d’Ivoire and Nigeria indicated higher rates of LBW among women receiving ART.[9,13,14]

Study limitations
This was a retrospective study that focused on women delivering live infants in health facilities and did not account for early pregnancy loss or home deliveries, thus constituting an important potential bias. Several other factors with known influence on pregnancy outcome including other infectious diseases, socioeconomic information of the mothers (e.g. educational level and employment status) were not measured and could not be evaluated in this study.

Conclusion
In summary, the results of this study suggest that ART initiated before or during pregnancy does not have any adverse effect on measured pregnancy outcomes such as preterm delivery and LBW.
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